Attachment C - 2021 MSGP

In addition, a copy of the 2021 MSGP can be found at the following website: https://www.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater-discharges-industrial-activities-epas-2021-msgp

Worksheet 3
List of Significant Spills and Leaks

Completed by:		
Title:		
Date:		

Directions: Record below all significant spills and significant leaks of toxic or hazardous pollutants that have occurred at the facility in the 3 years prior to the effective date of the permit.

Definitions: Significant spills include, but are not limited to, releases of oil or hazardous substances in excess of reportable quantities.

Date (m/d/y)	Check	Check One Location or Both (as indicated on site map)		,	oil or hazardoi Descr				se Procedure	Preventive Measures Taken
•	Spill	Leak		Type of Material	Quantity (Estimate)	Source	Reason	Amount of Material Recovered	Is Material Still Exposed to Stormwater (Yes or No)?	

Attachment E – Sampling Data Summary

Attachment F – Emergency Telephone Numbers

Emergency Contact List

Granite State Landfill
Solid Waste Landfill
172 Douglas Drive
Dalton, NH
NHDES Solid Waste Permit #: TBD

REPORT OIL SPILL TO SURFACE WATER								
National Response Center (NRC):	800-424-8802							
REPORT OIL SPILL GREATER TH	IAN 25 GALLONS - I	PRIMARY						
National Response Center (NRC):	800-424-8802							
NHDES Oil Spill Response (M-F, 8am-4pm):	603-271-3899							
(Weekends and Evenings):	603-223-4381	State Police Dispatch						
Dalton Fire Department:	603-837-2092							
REPORT OIL SPILL GREATER THA		CCONDARY						
NH Office of Emergency Management (24-Hr):	603-271-2231							
Emergency Response Contractors:	(See below)							
Emergency Respon	se Contractors							
Clean Harbors (24-Hr):	800-645-8265	Hooksett, NH						
EnPro (24-Hr):	800-966-1102	Pembroke, NH						
Tradebe Environmental Services (24-Hr):	800-914-9111	Newington, NH						
Key Facility P								
SPCC/SWPPP Coordinator:	Landfill Office: 603-8							
Bruce Grover	Mobile: 603-728-839	1						
Engineering, Compliance, Permitting,	Office: 802-651-5454							
Backup SPCC/SWPPP Coordinator:	Mobile: 802-236-597	3						
Joe Gay								
Operations Manager:	Landfill Office: 603-8							
Bruce Grover	Mobile: 603-728-839							
Division Manager:	Landfill Office: 603-8							
Kevin Roy	Mobile: 603-361-647	7						
Other Cor								
AMBULANCE, POLICE, FIRE DEPT:	911							
Dalton Police Department:	603-837-2703 (Non-E	mergency)						
Littleton Regional Healthcare:	800-464-7731							
Dalton Town Hall:	603-837-9642							
EPA New England (Region 1) Administrator:	888-372-7341							
US Coast Guard, Marine Safety Office:	617-223-8555							
Eversource:	800-662-7764							
Engineering Consultant - CMA Engineers:	603-431-6196							

Contact Joe Gay in the event of all spills Complete the Incident/Material Release Report form for all spills

Spill Notification:

In the event of a spill, the landfill employee discovering the release will contact internal personnel as soon as possible after the incident has occurred.

- 1. Please call the SPCC Coordinator first. If the SPCC Coordinator cannot be reached, next call the Backup SPCC Coordinator. If neither the SPCC Coordinator nor the Backup SPCC Coordinator can be reached, call the other Key Facility Personnel in the order that they are listed in the table above.
- 2. If the opportunity arises to leave a message or a voicemail, please do so. Once the Key Facility Personnel have all been called and there is no response, wait ½ hour for a response to voicemail or messages.
- 3. If there is no response after ½ hour, please start with the SPCC Coordinator and work down the list again until a response is received. Leave messages and voicemail where possible.
- 4. Repeat step 3 until a response is received.

After one of the Key Facility Personnel has been notified, he/she will conduct reporting to outside agencies if necessary. If a spill threatens to reach an offsite water way, and the spill cannot be contained and recovered by landfill personnel, contact the National Response Center. In the event of a spill greater than 25 gallons, notify the primary contacts in the table above.

Attachment G – Routine Inspection Forms

GRANITE STATE LANDFILL ROUTINE INSPECTION CHECKLIST FORM

INSPECTORS:		DATE:	DATE:				
WEATHER:		REASON FOR	INSPECTION (CI	RCLE):			
Today: _		Routine	Emergency	Other			
Yesterda	эу:						

INSPECTION ITEM	YES	NO	N/A	COMMENTS/ACTION ITEMS
1. Is the working face limited to as small an area as practical?			-	·
2. Is the waste being spread in 2-foot layers and adequately compacted?				
3. Have 6 inches of compacted daily cover material (or approved ADC) been placed over the entire working face?				
4A. Have 12 inches of compacted intermediate cover been place over areas filled to final grade or which will not receive additional fill for 1 month or more?				
4B. Should this lift be seeded?				
5. Is there evidence of erosion or damaging settlement to areas which have received final cover?				
6. Is the lift graded properly to promote runoff?				
7. Is the lift at the proper height? (8-10 feet normally)				
8. Are all surface water diversion ditches in place and free of sediment or debris?				
9. Are culverts clear of debris and sediments?				
10. Are there any blown papers or debris which should be picked up?				
11. Is the landfill access road well graded and/or plowed?				
12. Is the access road to the working face in good condition?				

13. Have daily records of leachate,	
waste quantities and quality, cover	
material, and other applicable	
measurements been noted?	
14. Is there evidence of leachate	
breakouts?	
15 Do the detention pends peed to	
15. Do the detention ponds need to be cleaned?	
be cleaned?	
16. Are there eroded areas that need	
attention?	
17. Is the litter fence properly located	
& functioning?	
<u> </u>	
18. Are the blower and flare	
functioning consistently and as	
expected?	
19. Are there odors evident?	
20. Are dust control measures	
needed?	
21. Are berms intact with no	
evidence of erosion?	
22. Are the leachate vaults weather-	
tight and are all pump system valves	
and controls operating as intended?	
23. Is there evidence of leakage in	
the leachate vaults, leak detection	
manholes or valve box?	
24A. Have monitoring well riser pipes	
been disturbed?	
24B. Are monitoring well riser pipes	
secure?	
25. Are gas probe riser pipes secure?	
l See h and as h h as a see	
2C le the Coo Management Supt.	
26. Is the Gas Management System	
functioning with gas composition	
within required parameters?	
27. Are gas wells intact and without	
undue stress in the piping at each	
wellhead?	
28. Is the landfill equipment	
functioning properly?	
29. Are there any problems with the	
interstitial monitoring of any tanks?	
Ŭ,	

GRANITE STATE LANDFILL MONTHLY INSPECTION

INSPECTOR:_	
DATE:	

Area Checked	Checked for	Problems?		If yes, describe	Corrective Actions to be Taken	Schedule for Corrective Actions
Stone Lined Drop Chutes and Swales	for condition, flow and obstructions and accumulation of sediment	Y	N			
Intermediate Stormwater Berms	condition and any accumulation of sediment	Υ	N			
Drain pipes, Outlet Structures, and Culverts	obstructions and clear flow, cracking and breaks	Υ	N			
Vegetated Swales	condition and any evidence of erosion	Y	N			
Detention Ponds	excessive sediment accumulation; removal when 50% full	Υ	N			
Solid waste transfer areas, recycling area, and scale house	not following Best Management Practices; incoming uncovered vehicles; untidy storage areas; litter at and adjacent to facility	Y	N			
Leachate Loadout Area	spills and leaks in the tanks and containment system, catch basin clogging, and sediment buildup in catch basins	Υ	N			
Petroleum storage and transfer areas	staining or spillage in containment area, on tank, and in transfer areas; damaged or missing locks; missing or depleted spill kits; unsecure hose in vehicle pathway	Y	N			
Equipment used in solid waste and recycling handling	signs of leaking fluids or staining	Y	N			
Other vehicles that frequent the facility	signs of leaking fluids or staining	Y	N			
Borrow Pit	unstable slope, sediment transport offsite	Υ	N			

Attachment H – Quarterly Visual Assessment Log

QUARTERLY STORM WATER VISUAL EXAMINATION REPORT						Collected/Examined by: Title:				
(YEAR)						Facility: Granite State	Landfill Permit Number: TBD			
		Outfa	II 001			•	5 Drive Dalton, NH 03598 Substantially Identical Outfall? ⊠ No □ Yes			
Quarter	Date & Time	Magnitude of Storm Event (Inches) ¹	Type of Discharge (Rainfall/ Snowmelt) ²	Time Since Previous Storm Event ³	Substitute Sample?	Sampling not performed due to adverse conditions?	Parameter	Concerns, Comments, Corrective Actions		
1st Quarter (Jan 1st – Mar 31st)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:					□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: None Yes (describe): Odor: None Musty Sewage Sulfur Sour Solvents Petroleum/Gas Other: Clarity: Clear Slightly Cloudy Cloudy Opaque Other: Floating Solids: None Yes (describe): Settled Solids⁴: None Yes (describe): Suspended Solids: None Yes (describe): Oil Sheen: None Flecks Gobs Sheen Slick Other: Foam (gently shake sample): None Yes (describe): Other Obvious Indicators of Storm Water Pollution: None Yes (describe):	-		
2nd Quarter (Apr 1st – Jun 30th)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				□ No □ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: None Yes (describe): Odor: None Musty Sewage Sulfur Sour Solvents Petroleum/Gas Other: Clarity: Clear Slightly Cloudy Opaque Other: Floating Solids: None Yes (describe): Settled Solids⁴: None Yes (describe): Suspended Solids: None Yes (describe): Oil Sheen: None Flecks Gobs Sheen Slick Other: Foam (gently shake sample): None Yes (describe): Other Obvious Indicators of Storm Water Pollution: None Yes (describe):	-		
3rd Quarter (July 1st – Sept 30th)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				□ No □ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: □ None □ Yes (describe): Odor: □ None □ Musty □ Sewage □ Sulfur □ Solvents □ Petroleum/Gas □ Other: Clarity: □ Clear □ Slightly Cloudy □ Opaque □ Other: Floating Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): Settled Solids⁴: □ None □ Yes (describe): Suspended Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): Oil Sheen: □ None □ Flecks □ Gobs □ Sheen □ Slick □ Other: Foam (gently shake sample): □ None □ Yes (describe): □ Other Obvious Indicators of Storm Water Pollution: □ None □ Yes (describe): □	- - - - - -		
4th Quarter (Oct 1st – Dec 31st)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				sample originally to be sampled?	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: None Yes (describe): Odor: None Musty Sewage Sulfur Sour Solvents Petroleum/Gas Other: Clarity: Clear Slightly Cloudy Cloudy Opaque Other: Floating Solids: None Yes (describe): Settled Solids ⁴ : None Yes (describe): Suspended Solids: None Yes (describe): Oil Sheen: None Flecks Gobs Sheen Slick Other: Foam (gently shake sample): None Yes (describe): Other Obvious Indicators of Storm Water Pollution: None Yes (describe):	- - - - - -		
³ Should be at least 72 that less than a 72-ho ⁴ Observe for settled so Printed Name:	snow, at least 2 hours since p our interval is re	one quarterly vi reviously measura epresentative of	sual assessment mu able (greater than 0.1 local storm events du	st capture snow inches) storm e iring the sampli	vent. The 72-hour ir ng period. Title:	nterval can be waived wh	3 of the MSGP, taking into account the exception for climates with irregular stormwater runoff. nen the previous storm did not yield a measurable discharge of if you are able to document (attach appli	cable documentation)		
Signature:					Date Signe	d – (Following 4 th Qua	rter Evaluation):			

QUARTERLY STORM WATER VISUAL EXAMINATION REPORT						Collected/Examined by: Title:				
(YEAR)						Facility: Granite State	Landfill Permit Number: TBD			
		Outfa	II 002			•	S Drive Dalton, NH 03598 Substantially Identical Outfall? ⊠ No ☐ Yes			
Quarter	Date & Time	Magnitude of Storm Event (Inches) ¹	Type of Discharge (Rainfall/ Snowmelt) ²	Time Since Previous Storm Event ³	Substitute Sample?	Sampling not performed due to adverse conditions?	Parameter Parameter	Concerns, Comments, Corrective Actions		
1st Quarter (Jan 1st – Mar 31st)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:					□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: □ None □ Yes (describe): Odor: □ None □ Musty □ Sewage □ Sulfur □ Solvents □ Petroleum/Gas □ Other: Clarity: □ Clear □ Slightly Cloudy □ Opaque □ Other: Floating Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): Settled Solids ⁴ : □ None □ Yes (describe): Suspended Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): Oil Sheen: □ None □ Flecks □ Gobs □ Sheen □ Slick □ Other: Foam (gently shake sample): □ None □ Yes (describe): □ Other Obvious Indicators of Storm Water Pollution: □ None □ Yes (describe): □	-		
2nd Quarter (Apr 1st – Jun 30th)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				□ No □ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: □ None □ Yes (describe): Odor: □ None □ Musty □ Sewage □ Sulfur □ Solvents □ Petroleum/Gas □ Other: Clarity: □ Clear □ Slightly Cloudy □ Opaque □ Other: Floating Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): Settled Solids ⁴ : □ None □ Yes (describe): Suspended Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): Oil Sheen: □ None □ Flecks □ Gobs □ Sheen □ Slick □ Other: Foam (gently shake sample): □ None □ Yes (describe): □ Other Obvious Indicators of Storm Water Pollution: □ None □ Yes (describe): □	-		
3rd Quarter (July 1st – Sept 30th)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				□ No □ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: □ None □ Yes (describe): Odor: □ None □ Musty □ Sewage □ Sulfur □ Solvents □ Petroleum/Gas □ Other: Clarity: □ Clear □ Slightly Cloudy □ Opaque □ Other: Floating Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): Settled Solids⁴: □ None □ Yes (describe): Suspended Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): Oil Sheen: □ None □ Flecks □ Gobs □ Sheen □ Slick □ Other: Foam (gently shake sample): □ None □ Yes (describe): □ Other Obvious Indicators of Storm Water Pollution: □ None □ Yes (describe): □	- - - - - -		
4th Quarter (Oct 1st – Dec 31st)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				sample originally to be sampled?	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: None Yes (describe): Odor: None Musty Sewage Sulfur Sour Solvents Petroleum/Gas Other: Clarity: Clear Slightly Cloudy Cloudy Opaque Other: Floating Solids: None Yes (describe): Settled Solids ⁴ : None Yes (describe): Suspended Solids: None Yes (describe): Oil Sheen: None Flecks Gobs Sheen Slick Other: Foam (gently shake sample): None Yes (describe): Other Obvious Indicators of Storm Water Pollution: None Yes (describe):	- - - - - -		
³ Should be at least 72 that less than a 72-ho ⁴ Observe for settled so Printed Name:	snow, at least 2 hours since p our interval is re	one quarterly vi reviously measur epresentative of	sual assessment mu able (greater than 0.1 local storm events du	st capture snow inches) storm e iring the sampli	vent. The 72-hour ir ng period.	nterval can be waived wh	3 of the MSGP, taking into account the exception for climates with irregular stormwater runoff. nen the previous storm did not yield a measurable discharge of if you are able to document (attach appli	cable documentation)		
Signature:					Date Signe	ed – (Following 4 th Qua	rter Evaluation):			

QUARTERLY STORM WATER VISUAL EXAMINATION REPORT					REPORT	RT Collected/Examined by: Title:			
(YEAR)						Facility: Granite State Landfill		Permit Number: TBD	
		Outfa	all 007			Address: 172 Douglas Drive Dalton, NH 03598		Substantially Identical Outfall? ⊠ No □ Yes	
Quarter	Date & Time	Magnitude of Storm Event (Inches) ¹	Type of Discharge (Rainfall/ Snowmelt) ²	Time Since Previous Storm Event ³	Substitute Sample?	Sampling not performed due to adverse conditions?		Parameter	Concerns, Comments, Corrective Actions
1st Quarter (Jan 1st – Mar 31st)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				□ No □ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Clarity: ☐ Clear ☐ Slightly Cloudy ☐ Cloudy Floating Solids: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe): _ Settled Solids ⁴ : ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe): _ Suspended Solids: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe Oil Sheen: ☐ None ☐ Flecks ☐ Gobs ☐ She Foam (gently shake sample): ☐ None ☐ Yes	r	
2nd Quarter (Apr 1st – Jun 30th)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				sample originally to be sampled? Quarter:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe):Odor: ☐ None ☐ Musty ☐ Sewage ☐ Sulfur Clarity: ☐ Clear ☐ Slightly Cloudy ☐ Cloudy Floating Solids: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe): _ Settled Solids ⁴ : ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe): _ Suspended Solids: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe Oil Sheen: ☐ None ☐ Flecks ☐ Gobs ☐ Sheen (gently shake sample): ☐ None ☐ Yes	r	
3rd Quarter (July 1st – Sept 30th)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				□ No □ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe):Odor: ☐ None ☐ Musty ☐ Sewage ☐ Sulfur Clarity: ☐ Clear ☐ Slightly Cloudy ☐ Cloudy Floating Solids: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe): _ Settled Solids ⁴ : ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe): _ Suspended Solids: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe)	r	
4th Quarter (Oct 1st – Dec 31st)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				sample originally to be sampled?	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Clarity: ☐ Clear ☐ Slightly Cloudy ☐ Cloudy Floating Solids: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe): _ Settled Solids⁴: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe): _ Suspended Solids: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe		
•	snow, at least 2 hours since p our interval is r	one quarterly v reviously measur epresentative of	isual assessment mu able (greater than 0.1 local storm events du	st capture snov inches) storm e iring the sampli	vent. The 72-hour ir		3 of the MSGP, taking into account the excep	ption for climates with irregular stormwater runo able discharge of if you are able to document (att	
Signature:						ate Signed – (Following 4 th Quarter Evaluation):			

QUARTERLY STORM WATER VISUAL EXAMINATION REPORT					REPORT	Collected/Examined by:		Title:	
(YEAR)		- 15				Facility: Granite State Landfill		Permit Number: TBD	
	Outfall 008					Address: 172 Douglas Drive Dalton, NH 03598		Substantially Identical Outfall? ⊠ No ☐ Yes	
Quarter	Date & Time	Magnitude of Storm Event (Inches) ¹	Type of Discharge (Rainfall/ Snowmelt) ²	Time Since Previous Storm Event ³	Substitute Sample?	Sampling not performed due to adverse conditions?	P	Parameter	Concerns, Comments, Corrective Actions
1st Quarter (Jan 1st – Mar 31st)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				☐ No ☐ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	☐ No ☐ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? ☐ No ☐ Yes	Clarity: □ Clear □ Slightly Cloudy □ Cloudy □ Floating Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): Settled Solids ⁴ : □ None □ Yes (describe): Suspended Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): _	Sour □ Solvents □ Petroleum/Gas □ Other: Opaque □ Other: n □ Slick □ Other: escribe): on: □ None □ Yes (describe):	-
2nd Quarter (Apr 1st – Jun 30th)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				□ No □ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe):	Sour □ Solvents □ Petroleum/Gas □ Other: Opaque □ Other: n □ Slick □ Other: escribe):	-
3rd Quarter (July 1st – Sept 30th)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				☐ No ☐ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe):	Sour □ Solvents □ Petroleum/Gas □ Other: Opaque □ Other: □ □ Slick □ Other: escribe):	
4th Quarter (Oct 1st – Dec 31st)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				□ No □ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	☐ No ☐ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? ☐ No ☐ Yes	Color: □ None □ Yes (describe):	Sour Solvents Petroleum/Gas Other: Opaque Other: Sour Solvents Petroleum/Gas Other: Opaque Other: Slick Other: escribe):	-
² In areas subject to ³ Should be at least 7 that less than a 72-he	snow, at least 2 hours since prour interval is re	one quarterly visceviously measura		st capture snow inches) storm ev ring the samplir	vent. The 72-hour ing period.			on for climates with irregular stormwater runoff. e discharge of if you are able to document (attach applic	cable documentation)
g					Date Oight	, i onoming + waa	valuationj.		

QUARTERLY STORM WATER VISUAL EXAMINATION REPORT						Collected/Examined by: Title:		
						Facility: Granite State	Landfill Permit Number: TBD	
	Outfall 009						5 Drive Dalton, NH 03598 Substantially Identical Outfall? ⊠ No □ Yes	
Quarter	Date & Time	Magnitude of Storm Event (Inches) ¹	Type of Discharge (Rainfall/ Snowmelt) ²	Time Since Previous Storm Event ³	Substitute Sample?	Sampling not performed due to adverse conditions?	Parameter	Concerns, Comments, Corrective Actions
1st Quarter (Jan 1st – Mar 31st)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:					□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: □ None □ Yes (describe): Odor: □ None □ Musty □ Sewage □ Sulfur □ Solvents □ Petroleum/Gas □ Other: Clarity: □ Clear □ Slightly Cloudy □ Opaque □ Other: Floating Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): Settled Solids ⁴ : □ None □ Yes (describe): Suspended Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): Oil Sheen: □ None □ Flecks □ Gobs □ Sheen □ Slick □ Other: Foam (gently shake sample): □ None □ Yes (describe): □ Other Obvious Indicators of Storm Water Pollution: □ None □ Yes (describe): □	-
2nd Quarter (Apr 1st – Jun 30th)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				□ No □ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: □ None □ Yes (describe): Odor: □ None □ Musty □ Sewage □ Sulfur □ Solvents □ Petroleum/Gas □ Other: Clarity: □ Clear □ Slightly Cloudy □ Opaque □ Other: Floating Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): Settled Solids ⁴ : □ None □ Yes (describe): Suspended Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): Oil Sheen: □ None □ Flecks □ Gobs □ Sheen □ Slick □ Other: Foam (gently shake sample): □ None □ Yes (describe): □ Other Obvious Indicators of Storm Water Pollution: □ None □ Yes (describe): □	-
3rd Quarter (July 1st – Sept 30th)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				□ No □ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: □ None □ Yes (describe): Odor: □ None □ Musty □ Sewage □ Sulfur □ Solvents □ Petroleum/Gas □ Other: Clarity: □ Clear □ Slightly Cloudy □ Opaque □ Other: Floating Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): Settled Solids⁴: □ None □ Yes (describe): Suspended Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): Oil Sheen: □ None □ Flecks □ Gobs □ Sheen □ Slick □ Other: Foam (gently shake sample): □ None □ Yes (describe): □ Other Obvious Indicators of Storm Water Pollution: □ None □ Yes (describe): □	- - - - - -
4th Quarter (Oct 1st – Dec 31st)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				sample originally to be sampled?	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: None Yes (describe): Odor: None Musty Sewage Sulfur Sour Solvents Petroleum/Gas Other: Clarity: Clear Slightly Cloudy Cloudy Opaque Other: Floating Solids: None Yes (describe): Settled Solids ⁴ : None Yes (describe): Suspended Solids: None Yes (describe): Oil Sheen: None Flecks Gobs Sheen Slick Other: Foam (gently shake sample): None Yes (describe): Other Obvious Indicators of Storm Water Pollution: None Yes (describe):	- - - - - -
³ Should be at least 72 that less than a 72-ho ⁴ Observe for settled so Printed Name:	snow, at least 2 hours since p our interval is re	one quarterly vi reviously measura epresentative of	sual assessment mu able (greater than 0.1 local storm events du	st capture snow inches) storm e iring the sampli	vent. The 72-hour ir ng period. Title:	nterval can be waived wh	3 of the MSGP, taking into account the exception for climates with irregular stormwater runoff. nen the previous storm did not yield a measurable discharge of if you are able to document (attach appli	cable documentation)
Signature: Date S						ed – (Following 4 th Qua	rter Evaluation):	

QUARTERLY STORM WATER VISUAL EXAMINATION REPORT					REPORT	Collected/Examined by:		Title:	
(YEAR)		- 15				Facility: Granite State Landfill		Permit Number: TBD	
	Outfall 011					Address: 172 Douglas Drive Dalton, NH 03598		Substantially Identical Outfall? ⊠ No ☐ Yes	
Quarter	Date & Time	Magnitude of Storm Event (Inches) ¹	Type of Discharge (Rainfall/ Snowmelt) ²	Time Since Previous Storm Event ³	Substitute Sample?	Sampling not performed due to adverse conditions?	P	Parameter	Concerns, Comments, Corrective Actions
1st Quarter (Jan 1st – Mar 31st)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				☐ No ☐ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	☐ No ☐ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? ☐ No ☐ Yes	Clarity: □ Clear □ Slightly Cloudy □ Cloudy □ Floating Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): Settled Solids ⁴ : □ None □ Yes (describe): Suspended Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): _	Sour □ Solvents □ Petroleum/Gas □ Other: Opaque □ Other: n □ Slick □ Other: escribe): on: □ None □ Yes (describe):	-
2nd Quarter (Apr 1st – Jun 30th)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				□ No □ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe):	Sour □ Solvents □ Petroleum/Gas □ Other: Opaque □ Other: n □ Slick □ Other: escribe):	-
3rd Quarter (July 1st – Sept 30th)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				□ No □ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe):	Sour Solvents Petroleum/Gas Other: Opaque Other: Sour Solvents Petroleum/Gas Other: Opaque Other: Slick Other: escribe):	- - - - - -
4th Quarter (Oct 1st – Dec 31st)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				□ No □ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe):	Sour Solvents Petroleum/Gas Other: Opaque Other: Slick Other: escribe):	-
³ Should be at least 7 that less than a 72-ho	snow, at least 2 hours since prour interval is re	one quarterly visceviously measura	sual assessment mu able (greater than 0.1	st capture snow inches) storm ev ring the samplir	vent. The 72-hour ing period.		3 of the MSGP, taking into account the exceptionen the previous storm did not yield a measurable	· · · · · · · ·	cable documentation)
Da Da						a tronoming a woo	I saudiony.		

QUARTERLY STORM WATER VISUAL EXAMINATION REPORT					REPORT	Collected/Examined by:		Title:	
(YEAR)		- 15				Facility: Granite State Landfill		Permit Number: TBD	
	Outfall 012					Address: 172 Douglas Drive Dalton, NH 03598		Substantially Identical Outfall? ⊠ No ☐ Yes	
Quarter	Date & Time	Magnitude of Storm Event (Inches) ¹	Type of Discharge (Rainfall/ Snowmelt) ²	Time Since Previous Storm Event ³	Substitute Sample?	Sampling not performed due to adverse conditions?	P	Parameter	Concerns, Comments, Corrective Actions
1st Quarter (Jan 1st – Mar 31st)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				☐ No ☐ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	☐ No ☐ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? ☐ No ☐ Yes	Clarity: □ Clear □ Slightly Cloudy □ Cloudy □ Floating Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): Settled Solids ⁴ : □ None □ Yes (describe): Suspended Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): _	Sour □ Solvents □ Petroleum/Gas □ Other: Opaque □ Other: n □ Slick □ Other: escribe): on: □ None □ Yes (describe):	-
2nd Quarter (Apr 1st – Jun 30th)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				□ No □ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe):	Sour □ Solvents □ Petroleum/Gas □ Other: Opaque □ Other: n □ Slick □ Other: escribe):	-
3rd Quarter (July 1st – Sept 30th)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				□ No □ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe):	Sour Solvents Petroleum/Gas Other: Opaque Other: Sour Solvents Petroleum/Gas Other: Opaque Other: Slick Other: escribe):	-
4th Quarter (Oct 1st – Dec 31st)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				□ No □ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe):	Sour Solvents Petroleum/Gas Other: Opaque Other: Slick Other: escribe):	-
² In areas subject to ³ Should be at least 7 that less than a 72-ho	snow, at least 2 hours since pr our interval is re	one quarterly visceviously measura		st capture snow inches) storm ev ring the samplir	vent. The 72-hour ing period.			on for climates with irregular stormwater runoff. e discharge of if you are able to document (attach applic	cable documentation)
orginature.						- (i ollowing 4 Qua	ito Evaluation).		

QUARTERLY STORM WATER VISUAL EXAMINATION REPORT					REPORT	Collected/Examined by:		Title:	
(YEAR)		- 15				Facility: Granite State Landfill		Permit Number: TBD	
	Outfall 013					Address: 172 Douglas Drive Dalton, NH 03598		Substantially Identical Outfall? ⊠ No ☐ Yes	
Quarter	Date & Time	Magnitude of Storm Event (Inches) ¹	Type of Discharge (Rainfall/ Snowmelt) ²	Time Since Previous Storm Event ³	Substitute Sample?	Sampling not performed due to adverse conditions?	P	Parameter	Concerns, Comments, Corrective Actions
1st Quarter (Jan 1st – Mar 31st)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				☐ No ☐ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	☐ No ☐ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? ☐ No ☐ Yes	Clarity: □ Clear □ Slightly Cloudy □ Cloudy □ Floating Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): Settled Solids ⁴ : □ None □ Yes (describe): Suspended Solids: □ None □ Yes (describe): _	Sour □ Solvents □ Petroleum/Gas □ Other: Opaque □ Other: n □ Slick □ Other: escribe): on: □ None □ Yes (describe):	-
2nd Quarter (Apr 1st – Jun 30th)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				□ No □ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe):	Sour □ Solvents □ Petroleum/Gas □ Other: Opaque □ Other: n □ Slick □ Other: escribe):	-
3rd Quarter (July 1st – Sept 30th)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				□ No □ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe):	Sour Solvents Petroleum/Gas Other: Opaque Other: Sour Solvents Petroleum/Gas Other: Opaque Other: Slick Other: escribe):	-
4th Quarter (Oct 1st – Dec 31st)	Sampled: Examined: Storm Event Began:				□ No □ Yes If yes, when was sample originally to be sampled? Quarter: Year:	□ No □ Yes If yes, explain: Did the storm event have a measurable discharge? □ No □ Yes	Color: ☐ None ☐ Yes (describe):	Sour Solvents Petroleum/Gas Other: Opaque Other: Slick Other: escribe):	-
² In areas subject to ³ Should be at least 7 that less than a 72-he	snow, at least 2 hours since pr our interval is re	one quarterly visceviously measura		st capture snow inches) storm ev ring the samplir	vent. The 72-hour ing period.			on for climates with irregular stormwater runoff. e discharge of if you are able to document (attach applic	cable documentation)
Dat Dat						ou - (i onowing 4 Qua	ito. Evaluationy.		

Instructions for Completing the Visual Monitoring Inspection Log

- 1. The form is designed to record quarterly observations for one year. Observations should be made for rainfall events that are larger than 0.1 in of rain in a 24-hour period that are preceded by 72 hours or more of dry weather. Observations should be made within 30 minutes to one hour of the first discharges from the outfall.
- Fill out Inspection Information column with the date and time of the sampling event; identify the
 total inches of rain that fell for this rain event; and the number of days since the last 0.1-inch ram
 event. www.weather.com, or <a href="https://www.weathe
- 3. Collect a sample from the outfall using a clear jar, or clear plastic container. Evaluate the sample for the following parameters according to the following instructions.
 - Flow. Circle the discharge volume on the form that best represents the amount of flow coming from the outfall at the time the sample is collected.
 - Foam. Examine the sample for foam immediately after collection. Circle "foam" if any foam
 is observed. The sample may be considered to have no foam if the following conditions are
 present: most bubbles break down within ten (1 0) seconds of pouring; and/or only a few
 large bubbles persist longer than ten (1 0) seconds. Comments related to foam
 observations may be noted as follows:
 - Moderate. Many small bubbles are present but these bubbles persist for less than two (2) minutes after pouring.
 - High. Many small bubbles are present and they persist longer than two (2) minutes after pouring.
 - Color. Record the best description of the sample color in the appropriate space on the visual monitoring form. If the sample is clear, do not circle "color," and no comment is necessary.
 - Odor. Do not circle "odor" if sample has no odor other than natural rainwater or snowmelt.
 Note the presence of any of the following odors if detected: gasoline, diesel, oil, solvents (WD-40 or other petroleum products, etc.), landfill, fishy, glycol, any other unusual odors not normally present in clean runoff from the area sampled.
 - Oil Sheen. Circle "oil" if an oil sheen is present in the sample. Note that an orange sheen that breaks into angular pieces indicates an iron sheen, not an oil sheen. Iron sheens can be naturally occurring.
 - Clarity. A clear sample doesn't filter out any light, can be seen through regardless of color.
 Do not circle "clarity" if the sample is clear. Circle "clarity" if sample is not clear, and comment using one of the descriptions listed below.
 - Cloudy. Sample filters out some light; not clear but objects can still be identified when looking through the cone.
 - Very Cloudy. Sample filters out most light; objects are indiscernible when looking through the cone.
 - Opaque. Sample doesn't allow any light to pass through; objects cannot be seen when looking through the cone.

- Solids. Solids may be present as floating solids, settled solids, or suspended solids:
 - Floating Solids. If floating solids are present on the surface, comment on the general description of the type of floating solids present (wood chips, leaf debris, algae, etc.) in the general comments section for each sample. Record results for amount of floating solids present as they most closely match the descriptions listed below:
 - Slight. Only a few floating particles observed on the surface of the sample.
 - Moderate. Less than 20 percent of the surface of the sample is covered with floating solids.
 - High. More than 20 percent of the surface of the sample is covered with floating solids.
 - Settled Solids. If settled solids are present, give a general description of the type of settled solids present (sand, decayed plant matter, rust particles, etc.) in the general comments section for each sample, and estimate the quantity of solids present.
 - Suspended Solids. If suspended solids are present, give a general description of the type of solids present, if any are observed suspended below the sample surface.
- 4. If any significant pollution is observed, attempt to identify the probable source of the contamination and a future preventive action. Document these in the appropriate column on the form.
- 5. If no visual monitoring is possible because rain events started during non-business hours, or no dry period occurred between rain events, make a note in the comments column to document why no monitoring was conducted.
- 6. Ensure that all visual monitoring forms are certified and filed with the GSL office.

Attachment I – Stormwater Sampling & Analysis








EPA 832-B-09-003



Industrial Stormwater Monitoring and Sampling Guide

April 2021





Contents

Secti	on 1: Introduction	1
1.A	Introduction to Stormwater Monitoring and Sampling	1
Secti	on 2: Preparation for Monitoring	4
2.A	Determine Where Stormwater Is Discharged From Your Property	
2.B	Determine Where You Will Collect Samples	6
2.C Disch	Determine Which Types of Monitoring Requirements Apply at Each parge Point	12
2.D Requ	Determine if Your Facility is Subject to Impaired Waters Monitoring irements	19
2.E	What Type of Storm Events Qualify for Monitoring	22
2.F	Select the Monitoring Team	23
2.G	Select a Laboratory to Analyze the Samples	24
2.H SWPI	Document Inspection, Assessment, and Monitoring Procedures in Your PP	25
Secti	on 3: Conduct Monitoring	26
3.A	What to Have in Place Prior to Collecting Stormwater Samples	26
3.B	Collect Stormwater Samples	29
3.C	Record Information for Each Monitoring Event	31
3.D	Quality Assurance Considerations	31
3.E	Conducting Visual Assessments of Stormwater Discharges	35
Secti	on 4: Evaluate Monitoring Results	36
4.A	Evaluating Visual Assessment Results	36
4.B	Evaluating Benchmark Monitoring Results	37
4.C	Effluent Limitation Guideline Monitoring Results	39
4.D	Specific Pollutants and Control Measure Options	40
Secti	on 5: Reporting and Recordkeeping	43
5.A	Reporting Monitoring Data	43
Secti	on 6: Train Personnel	47
Socti	on 7. Poferances	18

Appendices

Appendix A: 2021 MSGP Industrial Stormwater Monitoring Form

Appendix B: 2021 MSGP Visual Monitoring Form

Appendix C: 2021 MSGP Industrial Stormwater Collection Form

List of Tables

Table 1. Applicable Effluent Limitations Guidelines	3
Table 2. Solutions to Typical Stormwater Sampling Problems	11
Table 3. Example Benchmark Monitoring Requirements for Subsector G2	15
Table 4. Required Monitoring for Effluent Limitations Guidelines	17
Table 5. Sample Preservation and Hold Times	32
Table 6 Evaluation of Benchmark Monitoring Results	37

List of Figures

pipepipe	5
Figure 2. Sewer drain	7
Figure 3. Open ditch/gutter	7
Figure 4. Facility street access point.	7
Figure 5. Outlet discharging from detention pond.	7
Figure 6. Deepening an existing ditch can allow samples to be collected directly into bottles in some cases. Be careful not to stir up solids from the sides or bottom of the ditch	. 10
Figure 7. Overland flow from vegetated areas can be sampled by constructing a shallow ditch to intercept the runoff and a deepened area to place bottles to catch the runoff	
Figure 8. Overland flow on paved areas can be sampled by constructing asphalt or concrete bumps to collect and concentrate the flow. A box positioned below ground surface in the paved area or the edge of an unpaved area can provide a place to collect samples directly into bottles.	. 10
Figure 9. Collecting a sheet flow stormwater sample	. 10
Figure 10. When sampling from a manhole, use a pole to safely sample from above ground	. 11
Figure 11. Sample section of a U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangle map, with arrows showing direction of flow.	. 19
Figure 12. Example MSGP Industrial Stormwater/Snowmelt Monitoring Summary Form with monitoring requirements, sampling locations and industry sectors.	. 25
Figure 13. Preparing sampling supplies	. 28
Figure 14. A stormwater grab sample is collected directly into the sample container	. 29
Figure 15. Sample bottles labeled with location, date, time, sample collector, analysis, and preservative type	. 30
Figure 16. Stormwater samples packed for delivery to the lab, note the chain of custody forms attached to the lid.	. 31
Figure 17. Example of oil sheen	. 36
Figure 18. Example of visual observation indicating stormwater discharge exposed to pollutants	. 38
Figure 19. Example of vehicle leak.	. 40
Figure 20. Example of pollutant control measures.	. 41

Section 1: Introduction

This guide is a how-to primer for industrial facility operators on how to conduct visual assessments and analytical monitoring of stormwater discharges. The target audience is operators of facilities subject to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) 2021 Multi-Sector General Permit (2021 MSGP) or a similar state- or territory-issued industrial stormwater permit. The information presented will also be useful to anyone interested in industrial stormwater monitoring.

What Does the 2021 MSGP Cover?

The 2021 MSGP covers specific industrial activities (see Appendix D of the 2021 MSGP, available at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater-discharges-industrial-activities-epas-2021-msgp) in states, territories, and Indian Country lands where EPA is the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting authority (i.e., in those states or territories not authorized to issue NPDES permits themselves – see Appendix C of the 2021 MSGP).

The procedures presented in this guide, specifically related to monitoring methodology and quality assurance, will help ensure that stormwater samples yield usable information.

This guide does not impose any new legally binding requirements on EPA, states, tribes, territories, or the regulated community, and does not confer legal rights or impose legal obligations upon any member of the public. In the event of a conflict between the discussion in this document and any statute, regulation, or permit, this document would not be controlling.

Interested parties are free to raise questions and objections about the substance of this guide and the appropriateness of the application of this guide to a particular situation. EPA and other decision makers retain the discretion to adopt approaches on a case-by-case basis that differ from those described in this guide where appropriate.

1.A Introduction to Stormwater Monitoring and Sampling

Most industrial stormwater permits require installation and implementation of control measures to minimize or eliminate pollutants in stormwater discharged from your facility. The control measures you choose for your facility must be documented in your facility-specific Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). For more information on how to develop a SWPPP, refer to EPA's guide *Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan: A Guide for Industrial Operators*, available on EPA's website at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/industrial-stormwater-guidance. The results of your stormwater monitoring will help you determine the

effectiveness of your control measures, and overall stormwater management program. Evaluation of your stormwater management program will include routine facility inspections, visual assessments, and monitoring (i.e., sampling) of specified stormwater discharges. Regular stormwater inspections and visual assessments provide

Monitoring vs. Sampling

In this guide, "sampling" refers to the actual, physical collection and analysis of stormwater samples. The term "monitoring" refers to both sampling and visual observations of stormwater discharges, including the related preparation and documentation tasks.

qualitative information on whether there are unaddressed potential pollutant sources at your site, and whether existing stormwater control measures (SCMs) are effective or need to be reevaluated. Stormwater sampling provides quantitative (i.e., numeric) data to determine pollutant concentrations in stormwater discharge and, in turn, the degree to which your SCMs are effectively minimizing contact between stormwater and pollutant sources, and the success of your stormwater control approach in meeting applicable discharge requirements or effluent limits.

The following are the types of industrial stormwater monitoring requirements typically included in industrial stormwater general permits:

- Visual Assessments of Stormwater Discharges. Operators are required to regularly and frequently (e.g., quarterly under the 2021 MSGP) take a grab sample during a storm event (or in the case of snowmelt, during a period with a measurable discharge), and assess key visual indicators of stormwater pollution – color, odor, clarity (diminished), floating solids, settled solids, suspended solids, foam, oil sheen, and other obvious indicators of stormwater pollution. The findings of these assessments are used to trigger corrective actions to modify deficiencies found at the site.
- Indicator Monitoring. Stormwater samples are collected, either as a composite or with a grab sampling method, from a site's discharge point(s) for analysis and results are used to provide a baseline and comparable understanding of industrial stormwater discharge quality and potential water quality problems. For instance, the 2021 MSGP requires indicator monitoring of stormwater discharges for pH, total suspended solids (TSS), and chemical oxygen demand (COD) for certain sectors/subsectors and for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) for certain sectors/activities. This type of monitoring differs from "benchmark monitoring" (see below) in that the monitoring is "report only" and does not include thresholds or baseline values for comparison, therefore no follow-up action is triggered or required. Operators may find it useful to evaluate and compare indicator monitoring data over time to identify any fluctuating values and why they may be occurring, and to further inform any revisions to their SWPPP or SCMs if necessary.
- Benchmark Monitoring. Stormwater samples are collected, either as a composite or with a grab sampling method, from a site's discharge point(s) for laboratory analysis and the results are compared with benchmark thresholds as an indicator of the overall effectiveness of SCMs. A benchmark threshold is a level above which a stormwater discharge could adversely affect receiving water quality and, below which the facility is not expected to have an impact on receiving water quality. This type of monitoring differs from "effluent limitations

Required Monitoring

For an explanation of these monitoring requirements in the 2021 MSGP see Part 4.2. Part 8 of the 2021 MSGP includes the indicator, benchmark, and ELG monitoring requirements for each of the industrial sectors affected by such requirements.

monitoring" (see below) in that exceedances of the benchmark thresholds are not considered violations, but rather "red flags" that could point to a problem at the site with exposed pollutant sources or SCMs that are not working correctly. For instance, the 2021 MSGP includes "benchmarks" that are based, to a large degree, on EPA's aquatic life criteria. If an annual average exceeds an applicable benchmark threshold, additional implementation measures (AIM) are triggered for that benchmark parameter. AIM responses include

evaluating the SWPPP and SCMs to determine if modifications to existing measures or implementation of additional measures is necessary, and conducting additional benchmark monitoring to ensure the modified or additional SCMs bring exceedances below the benchmark threshold.

• Effluent Limitations Monitoring. Where a facility is subject to one of the Federal effluent limitations guidelines (ELGs) addressing limits on stormwater discharges, sampling is required to determine compliance with those limits. Table 1 provides a list of the current applicable ELGs.

rubie 1. Applicable Entident Entitudions Galdennes								
Regulated Activity	40 CFR Part/Subpart							
Discharges resulting from spray down or intentional wetting of logs at wet deck storage areas	Part 429, Subpart I							
Runoff from phosphate fertilizer manufacturing facilities that comes into contact with any raw materials, finished product, by-products or waste products (SIC 2874)	Part 418, Subpart A							
Runoff from asphalt emulsion facilities	Part 443, Subpart A							
Runoff from material storage piles at cement manufacturing facilities	Part 411, Subpart C							
Mine dewatering discharges at crushed stone, construction sand and gravel, or industrial sand mining facilities	Part 436, Subparts B, C, or D							
Runoff from hazardous waste landfills	Part 445, Subpart A							
Runoff from non-hazardous waste landfills	Part 445, Subpart B							
Runoff from coal storage piles at steam electric generating facilities	Part 423							
Runoff containing urea from airfield pavement deicing at existing and new primary airports with 1,000 or more annual non-propeller aircraft departures	Part 449							

Table 1. Applicable Effluent Limitations Guidelines

These limits are required to be included in all general industrial stormwater permits. Typically, permits require corrective action and further sampling when an effluent limitation is exceeded. An exceedance of an applicable ELG constitutes a violation of the permit.

- State, Territorial, or Tribal Required Monitoring.
 General industrial stormwater permits may include
 state-, territorial-, or tribal-specific monitoring
 requirements. For instance, Part 9 of the 2021 MSGP
 includes monitoring requirements applicable to states,
 Indian Country, or territories.
- Impaired Waters Monitoring. General industrial stormwater permits may have special monitoring requirements for facilities that discharge pollutants of concern into impaired waters.

Discharge to an Impaired Water

Appendix A of the 2021 MSGP defines discharge to an impaired water as follows: A discharge to an impaired water occurs if the first water of the U.S. to which you discharge is identified by a state, tribe, or EPA as not meeting an applicable water quality standard, and requires development of a total maximum daily load (TMDL) (pursuant to Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act), or is addressed by an EPA-approved or established TMDL, or is not in either of the above categories but the waterbody is covered by pollution control requirements that meet the requirements of 40 CFR 130.7(b)(1).

Section 2: Preparation for Monitoring

This section describes the information you will need before conducting stormwater monitoring. While this guide is meant to be a general primer for anyone interested in industrial stormwater monitoring, Section 2 follows the organization of the 2021 MSGP. If you are subject to a state industrial stormwater general permit, you may compare your permit's monitoring requirements to the requirements reflected in this guide to ensure that you are following all applicable state-specific requirements.

In general, preparation is critical to make sure that industrial stormwater monitoring is conducted properly and in a timely manner. Most of this information should have been collected previously for the purposes of submitting your Notice of Intent (NOI), and in developing the monitoring procedures section of your SWPPP. However, this guide reviews some of the steps necessary to develop this information, such as the site map component of the SWPPP, in case facilities have not already done so. If you have already completed any of these steps in this section, you can skip to the next applicable section or subsection in this guide. For more information on how to develop a SWPPP, refer to EPA's guide *Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan: A Guide for Industrial Operators*, available on EPA's website at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/industrial-stormwater-guidance.

If you have already submitted your NOI, the following documents will serve as good resources for information that you will need prior to monitoring:

- A copy of the NOI submitted to EPA or your state, along with any correspondence exchanged between you and the permitting authority specific to permit coverage.
- A copy of the authorization correspondence you received from the EPA or your state assigning your NPDES ID.
- A copy of your applicable permit, including the accompanying fact sheet.
- A complete copy of your SWPPP, which must include a detailed site map of your facility with locations of all stormwater monitoring points, and a description of the procedures you or your stormwater pollution prevention team will follow when conducting monitoring and visual assessments.

2.A Determine Where Stormwater Is Discharged From Your Property

If you have not already done so, walk the grounds and perimeter of your facility during a storm event to identify where stormwater discharges from the site (known as "discharge points"). Discharge points are locations where stormwater exits the facility property, including pipes, ditches, swales, and other structures that transport stormwater. If possible, walk outside the boundary of your facility to identify discharge points that may not be apparent from within your site.



Figure 1. Stormwater discharges to the slot drain and is conveyed offsite through a valved pipe.

You should note where:

- Concentrated stormwater exits your facility (e.g., through a pipe, ditch, swale, or similar conveyance). These outlets are usually good sampling points.
- Dispersed stormwater (i.e., sheet flow) flows offsite (e.g., through a grassy area or across a parking lot). Note whether concentrated flows commingle with the sheet flow.
- Storm drain inlets or catch basins are located. Try to determine where the storm drains send your stormwater (e.g., to your municipal separate storm sewer system [MS4], to a combined sewer system, to the separated sanitary sewer, or directly to a nearby waterbody).
- Authorized non-stormwater discharges commingle with stormwater prior to discharge (such commingled discharges may be covered under your permit).
- Run-on might enter your facility from neighboring facilities and commingle with your stormwater discharges.

Mark these locations on your facility site map, which will be included as part of your SWPPP, and label each discharge point with a unique identification code (e.g., 001, 002) to differentiate them. Using unique identifiers will help you to coordinate monitoring requirements.

In addition to marking the discharge points on the map, you will need to determine the drainage area for each discharge point. If your facility is large and has significant changes in elevation, a topographic map may be necessary. However, if your facility is small and relatively flat, the best way to define the drainage area for each discharge point is an on-the-ground visual assessment, preferably during a rain event. Sketch the basic drainage areas on the map for each discharge point. Knowing the drainage area for each discharge point is helpful when your sampling indicates problems at that discharge point. You can focus your efforts on the industrial materials and activities in that drainage area, instead of the entire site, to identify what may be causing the problem.

Terms to Know:

<u>Combined Sewer System:</u> Combined sewer systems are sewers that are designed to collect rainwater runoff, domestic sewage, and industrial wastewater in the same pipe. Most of the time, combined sewer systems transport all of their wastewater to a sewage treatment plant, where it is treated and then discharged to a water body. During periods of heavy rainfall or snowmelt, however, the wastewater volume in a combined sewer system can exceed the capacity of the sewer system or treatment plant. For this reason, combined sewer systems are designed to overflow occasionally and discharge excess wastewater directly to nearby streams, rivers, or other water bodies.

MS4: A conveyance or system of conveyances (including roads with drainage systems, municipal streets, catch basins, curbs, gutters, ditches, man-made channels, or storm drains) which are owned and operated by a ... public body (created by or pursuant to State law) having jurisdiction over disposal of sewage, industrial wastes, stormwater, or other wastes ... that discharges to waters of the United States; designed or used for collecting or conveying stormwater; which is not a combined sewer; and which is not part of a publicly owned treatment works (POTW). [40 CFR 122.26(b)(8)].

2.B Determine Where You Will Collect Samples

Now that you have determined the different points of discharge from your site, you will need to select the exact locations from which you will be collecting your stormwater samples. Note that Part 6.2.5.3.b of the 2021 MSGP requires industrial operators to document in their SWPPPs the location where samples will be collected. Generally, industrial stormwater permits require that you sample stormwater discharges prior to the stormwater leaving your facility, and at a location downstream from all of your industrial materials and activities. The reason behind requiring such a location is so that the sample is representative of your facility's discharge, taking into account the types of pollutants that may be contained in stormwater discharged from the property.

Appropriate sample locations include:

Underground pipes that collect stormwater from drop inlets and convey stormwater to an offsite location (e.g., street, curb, or MS4). Be sure you collect only the stormwater discharging from your facility and not the baseflow in the pipes that is being discharged from facilities upstream. Do not enter underground locations to collect samples. Use a pole with a sampling container attached at the end to collect the sample.



Figure 2. Sewer drain.



Figure 3. Open ditch/gutter.

Open ditches, gutters, or swales that carry stormwater from your facility to an offsite location. If these conveyances contain run-on from another facility, it is important to note that in your SWPPP.

Facility driveways and other street access points.



Figure 4. Facility street access point.



Figure 5. Outlet discharging from detention pond.

Outlets discharging offsite from onsite stormwater detention ponds or other types of structural control measures. It is important to sample at the OUTLET of your structural control measures, as opposed to the INLET of such structures, in order to determine the quality of the water after treatment.

Where to Sample When There Are Multiple Discharge Points

You are required to monitor all discharge points that receive stormwater discharges from your industrial activity. See Part 4.1.1 of the 2021 MSGP. If you have multiple stormwater discharge points at your facility, you need to identify which discharge points are associated with industrial materials and activities and monitor those discharge points. Understanding the hydrologic connection between your discharge points and the parts of your facility that drain to those points, and the pollutants associated with the industrial activities in these areas, will assist you in designing a monitoring program that is representative of the pollutants being discharged from your site. Developing such an understanding will also help later on when you begin to assess your sampling results and determine where improvements could be made to your SCMs. The site map you prepare (see Part 6.2.2.3 of the 2021 MSGP) will help you understand the correlation between your areas of potential pollutant sources, the direction of stormwater flow from those areas, and the discharge points.

Note that you are not required to monitor at discharge points that receive stormwater flow only from unregulated areas of your site (i.e., there are no industrial materials or activities in the drainage area). For instance, a hypothetical facility may have two discharge points, one that receives discharges from an area where industrial materials are handled and stored, and a second discharge point that receives discharges from an unregulated parking lot used by employees. In this scenario, the industrial operator would only collect samples from the first discharge point because it discharges stormwater associated with industrial activity.

Alternatively, if the site's second discharge point (e.g., the discharge point receiving stormwater from the parking lot) also drains areas of the facility with regulated industrial activities, then this discharge point would also need to be sampled. In this situation, sampling for this discharge point should be done at a location prior to where the two flows commingle so that you are capturing the industrial portion of the flow. See Part 4.1.2 of the 2021 MSGP.

Where to Sample if Discharge Points Are Substantially Identical

If your facility has two or more discharge points whose discharges are "substantially identical," some industrial stormwater permits, including the 2021 MSGP, allow you to monitor the discharge at just one representative discharge point and apply the results to the other substantially identical discharge points (SIDPs). EPA defines "substantially identical" in Part 4.1.1 of the 2021 MSGP as:

"...two or more discharge points that you believe discharge substantially identical effluents, based on the similarities of the general industrial activities and control measures, exposed materials that may significantly contribute pollutants to stormwater, and runoff coefficients of their drainage areas...."

The flexibility provided to operators to sample at just one location, which is considered representative of all SIDPs, is an exception to the rule stated above that samples must be taken from all discharge points at a facility. Note that this exception does not apply to effluent limitations monitoring, which must be conducted at each discharge point to which the ELG applies.

In choosing which of the SIDPs from which to sample, you should select the discharge point that has been observed to have the most consistent flow. To use the SIPD exception, you must document in your SWPPP how the two or more discharge points are substantially identical, based on the above definition. You will need to document the following information:

- The locations of each of the SIDPs;
- Description of the general industrial activities conducted in the drainage area of each discharge point;
- Description of the control measures implemented in the drainage area of each discharge point;
- Description of the exposed materials located in the drainage area of each discharge point that are likely to be significant contributors of pollutants to stormwater discharges;
- An estimate of the runoff coefficient of the drainage areas (low = under 40%; medium = 40 to 65%; high = above 65%); and
- Why the discharge points are expected to discharge substantially identical effluents.

The runoff coefficient is the fraction of total rainfall that will appear at the conveyance as runoff. See 40 CFR 122.26(b)(11).

Here is an example where a facility could take advantage of the SIDP exception: a metal recycling facility with a large scrap metal pile has three separate discharge points that are each connected by their own drainage ditch to different portions of the same pile, and the stormwater that is discharged is managed using the same type of control measure in each drainage area. In this scenario, the facility's operator can use the SIDP exception because the industrial activities at the site are all the same, the stormwater discharge flows through exposed areas that presumably contribute the same type of pollutants, and the drainage area has the same or similar runoff coefficients. Note that the SIDP exception could not be used if there were in fact differences in any of the required components defined above.

If your permit does allow you to use a SIDP exception, make sure you carefully review the type of monitoring for which this exception applies. For instance, while the 2021 MSGP allows operators to use the SIDP exception for benchmark and visual assessment samples, the permit prohibits use of this exception for effluent limit monitoring (e.g., for use in showing compliance with numeric ELGs). Therefore, if a facility permitted under the 2021 MSGP is subject to a numeric limit based on an EPA ELG, it would have to monitor all discharge points at the site receiving flows from the applicable industrial activities. See Part 4.2.3.2 of the 2021 MSGP.

Where to collect a sample

Sampling Sheet Flow

In some areas of your facility it may be difficult to obtain a sample because the stormwater drains as sheet flow before it becomes concentrated enough for sampling. If the flow is too shallow to directly fill a collection bottle, you can overcome this by:

Option A. Concentrating the sheet flow by excavating a small basin in an existing ditch or other location where stormwater runoff flows.




Figure 6. Deepening an existing ditch can allow samples to be collected directly into bottles in some cases. Be careful not to stir up solids from the sides or bottom of the ditch.

Option B. Installing a barrier device or trough, gutter, or ditch to intercept and concentrate stormwater flow.




Figure 7. Overland flow from vegetated areas can be sampled by constructing a shallow ditch to intercept the runoff and a deepened area to place bottles to catch the runoff.

Option C. Constructing "speed bumps" to convey and concentrate a large area of sheet flow.




Figure 8. Overland flow on paved areas can be sampled by constructing asphalt or concrete bumps to collect and concentrate the flow. A box positioned below ground surface in the paved area or the edge of an unpaved area can provide a place to collect samples directly into bottles.



Figure 9. Collecting a sheet flow stormwater sample.

You should make these modifications during a period when rain is not forecast so any pollutants generated from the modification to consolidate sheet flow can be cleaned up before a storm hits. Also, if you dig a ditch or disturb the earth in some way, line the disturbance with concrete or plastic so that you do not contaminate your stormwater samples with sediment or other pollutants.

Sampling from a Pipe

For stormwater discharge flowing through a pipe into a ditch or receiving water, you should sample the outflow directly When collecting any type of stormwater sample it is imperative that the sample is collected before the stormwater reaches the receiving water.

from the pipe. For hard-to-reach pipes, it may be necessary to fasten a collection bottle to a pole (see Figure 10 below).

Sampling from a Drainage Ditch or Swale

If your stormwater is discharged via a drainage ditch or vegetated swale, take a grab sample from a consistently flowing part of the ditch/swale. If the ditch/swale is too small or shallow, install a barrier device in the channel or deepen a small area so you are able to sample directly into the bottles. Allow sufficient time to pass after disturbing the bottom so that any solids stirred up do not contaminate your sample.

Sampling from a Stormwater Detention/Retention Basin or Other Treatment Device

If it is necessary for you to sample from a detention or retention basin, do so at the discharge point of the structure. Collecting samples from stagnant or slowly moving water inside a pond will not yield a representative sample as the pollutants might not be adequately mixed. Stormwater basins may hold stormwater for long periods of time. Collect your sample within 30 minutes from when the pond begins to discharge.

Potential Sampling Issues

Depending on your monitoring locations, you may encounter additional challenges beyond deciding which sampling technique to employ at each site. Table 2 identifies some stormwater sampling problems common to industrial facilities and guidance for how EPA suggests you address them if they occur at your site.




Figure 10. When sampling from a manhole, use a pole to safely sample from above ground.

Avoid touching the sides of the manhole or pipes with the bottle to prevent contamination. Place the opening of the bottle upstream so that the flow enters the bottle directly.

Table 2. Solutions to Typical Stormwater Sampling Problems

Problem	Solution
Run-on from Neighboring Properties	Ideally, your stormwater samples will contain only stormwater discharge from your site. However, stormwater from a neighboring facility can "run on" and commingle with your own regulated discharge, possibly adding contaminants not found at your facility. You are responsible for any and all pollutants discharged from your site irrespective of the pollutants' origin and whether the other facility has permit coverage. This responsibility includes run-on discharges from neighboring properties if this discharge commingles with your own regulated discharge. To accommodate stormwater run-on, EPA requires as part of the SWPPP site description that you document the locations and sources of run-on. As part of this documentation, if you collect and analyze samples of the run-on, you will need to report all such findings in your SWPPP.

Problem	Solution
Stormwater from industrial areas commingles with stormwater discharges from non-industrial areas or areas not regulated under the 2021 MSGP before it reaches the surface water body or MS4.	Attempt to sample the industrial stormwater discharge before it mixes with stormwater from non-industrial areas.
Adverse Weather Conditions	High tides and high flow or flood conditions can cause stormwater conveyances to reach maximum capacity, pipes to become clogged or submerged, and other unrepresentative flow situations. High flows could also be dangerous, so you should use your best professional judgment when selecting sampling locations. In some cases you may need to sample at a point before the intended discharge point.
There are numerous stormwater discharge points in one area.	Construct an impound channel or join together flows by building a weir or digging a ditch to collect discharge at a low point for sampling purposes. This artificial collection point should be lined with plastic to prevent infiltration and the introduction of sediment. Or, alternatively, sample at several locations to represent total stormwater discharged from the site.
The discharge point is inaccessible (examples include underwater discharges or unreachable discharges such as a pipe discharging out of a cliff).	Go upstream of the discharge until a sample can be taken (i.e., to the nearest manhole or inspection point). You may need to sample at several locations to best represent stormwater discharge from this discharge point if you cannot access an upstream location.
A facility has many sampling locations making it difficult to collect all of the samples during the first 30 minutes of discharge, as required by the 2021 MSGP.	Have a sampling crew ready when storms are forecast so that all discharge points can be sampled during the first 30 minutes. Also, automatic samplers may be used to collect samples within the first 30 minutes, triggered by the amount of rainfall, the depth of flow, flow volume or time.
A stormwater sample location is beneath a manhole.	For accessibility and safety, use a sampling pole to collect samples from a manhole. Before a person can enter a manhole to collect a sample, they must be trained in confined space entry.
Stormwater from more than one industry type is commingled.	You must comply with monitoring requirements for all applicable sectors and standard industrial classification (SIC) codes.

2.C Determine Which Types of Monitoring Requirements Apply at Each Discharge Point

The next step in preparing for monitoring at your site is to determine the type of monitoring requirements that correspond to each discharge point. The type of monitoring requirements to which you are subject will differ according to your permit. Different monitoring requirements may also apply to individual discharge points on your property based on the type of industrial activity discharging to that point, and even the receiving water to which you are discharging. Using your permit, determine the type of monitoring requirements to which your specific facility is subject, and document in your SWPPP the specific monitoring requirements that apply to each discharge point, including the frequency of monitoring and the specific parameters that must be monitored.

Recall that it is not necessary to monitor a discharge point if it does not have any industrial activity associated with it (e.g., discharge from an employee parking lot that does not commingle with stormwater discharge from an area of industrial activity).

The following applies to the types of monitoring required under the 2021 MSGP. If you are not subject to the 2021 MSGP, consult your state or territory permit to determine your monitoring requirements.

Visual Assessments (Part 3.2 of the 2021 MSGP) – All 2021 MSGP operators are required to collect samples of their stormwater discharge for visual inspection. The following water quality characteristics must be assessed:

- color;
- odor;
- clarity (diminished);
- floating solids;
- settled solids;
- suspended solids;
- foam;
- oil sheen; and
- other obvious indicators of stormwater pollution.

Visual assessments must be conducted at all discharge points, although if several discharge points are "substantially identical" then only one visual assessment must be conducted on the set of discharge points. The sampling frequency for visual assessments under the 2021 MSGP is quarterly. The monitoring quarters are: January 1 - March 31, April 1 - June 30, July 1 - September 30, and October 1 - December 31. For facilities located in an area where limited rainfall occurs during many parts of the year or in an area where freezing conditions exist that prevent discharges from occurring for extended periods, samples for the quarterly visual assessments may be distributed during seasons when precipitation occurs more regularly.

Exceptions to the quarterly visual assessment requirements can be made in the following circumstances:

- 1. Adverse Weather Conditions (See Part 3.2.4.1)
- 2. Climates with Irregular Stormwater Discharges (See Part 3.2.4.2)
- 3. Areas that Receive Snow (See Part 3.2.4.3)
- 4. Inactive and Unstaffed Facilities (See Part 3.2.4.4)

Indicator Monitoring (Part 4.2.1 of the 2021 MSGP) – The 2021 MSGP includes indicator monitoring for pH, TSS, and COD for certain subsectors (See 2021 MSGP for applicability). The 2021 MSGP also includes indicator monitoring, for certain sectors, for the 16 individual PAHs identified at Appendix A to 40 CFR Part 423: naphthalene, acenaphthylene, acenaphthene, fluorene, phenanthrene, anthracene, fluoranthene, pyrene, benzo[a]anthracene, chrysene, benzo[b]fluoranthene, benzo[k]fluoranthene, benzo[a]pyrene, benzo[g,h,i]perylene,

indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene, and dibenz[a,h]anthracene (see 2021 MSGP for applicability). Evaluation of these parameters will inform future considerations of any benchmark monitoring.

As applicable, the required indicator monitoring frequency for pH, TSS, and COD under the 2021 MSGP is quarterly and the required indicator monitoring frequency for PAHs is bi-annually (i.e., twice per year) in the first and fourth years of permit coverage. For both types of indicator monitoring, monitoring begins in the first full quarter of permit coverage.

Benchmark Monitoring (Part 4.2.2 of the 2021 MSGP) – This type of analytic monitoring applies to certain industrial sectors regulated under the 2021 MSGP. Operators subject to these requirements must take periodic samples of their stormwater discharge to compare the concentrations to their corresponding benchmark thresholds. The benchmark thresholds are based in large part on EPA's aquatic life water quality criteria and are meant to serve as indicators of the overall effectiveness of a facility's stormwater control efforts. If a particular benchmark threshold is exceeded, this indicates to an operator that there may be a problem at the site, such as a spill, exposed pollutant source, or a faulty control measure, and triggers Additional Implementation Measures (AIM) which involve review of the SWPPP and SCMs to determine if modifications are necessary, and implementation of additional measures that would reasonably be expected to bring the exceedances below the benchmark threshold. For example, a total suspended solids (TSS) concentration found in a benchmark sample of greater than 100 mg/L, which is the applicable benchmark threshold for TSS, would require a facility to re-evaluate and potentially revise control measures implemented to control dust, soil erosion, or other sources of suspended solids. Note that the exceedance of the benchmark is not a violation (because benchmarks are typically not enforceable limits), but the failure to conduct the follow-up investigation and applicable AIM responses would be a violation of the permit.

Determine whether you are subject to any benchmark monitoring requirements based on your particular industrial sector or subsector. The benchmark monitoring requirements differ based on the sector or subsector under which a particular facility falls. Note that not all sectors are subject to this type of monitoring. Appendix D in the 2021 MSGP provides the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code and activity codes categorized by sectors and

Be sure to update your SWPPP and site map whenever you change or add new control measures. Control measure maintenance activities must be documented (preferably in a log), and such records must be kept with your SWPPP and stormwater file.

subsectors. Use Appendix D to link your industrial activities with their associated SIC code sectors/subsectors. Your facility will have a primary industrial activity and associated SIC or activity code (which is the major determinant of your permit requirements), and, possibly, additional secondary sectors/subsectors with additional requirements for which you must comply. Next, using Part 8 of the 2021 MSGP, under your particular sector or subsector, determine whether you are subject to any benchmark monitoring requirements, and the corresponding benchmark that applies. Consider the following example: if you operate a gold mine (subsector G2) you are subject in Part 8.G.8.3 to the following benchmark monitoring requirements:

Table 3. Example Benchmark Monitoring Requirements for Subsector G2

Subsector (Discharges may be subject to requirements for more than one sector/subsector)	Parameter	Benchmark Monitoring Concentration
Subsector G2. Iron Ores; Copper	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	100 mg/L
Ores; Lead and Zinc Ores; Gold	Turbidity	50 NTU
and Silver Ores; Ferroalloy Ores, Except Vanadium; and	рН	6.0-9.0 s.u.
Miscellaneous Metal Ores (SIC	Hardness (as CaCO₃; calc. from Ca, Mg)²	no benchmark value
Codes 1011, 1021, 1031, 1041,	Total Recoverable Antimony	640 μg/L
1044, 1061, 1081, 1094, 1099)	Total Recoverable Arsenic (freshwater)	150 μg/L
/Natarakan anakasina kanduara	Total Recoverable Arsenic (saltwater) ¹	69 μg/L
(Note: when analyzing hardness for a suite of metals, it is more	Total Recoverable Beryllium	130 μg/L
cost effective to add analysis of calcium and magnesium, and have	Total Recoverable Cadmium (freshwater) ²	Hardness Dependent
hardness calculated than to	Total Recoverable Cadmium (saltwater) ¹	33 μg/L
require hardness analysis	Total Recoverable Copper (freshwater)	5.19 μg/L
separately)	Total Recoverable Copper (saltwater) ¹	4.8 μg/L
	Total Recoverable Lead (freshwater) ²	Hardness Dependent
	Total Recoverable Lead (saltwater) ¹	210 μg/L
	Total Recoverable Mercury (freshwater)	1.4 μg/L
	Total Recoverable Mercury (saltwater) ¹	1.8 μg/L
	Total Recoverable Nickel (freshwater) ²	Hardness Dependent
	Total Recoverable Nickel (saltwater) ¹	74 μg/L
	Total Recoverable Selenium (freshwater)	1.5 μg/L for still/standing (lentic) waters; 3.1 μg/L for flowing (lotic) waters
	Total Recoverable Selenium (saltwater) ¹	290 μg/L
	Total Recoverable Silver (freshwater) ²	Hardness Dependent
	Total Recoverable Silver (saltwater) ¹	1.9 μg/L
	Total Recoverable Zinc (freshwater) ²	Hardness Dependent
	Total Recoverable Zinc (saltwater)¹	90 μg/L

¹ Saltwater benchmark values apply to stormwater discharges into saline waters where indicated.

Based on this table, you then know the pollutant parameter for which you must conduct benchmark monitoring, and the corresponding benchmark threshold against which you will compare each individual sample. Each sector or subsector subject to benchmark monitoring requirements includes a similar table in Part 8 of the 2021 MSGP.

After you have determined which (if any) benchmark sampling requirements apply, document in your SWPPP which discharge points are subject to such requirements, the frequency of monitoring, and the parameters that must be analyzed. If your facility has multiple discharge points, be aware that there may be different requirements for different discharge points depending on the type of industrial activity conducted in the drainage area of each discharge

² The freshwater benchmark values of some metals are dependent on water hardness (see Appendix J of the 2021 MSGP).

point. You are only required to conduct benchmark monitoring for those discharge points with discharges from the specific sectors/subsectors that are affected by such requirements. Where a discharge point includes no discharges from those sectors or subsectors for which benchmark monitoring requirements apply, then no benchmark samples need to be taken at that discharge point.

The required benchmark monitoring frequency under the 2021 MSGP is quarterly in the first and fourth years of the permit. The monitoring quarters, beginning with the first full quarter following either May 30, 2021 or a facility's discharge authorization date are: January 1 – March 31, April 1 – June 30, July 1 – September 30, and October 1 – December 31.

Exceptions for Facilities in Climates with Irregular Stormwater Discharges (Parts 4.2.1.2 and 4.2.2.4 of the 2021 MSGP) – The monitoring schedule for indicator or benchmark monitoring may be modified for facilities in climates with irregular stormwater discharges, provided facilities report the revised schedule to EPA by the due date of the first indicator/benchmark sample. For benchmark monitoring, when conditions prevent a facility from obtaining four samples in four consecutive quarters, monitoring must be continued until the four samples required for calculating the benchmark monitoring average have been collected.

Exceptions for Inactive and Unstaffed Facilities (Parts 4.2.1.3 and 4.2.2.5 of the 2021 MSG) — The requirement for indicator or benchmark monitoring does not apply to inactive and unstaffed facilities, providing there are no industrial materials or activities exposed to stormwater. This exception only applies to indicator and benchmark monitoring requirements and not to the other types of monitoring described above.

To invoke this special exemption, you must do the following:

- Maintain a statement with your SWPPP stating that the site is inactive and unstaffed, and
 that there are no industrial materials or activities exposed to stormwater in accordance with
 the substantive requirements in 40 CFR 122.26(g) and sign and certify the statement in
 accordance with Appendix B, Subsection 11 [of the 2021 MSGP].
- If circumstances change and industrial materials or activities become exposed to stormwater or your facility becomes active and/or staffed, this exception no longer applies and you must immediately begin complying with the applicable indicator/benchmark monitoring requirements under Part 4.2.2 [of the 2021 MSGP] as if you were in your first year of permit coverage. You must indicate in your NOI that your facility has materials or activities exposed to stormwater or has become active and/or staffed.
- If you are not qualified for this exception at the time you are authorized under this permit, but during the permit term you become qualified because your facility is inactive and unstaffed, and there are no industrial materials or activities that are exposed to stormwater, then you must notify EPA of this change on your NOI form. You may discontinue indicator/benchmark monitoring once you have notified EPA and prepared and signed the certification statement described above concerning your facility's qualification for this special exception.

Note: This exception has different requirements for Sectors G, H, and J (see Part 8.G.8.5, Part 8.H.9.1, and Part 8.J.9.1 of the 2021 MSGP, respectively).

Hardness-Dependent Benchmarks (Appendix J of the 2021 MSGP) – The benchmark thresholds for some metals are dependent on the level of hardness in your receiving water(s) (see 2021 MSGP, Appendix J). Hardness is a characteristic of water that results from the presence of dissolved salts, especially calcium sulfate or bicarbonate, and is usually reported as carbonate, noncarbonate or calcium + magnesium (Ca + Mg). If you are required to monitor for a hardness-dependent pollutant, you must first determine the hardness of your receiving water before you can establish the corresponding benchmark threshold.

Effluent Limitations Monitoring (Part 4.2.3 of the 2021 MSGP) – Nine of the 2021 MSGP's 30 industrial sectors are required to monitor to determine if they comply with EPA-defined effluent limitation guidelines. These monitoring requirements are included in Part 8 of the 2021 MSGP. Effluent limitation guidelines are legally enforceable limitations that must not be exceeded in stormwater discharges.

Similar to the benchmark monitoring requirements, samples only need to be taken at those discharge points with discharges from the specific activities that are subject to effluent limitation guidelines; otherwise these requirements do not apply. As stated previously, operators subject to these monitoring requirements must take samples at all applicable discharge points, and no exceptions are given for substantially identical discharge points (SIDPs). However, if you are required to monitor a pollutant both for benchmark and

When monitoring requirements overlap, e.g., TSS once per year for an effluent limit and once per quarter for benchmark monitoring, you may use a single sample to satisfy both monitoring requirements (i.e., one of your four quarterly benchmark samples would be used for your yearly effluent limit sample).

effluent limitation guideline purposes, you only need to take one sample for both requirements.

Table 4 identifies the industrial activities that are subject to effluent limitation guideline monitoring requirements and the associated sampling parameters. Effluent limitation guideline samples must be taken once per year (see Part 8 of the 2021 MSGP for the numerical values of each effluent limit).

Table 4. Required Monitoring for Effluent Limitations Guidelines

Regulated Activity	Where in 2021 MSGP	Sector	Effluent Limit Parameters
Discharges resulting from spray down or intentional wetting of logs at wet deck storage areas	Part 8.A.8	А	pH, debris
Runoff from phosphate fertilizer manufacturing facilities that comes into contact with any raw materials, finished product, by-products or waste products (SIC 2874)	Part 8.C.5	С	total phosphorus (as P), fluoride
Runoff from asphalt emulsion facilities	Part 8.D.5	D	total suspended solids (TSS), pH, oil and grease
Runoff from material storage piles at cement manufacturing facilities	Part 8.E.6	E	TSS, pH
Mine dewatering discharges at crushed stone, construction sand and gravel, or industrial sand mining facilities	Part 8.J.10	J	TSS, pH

Regulated Activity	Where in 2021 MSGP	Sector	Effluent Limit Parameters
Runoff from hazardous waste landfills	Part 8.K.7	К	biochemical oxygen demand (BOD ₅), TSS, ammonia, alpha terpineol, aniline, benzoic acid, naphthalene, p- cresol, phenol, pyridine, total arsenic, total chromium, total zinc, pH
Runoff from non-hazardous waste landfills	Part 8.L.11	L	BOD ₅ , TSS, ammonia, alpha terpineol, benzoic acid, p-cresol, phenol, total zinc, pH
Runoff from coal storage piles at steam electric generating facilities	Part 8.O.8	0	TSS, pH
Runoff containing urea from airfield pavement deicing at existing and new primary airports with 1,000 or more annual non- propeller aircraft departures.	Part 8.S.9	S	ammonia as nitrogen

Determine whether you are subject to any effluent limitation guideline monitoring requirements. Document in your SWPPP which discharge points are subject to such requirements, the frequency of monitoring, and the parameters that must be analyzed.

State, or Tribal Required Monitoring (Part 4.2.4 of the 2021 MSGP) – The 2021 MSGP includes a number of additional monitoring requirements that are unique to individual states, Indian Country lands, and territories. These requirements are set out in Part 9 of the permit. These requirements may include additional or more frequent benchmark monitoring requirements, alternative benchmark thresholds, or additional parameters that must be monitored to establish compliance with applicable water quality standards.

Based on the state, Indian Country land, or territory in which they are located, each 2021 MSGP operator must consult the applicable Part 9 section to determine what, if any, additional monitoring requirements apply. If you are subject to such requirements, you must document in your SWPPP which discharge points are subject to these provisions, the frequency of applicable sampling, and the parameters that must be monitored. If a monitoring frequency is not specified for an applicable requirement in Part 9, you must monitor once per year for the duration of permit coverage.

Impaired Waters Monitoring (Part 4.2.5 of the 2021 MSGP) – The 2021 MSGP requires facilities to monitor annually in the first year of permit coverage), for the presence of any pollutant causing an impairment to their receiving water. If a pollutant causing an impairment is detected, annual monitoring must continue, otherwise, required annual monitoring ceases and resumes in the fourth year of permit coverage for one year for those pollutants that are both causing impairments and are associated with the industrial activity and/or are a required benchmark parameter for the operator's subsector(s). In advance of conducting impaired waters monitoring, you should already have a good idea of whether the pollutant will be found in your discharge. When you developed your SWPPP, you conducted a complete inventory of your site to determine what pollutants or pollutant constituents could be discharged in stormwater. See Section 3.A of EPA's guide, Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan: A Guide for Industrial Operators, particularly the discussion about conducting an "Inventory of Materials and

Pollutants" available on EPA's website at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/industrial-stormwater-guidance. Using this inventory from your SWPPP, you will be able to determine if any materials stored or used at your facility could contribute to impairment of your receiving water.

The next section of this guide includes specific steps to help you determine if you are subject to impaired waters monitoring requirements. After following those steps, document in your SWPPP which discharge points are subject to impaired waters monitoring requirements, the frequency of sampling, and the parameters that must be monitored.

Additional Monitoring Required by EPA (Part 4.2.6 of the 2021 MSGP) – It is possible EPA may require additional monitoring. EPA will notify you if they determine additional monitoring is necessary to meet the permit's effluent limitations.

2.D Determine if Your Facility is Subject to Impaired Waters Monitoring Requirements

If you are required by your industrial stormwater permit to monitor for pollutants that cause impairment to your receiving water, you must first identify the receiving waters (e.g., ditch, creek, intermittent stream, lake, arroyo, etc.) into which your facility discharges stormwater and mark them on your site map. Note that you will have already identified your receiving waters if you filed an NOI to be covered by the 2021 MSGP.

Identify Your Receiving Water(s)

Do these monitoring requirements apply to me if I discharge into a dry ditch?

Yes, if the ditch eventually conveys the stormwater to a water of the United States.

There are several ways to identify your receiving waters. Your receiving water may be a lake, stream, river, ocean, wetland or other waterbody, and may or may not be located adjacent to your facility. Your facility might discharge directly into its receiving water, or indirectly to the receiving water by discharging first through an MS4, ditch, or other conveyance.

If the discharge from your facility does not

discharge into an underground storm sewer system, you can use your site map and local topographic maps to pinpoint the closest waterways. Using the contours on the topographic map and your facility's outfall locations, determine the direction stormwater discharge flows from your facility. Once you know the direction of flow, you should be able to identify the receiving waters into which you discharge and the name of the receiving water of the United States that receives stormwater from the discharge point. If, for instance, you discharge stormwater into a unnamed tributary that enters a water of the United States, you




Figure 11. Sample section of a U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangle map, with arrows showing direction of flow.

could identify "unnamed tributary of the Brown River" with Brown River being the water of the United States.

After identifying where your stormwater enters a waterbody, identify any additional interconnected waters for at least one linear mile downstream from the entrance point of your discharge (in case there are concerns about impacts to these downstream waters).

Resources to help you identify receiving waters:

- How's My Waterway? (available at https://mywaterway.epa.gov) provides information about the condition of local waters based on data that states, federal, tribal, local agencies, and others have provided to EPA. Water quality information is displayed at a community, state, and national level.
- EPA's Stormwater Discharge Mapping Tools (available at https://www.epa.gov/npdes/epasstormwater-discharge-mapping-tools) allows you to determine the receiving waters to which your facility discharges and whether they are considered "impaired" under section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act.
- EPA's EnviroMapper (available at https://enviro.epa.gov/enviro/em4ef.home) enables you to find nearby waterbodies by entering your facility's zip code, city, county state, tribe, address, facility name or identification number, EPA Region, watershed, or latitude/longitude data. Additional information on the

location of impaired waterbodies can also be obtained by selecting the 'more info' link in the impaired streams or the impaired waterbodies attribute.

Topographic maps, which can be obtained from the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) National Map website at http://nationalmap.gov/, or through a retailer.
 If your stormwater drains into an MS4, you will likely need to contact the operator of the system (e.g., the local public works department, the highway department, etc.) to identify the first receiving water your stormwater is

Remember, the MS4 into which your facility's stormwater discharges is NOT your receiving water for purposes of your industrial stormwater permit. Identify the first waterbody that the MS4 discharges to after receiving your stormwater and name the receiving water of the United States that it flows. For instance, the Oak City MS4 to the Brown River where the Brown River is the water of the United States.

released to after entering the MS4. Some MS4s have their storm sewer infrastructure maps available online.

Determine if Your Receiving Water is Impaired and Whether a TMDL Has Been Completed

Once you have identified your receiving water(s), you will need to find out if the waterbody is impaired, and, if so, whether a total maximum daily load (TMDL) has been approved or established.

"Impaired waters" are waters identified by a state, tribe, or EPA as not meeting an applicable water quality standard, and require development of a total maximum daily load (TMDL) (pursuant to Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act), or are addressed by an EPA-approved or established TMDL, or are covered by pollution control requirements that meet the requirements of 40 CFR 130.7(b)(1).

States, territories, and authorized tribes are required under the Clean Water Act to compile lists of known impaired waters, called 303(d) lists. Stormwater discharges to impaired waters may trigger additional control measures and monitoring requirements. For facilities subject to EPA's 2021 MSGP, see Part 2.2.2 for a more detailed discussion of water quality-based effluent limitations and conditions for discharging to impaired waters.

• Water quality impairment status. You need to determine whether your facility's receiving water is listed by your state, tribe, or EPA as impaired and/or has an approved or established Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL). EPA's How's My Waterway? tool (available at https://mywaterway.epa.gov) will help identify impaired receiving waters in the vicinity of your facility. Another place to check is EPA's website on Impaired Waters and TMDLs (https://www.epa.gov/tmdl/resources-tools-and-databases-about-impaired-waters-and-tmdls) or you can also contact your State water agency (https://www.epa.gov/npdes/contact-us-general-information-about-npdes).

If your receiving water is impaired, use EPA's How's My Waterway tool or Impaired Waters and TMDLs website, or a State agency to help you determine:

- For what pollutant(s) is the water impaired? Make a separate list of all pollutants that have caused your waterbody to be impaired.
- Has an approved TMDL been completed for each of the pollutants? Some TMDL documents
 include information suggesting the type of monitoring that should be conducted to improve
 the understanding of the impairment or to demonstrate achievement of applicable
 wasteload allocations (WLAs).

Determine What Monitoring Requirements Apply

Having determined the pollutants that cause the impairment, you should now consult your permit to determine the type of monitoring that must be conducted, the frequency of monitoring, and whether any exceptions apply to certain pollutants. As discussed in Section 2.C above, this must all be documented in your SWPPP so that it is clear which requirements apply to which discharge point.

The 2021 MSGP lists several exceptions to and clarifications of the requirement to monitor for each impairment pollutant. In Part 4.2.5.1 of the 2021 MSGP, the permit clarifies that no monitoring is required when a waterbody's biological communities are impaired but no pollutant, including indicator or surrogate pollutants, is specified as causing the impairment, or when a waterbody's impairment is related to hydrologic modifications, impaired hydrology, or other non-pollutant. The permit also clarifies that monitoring is only required for pollutants or surrogates for which a standard analytical method exists as defined in 40 CFR Part 136. In addition, certain exceptions exist that enable the operator to be excused from impaired waters monitoring after the first and fourth years.

- If the pollutant for which the waterbody is impaired is not associated with your industrial activity or listed as a benchmark parameter for your subsector(s) you do not need to monitor for that pollutant in the fourth year of the permit term.
- If sampling results in the first or fourth year indicated the monitored pollutant is detected in your discharge, but you have determined that its presence is caused solely by natural background sources, you may discontinue monitoring for that pollutant for the duration of permit coverage.

Both the parameters that must be sampled and the frequency of monitoring for impairment pollutants may be subject to state-, Indian Country land-, or territory-specific requirements. Therefore, each 2021 MSGP operator must also consult Part 9 of the permit when determining which impaired waters sampling requirements apply.

2.E What Type of Storm Events Qualify for Monitoring

In addition to understanding which monitoring requirements apply and where, it is also critical to develop an understanding of what type of discharge event you will be sampling. Under the 2021 MSGP, two preconditions must be met before a storm or snowmelt event is considered adequate to be monitored (see Part 4.1.3 of the 2021 MSGP).

- The storm/snowmelt event must create an actual discharge from your site ("measurable storm event"). This storm event will vary based on numerous factors at your site, the most obvious being the actual size and duration of the storm event. However, the amount of impervious surface at your facility will impact this as well. If your facility is covered mostly by grass or another type of vegetation with only a small amount of paved surfaces or roofs, it will take a larger storm to create a discharge from your site than it would at a facility that is entirely paved. Another factor affecting whether and how frequently you have a measurable storm event will be how frequently rain occurs at your facility and the size of the most recent storms. Saturated soil will generate a stormwater discharge more quickly than dry soil; however, VERY dry soil can also become compacted and become nearly impervious to rain, thereby converting precipitation to runoff quickly as well. You will need to pay attention to your facility's particular characteristics to develop an understanding of what type of rain events or snowmelt results in a discharge.
- At least 72 hours (three days) must have elapsed since the previous measurable storm event (unless you are able to document that less than a 72-hour (3-day) interval is representative for local storm events during the sampling period, or if you are monitoring snowmelt consistent with Part 3.2 [Quarterly Visual Assessments] of the 2021 MSGP).

In order to properly characterize rain events at your facility, it is a good idea to begin by documenting each event as part of your facility's routine maintenance activities. You can purchase a simple rain gauge and keep a notebook handy in order to document the dates on which rain occurred and the amount of rain that fell. You should also consider documenting whether or not an actual discharge from your facility occurred for each rain event. Tracking rainfall amounts and discharge information will help you to better predict which storm events will be measurable and result in a discharge.

In order to be prepared to take advantage of storms that will result in a "measurable storm event":

- Be familiar with local precipitation trends, storm patterns, and seasonal variations.
- Check weather forecasts so you can prepare to sample upcoming precipitation events.
- In addition to your local television news and the Weather Channel, you can get weather information online from http://www.wrh.noaa.gov (National Weather Service) and http://www.weather.com.

Note: You should try to collect both benchmark samples and visual monitoring samples concurrently so you can compare visual observations with the laboratory results and reduce your field activities burden.

- What To Do If You Are Unable To Sample EPA acknowledges there may be times you are
 unable to complete required monitoring. The following are guidelines on how you should
 deal with such times.
- Areas with Intermittent Stormwater Discharge If your facility experiences limited rainfall
 for extended periods of the year (i.e., in arid or semi-arid climates), or freezing conditions
 that often prevent stormwater discharge from occurring, then the quarterly monitoring
 events may be distributed during seasons when discharging does occur. If you are unable to
 collect four samples in one year because of insufficient discharge, document this fact in your
 SWPPP and continue quarterly monitoring until you have collected four samples.
- Snowmelt Sampling If you are located where appreciable snow is common, one of your samples must include the capture of snowmelt discharge. If, however, you experience prolonged subfreezing temperatures, you may only be able to acquire a sample once over two quarters. You will then have to complete the monitoring requirements as above.
- Adverse Weather Conditions When adverse weather prevents sampling per your
 monitoring schedule, you must sample during the next qualifying storm event. Adverse
 conditions are those that are dangerous or create inaccessibility for personnel, caused by
 such things as flooding, high winds, electrical storms or situations that otherwise make
 sampling impractical (e.g., drought or extended frozen conditions).

2.F Select the Monitoring Team

Identify the members of your facility's pollution prevention team (which you identified in your SWPPP) who will collect samples and conduct visual assessments of discharges. To be considered as a member of the monitoring team, applicable staff must be familiar with the SWPPP, especially the site plan, the layout of the facility, potential pollutant

Ideally, the pollution prevention team consists of at least one individual from each shift so that a team member is always present during normal operating hours.

sources, and the monitoring and reporting program. They also need to possess the knowledge and skills to assess conditions and activities that could impact stormwater quality at your facility, and to be able to evaluate the effectiveness of control measures.

Typically, monitoring staff are based near the site to enable them to be available on short notice to sample storm events.

It is also important that monitoring staff understand and follow all quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) techniques and procedures to ensure that the data is good. You should discuss

these techniques with your laboratory prior to taking samples and properly train all sampling staff.

2.G Select a Laboratory to Analyze the Samples

Your stormwater samples will need to be analyzed for the parameters you identified in section 2.C by a qualified laboratory. Laboratories must use the approved methodologies found at 40 CFR Part 136 and return a report with chemical concentrations including data quality assurance information.

Things to discuss with the laboratory

- What type and size of bottle will be provided for each test?
- How full do I fill the bottle?
- Are there any safety concerns with materials provided by the laboratory?
- What is the best way to preserve the samples?
- What kind of labels will be supplied and how should I fill them out?
- Will the lab deliver the supplies or do I need to pick them up?
- What are the maximum holding times for each water quality parameter to be sampled?
- Will the laboratory provide pH paper? Samples need to be tested for pH within 15 minutes of collection to be valid, typically in the field.
- Will the laboratory pick up the samples from my facility or do I need to deliverthem?
- Can you walk me through filling out the chain-of-custody forms?
- Is the quantitation limit for each parameter less than the benchmark threshold or effluent limitation concentration?*
- *The quantitation limit is the minimum concentration of a parameter that the lab can accurately report using a particular method.

EPA recommends that you select a laboratory that is a participant in the EPA's Discharge Monitoring Report - Quality Assurance (DMR-QA) Program, and, if possible, be approved by the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP). NOTE: for ELG compliance monitoring, participation in DMR-QA is a minimum requirement.

- A comprehensive list of NELAP-approved laboratories can be found at: https://lams.nelac-institute.org.
- To ensure your chosen laboratory is eligible and reliable, you may want to request documentation showing they are certified to analyze environmental samples, and evidence they participate in DMR-QA or other performance evaluation testing results.

You should ask the laboratory about any additional services and products they offer, such as:

- pre-labeled bottles and pre-printed chain-of-custody forms;
- training on sample collection, documentation and data interpretation;
- sampling and courier services; and
- complete sampling kits which include bottles, packing materials, bottle labels, coolers and chain- of-custody forms; many laboratories provide free sampling kits.

2.H Document Inspection, Assessment, and Monitoring Procedures in Your SWPPP

Ensure your inspection, assessment, and monitoring procedures are correctly documented in your SWPPP (see 2021 MSGP Parts 6.2.5.2 and 6.2.5.3). The required information includes:

- Procedures for performing routine facility inspections and quarterly visual inspections.
- Procedures for conducting the six types of analytical stormwater discharge monitoring (indicator monitoring, benchmark monitoring, effluent limitations guidelines monitoring, state- or tribal-specific monitoring, impaired waters monitoring, and other monitoring as required by EPA), where applicable to your facility.
- Information to support exception for inactive and unstaffed facilities for indicator, benchmark, or impaired waters monitoring, if applicable.
- Information to support exception for quarterly visual assessment requirements or indicator, benchmark, or impaired waters monitoring requirements for SIDPs, if applicable.

Figure 12 is an example of a completed MSGP Industrial Stormwater/Snowmelt Monitoring Summary Form. You should fill out this form (Appendix A) with the sampling locations and monitoring requirements that apply to your facility and include a copy in your SWPPP.

		Donobosek	Lavele and EL	2-		4				l
	Benchmark Levels and ELGs		┨				l			
Industry Sector	Pollutant	Benchmark Level	Daily Max	Monthly Average	Instant Min/Max	1				l
D	TSS	100	23	15		1				l
D	Oil and Grease		15	10		(SM 2540D)		Grease (EPA Method 1664A)		l
D	pH4				6-9	1 %		99		l
€2	tron	1				Σ		35		l
€2	TSS	100	50					£	2	l
€2	pH4				6-9	Solids		Σ	8,	l
						Ŋ		ě.	ล	l
				+		28		33	the t	l
				+		2		3	ž	l
	<u> </u>	Samp	le Summary	•		Suspended		b b	4	l
Outfall	Identifier	Industry Sector (SIC)		Frequency	Timing	Total	į.	Où and	Iran (EPA Method 2009)	
e.g. 001-A		Sector D (SIC 29.51)	Benchmark	1/Quarter	1st wik of month	1	1	1		
e.g. 001-A		Sector D (SIC 2951)	€LG	1/year	January	1				
e.g. 001-B		Subsector E2 (SIC 3271)	Benchmark	1/Quarter	1st wk of month	1			*	
e.g. 001-B		Subsector €2 (SIC 3271)	ELG	1/Year	January	1	1			Γ
		i		 	- "					┰

Figure 12. Example MSGP Industrial Stormwater/Snowmelt Monitoring Summary Form with monitoring requirements, sampling locations and industry sectors.

Section 3: Conduct Monitoring

This section describes sampling preparation, choosing the right storm event to monitor, how to collect stormwater samples, how to conduct visual assessments, quality control considerations, and how to report the results.

The information contained in this section is not specific to monitoring for the 2021 MSGP or any particular general industrial stormwater permit.

3.A What to Have in Place Prior to Collecting Stormwater Samples

Preparation is essential, especially if you are in a climate where measurable storm events are infrequent.

- *In-Office Preparations* Your in-office preparations should include the following:
 - Contacting the lab well ahead of time so that you have the sample bottles beforea measurable storm event.
 - Paying attention to weather forecasts so that you are tracking patterns that are likely to result in a measurable storm event.
 - Knowing who your monitoring personnel are and how to contact them when a measurable storm event is expected.
 - o Having sampling gear assembled and checked for readiness.
 - Preparing sample bottle labels using waterproof ink with the following information (if not already done by the lab):
 - Facility name and address
 - Sample location identifier (e.g., Outfall 001)
 - Name or initials of sampling personnel
 - Parameter and associated analytical method (e.g., TSS, Method # 0160.2; consult with your contract laboratory for analytical method numbers)
 - Sample type (generally will be "grab" samples)
 - Sample preservation notes
 - Date and time after completing sampling event
 - Having chain-of-custody forms ready for use.

The chain of custody form is a document that travels with the sample from collection through analysis. Each individual that handles the sample will place their name, date, and time on the chain-of-custody form. The form is used to maintain the integrity of the sample by providing documentation of the control, transfer, and analysis of samples (see Section 3.4 below for a more detailed discussion of chain-of-custody).

- Sampling Supplies Collect the following supplies and keep them ready for quick use:
 - Clean, sterilized sample bottles, sized appropriately for the parameter to be analyzed (many labs provide the appropriate bottles or will tell you what size to get). Glass must be used for oil and grease samples; plastic containers can be used for other parameters. Use Teflon or aluminumlined caps.

For rinsing sample bottles, use only distilled water

- If bottles are new but not pre-cleaned, they must be pre-conditioned before use by filling with water for several days (the duration can be reduced by using a dilute solution of hydrochloric acid).
- Additional glass or clear plastic bottles suitable for visual assessments.
- Visual monitoring forms (see example in Appendix B).
- Clipboard and site-specific monitoring checklist.
- o If needed, a pole (sold at field supply stores) on which to attach sample bottles and attachment clips or strapping tape to secure the bottle to the pole.
- Safety equipment, including first aid kit.
- Hand sanitizer solution.
- Carrying case for sampling equipment or backpack for carrying equipment to remote locations.
- Powder-free disposable nitrile or latex gloves (sold by medical and laboratory suppliers or may be provided by your contract laboratory). Do not use powdered gloves as they may contaminate your samples.
- o Indelible pens/markers that can write on wet surfaces.
- Foul-weather gear including footwear appropriate for the conditions at your sampling locations (e.g., non-slip boots).
- Sturdy cooler and ice or ice packs for stowing and preserving your samples en route to the lab (the lab may provide an appropriate container).
- Field notebook or field forms for your sampling records (waterproof notebooks are available at office supply stores).
- pH paper and appropriate chemical preservatives for adding to sample bottles (obtain from your laboratory).



Figure 13. Preparing sampling supplies.

• Optional or As-Needed Supplies:

- Sodium bicarbonate (for safety reasons if using acid preservative additives)
- A graduated stick to measure water depth for determining safe/wade-able sampling access locations (if a sampling pole will be used, you can modify it with depth markings)
- Mosquito repellent
- o Flashlight in case of sudden loss of light or darkness under storm conditions
- Flagging tape for marking access to remote or overgrown locations
- Camera, used for:
 - Recording evidence of potential pollutants or sampling conditions.
 - Especially useful if different people will do the sampling throughout the permit term
 - Pictures of sample appearance along with the visual inspection records can help "normalize" visual assessments.
 - Pictures of the sampling location can help you find the same spot for subsequent sampling events.

Develop a stormwater sampling checklist to ensure consistency and continuity across sampling events. Since stormwater sampling is not a regular part of a facility's workload, a checklist of things to have prepared before sampling, sampling activities, and sampling locations will help you remember from quarter to quarter. You can make the checklist by noting the things you did for the first sampling event to remember for future sampling events. Keep the checklist updated as you gain experience with sampling.

3.B Collect Stormwater Samples



Figure 14. A stormwater grab sample is collected directly into the sample container.

Contact the lab prior to collecting stormwater samples so they know to expect the samples and have adequate staff available to conduct the analyses within the applicable holding times (the lab may offer courier service). Inform them of the pollutant parameters for which your samples will be analyzed.

Follow the protocol below to obtain an accurate grab or manual sample. A grab sample is a single sample "grabbed" by filling up a container, either by hand or attached to a pole. Obtaining accurate data is vital to your ability to assess how your SCMs are performing.

 Wear disposable powder-free gloves for sampling; never touch the inside of the lid or bottle.

Remember, oil and grease must be collected directly into the glass sample bottle.

- For oil and grease: fill the glass sample bottle directly from the discharge; never collect in a container first and then transfer to the sample bottle because oily residue will collect along the inside of the first collection bottle and make the sample inaccurate.
- If you have problems accessing the stormwater discharge point (e.g., access is too far or dangerous), use a pole or other appropriate sampling apparatus.
- Sample only stormwater discharging from your facility (i.e., do not sample from puddles, ponds, or retention basins).

- Sample from a turbulent section in the central part of the flow; avoid touching the bottom or sides of the stormwater conveyance.
- Fill the sample bottle nearly to the top (meniscus almost at the rim) by holding the opening into the flow of water; do not rinse or overfill the bottles.



Figure 15. Sample bottles labeled with location, date, time, sample collector, analysis, and preservative type.

While stormwater samples are typically grab samples, in some situations the use of an automatic sampler may be appropriate. Automatic samplers are mechanical devices that monitor site conditions and collect a sample when needed. The automatic sampler can be set up well in advance of a storm, or set up as a permanent installation, and the technician can retrieve the sample after the storm when conditions are favorable. Advantages of automatic samplers include low labor costs, convenience, and safety – personnel are not out in the storm trying to collect one or more samples. The major disadvantage is cost; automatic samplers are expensive. Secondarily, the automatic sampler cannot collect visual observations, and they cannot be used for collection of certain measurements.

After the samples have been collected:

- Place the samples in a sturdy cooler partially filled with ice. As a general rule, samples should be kept at approximately 39°F (4°C) until the cooler is delivered to the lab.
- Put a completed chain-of-custody form enclosed in a resealable plastic bag inside the cooler. If you have several coolers complete a separate chain of custody form for each cooler.

pH has a 15-minute holding time; therefore, the sample must be analyzed within 15 minutes of collection.

• Deliver the samples to the lab (e.g., drive, arrange same-day pick-up by the lab, or use an express/overnight service) as soon as possible, bearing in mind the holding times for each parameter sampled.



Figure 16. Stormwater samples packed for delivery to the lab, note the chain of custody forms attached to the lid.

3.C Record Information for Each Monitoring Event

For each individual sample collected, you should note the following information:

- The sample/discharge point identifier.
- The duration between the storm event you sampled and the end of the previous storm event that resulted in a discharge of stormwater from your site (i.e., a "measurable storm event").
- The date and duration of the storm event sampled.
- Rainfall measurement or estimate (in inches).
- Estimate of the total volume of the discharge sampled from the discharge point.
- Sample type

You should record this information on a Stormwater Collection Form (see Appendix C for an example).

3.D Quality Assurance Considerations

The following actions must be followed explicitly. Quality assurance (QA) helps maintain the accuracy and integrity/legal defensibility of your monitoring results by documenting the stewardship of your samples, by minimizing biases in sampling and lab procedures, and by helping to assess the accuracy and precision of the lab's analyses.

Holding Times and Sample Preservation

Samples that cannot be delivered to the lab on the same day may need to be preserved, often by cooling to ≤6 °C (i.e., in an ice bath) and/or with added chemical preservatives (laboratorysupplied bottles may already include preservatives). If your samples need to be analyzed for more than one parameter, you may need to bottle more than one sample at a discharge point using different preservatives. In addition, you should be aware of the maximum holding time allowed for a particular parameter before which the sample must be analyzed. Following is a table with typical preservation and holding requirements for benchmark parameters and additional potential pollutants of concern (the latter will not have a numeric value in parentheses). Work with your laboratory service providers to develop a list of containers to optimize "sharing" of containers across different parameters. Not all laboratories provide the same container types for the different parameters. Laboratories frequently provide precompleted custody records and seals and will provide pre-labeled sample bottles for ease of use in the field as part of their routine "value-added" services. Pre-completed custody records and labels require only time, date, and samplers' initials in order to complete this critical documentation. Your laboratory may also have additional sampling, sample handling, or shipping instructions helpful to your sample collection personnel. NOTE: Whenever possible, minimize the amount of lead time sample containers/kits are outside of the laboratory. Extended storage of pre-preserved containers for some analytes may present opportunity for contamination of field blank samples, even under ideal storage conditions. Additional information of the use of field blanks in sampling is discussed in the Field Blanks section below.

Table 5. Sample Preservation and Hold Times

Dawanastan	Preservation Parameter		Massimos ma Haldina	Commis	
(Benchmark Threshold)	Cool to ≤6 °C?	Additional	Maximum Holding Time	Sample Container	
Aluminum, Total Recoverable (1,100 µg/L)	N	HNO₃ (nitric acid) to pH <2	6 months	500 mL HDPE	
Ammonia (2.14 mg/L)	Υ	H₂SO₄ (sulfuric acid) to pH <2	28 days	500 mL HDPE	
Antimony, Total Recoverable (640 µg/L)	N	HNO₃ to pH <2	HNO ₃ to pH <2 6 months		
Arsenic, Total Recoverable (150 μg/L freshwater, 69 μg/L)	N	HNO₃ to pH <2	6 months	500 mL HDPE	
Beryllium, Total Recoverable (130 μg/L)	N	HNO₃ to pH <2, or at least 24 hours prior to analysis	6 months	500 mL HDPE	
Biological Oxygen Demand, BOD₅ (30 mg/L)	Υ	None	None 48 hours 1L H		
Cadmium, Total Recoverable (1.8 μg/L freshwater*, 33 μg/L saltwater)	N	HNO₃ to pH <2, or at least 24 hours prior to analysis	6 months	500 mL HDPE	
Chemical Oxygen Demand, COD (120 mg/L)	Y	H₂SO₄ to pH <2	28 days	100 mL HDPE or glass	

Dawanatan	Preservation		Marianum Haldina	6	
Parameter (Benchmark Threshold)	Cool to ≤6 °C?	Additional	Maximum Holding Time	Sample Container	
Copper, Total Recoverable (5.19 µg/L freshwater, 4.8 µg/L saltwater)	N	HNO ₃ to pH <2, or at least 24 hours prior to analysis	6 months	500 mL HDPE	
Cyanide, Total (22 μg/L freshwater, 1 μg/L saltwater)	Y	NaOH (sodium hydroxide) to pH >10, reducing agent if oxidizer present	14 days	1 L HDPE	
Fluoride	N	None	28 days	100 mL HDPE	
Hardness (as CaCO₃)	N	HNO_3 or H_2SO_4 to pH <2 (method dependent)	6 months	100 mL HDPE	
Lead, Total Recoverable (82 μg/L freshwater*, 210 μg/L saltwater)	N	HNO₃ to pH <2, or at least 24 hours prior to analysis	6 months	500 mL HDPE	
Mercury, Total Recoverable (1.4 μg/L freshwater, 1.8 μg/L saltwater)	N	HNO₃ to pH <2	28 days	500 mL HDPE	
Nickel, Total Recoverable (470 μg/L freshwater*, 74 μg/L saltwater)	N	HNO₃ to pH <2, or at least 24 hours prior to analysis	6 months	500 mL HDPE	
Nitrate + Nitrite Nitrogen (0.68 mg/L)	Y	H ₂ SO ₄ to pH <2	28 days	200 mL HDPE	
pH (6.0 – 9.0 s.u.)	N	None	15 min (Field test)	50 mL	
Phenol	Υ	H₂SO₄ to pH <2	28 days	500 mL HDPE	
Phosphorous, Total (2.0 mg/L)	Υ	H₂SO₄ to pH <2	28 days	500 mL HDPE	
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)	Y	Store in dark, 0.008% Na₂S₅O₃	7 days until extraction, 40 days after extraction		
Radium, Total Recoverable		HNO₃ to pH <2	6 months	1L HDPE	
Radium, dissolved		Field-filtered HNO₃ to pH <2; if not field filtered - none	Field filtered, preserved 6 months; if not field filtered, filter on receipt, preserve to pH <2 6 months	1L HDPE	
Selenium, Total Recoverable (lentic waters 1.5 μg/L, lotic waters 3.1 μg/L; 290 μg/L saltwater)	N	HNO₃ to pH <2, or at least 24 hours prior to analysis	6 months	500 mL HDPE	
Silver, Total Recoverable (3.2 μg/L freshwater*, 1.9 μg/L saltwater)	N	HNO₃ to pH <2, or at least 24 hours prior to analysis	6 months	500 mL HDPE	
Total Suspended Solids, TSS (100 mg/L)	Y	None	7 days	200 mL HDPE	
Turbidity (50 NTU)	Υ	None	48 hours	100 mL HDPE	
Uranium		HNO₃ to pH <2	6 months	500mL HDPE	
Zinc, Total Recoverable (120 μg/L freshwater*, 90 μg/L saltwater)	N	HNO₃ to pH <2, or at least 24 hours prior to analysis	6 months	500 mL HDPE	

Danamatan	Р	reservation	Nai	Camada	
Parameter (Benchmark Threshold)	Cool to ≤6 °C?	Additional	Maximum Holding Time	Sample Container	
Landfill Parameters					
Alpha Terpineol	Υ	NA	7 days to extraction 40 days to analysis	1L Amber glass	
Aniline	Υ	NA	7 days to extraction 40 days to analysis	1L Amber glass	
Benzoic Acid	Υ	NA	7 days to extraction 40 days to analysis	1L Amber glass	
Naphthalene	Υ	NA	7 days to extraction	1L Amber glass	
p-Cresol	Υ	NA	7 days to extraction 40 days to analysis	1L Amber glass	
Pyridine	Υ	NA	7 days to extraction 40 days to analysis	1L Amber glass	

^{*}These pollutants are dependent on freshwater hardness. The benchmark value listed is based on a hardness of 100 mg/L. The 2021 MSGP requires industrial facility to analyze receiving freshwater samples for hardness, and use the hardness tables provided in the 2021 MSGP to determine the applicable benchmark value for that facility

Field Blanks

Field blank samples are filled with either distilled or de-ionized water, and are prepared, in the field, after cleaning the sampling equipment but before collection of water quality samples. Field blanks are prepared by pouring distilled de-ionized water into each scoop, dipper, etc. used for sample collection and then emptying the scoop, dipper, etc. into the sample bottles as if they were actual field samples. The field blanks are processed and analyzed in an identical manner as the stormwater samples. If the lab detects any contamination in the blanks, your sampling results could be considered tainted (either from contamination or errors in sampling or analysis). Collection and analysis of field blanks is not required by the 2021 MSGP; however, field blanks are used for quality control to assess whether contamination was introduced during sampling and may prove useful in interpretation of results.

Chain of Custody Forms and Procedures

Samples must be traceable from the point of collection until the sampling results are reported. To do this, document who is in possession of the samples using the chain of custody procedures below. One person should be responsible for the care and custody of the samples, and for generating the chain of custody record until the samples are properly transferred or relinquished to the laboratory. Chain of custody tasks include:

- Ensure that the sample labels are properly filled in.
- Complete the chain of custody form with the date, time, parameter, and sample locations for each sample, and sign the form.
- During the transfer of custody of the samples, both the persons relinquishing and receiving the cooler (including lab personnel) must record the date and time on the chain of custody form and sign it.
- Record the shipping method, courier name(s), and other pertinent information as remarks on the chain of custody form.

• The original chain of custody form remains with the samples and a copy must be provided to the facility for inclusion in project records.

Chain of custody records are critical to ensure that no tampering occurs between sample collection and analysis. Your analytical service provider may provide training or written instructions to assist in your completion of accurate custody records. This is another key area where many laboratories invite the opportunity to work with their clients as part of their value-added services.

3.E Conducting Visual Assessments of Stormwater Discharges

Visually inspecting stormwater samples from a measurable discharge at your discharge points is an inexpensive way of assessing the performance of your SCMs. The sample should be collected

and analyzed in a clean, colorless glass or plastic container. It is recommended that you take photographs of the discharges at the time of observation in case more than one person is doing the assessments and because photos can be helpful in determining the effectiveness of your SCMs and any need for changes to your SCMs.

All facilities covered by the 2021 MSGP must perform quarterly visual assessments of stormwater discharges, irrespective of benchmark monitoring.

Visually inspect or observe for the following water quality characteristics, which may be evidence of stormwater pollution:

- Color If the discharge has an unusual color, such as reddish, brown, or yellow hue, this
 may indicate pollutants or suspended sediment.
- Odor If the discharge has a noticeable odor, for instance if it smells like gasoline fumes, rotten eggs, raw sewage, or solvents odor, or has a sour smell, this could be indicative of pollutants in the discharge.
- *Clarity (diminished)* If the discharge is not clear, but is instead cloudy or opaque, this could indicate elevated levels of pollutants in the discharge.
- **Floating solids** If you observe materials floating at or near the top of the container, take note of what the materials appear to be.
- **Settled solids** You should wait about a half hour after collection, then note the type and size of materials that are settled at the bottom of the container.
- Suspended solids Particles suspended in the water will affect its clarity, and color and could be attributable to pollutant sources at your facility.
- *Oil sheen* You should check the surface of the water for a rainbow color or sheen; this would indicate the presence of oil or other hydrocarbons in the discharge.
- Foam You should gently shake the container and note whether there is any foam.
- Other obvious indicators of stormwater pollution.

To record your visual monitoring results, you can use the optional "Quarterly Visual Monitoring Form" in Appendix B (or a comparable one of your own).

Section 4: Evaluate Monitoring Results

The primary purpose of any industrial stormwater monitoring program, consisting of analytic chemical monitoring and visual assessments, is to provide feedback on the performance of your selection and implementation of SCMs. Visual evidence of pollution in a stormwater sample, a spike in the concentration of a benchmark pollutant, or the exceedance of a numeric effluent limitation provides an indicator that modifications or additions to the site's SCMs need to be considered to improve the effectiveness of your stormwater program.

The following will aid you in interpreting your monitoring results and revising your SCMs, if necessary.

4.A Evaluating Visual Assessment Results

For anything but colorless and odorless stormwater in your discharge, you should investigate what area of your site or what specific pollutant sources are contributing to the contamination of your site's runoff. To search for the source of pollutants, you should move upstream from the discharge point. You should scrutinize your exposed industrial materials and activities (material handling equipment, industrial machinery, raw materials, finished product, wastes, or products

that are stored, used, or created onsite, etc.). Examine where material handling activities occur, such as: storage, loading and unloading, and material transporting. Be aware, the source could be from an ongoing activity or the result of a spill or other infrequent occurrence. In looking at your samples, consider the following:

- When there is a distinct color or odor, are the abnormalities associated with any raw materials, chemicals, or other materials used at the site?
- Muddiness or sediment may have been picked up from areas where there is disturbed earth or other unpaved areas lacking adequate SCMs.



Figure 17. Example of oil sheen.

- Foam or oil sheen may be the result of a leak or spill of materials.
- Cloudiness indicates suspended solids such as dust, ash, powdered chemicals, and ground up materials. Determine whether you use any of these materials and whether they are exposed to stormwater.

Clean up all sources of potential contamination, make changes to your SCMs, and update your SWPPP, as necessary.

4.B Evaluating Benchmark Monitoring Results

The analysis of your benchmark monitoring results can yield valuable information about the characteristics of your stormwater discharge and how well your SCMs are working. Once you have received your lab results for your benchmark samples, compare these concentrations to the benchmark thresholds that apply to your facility. The 2021 MSGP requires that you conduct four benchmark samples in your first year and four samples in your fourth year and compare the average value to the applicable benchmarks. If the average concentration of your samples exceeds the benchmark threshold, then you are required under the permit to initiate Additional Implementation Monitoring (AIM) responses which include review of the SWPPP and SCMs to determine if modifications are necessary, and implementation of additional measures that would reasonably be expected to bring the exceedances below the benchmark threshold (See Parts 5.2 and 5.3), However, if fewer than four quarterly samples are collected, but a single sample or the sum of any sample results makes an exceedance of the benchmark mathematically certain (i.e., the sum of the quarterly samples results to date is already more than four times the benchmark threshold) you are required to conduct this evaluation immediately.

Table 6 will help you decide a course of action depending on the results of your benchmark samples.

Table 6. Evaluation of Benchmark Monitoring Results

Does the average of your four quarterly benchmark samples for any pollutant exceed the applicable benchmark concentration? OR

If you have not yet completed your four quarterly benchmark samples, does the total value of your samples

already make an exceedance of the benchmark mathematically certain (e.g., the sum of the concentration of your samples exceeds four times (4X) the benchmark concentration)?					
YES	NO				
You must evaluate whether modifications to the SCMs used at your site are necessary. You will need to consider whether there is a problem in the selection, design, installation, and/or operation of applicable control measures. Follow the AIM procedures in Parts 5.2 and 5.3. An exceedance of a benchmark does not necessarily mean that your control measures are insufficient. Continue reading below for additional items to consider as you proceed.	Sample results below benchmark limits provide an indication that your control measures are working as intended to minimize the discharge of pollutants. Although your samples indicate properly functioning control measures, you should continue to note changes to your site that may affect the quality of stormwater discharge, and to link such changes to your future monitoring results. You are still required to meet all requirements in the permit affecting the implementation and maintenance of your control measures, despite the good results of your benchmark monitoring.				

If benchmarks were exceeded:

- Did you sample correctly?
 - o Did you start with clean sample collection jars and were the samples preserved and submitted to the lab within the allotted time frame?

- O Did you properly sample the discharge flowing from the site or did you collect the sample from a low spot or stagnant pool?
- Was anything atypical going on at the site prior to or during the storm? Atypical activities could include:
 - o A leak or spill that was not adequately cleaned up.
 - Construction, painting, and paving activities.
 - Having a large amount of material (raw materials, wastes, or products) recently delivered or being prepared for shipment.
- Did you observe anything during visual inspections that may have indicated that stormwater discharge would have been exposed to pollutants? If so, are SCMs in place to address the pollutant sources?



Figure 18. Example of visual observation indicating stormwater discharge exposed to pollutants.

The more the benchmark was exceeded, the greater your facility's problems may be, necessitating a more robust response. For example, if your results for TSS were over the benchmark threshold by a relatively small amount (e.g., TSS values of 110 to 150 mg/L, compared to the 100 mg/L benchmark level assigned to TSS), then simply performing additional housekeeping measures (e.g., frequent sweeping) may reduce the values of TSS below the benchmark to 100 mg/L by the next storm. However, an exceedance of TSS above 150 mg/L may warrant new or supplementary control measures (assuming your control measures are performing as designed) that

more effectively reduce the potential for sediment in discharges (e.g., installing storm inlet filters, seeding/stabilizing disturbed areas, implementing dust and debris controlling procedures). TSS values exceeding benchmark thresholds by orders of magnitude indicate a serious problem, and may require structural control measures (e.g., paving, installing berms around piles of loose material, placing operations under cover, placing grassy swales or basins in the discharge flow path to trap sediment).

Until quarterly benchmark monitoring results indicate that an AIM triggering event has not occurred, you must follow the corresponding AIM-level responses and deadlines described in Parts 5.2.3, 5.2.4, and 5.2.5 unless you qualify for an exception under Part 5.2.6

Part 5.2.6 of the 2021 MSGP includes five exceptions that could allow an operator to be relieved of compliance with AIM requirements and continued benchmark monitoring at any AIM level:

- 1. Exceedance of a benchmark threshold is solely attributable to natural background pollutant levels. (Part 5.2.6.1)
- 2. Exceedance of a benchmark threshold is due to run-on from a neighboring source. (Part 5.2.6.2)

- 3. Exceedance of a benchmark threshold was due to an abnormal event (Part 5.2.6.3)
- 4. Exceedance of aluminum or copper benchmark threshold can be demonstrated not to result in an exceedance of a facility-specific value using national recommended water quality criteria in lieu of the applicable MSGP benchmark threshold (Part 5.2.6.4)
- 5. Exceedance of a benchmark threshold can be demonstrated not to result in any exceedance of water quality standards (Part 5.2.6.5)

AIM Exemption Example:

Where benchmark values cannot be reasonably achieved because of local natural background concentrations EPA allows for benchmark exceedances.

For example, high natural background levels of iron in soils or groundwater could cause exceedances of a benchmark threshold. This provision exempts facilities from further AIM response when natural background levels are solely responsible for the exceedance of a benchmark threshold.

To make the determination that the exceedance was caused by natural background pollutant concentrations, background concentrations must be greater than the corresponding benchmark threshold, and there is *no* net facility contribution of the pollutant (i.e., average concentration detected in the discharge from all monitored discharge points over four separate events minus the average natural concentration of the parameter for four separate events does not exceed zero).

For example, if the natural background concentration of TSS from an undisturbed watershed is 200 mg/L, an exemption from AIM response is available if the average of your four benchmark samples is equal to or lower than 200 mg/L. There are additional requisites for claiming a natural background level exemption, including documentation. Details of these are contained in the 2021 MSGP in Part 5.2.6.1 and the Fact Sheet.

4.C Effluent Limitation Guideline Monitoring Results

What happens if your facility is subject to numeric effluent limits (for ELG compliance monitoring) and your stormwater sample exceeds the effluent limits for one or more parameters? Within 24 hours of becoming aware of the violation you must document the discovery of the violation, including:

- A description of the condition or event triggering the need for corrective action review;
- The date the condition/triggering event was identified; and
- A description of immediate actions taken to minimize or prevent the discharge of pollutants.

Within 14 days of becoming aware of the violation, you must document the following information:

- The corrective action(s) taken or to be taken;
- The date(s) corrective action was initiated; and
- The date(s) corrective action was completed or is expected to be completed.

You must submit this documentation with your annual report and retain a copy onsite with your SWPPP.

The 2021 MSGP requires that you indicate any exceedance of a numeric effluent limitation on a Change NOI form in NeT-MSGP, and conduct follow-up monitoring within 30 calendar days of

implementing corrective action(s) (or during the next measurable storm event, should none occur within 30 days)(Part 5 of the 2021 MSGP). Monitoring must be performed for any pollutant(s) that exceeded the effluent limit. If the results from the follow-up monitoring exceed the effluent limit(s), you are required to submit an Exceedance Report to EPA no later than 30 after receipt of your laboratory results. The Exceedance Report must include:

- NPDES ID;
- Facility name, physical address, and location;
- Name of receiving water;
- Monitoring data from this and the preceding monitoring event(s);
- An explanation of the situation, including what you have done and intend to do (should your corrective actions not yet be complete) to correct the violation; and
- An appropriate contact name and phone number.

In addition to preparing the Exceedance Report, you must continue to monitor, at least quarterly, until your stormwater discharge is in compliance with the effluent limits or until EPA waives the requirement for additional monitoring.

4.D Specific Pollutants and Control Measure Options

All facilities need to gear their control measures toward their specific pollutants of concern, as determined by the materials and activities onsite. Below is a brief discussion of some of the most common pollutants and control measure options.

• Total Suspended Solids (TSS). Small sediment particles are easily suspended and carried by surface water flows. These particles may be blown onto the site from unpaved areas within or adjacent to your facility as well as being tracked in on the tires of vehicles. Excess

particles may be self-generated, particularly in the concrete, asphalt, scrap recycling, automobile salvage, and mining sectors. See the discussion above for control measure options for controlling TSS.

Oil and Grease. Often, oil and grease may be observed as a film, sheen, or discoloration on the top of a discharge or receiving water. But such a surface anomaly may not be obvious, in which case detection by a lab would be the only way. This could be a pollutant of concern for any facility, especially if there are exposed vehicles or equipment. Therefore, it is vital that due diligence regarding "reportable quantity" (RQ)



Figure 19. Example of vehicle leak.

spills or leaks be observed. Basically, an RQ for oil is any quantity of oil that causes a film, sheen, or discoloration on a receiving water surface (and for which there are separate reporting requirements

• to regulatory agencies). If detected, you must find the source and mitigate it. Start with the vehicle/equipment maintenance and storage areas or where shipping/receiving and the like are done. Above ground storage tanks and waste storage are other likely sources.

Available control measures range from regularly monitoring these areas and applying an absorbent material (choose a bio-based absorbent, not a clay-based material) as soon as an oil leak or spill is observed. Consider coverage of and secondary containment for storage areas where oil or grease are stored, transferred or disposed of. An oil water separator downstream of the area(s) most likely to contain oil or grease could provide enough treatment to reduce oil and grease to acceptable levels in the discharge.

pH. pH values below benchmark range indicate that acidic substances are exposed to stormwater. In this case you need to determine whether any of your industrial processes use acids and if so, where. Does your facility do plating, or are leadacid batteries used or stored on-site? If acids are being used to clean parts, for example, where are the parts stored after being treated with the acid? Where are waste acids stored and how are they disposed? Which operations could expose acids to stormwater? Coal piles are also a source of acidified discharge.

High pH values indicate that a base or alkaline material (such as lye) is exposed to stormwater. Cement and some cleansers can produce high pH values.



Figure 20. Example of pollutant control measures.

Control measures applicable to controlling pH include housekeeping (sweeping and cleaning areas where materials that affect pH could be exposed to stormwater); overhead coverage and disposal of waste materials in covered receptacles. Low or high pH discharge can be collected and neutralized by adding an appropriate agent to neutralize pH values to the 6.0 - 9.0 range.

Alternatively, flow can be directed to come in contact with a neutralizing substance (e.g., acidic coal pile discharge directed to flow through a limestone channel).

- Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD). COD is the amount of dissolved oxygen in water consumed by the chemical breakdown of organic and inorganic matter (i.e., COD is not a specific component in the discharge). Therefore, a high COD value indicates elevated quantities of pollutants in stormwater discharge, especially carbon. Examples of facilities that handle materials which could cause high COD levels include the wood and paper product industries. Control measures applicable to controlling COD levels are the basic stormwater ones: good housekeeping and covering materials with the potential to allow carbon or other organic materials to be carried by stormwater.
- Metals. Metals originate from many sources and consequently a number of industries must monitor for metals, including facilities such as wood preservative and agricultural chemical makers, mines, and foundries. Depending on a facility's activities, metals can be found in a dissolved form and/or adsorbed to particles or sediment. It is because both the dissolved and particulate forms can occur at the same time is why stormwater discharges are analyzed for "total recoverable metals." After identifying those operations that could expose

- stormwater to metals sources, implement control measures capable of reducing metals concentrations, including good housekeeping (sweeping and disposing of metal wastes in covered containers), covering/shielding operations, and directing run-on away from any critical outdoor areas. Ion exchange techniques can also be employed to remove dissolved metals.
- Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH). PAHs are associated with industrial activities that
 manufacture, use or store creosote or creosote treated wood in areas that are exposed to
 precipitation or from industrial activities that operate on-top of paved surfaces that have
 been sealed or re-sealed with coal-tar sealcoat. PAHs can bind with dust and sediment
 particles that can be picked up by stormwater and transported to control measures or
 directly discharged to receiving waters. After the possible sources of PAHs that can be
 exposed to stormwater are identified, implement control measures to reduce PAHs in the
 stormwater discharge, including good housekeeping (i.e., sweeping and safely disposing of
 waste), stormwater control measures (e.g., stormwater ponds, non-clogging catch-basin)
 and directing runoff and run-on away from possible sources of contamination.

Section 5: Reporting and Recordkeeping

It is important that accurate record-keeping of monitoring activities become a standard operating procedure at your facility. You need to be able to show that monitoring and sampling events not only meet all permit requirements but are defensible and abide by all quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures. It is always preferable to document too much as opposed to too little when dealing with any sort of permit compliance. Create easy to use logbooks for keeping track of rain events. Be sure that your site map is up to date and easy to understand. Develop simple instruction sheets for recording sampling, visual assessments, or other monitoring activities. The instructions should be kept in logical locations (e.g., in sample kits, in the SWPPP notebook) and updated as needed.

When possible, use standardized forms such as those provided in the appendices of this monitoring guide to record your monitoring activities. This will provide consistency in information reported. Example forms are provided in this guide in Appendix A (2021 MSGP Industrial Stormwater Monitoring Form), Appendix B (2021 MSGP Visual Monitoring Form), and Appendix C (2021 MSGP Industrial Stormwater Collection Form).

If possible, regularly transfer sampling records and sample results into databases or spreadsheets. This will provide back-up records for hard-copy logs or forms as well as providing an easy way to analyze your sampling data.

5.A Reporting Monitoring Data

Each state or territory industrial stormwater permit has different requirements for how monitoring data should be reported. Facilities subject to EPA's 2021 MSGP must submit all stormwater discharge monitoring data collected no later than 30 days after receiving complete laboratory results for all monitored discharge points. For any monitored discharge points that did not have a discharge within the reporting period, facilities must report that no discharges occurred for that discharge point no later than 30 days after the end of the reporting period. You must submit even if your facility is reporting a change in status from "active and staffed" to "inactive and unstaffed."

Facilities covered under the 2021 MSGP must submit all stormwater discharge monitoring data through EPA's electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) system, Net-DMR (unless the applicable EPA Regional Office grants a waiver from electronic reporting, in which case facilities may submit a paper DMR form). Monitoring requirements (i.e., parameters required to be monitored and sample frequency) will be prepopulated on the DMR form based on the information facilities report on their NOI forms through NeT-MSGP, EPA's NPDES eReporting Tool for the MSGP. Accordingly, facilities must certify changes to their monitoring frequency to EPA by submitting a Change NOI in NeT-MSGP, which will trigger changes to the monitoring requirements in Net-DMR.

Facilities must submit NOIs, Change NOIs, Notices of Termination (NOTs), No Exposure Certifications (NECs), Annual Reports and other reporting information electronically via NeT-

MSGP, unless the applicable EPA Regional Office grants a waiver from electronic reporting. Additional information on electronic reporting can be found at: https://www.epa.gov/compliance/npdes-ereporting.

This guide will provide information on what documentation is needed for submitting DMRs and Annual Reports.

You will need the following information to submit DMRs via Net-DMR:

- NPDES ID
- The facility SWPPP
- Monitoring records
- Laboratory reports

NPDES ID – The NPDES ID is a unique identifier assigned to your facility by EPA. EPA tracks report submittals using the NPDES ID rather than facility name or address.

Facility SWPPP – The facility SWPPP includes several pieces of information needed for the DMR, including:

- The number of stormwater discharge points and the discharge point IDs.
- Which, if any, of the discharge points discharge substantially identical effluents and are considered SIDPs.
- Alternative monitoring periods if the facility is located in an area of irregular stormwater discharge.

Monitoring Records – Detailed monitoring records will make completing the DMR easier. As previously discussed, monitoring records must include:

- The date(s) of all monitoring events during the DMR reporting period.
- Any stormwater discharge points that did not have a discharge during the DMR reporting period.
- Whether the discharge resulted from rainfall or snowmelt.
- The duration (in hours) of the rainfall event(s).
- The amount (in inches) of rainfall from the monitored rainfall event(s).
- The time (in days) since the previous measurable storm event, which may or may not be the previous monitored measurable storm event.

Laboratory Reports – The laboratory will provide a detailed report with the results of your stormwater analyses and detailed QA/QC data to verify that the results are accurate. For each parameter the laboratory will typically report one of three results to be reported on the DMR.

- The measured concentration to be compared against the benchmark threshold or effluent limitation guideline.
- BQL or below quantitation limit means that the parameter is present at some amount greater than zero but less than the quantitation limit but the method used is not precise

enough to give an exact concentration. Report No Discharge Indicator (NODI) code Q (Not Quantifiable) on the DMR.

ND or not detected means that the parameter was not detected in the sample. Report NODI code B (Below Detection Limit/No Detection) on the DMR.

Other laboratory reports you may need include receiving water hardness results if any of your required parameters are hardness dependent, and data on natural background pollutant levels if you are claiming that an exceedance of a benchmark threshold is due to natural background conditions.

In addition to the information above you will need the following information to submit your Annual Report via NeT-MSGP:

- NPDES ID (see above)
- Routine facility inspection documentation
- Visual assessment documentation
- Corrective action and AIM documentation (including descriptions of any incidences of noncompliance)

Routine Facility Inspections – The 2021 MSGP requires you to summarize findings from routine facility inspections in the Annual Report. Required documentation includes, at a minimum, the date and time of inspection(s), who conducted the inspection(s), the weather at the time of the inspection(s), observations made on the implementation of SCMs, any additional SCMs necessary for permit compliance, and whether any incidences of noncompliance occurred.

Visual Assessments – The 2021 MSGP requires you to summarize findings from visual assessments in the Annual Report. Required documentation includes, at a minimum, the sample location(s), date(s) and times(s) of sample collection and assessment, who collected the sample(s) and who assessed the sample(s), whether the discharge was from rainfall or snowmelt, observations, likely sources of stormwater contamination (if applicable), and reason(s) why sampling did not occur within the first 30 minutes of the discharge (if applicable).

Corrective Actions and AIM Documentation – The 2021 MSGP requires you to implement corrective actions if any of the following conditions occur during an inspection, monitoring or other means or EPA or the operator of the MS4 through which you discharge informs you that any of the following conditions have occurred:

- An unauthorized release or discharge (e.g., spill, leak, or discharge of non-stormwater not authorized by this or another NPDES permit to a water of the United States) occurs at your facility
- A discharge violates a numeric effluent limit listed in Table 2-1 and/or in your Part 8 sectorspecific requirements [of the 2021 MSGP].
- Your SCMs are not stringent enough for your stormwater discharge to be controlled as necessary such that the receiving water of the United States will meet applicable water quality standards or to meet the non-numeric effluent limits in this permit.

- A required control measure was never installed, was installed incorrectly, or not in accordance with Parts 2 and/or 8 [of the 2021 MSGP] or is not being properly operated or maintained.
- Whenever a visual assessment shows evidence of stormwater pollution (e.g., color, odor, floating solids, settled solids, suspended solids, foam).

The 2021 MSGP also requires you to undertake AIM responses if an annual average exceeds an applicable benchmark threshold based on the following events:

- The four-quarterly annual average for a parameter exceeds the benchmark threshold, or
- Fewer than four quarterly samples are collected, but a single sample or the sum of any sample results within the sampling year exceeds the benchmark threshold by more than four times for a parameter. This result indicates an exceedance is mathematically certain (i.e., the sum of quarterly sample results to date is already more than four times the benchmark threshold).

You must document discovery of any of the conditions or triggering events listed above within 24 hours of becoming aware of such condition or triggering event, including a description of the condition or event triggering the need for corrective action review and/or AIM response; the date the condition or triggering event was identified, and a description of immediate actions taken to minimize or prevent the discharge of pollutants. Within 14-days of becoming aware of a condition or triggering event listed above, you must document the corrective actions and/or AIM responses that were taken or will be taken, including dates when you initiated and completed (or expect to complete) each corrective action and/or AIM response. You must summarize findings related to corrective actions and AIM responses in the Annual Report submitted via NeT-MSGP.

Section 6: Train Personnel

You must train your stormwater pollution prevention team in the proper procedures for sample collection, visual assessments, tracking and reporting. Trainings should be held regularly to update staff on any permit or SWPPP changes. New employees that become members of the stormwater pollution prevention team should be trained in general stormwater awareness as well as the following monitoring-specific topics:

- How to anticipate a measurable storm event.
- Where to monitor.
- How to collect and document the collection of stormwater samples including the assembling of "field blank" samples.
- How to perform and document visual assessments.
- How to handle and send the samples to the laboratory.
- How to interpret the results.
- How to keep accurate and complete records and report appropriate information to the permitting authority.

Section 7: References

- APHA (American Public Health Association). 1998. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20th Edition. American Public Health Association, 20th Edition.
- Ecology. 2002. How To Do Stormwater Sampling: A Guide for Industrial Facilities. Publication #02-10-071. State of Washington Department of Ecology, Olympia, Washington.
- "EPA Administered Permit Programs: The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System." Code of Federal Regulations Title 40, Pt. 122.
- "Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants." Code of Federal Regulations Title 40, Pt. 136.
- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1992. NPDES Storm Water Sampling Guidance Document. EPA 833-8-92-001. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington D.C.
- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 2021. NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity (MSGP). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington D.C.

Appendix A: 2021 MSGP Industrial Stormwater Monitoring Form

MSGP Industrial Stormwater/Snowmelt Monitoring Summary Form

Name of Facility:													
Address:	Address:							Pollutants to sample (Method)					
NPDES ID:													
	1												
				ELG									
Industry Sector	Pollutants	Benchmark Level	Daily Max	Monthly Average	Instant Min/Max								
		Sample S	ummary										
Industry Sector Outfall Identifier (SIC)			Basis	Frequency	Timing								

MSGP Sample Collection Form Page____ of ____

Appendix B: 2021 MSGP Visual **Monitoring Form**

MSGP Quarterly Visual Assessment Form

(Complete a separate form for each outfall you assess)

	MSGP Vis	ual Assessmen	nt Form	
((Complete a separate fo	rm for each discharg	e point you ass	sess)
Name of Facility: Enter Name	of Facility		NPDES ID	D. Insert NPDES ID
Sample Location: Enter Discharge ID	☐ Yes (i☐ No	identify SIDPs):		
Person(s)/Title(s) Collecting Samp	le: Enter Name(s)/Title(s	s)		
Signature(s) of Person(s) Collectin	g Sample:			
Person(s)/Title(s) Examining Samp	. ,	(s)		
Signature(s) of Person(s) Examining	ng Sample:			
Date & Time Discharge Began: Enter Date and Time	Date & Time Sample Enter Date and Time minutes, explain why	e. If sample not taken	within first 30	Date & Time Sample Examined: Enter Date and Time
Substitute Sample?	□ No □ Yes* (identif	y quarter/year when —	sample was ori	ginally scheduled to be collected):
Is this a substitute sample for quar assessments distributed during seprecipitation more regularly occurs	asons when schedu	Yes* (identify tiled to be collected):		r when the sample was originally
Nature of Discharge: ☐ Rainfall	☐ Snowmelt			
	Previous Storm Ended adays) Before Start of Th		☐ Yes [No**
	(describe):			
	Po	llutants Observed		
Color None Other	(describe):			
•	Sewage Sulfur (describe):		oleum/Gas	
Clarity	Cloudy 🗌 Cloudy 🔲	Opaque Other		
Floating Solids No No	Yes (describe):		_	
Settled Solids***	Yes (describe):		_	
Suspended Solids	Yes (describe):		_	
Foam (gently shake sample)	□ No □ Y	'es (describe):		

Oil Sheen
Other (describe):
Other Obvious
* Your facility must be located in an area where limited rainfall occurs during many parts of the year (e.g., arid or semi-arid climate) or in an area where freezing conditions exist that prevent discharges from occurring for extended periods. Identify the quarter/year when the sample was originally scheduled to be collected.
** The 72-hour (three day) interval can be waived when the previous storm did not yield a measurable discharge or if you are able to document (attach applicable documentation) that less than a 72-hour (three day) interval is representative of local storm events during the sampling period.
*** Observe for settled solids after allowing the sample to sit for approximately one-half hour.
Sampling not performed due to adverse conditions: No Yes (explain):
Sampling not performed due to no measurable storm event occurring that resulted in a discharge during the monitoring quarter: No Yes (explain):
Identify probable sources of any observed stormwater contamination. Also, include any additional comments, descriptions of pictures taken, and any corrective actions necessary below (attach additional sheets as necessary). Insert details
Certification Statement (Refer to MSGP Appendix B, Part B.11 for Signatory Requirements) I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information contained therein. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information contained is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.
A. Name: B. Title:
C. Signature: D. Date Signed:
* The 72-hour interval can be waived when the previous storm did not yield a measurable discharge or if you are able to document (attach applicable documentation) that less than a 72-hour interval is representative of local storm events during the sampling period. ** Observe for settled solids after allowing the sample to sit for approximately one-half hour.
Sampling not performed due to adverse conditions:
□ No □ Yes (explain):
Sampling not performed due to no measurable storm event occurring that resulted in a discharge during the monitoring quarter: No Yes (explain):

Detail any concerns, additional comments, descriptions of pictures taken, and any corrective actions taken below (attach additional sheets as necessary).

Certification by Facility Responsible Official (Refer to MSGP Appendix B, Part B.11 for Signatory Requirements)

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

A. Name	_ B. Title				
C. Signature	D. Date Signed				

Appendix C: 2021 MSGP Industrial Stormwater Collection Form

MSGP Industrial Stormwater/Snowmelt Discharge Collection Form

Name o	f Facility	<i>r</i> :					Тур	e of	Analy	/ses	Requ	uired			ction Information
Address	S :														Sample Collection Began: Sample Collection Ended (if different):
Person((s)/Title(s	s) collecting sa	ample:												
NPDES	ID:														
Outfall I	Numbers	s/Sample Loca	itions:												
		Dis	charge Informatio	n											
Nature	of Disch		e): Rainfall or Snov												
Rainfall	Amount	(inches):													
		ge Sampling:				Containers									
		orm Began:			1 {	tair									
		orm Ended:													
Date &	Time of	Previous Mea	surable Storm Ever	nt:	di.	ਰੋਂ								Ch - d - d 4	
					2	ber									for laboratory use only
Date	Time	Sample Iden	tification/Outfall		Preservative (V/N)	Number								Sample Type	Laboratory Log Number
					_			-							
					_										
Sample (<i>signatu</i>			Date/Time:	Relinquished by: (signature)			Dat	te/Tin	ne:		Rece (sign	eived nature	•		Date/Time:
Received by: Date/Time: Received by:				Dat	te/Tin	ne:		Received by:				Date/Time:			
(signature) (signature)					(signature)										

The 72-hour interval can be waived when the previous storm did not yield a measurable discharge or if you are able to document (attach applicable documentation) that less than a 72-hour interval is representative of local storm events during the sampling period.

Detail any concerns, additional comments, descriptions of pictures taken, and any	corrective actions below (attach additional sheets as necessary
Certification by Facility Responsible Official (Refer to MSGP Appendix B, Part B.11	for Signatory Requirements)
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared unde assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false informations.	ed. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the on submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate
A. Name	B. Title
C. Signature	D. Date Signed
MSGP Sample Collection Form	Pageof

Attachment J	Endangered	Species	Documentation
--------------	------------------------------	----------------	----------------------

Attachment K – Notice of Intent (NOI) Form and EPA Confirmation

Attachment L – Operator's Guide

Operator's Guide

SUMMARY OF REQUIRED TESTING/REPORTING:

- Quarterly Visual Assessment: Once/quarter starting in Quarter XX of 20XX, take one sample at each outfall (001-007) and conduct visual inspection; use visual monitoring form and maintain records on-site. See Quarterly Visual Assessment Log in Attachment H.
- 2. Quarterly Benchmark Monitoring/Testing: Once/quarter starting in Quarter XX of 20XX, take one sample each outfall (001-007) for TSS; submit results each quarter within 30 days of receipt of results. Continue quarterly sampling until four consecutive results are below benchmark limits. Then sampling resumes in year four of permit coverage. If TSS meets benchmark limits in year four, operator can discontinue monitoring for the duration of permit coverage. See Section 4.7 of the SWPPP.
- 3. **Routine Inspection:** Once/week for active areas and once/month for stabilized areas conduct a site wide facility inspection and review of PPP; maintain records on-site. See Table 3 in Section 4.6.1 of the SWPPP for inspection location and frequency. See routine inspection forms in Attachment G.
- 4. **Quarterly Indicator Monitoring (pH, TSS, COD):** Once/quarter starting in Quarter XX of 20XX, take one sample at each outfall (001-007) for **pH, TSS, and COD** for the duration of the permit. This is "report only" and does not have a threshold value nor does it require follow-up action. See Section 4.7 of the SWPPP.
- 5. **Bi-Annual Indicator Monitoring (polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons):** Not necessary because the facility is not sealing or re-sealing with coal-tar sealcoat.
- **6. Annual Impaired Waters Testing:** No impaired waters testing required.
- **7. Effluent Limitation Guideline Monitoring/Testing:** Not required during normal landfill operations. See Section 8.L.11 of the SWPPP for more information.
- 8. **Spills:** Report spills and document all corrective actions; maintain records on site and send to Engineer for Annual Report. See Significant Spills and Leaks Worksheet in **Attachment D**.
- 9. Annual Report:
 - a. Submit copy of annual report to EPA using the NPDES eReporting Tool (NeT) for MSGP by January 30th for each year of permit coverage.
 - b. Retain original with the SWPPP.
- 10. **SWPPP Update:** Engineer to update the SWPPP at least once per year, no later than 45 days after conducting the final routine inspection for the year.

Appendix P

Tax Map and Property Figures




FIGURE 14

PROPOSED COMPLIANCE BOUNDARY IN DALTON, NEW HAMPSHIRE FOR

GRANITE STATE LANDFILL, LLC

DOUGLAS DRIVE, DALTON, NEW HAMPSHIRE

NOTIZORSEngineering


Engineering - Land Surveying - Environment



	PROJECT #:	19045	NO.	DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION	ENG	DWG
	DATE:	JULY 2023		JULY 12 2023	REVISED TO REFLECT CURRENT SUBJECT PARCELS, SETBACK REQUIREMENTS AND LANDFILL WASTE LIMIT.	CMA	KRP
	SURVEYED BY:	HEI	<u> </u>				
	ENGINEERED BY:	HEI/CMA					
L	DRAWN BY: 9	JB/BDD/KRP					
	CHECKED BY:						
	CHECKED BT:	ATM	_				

Appendix Q

FEMA Flood Plain Mapping





CIVIL/ENVIRONMENTAL/STRUCTURAL

Portsmouth, NH 603/431-6196 c m a e n g i n e e r s . c o m

Granite State Landfill, LLC.
Granite State Landfill
Dalton, New Hamsphire
Alteration of Terrain Permit Application

FEMA Flood Map

Appendix R

Reduced Size Drawings (8.5x11)

Appendix S

Erosion Control and Select Sand (Wetland Fill) Specifications

SECTION 02234 SELECT SAND

PART 1 GENERAL

1.01 REQUIREMENTS INCLUDED

A. Procurement of select sand and placement of the eighteen (18) inch layer of select sand as shown on the Drawings.

1.02 RELATED REQUIREMENTS

- A.Section 01300 Submittals
- B.Section 01400 Quality Assurance / Quality Control
- C.Section 02223 Filling
- D. Section 02273 Drainage Geocomposite

1.03 REFERENCE STANDARDS

- A. ASTM D422 -63 (2007) Standard Test Method for Particle-Size Analysis of Soils
- B. ASTM D1557: Test Method for Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using Modified Effort
- C. ASTM D5084: Test Method for Measurement of Hydraulic Conductivity of Saturated Porous Materials Using a Flexible Wall Permeameter
- D. ASTM D4373: Standard Test Method for Rapid Determination of Carbonate Content of Soils.

1.04 SUBMITTALS

- A. The Contractor shall provide a sample for laboratory testing by the Engineer to determine that the select sand meets the specified grain size distribution and hydraulic conductivity for at least every 3,000 cy processed on-site or delivered to the site.
- B. The Contractor shall submit all proposed low ground pressure (LGP) equipment for Engineer's review.
- C. The Engineer shall complete direct shear box tests of the select sand and the proposed drainage geocomposite completed in conformance with Section 02780 Direct Shear Interface Testing.
- D. The Contractor shall provide one sample for laboratory testing by the Engineer for calcium carbonate content from each source of select sand.

PART 2 PRODUCTS

2.01 SELECT SAND

A. Select sand shall be well graded sand without excessive fines, free from loam clay, and organic matter and meeting the following grain size distribution:

U.S. Standard	% Finer
Sieve Size	By Weight
1 in.	100
#4	60-100
#10	40-95
#40	10-50
#100	0-20
#200	0-6

- B. Select sand shall not contain more than 15 percent calcium carbonate as determined by ASTM D4373. At least one test shall be performed on a representative sample from each source.
- C. Select sand shall have a hydraulic conductivity greater than or equal to $1 \times 10-3$ cm/sec as determined by ASTM D5084.
- D. Interface Shear Strength:
 - a. Interface Shear testing shall be completed in conformance with Section 02780 Direct Shear Interface Testing.

PART 3 FXECUTION

3.01 PREPARATION

- A. Verify that the stockpiled select sand is reviewed by the Engineer.
- B. Verify the lines and grades to which the select sand is to be placed and compacted.
- C. Verify that the area on which select sand is to be placed is free from debris, snow, ice, or water and that the ground surface is not frozen.

3.02 PLACEMENT OF MATERIALS

A. Placement of the select sand over geomembrane and drainage geocomposite materials shall be by "low ground pressure" (LGP) rated equipment having a bearing pressure of no greater than 4.7 pounds per square inch measured at 12 inches above the geomembrane. No equipment will be allowed at any time directly on the liner or geotextile fabric.

- B. Select sand shall be placed by LGP equipment in lifts no less than the proposed minimum thickness for that area. In no case shall this be less than 12 inches.
- C. Non LGP equipment which is necessary to operate over the lining system shall be operated on additional depths of sand or other materials to provide an equivalent bearing pressure of 4.7 pounds per square inch at 12 inches above the geomembrane.
- D. Coordinate placement and compaction of the select sand with the installation of other components of work shown on the Plans, taking all measures to prevent damage to these materials while placing the select sand.
- E. The select sand layer will be spread to a consistent depth and uniform surface, being compacted only by passage of the low ground pressure machinery. No vibratory equipment is to be used in compacting the select sand.
- F. Select Sand placed on slopes of 3 horizontal to 1 vertical or steeper must be placed from the toe of the slope uphill to the crest. Any placement across or down slopes must be approved by the Engineer.
- G. The select sand layer may not require testing for compaction densities if the Engineer believes that the sand is being placed in layers and compacted as required. If requested by Engineer, Contractor shall coordinate for testing by the Engineer to demonstrate a minimum 90% of maximum dry density is achieved.

3.03 BACKFILLING WETLANDS

Select Sand used for wetlands backfill shall be placed in 12-inch loose lifts and compacted to 95 percent of Modified Proctor maximum density per ASTM D-1557.

END OF SECTION

SECTION 02270 EROSION CONTROL

PART 1 GENERAL

1.01 REQUIREMENTS INCLUDED

- A. Installation and maintenance of temporary erosion control devices.
- B. Erosion control measures to fully manage runoff and potential erosion conditions which may develop during construction and prior to the functioning of completed permanent erosion control devices.
- C. Compliance with federal, state, and local regulations pertaining to erosion control, and the Site-Specific Permit conditions for this project.

1.02 RELATED REQUIREMENTS

- A. Section 02222 Excavation
- B. Section 02223 Filling
- C. Section 02232 Stone Fill
- D. Section 02930 Loaming and Seeding

1.03 DESIGN CRITERIA

- A. Conduct all construction in a manner and sequence that causes the least practical disturbance of the physical environment.
- B. Stabilize disturbed earth surfaces in the shortest practical time and employ temporary erosion control devices as necessary until such time as adequate soil stabilization has been achieved.
- C. The erosion control devices shown on the Drawings and as specified herein represent the minimum required work for erosion control. The Contractor shall add to these minimum devices measures to effectively prevent migration of sediment from the work area.
- D. All manufactured erosion and sediment control products, except for silt fence installed in accordance with Env-Wq 1506.04, utilized for, but not limited to, slope protection, runoff diversion, slope interruption, perimeter control, inlet protection, check dams, and sediment traps shall not contain welded plastic, plastic, or multi-filament or monofilament polypropylene netting or mesh.

PART 2 PRODUCTS

2.01 SANDBAGS

Heavy cloth bags of approximately one cubic foot capacity filled with sand or gravel.

2.02 MULCH

Mulch shall consist of cured hay. When air dried in the loose state, the contents of a representative bale shall lose not more than 15 percent of the resulting air-dried weight of the bale. It shall be free from primary noxious weed seeds and rough or woody materials.

2.03 EROSION CONTROL BLANKET

- A. Erosion control blankets shall be North American Green SC150BN, or approved equivalent. Erosion control blankets shall consist of all-natural material with no plastic netting or mesh.
- B. The Contractor shall store the blanket in a manner which protects them from damage by construction traffic and extended ultraviolet exposure.
- C. Type of fasteners and their spacing shall be in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations.

2.04 STONE FILL

As defined in Section 02232 "Stone Fill" and as required by the Plans. Stone used for check dams shall be crushed stone as defined in Section 02232.

2.05 SILT FENCE

- A. The silt fence shall be Propex Silt-Stop sediment control fabric or approved equivalent.
- B. Support poles and fastenings to be used shall be as specified by the manufacturer.
- C. Spacing and location of poles and fastenings shall be in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations, or more frequently if required.

2.06 COMPOST SOCK

- A. Compost sock shall be 8" Filtrexx SiltSoxx Natural or approved equivalent.
- B. Compost fill and installation shall be in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations.
- C. Compost socks are to be removed and disposed at the conclusion of the project.

2.07 EROSION CONTROL MIX (ECM) BERM

- A. ECM shall conform to Env-Wq 1506.06
- B. Stump grindings shall be generated from the project site or locally sourced and shall be void of invasive species.
- C. The maximum length of slope above the erosion control berm is 100'. Berms may only be utilized in areas where there is no concentration of water.
- D. ECM berms shall be installed following the contour of the land as closely as possible.
- E. ECM berms shall be used only if the area upslope of the berm has a slope of less than 5%.

- F. ECM berms shall not be installed in live streams or as check dams in drainage swales.
- G. ECM berms must be free of refuse, physical contaminants, and material toxic to plant growth.
- H. The organic matter content should be between 25% and 65% based on dry weight that is fibrous and elongated such as from shredded bark, stump grindings, composted bark, or equivalent manufactured products and not comprised of wood chips, bark chips, ground construction debris, or reprocessed wood products.
- I. The mix should not contain silts, clays or fine sand, have a pH between 5.0 and 8.0, and have a particle size by weight of 100% passing a 3-inch screen, 90 to 100% passing a 1-inch screen, 70 to 100% passing a 0/75-inch screen, and 40 to 75% passing a 0.25-inch screen.

2.08 TEMPORARY SEEDING

Prior to establishment of the final vegetation on disturbed areas, placement and establishment of temporary grasses will be completed if required. Seed for temporary control shall comply with NHDES Section 644 WF Seed Type 54 or approved annual or perennial ryegrass. Hay mulch shall be applied to temporary seeded areas at a rate of 1½ to 2 tons per acre.

2.09 BONDED FIBER MATRIX

- A. Bonded fiber matrix shall be installed on all vegetated slopes steeper than 3:1. Acceptable manufacturers include:
 - 1. Mat Inc. (Soil Guard);
 - 2. Central Fiber Corporation (Spray Matt);
 - 3. Terra Novo (Earthguard Fiber Matrix); or
 - 4. Approved equivalent.

PART 3 EXECUTION

3.01 TEMPORARY EROSION CHECKS

- A. Construct temporary erosion checks in ditches and other locations required to effectively control erosion.
- B. The erosion control procedures shall conform to NHDOT Specifications, Division 600, Section 645 "Erosion Control".
- C. Construct temporary barriers along the toe of embankments when necessary or as designated by the Engineer.
- D. Construct temporary side drains in intervals as necessary or as designated by the Engineer.
- E. Stone check dams shall be installed in drainage swales where shown on the Plans. Additional stone check dams may be required as conditions dictate and shall be placed as necessary.
- F. Silt fence, compost sock, and/or ECM berms shall be installed along the limits of work where shown on the Plans, and at any other locations as necessary to control erosion as conditions dictate.

3.02 BONDED FIBER MATRIX

A. Contractor shall apply a Bonded Fiber Matrix on vegetated slopes steeper than 3:1 in accordance with the manufacturers' recommendations for long-term erosion control.

3.03 INSTALLATION OF EROSION CONTROL BLANKET

A. CHANNEL INSTALLATION

- 1. Erosion control blankets shall be installed as directed by the Engineer in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. The extent of erosion control blankets shall be as shown on Drawings.
- 2. Erosion control blankets shall be installed parallel to the flow of water. The first roll shall be centered longitudinally in mid-channel and anchored with staples, as required by the manufacturer. Subsequent rolls shall follow from channel center outward and be abutted to allow installation of a common row of staples.
- 3. Successive lengths of erosion control blankets shall be overlapped ("shingled") sufficiently for a common row of staples with the upstream end on top. Staple the overlap across the end of each of the overlapping lengths.
- 4. A trench shall be located at the upstream termination. Erosion control blanket shall be stapled to the bottom of the trench. Backfill and compact the trench.

B. SLOPE INSTALLATION

- 1. Erosion control blankets shall be installed as directed by the Engineer in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. The extent of erosion control blankets shall be as shown on Drawings, where required.
- 2. Erosion control blankets shall be oriented in vertical strips and anchored with staples, as required by the manufacturer. Adjacent strips shall be abutted to allow for installation of a common row of staples. Horizontal joints between erosion control blankets shall be overlapped sufficiently for a common row of staples ("shingled") with the uphill end on top.
- 3. Where exposed to overland sheet flow, a trench shall be located at the uphill termination. Erosion control blanket shall be stapled to the bottom of the trench. Backfill and compact the trench.
- 4. Where terminating in a channel, slope erosion control blanket shall overlap channel erosion control blanket sufficiently for a common row of staples.

3.04 EROSION CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION

A. During construction and thereafter, erosion control measures are to be implemented as shown on the Plans, and additionally as may be necessary to manage conditions as they occur.

The smallest practical areas of land should be exposed at any one-time during development. When the land is exposed during development, the exposure should be kept to the shortest practical time as approved by the Engineer.

B. Erosion rills or gullies in the Work area or in areas topographically below the work area shall be regraded and seeded at no additional cost to the Owner until an accepted vegetative stand is established.

END OF SECTION

Appendix T

Historical Aerial Photos and USGS Maps



Historical Aerial Photo Report | 2020

Order Number: 48516 Report Generated: 01/19/2021

Project Name: Granite State LF Project Number: 1101

Chick and Forest Lake Property
Dalton
Whitefield, NH

2 Corporate Dr Suite 450 Shelton, CT 06484 Toll Free: 866-211-2028 www.envirositecorp.com Envirosite's Historical Aerial Photo Report is designed to assist in evaluating a subject property resulting from past activities. Envirosite's Historical Aerial Photo Report includes a search of available historical aerial photographs, dating back to the 1930s, or earliest available photographs.

ENVIROSITE SEARCHED SOURCES

SUBJECT PROPERTY:

Chick and Forest Lake Property Dalton Whitefield, NH

VEAD	20415	2011205
<u>YEAR:</u>	<u>SCALE:</u>	<u>SOURCE:</u>
1960	1" = 1,500'	U.S.G.S
1972	1" = 1,500'	U.S.G.S
1978	1" = 1,500'	U.S.G.S
1986	1" = 1,500'	NHAP
1993	1" = 1,500'	DOQ
1999	1" = 1,500'	NAPP
2008	1" = 1,500'	NAIP
2009	1" = 1,500'	NAIP
2011	1" = 1,500'	NAIP
2012	1" = 1,500'	NAIP
2014	1" = 1,500'	NAIP
2016	1" = 1,500'	NAIP
2019	1" = 1,500'	NAIP

Disclaimer - Copyright and Trademark Notice

All information contained in this report are based on data available from various public, government and other sources and are based upon the best data available from those sources. The information available in this report may be available from other sources and is not exclusive or the exclusive property of Envirosite Corporation.

NO WARRANTY EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, IS MADE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. ALL RISK IS ASSUMED BY USER AND Envirosite assumes no liability for faulty or inaccurate information. The Reports may utilize a variety of public and other sources reasonably available to Envirosite. Envirosite cannot, and does not assure, warrant, guarantee or assume any liability for the correctness, comprehensiveness, timeliness or completeness of any of such information, nor is the information in any Report to be construed as legal advice with respect to environmental risks associated with any property. Envirosite shall not be liable to anyone for any claims, causes of action, suits, damages, losses, costs and expenses (including, without limitation, attorneys' fees and costs) arising out of or caused by this report regardless of the acts, errors or omissions, or negligence of Envirosite. Any damages shall be limited to the purchase price of the report.

Purchaser of the report accepts the report "As Is". The report is intended only to provide information only and should not be considered as providing any legal advice, prediction, forecast, or fact as to the environmental risk for any specific property. Reports are proprietary to Envirosite, and contain copyrighted material and trademarks of Envirosite. All other trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners. All rights of Envirosite as to the Reports are reserved.

