ATTACHMENT A

2022 New Hampshire Clean Diesel Grant Program Final Scoring Results and Funding Amounts of Eligible Proposals (Rounds 1-4)

Grant Applicant	Project Location	Funding Amount	Score				
Town of Durham	Durham	\$723,681	112				
Windfield Alloy	Atkinson	\$175,200	96				
Town of Enfield	Enfield	\$56,250	90				
Town of Bradford	Bradford	\$101,000	89				
S&J Transportation	Lee	\$141,676	86				
Town of Loudon (2 of 2)	Loudon	\$42,000	82				
Town of Barnstead	Barnstead	\$58,750	81				
Town of Dalton	Dalton	\$61,045	80				
Jalbert Leasing LLC	Portsmouth	\$171,250	75				
Town of Fitzwilliam	Fitzwilliam	\$55,475	72				
Town of Loudon (1 of 2)	Loudon	\$52,358	60				
Town of Goffstown	Goffstown	\$315,000	Withdrawn				
Town of Hudson	Hudson	\$206,250	Withdrawn				

Detailed Scoring Results

Applicant	Cost Effectiveness Of Emission Reductions	Percent Operation in NH	Project Benefits an Environmental Justice Community or Population	Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions	Clarity of Proposal & Potential for Success	Project Benefits an Area Populated by Sensitive Receptors	Total Base Score	Replacement with Alternative Fuel	Government Entity	Additional Benefits	Final Score
Maximum	45	20	10	10	10	5	100	25	10	5	140
Town of Durham	25	20	9	4	10	4	72	25	10	5	112
Windfield Alloy	45	20	4	10	10	3	92	0	0	4	96
Town of Goffstown	6	20	4	10	9	4	53	25	10	5	93
Town of Enfield	45	20	5	1	8	1	80	0	10	0	90
Town of Bradford	43	20	6	1	7	1	78	0	10	1	89
S&J Transportation	44	16	5	4	10	4	83	0	0	3	86
Town of Loudon (2 of 2)	38	20	4	1	7	1	71	0	10	1	82
Town of Barnstead	36	20	5	3	5	1	70	0	10	1	81
Town of Dalton	29	20	7	1	10	1	68	0	10	2	80
Jalbert Leasing LLC	45	7	8	2	9	3	74	0	0	1	75
Town of Fitzwilliam	25	20	7	1	7	1	61	0	10	1	72
Town of Loudon (1 of 2)	15	20	5	1	7	1	49	0	10	1	60
Town of Hudson	21	20	1	2	3	1	48	0	10	2	60

Reviewers

Name	Agency/Organization	Title	Years of Experience	Rounds Scored
Joe Fontaine	NHDES Air Resources Division Tech Services Bureau	Technical Programs Manager	32	1 & 2
Rebecca Ohler	NHDES Air Resources Division Tech Services Bureau	Tech Services Administrator	24	1, 2, 3, 4
Lucy St. John	NHDOT Bureau of Planning & Community Assistance	Senior Planner	7	1, 2, 3, 4
Timothy White	NHDES Air Resources Division Tech Services Bureau	Mobile Sources Section Supervisor	7	1 & 2
Jessica Wilcox	NHDES Air Resources Division Tech Services Bureau	Mobile Sources Section Supervisor	6	3, 4
Phil Lamoreaux	NHDES Air Resources Division Tech Services Bureau	Climate and Energy Program Manager	1	1, 2, 4

Criteria	Points		
A. Cost Effectiveness of Emissions Reductions Calculated using EPA's Diesel Emission Quantifier (DEQ) or Shore Power Emissions Calculator (for marine shore power projects only) and based upon the estimated lifetime emission reductions ¹ of NO _x and PM _{2.5} .			
B. Percent Operation in NH	20		
Based on hours of operation or miles traveled within NH.	20		
C. Project Benefits an Environmental Justice Community or Population			
Evaluated using EPA's EJScreen mapping tool for areas most affected by project's proposed emissions reductions (e.g. areas of operation, corporate offices, and lots/depots).	10		
D. Greenhouse Gas Emission Reductions			
Calculated using the DEQ's or Shore Power Emissions Calculator (for marine shore power projects only) estimated lifetime reduction ¹ of CO ₂ emissions.	10		
E. Clarity of Proposal & Potential for Success			
Based on clarity of application materials, applicant's experience with similar projects, and potential for successful completion of project on time and on budget.	10		
F. Project Benefits an Area Populated by Sensitive Receptors			
Affected unit(s) operates a significant amount of time near groups of people disproportionately impacted by exposure to air pollution (e.g. children, the elderly, populations with underlying health issues).			
Bonus Criteria	Points		
G. Replacement with Alternative Fuel	25		
Up to 25 points awarded for electric; up to 15 points for compressed natural gas, propane, and plug-in hybrid electric.	25		
H. Government Entity			
Whether or not the unit(s)/technology is owned by a municipality, state agency, school district, or state college/university or will be operated under a contract with one of these entities for no fewer than eight years following the effective date of the project.	10		
I. Additional Benefits			
Other benefits created by project, including water quality, noise reduction, a plan to showcase the project, leveraging the project for future projects, environmental benefits beyond air quality, and more.	5		