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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## BACKGROUND

This project was completed under contract with the NH Department of Environmental Services, Drinking Water and Groundwater Bureau. The objective of the project was to produce an accurate estimate of costs to replace existing community water system infrastructure over a 20 year period. This information would possibly be used as part of a wider effort to estimate replacement costs of other water-related infrastructure.

Drinking water system replacement costs have previously been estimated through the EPA Drinking Water Infrastructure Needs Survey and Assessment (DWINSA) conducted by EPA every four years. The DWINSA relies primarily on a random sample survey of water systems, and includes community water systems and not-for-profit non-community systems. The survey results are used to allocate Drinking Water State Revolving Funds (DWSRF) to the individual states to fund infrastructure improvements. The most recent DWINSA conducted in 2007 identified over $\$ 320.8$ B of need for State Community drinking water systems nationally as summarized in Table ES-1 and Figure ES-1.

States that receive a minimum 1 percent of the most recent DWSRF allotment, including New Hampshire, were given the option of not participating in the state-specific statistical portion of the 2007 DWINSA. As New Hampshire is among the 13 opt-out states, the needs of NH systems serving from 3,301 to 100,000 people are estimated by applying data derived from participating states to NH's inventory of systems. Year 2007 DWINSA estimate for NH for 20year needs was $\$ 847$ million (including both community and non-community water systems).

The Pie Chart below shows totals for national combined states' Community Water Systems 20year need, from DWINSA Fourth Report to Congress, Exhibit 1.5 (Billions in January 2007 Dollars).

## FIGURE ES-1

## 2007 EPA DWINSA ESTIMATED 20-YEAR NATIONAL NEED FOR STATES



TABLE ES-1
TOTAL 20-YEAR NATIONAL NEED (IN BILLIONS OF DOLLARS) FOR STATES COMMUNITY WATER SYSTEMS BY SYSTEM SIZE AND TYPE

| System Size <br> and Type | Distribution and <br> Transmission | Treatment | Storage | Source | Other | Total <br> Need |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Large Water <br> Systems | $\$ 72.5$ | $\$ 26.6$ | $\$ 9.9$ | $\$ 6.5$ | $\$ 0.9$ | $\$ 116.3$ |
| Medium Water <br> Systems | $\$ 91.5$ | $\$ 29.8$ | $\$ 15.9$ | $\$ 7.1$ | $\$ 0.8$ | $\$ 145.1$ |
| Small Water <br> Systems | $\$ 34.7$ | $\$ 10.3$ | $\$ 8.5$ | $\$ 5.2$ | $\$ 0.6$ | $\$ 59.4$ |
| Total National <br> Need | $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 6 6 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 . 8}$ | $\$ \mathbf{2 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 0 . 8}$ |

From Exhibit 1.5 DWINSA Fourth Report to Congress
Estimated Need for All States Community Water Systems in January 2007 Dollars

## GOALS AND OBJECTIVES OF THIS STUDY

In contrast with the previous EPA sponsored DWINSA cost estimates, the current study focused on community (residential) public water systems in New Hampshire. The study approach also varied in that it relied on asset inventory data and actual construction costs to the maximum extent possible. The study developed a cost model for the same basic asset groupings identified in the 2007 DWINSA with few exceptions. The goals and objectives of the study were as follows:

- Identify an accurate, reliable cost model to determine true water infrastructure needs
- Incorporate a real inventory of water infrastructure assets in the development of a cost model using NHDES' water system database
- Consider actual construction costs and asset useful life for each class of asset
- Determine the projected infrastructure need in the State of New Hampshire for the next 20-year period.


## FINDINGS AND RESULTS

Table ES-2 summarizes 20-year drinking water infrastructure asset costs.

## TABLE ES-2

PROJECTED ANNUAL WATER DISTRIBUTION NEEDS IN NEW HAMPSHIRE*

| Description | Number | Est 2010 <br> Replacement Cost of Entire Infrastructure Group (\$M) | Est Avg Useful Life | Est 20-Yr Need (\$M) * | Avg Annual Expenditure (\$M/Yr) ** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distribution and Transmission Piping |  |  |  |  |  |
| Systems w/out Fire Protection | 584 (23 w/ pop > 500) | \$471 | 50 \& 100 | \$158.8 | \$7.9 |
| Systems with Fire Protection | 139 |  |  |  |  |
| small (pop < 500) | 31 | \$62 | 100 | \$12.4 | \$0.6 |
| Medium (pop 500-3300) | 69 | \$568 | 100 | \$113.5 | \$5.7 |
| Large (pop 3300-40,000) | 36 | \$2,018 | 100 | \$403.7 | \$20.2 |
| Very Large (pop 40,000) | 3 | \$710 | 100 | \$142.1 | \$7.1 |
| Subtotal Fire Protection |  | \$3,359 |  | \$671.7 | \$33.6 |
| Subtotal All Piping | 723 | \$3,829 |  | \$830.5 | \$41.5 |
| Atmospheric Storage |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large Atmospheric Storage for Fire Protection | 261 | \$251 | 75 | \$67.0 | \$3.5 |
| Small Atmospheric Storage for GW Supply | 447 systems | \$42 | 30 | \$27.7 | \$1.4 |
| Subtotal Atmospheric Storage |  | \$293 |  | \$94.7 | \$4.9 |
| Pressure Booster Stations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pressure Boosting Pump Stations | 115 | \$43 | Service Lives for each Bldg Asset Class | \$48 | \$2.4 |
| Water Treatment Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface Water Treatment | 39 | \$289 | Service Lives for each Bldg Asset Class | \$261 | \$13.1 |
| Ground Water Treatment | 506 | \$477 | Service Lives for each Bldg Asset Class | \$407 | \$20.3 |
| Total Water Treatment |  | \$766 |  | \$668 | \$33.4 |
| Well Sources of Supply |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level 1 Permit (<40 gpm), Bed Rock | 903 systems | \$41 | 40 | \$32.5 | \$1.6 |
| Level 2 Permit (>40 gpm) Bed Rock | 68 systems | \$11 | 40 | \$8.7 | \$0.4 |
| Level 1 Permit (<40 gpm) Gravel | 134 systems | \$6 | 25 | \$5.9 | \$0.3 |
| Level 2 Permit (>40 gpm) Gravel | 202 systems | \$32 | 25 | \$24.4 | \$1.2 |
| Subtotals Groundwater Replacement | 1307 systems | \$90 |  | \$71.5 | \$3.5 |
| Total |  | \$5,021 M |  | \$1,713 M | \$85.7 M |

Estimated 2010 Total Developed Costs broken out by factor for proportionate costs of Building Asset Categories, then adjusted by the 20 years over the service life.

* 20-Year need period from 2010-2030; ** in 2010 dollars

Figure ES-2, below, compares the USEPA DWINSA estimated needs for New Hampshire for 1995, 1999, 2003, and 2007 with the findings of this 2010 Study. The DWINSA needs estimates were normalized to 2010 costs using the ENR 20-City Construction Cost Index prior to plotting.

Figure ES-2: NH 20-Year Needs: EPA DWINSA Estimated Compared with Study Result


Figure ES-3 shows that infrastructure value in New Hampshire is greater than the historical EPA estimates since 1999. For the $\$ 1713$ million 20-year funding need, Figure ES-3 shows how it is apportioned amongst the various infrastructure asset categories.

# Figure ES-3: Apportionment of \$1713 M 20-Year Funding Need by Infrastructure Type 



The 2007 DWINSA survey identified $\$ 850$ million as the 20 -year funding need for New Hampshire. When adjusted to 2010 dollars, this value was approximately $\$ 980$ million. The revised 20-year need identified in this report was approximately $\$ 1713$ million dollars.

Figure ES-3 shows that it is the water distribution and transmission infrastructure that comprise the largest share of funding need ( $48 \%$ ), followed by treatment ( $39 \%$ ) (groundwater treatment constitutes the greater share at $\$ 407 \mathrm{M}$ compared to surface water treatment at $\$ 261 \mathrm{M}$ ). The order of these two largest asset categories is consistent with the order seen for DWINSA results in Figure ES-1. The wide disparity in cost percentage determined for these two categories in the two studies highlights the significant difference in methodology.
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## SECTION 1

## DETERMINING THE VALUE OF DRINKING WATER INFRASTRUCTURE IN NEW HAMPSHIRE: A CAPITAL INVESTMENT NEEDS ANALYSIS

### 1.1 BACKGROUND

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducts a Drinking Water Infrastructure Needs Survey and Assessment (DWINSA) approximately every 4-years, with survey data generated/released in 1995/1997, 1999/2001, 2003/2005 and 2007/2009. In addition to assessing infrastructure needs in each state, the survey is also used to allocate funds through each individual state's State Revolving Loan Fund (SRF). The EPA used existing needs information provided by water systems, as documented through master plans and capital improvement plans (CIP) or through use of professional judgment, to calculate the drinking water infrastructure needs nationally for these prior studies. The DWINSA estimates needs for systems which are eligible to receive SRF loans: community systems and not-for-profit noncommunity systems.

For the 2007 DWINSA, states that receive a minimum 1 percent of the most recent SRF allotment were given the option of not participating in the statistical portion of the survey. For states choosing this option, including New Hampshire, the needs of systems serving 3,301 to 100,000 people were estimated based on the state's inventory of systems and the data derived from participating states. The needs for non-participating states that were derived in this way contribute to the estimate of total national need.

The 2007 DWINSA survey identified an infrastructure need of $\$ 337$ billion of investment for the next 20 year period in the United States for public water systems. For the State of New Hampshire, a need of approximately $\$ 850 \mathrm{M}$ (\$980 adjusted to 2010 dollars) was identified in the needs assessment for the same 20-year period. Of this amount, only about $\$ 62 \mathrm{M}$ was identified
for needs in the small, non-community non-transient, and transient water systems, which comprise the largest number of public water systems in the State of New Hampshire.

In 2010, the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (NHDES), retained WrightPierce to develop an alternative cost model to quantify the infrastructure needs of community water systems in New Hampshire for the next 20-year period. The effort was initiated to obtain a more accurate cost basis for anticipated discussions about meeting current and future infrastructure replacement needs.

The purpose of this study is to evaluate capital needs to replace existing infrastructure at community water systems over the coming 20 years, but not to address population growth, nor changes in either regulation or technology.

The cost models which were applied to the existing infrastructure to determine the coming investment needs are discussed herein. To develop a predictive relationship between infrastructure and costs, actual construction costs were collected and tabulated. The costs included construction costs, engineering costs, and other development costs where these were available (legal, administrative, financing). Cost models were developed using such cost records of actual projects that could be supplied from records in the NHDES database, bidding results from similar projects in neighboring states. Useful life data and depreciation were applied that were used in other more highly regulated New England states.

### 1.2 MAJOR ASSET CLASS GROUPINGS FOR THE ANALYSIS

A typical water system consists of one or more of the following components or major asset groupings:

1. Water Distribution Systems - Water distribution systems include the piping infrastructure extending from the source to the customer's tap, including distribution mains, transmission mains and appurtenances.
2. Distribution and Supply Storage Tanks - Water storage tanks store water in distribution systems to supplement peak flows and for fire protection. Others may augment supply and pressure in systems supplied by groundwater.
3. Sources of Supply - Sources of supply include infrastructure serving water from flowing and non-flowing surface water bodies, reservoirs, wells/springs, groundwater pumping stations and intakes. The study focused on groundwater sources as the most prevalent in the state. Surface water intakes are included in the overall cost information for surface water treatment plant construction.
4. Water Treatment Facilities - Surface water and groundwater supplies are often treated to improve water quality at a centralized water treatment facility. Some communities have more than one treatment facility.
5. Pressure Boosting/Pumping Facilities - Drinking water is often pumped to bring the pressure up sufficiently to distribute water to customers, either directly or with inclusion of a hydropneumatic system to dampen pressure fluctuations.

The analysis was based largely upon a combination of statistical modeling of historical constructed project costs in combination with inventorying of existing assets in the State of New Hampshire. The New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services (DES) maintains an excellent database of water system assets for each water system in New Hampshire. In the case of several assets, the database does not document an inventory of infrastructure for all community systems. Important assets not inventoried included transmission and distribution piping, and groundwater pumping and treatment facilities. In each case, a method was developed that was based on documented characteristics of water systems that could be related to the existing inventory of assets, including population and the capacity of a given system.

The NHDES database inventory included records applicable for the water system assets in the other general categories discussed above. For these remaining asset classes, the inventories in the DES database and other DES records were sufficiently comprehensive and well-developed to allow a simple inventory to assess need. A description of the methodology used and the projected needs for each asset class is discussed in each asset's report section and its related appendix.

### 1.3 PROJECTIONS OF CAPITAL NEEDS IN THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

Costs were obtained for the categories of assets listed below in Table 1-1, below. Costs are first presented as the total present day (2010) replacement costs, followed by the annual amount to meet the 20 -year funding need, and the total 20 -year funding need. Figure $1-1$ displays the results graphically.

TABLE 1-1
PROJECTED ANNUAL WATER INFRASTRUCTURE NEEDS IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

| Description | Est'd Number of Water Systems/ Counted Units | Est'd <br> Replacement Cost (2010-\$M) | Avg Annual Expenditures for years 2010 through 2030 (\$M/year) | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \text { Year Need } \\ & (\$ M) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water Transmission/Distribution Systems |  |  |  |  |
| Systems w/out Fire Protection | $\begin{gathered} 584 \\ (23 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{pop}> \\ 500) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$471 | \$7.9 | \$159M |
| Systems w/ Fire Protection | 139 | \$3358 | \$33.6 | \$671.5M |
| Total Dist/Trans | 723 | \$3829 | \$41.5 | \$830.5 |
| Treatment Systems |  |  |  |  |
| Surface Water Treatment | 39 | \$288.7 | \$13.1 | \$261.3 |
| Ground Water Treatment | 506 | \$477 | \$20.3 | \$407 |
| Total Treatment |  | \$765.7 | \$33.4 | \$668.3 |
| Atmospheric Storage |  |  |  |  |
| Lg Fire Protection Tanks | 261 | \$251.2 | \$3.5 | \$67 |
| Sm GW Storage | --- | \$41.5 | \$1.4 | \$27.7 |
| Total Atmospheric Storage | -- | \$292.7 | \$4.9 | \$94.7 |
| Pressure Booster Stations |  |  |  |  |
| Pressure Booster Stations | 115 | \$43.4 | \$2.4 | \$48.3 |
| Well Source of Supply |  |  |  |  |
| Level 1 BRW | 903 | \$40.6 | \$1.6 | \$32.5 |
| Level 2 BRW | 68 | \$10.9 | \$0.4 | \$8.7 |
| Level 1 GW | 134 | \$6.0 | \$0.3 | \$5.9 |
| Level 2 GW | 202 | \$32.3 | \$1.2 | \$24.4 |
| Total Well Sources | 1307 | \$89.8 | \$3.5 | \$71.5 |
| Total Needs | --- | \$5,021 M | \$85.7M | \$1,713M |



### 1.4 CONCLUSION

The 2007 DWINSA survey identified a $\$ 850 \mathrm{M}$ ( $\$ 980$ indexed to 2010) 20-year funding need for drinking water infrastructure for community and not-for-profit non-community systems in New Hampshire. The results of the current study show a greater 20-year need for community systems alone of approximately $\$ 1,713$ million dollars. Despite the wide disparity in these estimates, it should be noted that there are significant differences in goals and methods used in the DWINSA and the current Needs Analysis.
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## WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM ASSETS

### 2.1 WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM ASSETS OVERVIEW

A model was developed for distribution system piping for the purpose of determining an aggregated total cost in 2010 dollars. This aggregated 2010 estimate was then extrapolated to the funding needed to replace and refurbish water main infrastructure sustainably over the years. The state of New Hampshire had (at the time of this writing) approximately 723 community water systems, so the size of the need is significant.

Estimating the 2010 replacement cost of New Hampshire's pipeline infrastructure was not straightforward, because the quantity, size, and materials of construction of pipes serving New Hampshire's community water systems has not been collected into a central location. Moreover, the amount, size, and types of pipes for the smallest community water systems may not be known by many individual systems themselves with certainty. However, it is important to attempt to assign a statewide aggregate value because water transmission and distribution piping represents a significant funding need. Over many years water mains have comprised the largest investment value for drinking water infrastructure. Water mains typically have useful lives of between 50 and 100 years depending on the type of pipeline material and installation. The implication is that many pipes now in the ground are reaching the end of their service and will need to be replaced.

Tremendous differences may be found among water distribution systems in different communities, making them difficult to compare directly. Each community supports a unique combination of water main lengths, diameters, pipeline materials, and configurations that, taken together, do not seem to be related to the population served or the average volumes of water consumed. These differences are influenced not only by population, but also by the characteristics of the population served, geography, the location of important commercial and industrial customers, and fire protection requirements. However, it is these very differences
which provide a useful basis for classifying water systems so as to enable a useful predictive model to be developed.

### 2.2 GENERAL APPROACH

Because the length of distribution pipe is not known for all NH water systems, the first need was to develop a model for estimating prospective lengths. Once estimated, pipe length could be used as a basis for projecting current, 2010 replacement costs for all systems in the database. Of course, such an approach would not be necessarily be correct for any given particular system, but is defensible when aggregated into a state total. For the purposes of this study, distribution and transmission piping have been combined, and will be termed "distribution" piping for convenience in this report.

A statistical approach was used to create a mathematical model relating population to pipe length using communities for which this information was available. A dataset of sample communities for which pipe lengths are known were collected and tabulated, breaking out detailed information on pipe lengths by each diameter present in the water system. Data sources included the NHDES record-drawing database, and several community water systems that provided their own datasets (Manchester Water Works and Pennichuck Water).

The tabulated systems were classified (discussed next) into groupings that enabled a meaningful, predictive comparison between population served and expected total water main lengths.

First, community water systems were separated into those that provide fire protection services and those that do not. Compared to community water systems that provide fire protection services, there are many more small systems do not provide either the flow volumes needed nor sufficient storage for fire fighting purposes. For such small water systems, 2-4-inch diameter distribution system piping predominates. A distribution system which is sized to provide fire suppression flows will be observed to include a greater amount of larger diameter water mains compared to the non-fire protected system serving a similarly sized population. For this reason,
the replacement costs of fire-protected and non-fire protected distribution systems were modeled separately.

Next, for both fire-protected and non-fire protected groupings, water systems were classified according to population size.

In fire-protected systems, the variation in total pipe lengths, among systems serving similar populations, tended to increase dramatically as one moved into larger population size groupings, such that larger population systems could neither justifiably be compared (statistically), nor modeled with the systems serving smaller populations.

To mitigate this problem for the analysis of fire-protected water systems, populations were divided into the following sub-groups based on US EPA standard population groupings for small, medium, and large community water systems. An extra category for the three very large systems was added. Bbecause there were only three systems in this size category, and because detailed pipeline information was available for each of the very large systems, a predictive model based on population did not need to be created. The size categories for systems with fire protection were classified as follows:

- Systems with Fire Protection
- Small Systems serving population less than 500 (31 water systems)
- Medium Systems serving population between 501 and 3,300 ( 69 water systems)
- Large Size Systems serving population between 3,301 and 40,000 (36 water systems)
- Very Large Systems serving a population greater than 40,000 (3 water systems)

For the non-fire protected systems, the populations were almost all within the small systems classification. A large variation in total pipe lengths was observed among systems when they were plotted against populations. However, this variation was found to be related not to population, but to the housing characteristics of the population served, i.e., whether the population was living grouped together or spread out geographically (whether the population served was dispersed or concentrated). The systems without fire protection were therefore categorized as follows:

- Systems without Fire Protection (584 water systems in NH, 23 w/ pop. > 500)
- Dispersed populations in residential developments and manufactured housing
- Concentrated populations in condominiums, apartments, and elderly housing.

After the tabulated systems were placed in their respective subgrouping, the inventoried pipe lengths could be examined for how much pipe of each diameter was present for each tabulated system. The amount of pipe of each diameter was divided by the total pipe length to find the percent of the total. This would be used later when the cost model was applied to each community water system.

For each of the above subcategories, linear regression analysis related population to the total pipe lengths. The regression equations were then used to extrapolate an estimated pipe length to the entire list of water systems in the state, creating an estimate of total length of water main according to population size. The pipe diameter percentages were then applied to each estimated total pipe length, breaking the total pipe length result into subgroupings by diameter. Standard unit development costs were applied to each diameter grouping to estimate replacement cost in 2010 dollars.

The estimated 2010 replacement cost for each diameter grouping was then adjusted by a factor for its estimated pipe service life. The service life for small non-fire protected system water mains was assumed to be 50 years. For all other water mains, the useful life was assumed to be 100 years. It is recognized, that some pipe line materials may have longer useful lives than other materials, but an average life of 100 years was assumed to represent an average performance life for water mains in small, medium and large water systems with fire protection. The results of this calculation for each water system in New Hampshire was then summed to project the yearly cost over the service life, and then adjusted to the 20-year funding need.

The analyses and methodology are discussed in detail in Appendices A and B (for Non-FireProtected Systems and Fire-Protected Systems, respectively).

### 2.3 SUMMARY OF INVESTMENT NEED FOR WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM PIPING IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

Table 2-1 summarizes the estimated total cost to replace all of the water distribution systems in the State. If all community water distribution systems in the State of New Hampshire were replaced during the year 2010, it would take an estimated cost of $\$ 3,829,000,000$ (normalized to 2010 dollars). On an annual basis, the State of New Hampshire would theoretically be required to expend $\$ 41.5 \mathrm{M}$ annually to assure sustainable replacement of these assets before the useful life of the asset is reached.

TABLE 2-1
PROJECTED WATER DISTRIBUTION NEEDS IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

| Water System Size by Population | Number of Water Systems | Estimated Distribution System Replacement Cost (2010 \$M) | Est'd Avg <br> Useful Life of <br> Distribution System | Avg Annual Expenditures for years 2010 through 2030 (\$M/year) | Total Collected over 20 years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Systems w/out Fire Protection | $\begin{gathered} 584(23 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{pop}> \\ 500) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$471 M | 50 \& 100 | \$7.9 | \$158.8 M |
| Systems with Fire Protection |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small Systems | 31 | \$62 M | 100 | \$0.6 | \$12.4 M |
| Medium Systems | 69 | \$568 M | 100 | \$5.7 | \$113.5 M |
| Large Systems | 36 | \$2,018 M | 100 | \$20.2 | \$403.7 M |
| Very Large Systems | 3 | \$710 M | 100 | \$7.1 | \$142.1 M |
| Total for Fire Protected Systems | 139 | \$3,358 M |  | \$33.6 M | \$671.5 M |
| Total Needs | 723 | \$3,829 M |  | \$41.5M | \$830.5 M |
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## SECTION 3

## ATMOSPHERIC WATER STORAGE TANKS

### 3.1 PURPOSE AND TYPES OF WATER STORAGE

Water storage tanks are important to the reliability and smooth operation of community water systems. Water stored in tanks may provide some or all of the following functions in a community water system:

- Storage for fire suppression
- Storage to dampen peak hourly fluctuations in water usage demands
- An emergency backup supply
- Reduce pump cycling
- Maintain steady pressure and flows

Storage facilities function by holding water at pressures equal to atmospheric (i.e., have a free surface of water in contact with atmosphere), or they can be a closed vessel capable of holding pressure generated by pumps. Small community systems that do not provide fire protection will typically incorporate a small hydropneumatic tank to even out small demands, reducing the stress on booster pumps. Those small systems which use exclusively groundwater sources of supply, may also require atmospheric storage to address wide swings in demand, reduce well pump cycling, provide emergency storage, or provide storage at elevation for reliable pressure. All atmospheric storage tanks, both for small groundwater systems and for large fire protected systems are included in this section. Consideration of pressure vessel storage is blended in with the overall cost of groundwater treatment facilities, rather than receiving separate treatment.

Atmospheric storage facilities break out according to function and size. Tanks which serve distribution systems with fire hydrants throughout (Fire Protected Systems), tend to be quite large (over 100,000 gallons), while those serving community water systems that don't provide
hydrants on the streets (Non Fire Protected Systems) tend to be small and often use a groundwater source of supply.

Stored water makes up for shortages in volume, flow rate, or pressure when demand peaks, as may occur during a fire emergency, during periods of peak summer demand, or during winter water main breaks. A fire-protected system requires the ability to store and release large volumes at high rates over an extended period of time. Theses volumes and release rates are often far greater than the capacity of the system's treatment facilities to produce water. Typically water systems refill their storage tanks over time during evening hours when customer demand is low. This may be done using the existing pressure within the distribution system, or the water may need to be "boosted' to fill the tank.

Water levels within water storage tanks are intended to fluctuate over a fixed range of elevations to control the pressure or "hydraulic gradeline" within a water distribution system. When the community served has variable topography, water systems will often separate the distribution system into "pressure zones" with each served by a dedicated storage tank. Many systems also have redundant storage tanks to allow one tank to be temporarily decommissioned for inspection or reconditioning.

To fulfill these functions, fire-protected system atmospheric storage tanks are located at high elevations and are located geographically distributed out around in the distribution system (not located at the source). Such positioning enables a community water system to provide adequate pressure to customers located at higher elevations, and allows the interior volume to be effectively drained to allow a turn over (or change out) of the water within the tank (to keep water fresh). An acceptable tank location can be relatively difficult to obtain at an affordable cost to the water system because sites at higher elevations may have a view, good drainage, and are traditionally considered to be desirable home sites.

Small water systems that do not provide fire protection (usually groundwater systems, with some exceptions) typically do not have large storage tanks. Small systems will typically store from 1000 to 60,000 gallons of water within single or multiple tanks. Peak demands may be met
using small gravity storage tanks or pressurized bladder (hydropneumatic) tanks, either alone or in combination with atmospheric storage. Small system atmospheric tanks are often buried near other facilities serving the source. Small system tanks may be used for any of the typical role of large fire-protected tanks such as maintaining a pressure gradeline when located at elevation, reducing well pump cycling, meeting peak demands, and enabling booster pumps more latitude in the volume pumped (sometimes well outputs can be limited for meeting occasional peak flows).

### 3.2 SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Larger Storage Tanks in Fire-Protected Systems: For large storage tanks, DES had developed a list of known fire protection tanks along with their storage capacities. There are approximately 280 storage tanks serving fire protected water systems in the State of New Hampshire. This asset inventory was constructed between the late 19th century up through year 2010, resulting in a range of tank ages and styles. Of these, approximately 261 contained enough information on which to project replacement costs. Most tanks in the inventory contained the capacity in gallons, and materials of construction. The listing of tanks is included in Appendix C.

To determine a relationship between potential replacement costs and capacity for tanks on the DES inventory, a cost model was first developed using actual constructed tank projects. Total developed costs (indexed to 2010) were developed from the records filed at Wright-Pierce and DES. Manufacturer's also provided their product costs as a courtesy. Costs were obtained for several styles of tank for which actual developed cost information was available. The relationships between storage capacity and total developed costs were examined for each style of tank, and then combined into one cost vs. capacity mathematical model. This relationship was applied to the known capacities of tanks in the NHDES inventory to determine a replacement value.

Storage Tanks in Small Systems with No Fire Protection: DES does not maintain an inventory of each of the smaller storage tanks in non-fire protected community systems.

Applying a cost model for small, non-fire protected atmospheric storage tanks presented a challenge in that the total volume of storage available in each community water system was listed, but not the number or capacity of storage tanks.

To find a way into this dilemma, an estimated count of tanks to which a model could be applied was created from the total gallons of storage for each community water system that was available in the database. The total stored volume for each community was divided by a "model" 10,000 gallon storage tank to give an estimate of the number of 10,000 gallon tanks (an integer and a fraction) hypothetically present in a system. Each integer was multiplied by the estimated developed cost of a 10,000 gallon tank, and the fractional gallons calculated using the cost equation. The integer and fractional estimates were then summed to the total tank estimated replacement cost for each system's total volume of storage.

The cost model was developed by contacting regional suppliers and local installers of buried coated steel tanks for equipment and installation cost information. These costs were adjusted for freight, appurtenances, and installation, and developed into a model linear equation relating cost to storage volumes.

The methodologies used in calculating an estimated 2010 cost and projected need for the FireProtected Tanks and the Non-Fire Protected Tanks in Small Systems are discussed in more detail in Appendix C for Atmospheric Storage Tanks.

### 3.3 PROJECTIONS OF CAPITAL NEEDS FOR STORAGE TANKS

Large Tanks in Fire-Protected Systems: The total cost to replace these inventoried structures was estimated to be $\$ 251 \mathrm{M}$. As discussed, the useful life of a tank serving a fire-protected system was assumed to be 75 years, regardless of the material of construction. It is recognized that some of the categories of tanks, such as bolted steel tanks, require significantly more maintenance to keep the asset viable for this duration of time. However, only the capital cost to replace the asset was considered in this analysis.

On an annual basis, approximately $\$ 3.3 \mathrm{M}$ would be required annually to fully replace all the larger storage tanks. For the 20-year period between 2010-2030, the State of New Hampshire would require approximately $\$ 67 \mathrm{M}$ based in 2010 funds to replace these assets on a sustainable 75 year life cycle period. It should be noted, because many of these tanks are already exceeding their useful lives, that the analysis assumes only a linear replacement cycle regardless of the age or progressive point towards obsolescence. It should also be noted that an assumed 75 -year useful life for a storage tank is typical of values used in other New England states by cost accountants for private sector water utilities.

Tanks Serving Small, Non-Fire Protected Systems: The total cost to replace these structures was in 2010 dollars was estimated to be $\$ 41.5 \mathrm{M}$, and $\$ 27.7 \mathrm{M}$ over the 20 -year period. The useful life is shorter than that of the larger fire-protected tanks, and was assumed to be 30 years, regardless of the material of construction. It is recognized that some tanks may be located within buildings and may have a longer service life than buried tanks, however, the situation of tanks for each system in NH was not known.

On an annual basis, approximately $\$ 1.4 \mathrm{M}$ annually would be required to fully replace all storage tanks for these systems assuming a 30 -year useful life for this asset. For the 20 -year period between 2010-2030, the State of New Hampshire would require approximately $\$ 27.7 \mathrm{M}$ based in 2010 funds to replace these assets on a sustainable 30 year life cycle period. It should be noted, because many of these tanks are already exceeding their useful lives, that the analysis assumes only a linear replacement cycle regardless of the age or progressive point towards obsolescence.

The estimated replacement costs and infrastructure dollar needs for atmospheric tanks are summarized in Table 3-1 below.

TABLE 3-1
ATMOSPHERIC WATER STORAGE TANKS - PROJECTED REPLACEMENT COSTS
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \hline \text { System } \\ \text { Type }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Estimated } \\ \text { Number of } \\ \text { Water Storage } \\ \text { Tanks }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Estimated } \\ \text { Replacement } \\ \text { Cost of Storage } \\ \text { Tanks (2010) } \\ \text { (\$) }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Estimated Avg } \\ \text { Useful Life of } \\ \text { Storage Tanks } \\ \text { (years) }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Avg Annual } \\ \text { Expenditures } \\ \text { for yrs 2010 } \\ \text { through 2030 } \\ \text { (\$/year) }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Est'd Needs } \\ \text { for 20-Year } \\ \text { Period (2010- } \\ \text { 2030) } \\ \text { (\$) }\end{array}\right]$

* included two fire-protected systems w/alt fire protection supply, and one surface water source.
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## WELL SOURCES OF SUPPLY

### 4.1 BACKGROUND

Water wells comprise the majority of water sources in the state of New Hampshire (there are 1314 active wells serving community water systems). Of the community water systems in the state, 662 supply at least some of their demand using groundwater, compared to 38 which use surface water in total or in part. Water wells may need to be redeveloped or replaced periodically, depending upon the specific water quality (although some may last over 50 years). Water wells may be categorized by the permitted production rate and by the geological formation in which the well is placed.

Wells may be bored into bedrock to capture water moving through the rock fractures. Bedrock wells tend to be deep, up to 1600 feet in depth ( 420 to 470 feet on average), but because they depend on the fractures to bring water into the borehole they are limited as to the quantity and rate of water that they can yield.

New England is fortunate to have deep layers of sand and gravel overlaying bedrock (why they are often termed "overburden" wells) which can transmit vast amounts of water, making them a good source of water supply to large communities. These wells tend to be shallow, with average depths of 40 to 55 feet.

A detailed explanation of the development of the methodology and cost spreadsheets are included in Appendix. D.

### 4.2 PROJECTIONS OF CAPITAL NEEDS FOR WELL REPLACEMENTS IN THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

The total cost to replace wells in 2010 dollars was estimated to be $\$ 89.8 \mathrm{M}$ in the State of New Hampshire. As discussed above, the service life of wells was assumed to be 25 years for bedrock wells and 40 years for gravel wells.

On an annual basis, approximately $\$ 3.5 \mathrm{M}$ annually would be required to fully replace all wells in the state. For the 20-year period between 2010-2030, the State of New Hampshire would require approximately $\$ 71.6 \mathrm{M}$ based in 2010 funds to replace these assets. It should be noted that the analysis assumes only a linear replacement cycle regardless of the age or progressive point towards obsolescence. The data for the analysis is summarized in Table 4-1 below.

TABLE 4-1

## WATER SUPPLY WELLS - PROJECTED REPLACEMENT COSTS

| Category | Estimated <br> Replacement Cost <br> of Wells (2010) <br> $(\$)$ | Estimated <br> Average Service <br> Life of Well <br> (years) | Average Annual <br> Expenditures for <br> years 2010 through <br> $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ <br> $(\$ /$ year) | Estimated <br> Infrastructure <br> Needs for 20-Year <br> Period (2010- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 3 0})(\$)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level 1 BRW | $\$ 40.6 \mathrm{M}$ | 25 | $\$ 1.6 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 32.5 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Level 2 BRW | $\$ 10.9 \mathrm{M}$ | 25 | $\$ 0.4 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 8.7 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Level 1 GW | $\$ 6.0 \mathrm{M}$ | 40 | $\$ 0.3 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 5.9 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Level 2 GW | $\$ 32.3 \mathrm{M}$ | 40 | $\$ 1.2 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 24.4 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Total Wells | $\$ \mathbf{8 9 . 8 M}$ |  | $\$ \mathbf{3 . 5 M}$ | $\$ \mathbf{7 1 . 5 \mathrm { M }}$ |
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## SECTION 5

## WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

This section discusses modeling approaches that were commonly applicable to the surface water treatment facilities, ground water treatment facilities, and pressure booster stations. Appendix E is the companion Appendix for this section. The aspects particular to each type of facility are discussed in separate sections, Section 6 and Appendix F for Surface Water Treatment Plants, Section 7 and Appendix $G$ for Groundwater Facilities, and Section 8 and Appendix H for Pressure Boosting Stations. What each of these three types of infrastructure have in common is that the facilities always involve a building to house equipment. The details of analysis that are in common to each are discussed in this Section 5 and in greater detail in Appendix E.

### 5.1 ABOUT WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

Water treatment facilities treat surface water and groundwater supplies through processes that make water acceptable for drinking. Each water treatment facility is custom-designed for the water quality goals and objectives specific to a particular source of supply. Surface water treatment facilities in New Hampshire may employ the following technologies:

- Slow Sand Filtration
- Conventional Treatment using coagulation, flocculation sedimentation and filtration
- Granular Media Pressure Filtration
- Membrane Filtration

Where groundwater treatment is provided, such facilities commonly include disinfection and also may include injection of sequestering/corrosion inhibition agents and pH adjustment chemicals. These treatments consist, for the most part, of a liquid chemical feed system using a tank and metering pump. In some cases, when large volumes are treated or additional treatment is required, groundwater systems may use the following technologies:

- Granular Media (Greensand) Pressure Filtration
- Ion Exchange
- Oxide-Coated Media Filtration
- Low Profile Aeration

Treatment facilities were grouped into two major classifications:

- Surface Water Treatment Facilities and
- Groundwater treatment Facilities

However, this classification, while convenient, is a line that is frequently crossed. For example, granular media pressure filtration is often thought of as primarily for groundwater treatment, but it may also be used for surface waters (Kinetico ceramic filters).

### 5.2 WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES: SURFACE AND LARGER PRESSURE FILTER PLANTS

The purpose of this study is to evaluate capital needs to serve the existing population over the coming 20 years, not to project capital needs to meet either population growth, or changes in regulatory requirements. The study seeks to identify the funding need for replacing worn out components of existing facilities, but not to fund the replacement of a whole treatment plant in kind.

Water treatment facilities consist of various components, for example, the building itself, the treatment equipment housed within, concrete structures and piping, tankage, and changes to the site terrain. These components don't tend to wear out at the same rate (different expected service lives), requiring different replacement/refurbishment cycles, and have varying replacement costs.

A cost breakout of treatment plant components may be found from when they are first constructed, in a document called a "Schedule of Values". This document is often created after a building contract is awarded and before construction begins. It is a record of construction costs according to each skilled construction trade discipline responsible for furnishing, installing, and constructing each asset category. It is used during construction, to track the value of work completed in each category for the purpose of paying the contractor. These documents are not entirely consistent among projects in the way elements of cost are grouped, but they generally follow the same groupings. The general contractor may combine certain building trade
disciplines such as "architectural" and "structural" because together they "describe" the building, or he/she may opt to use the construction company's own resources (instead of subcontracting to other firms) to complete certain aspects of the work. "Mechanical" (which includes plumbing and "heating ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC)" may be combined with "Treatment Processes" and interior piping. Similarly, the "electrical" and "instrumentation/telemetry" sections may be combined. However, the schedule of values is usually the only available detailed record of the actual historical construction costs (especially after time has passed and records are destroyed). For this aspect of evaluating treatment plant cost components, the Schedules of Values were collected and examined to determine the relative percentage share of total construction cost grouped by building asset class. These groupings of relative percent share of total construction cost is shown in Table 5-1. The percentages are based upon averaged costs in each building class for nine surface water treatment plants, and six ground water pressure filtration plants for which scheduled costs could be obtained.

## TABLE 5-1

WATER FACILITY COMPONENTS (FOR BOTH SURFACE WATER AND PRESSURE FILTRATION PROJECTS)*

| Division | Surface Water <br> Process Plants | Pressure Filter <br> Plants | Pressure <br> Booster <br> Stations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Civil/Site | $15 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Structural | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Architectural | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Process/Mechanical | $38 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Electrical/Inst/Telemetry | $17 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Total \% of Construction <br> Cost | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

*Compiled from a set of nine surface water plants 0.2 to 6 MGD capacity (membrane, slow sand, and conventional) and six pressure filter plants 0.3 to 2 MGD capacity; constructed over a period from 1992 to 2009. Engineering/Development/Admin averaged $15 \%$ of total developed cost. Pressure booster stations based on three stations for which break out costs were available.

In Table 5-1, the Contractors' costs for "General Conditions" and the Engineering/ Development/Administrative costs were spread across the construction trade disciplines as a percentage of the construction costs applied to each division.

Each aspect of a built facility would have different needs for refurbishment or replacement over time. Although, there is certainly variation with each specific asset class, it is a good general approach for modeling to assume that things built under any particular asset category tend to share similar characteristics and have the same longevity. For example, instrumentation or software systems may be expected to become outdated or require replacement within a comparatively short time frame compared to the more rugged treatment process equipment (filters, pressure vessels). Table 5-2 shows the combined asset divisions with an estimated service life for each.

## TABLE 5-2

## ESTIMATED SERVICE LIFE BY BUILDING DIVISION

| Division | Example Division Components | Est Service Life of Component Group, Years/ Combined Group |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Civil/Site | Clearing \& grubbing, excavation, trenching, loaming/seeding/mulching/ landscaping, paving, precast concrete vaults, demolition, site piping |  |  |
| Structural | Cast-in-place concrete, rebar, masonry, channels, clearwells |  |  |
| Architectural | Roof trusses, carpentry, damp-proofing, metals/grating, coatings |  |  |
| Process | Treatment process equipment, interior piping, valves, gauges, chemical feed systems, fill stations | 20 | 15 |
| Mechanical | Plumbing, heating, ventilation, sanitary systems (sometimes this division includes process piping and equipment), storage silios, lifts, gantrys, special mechanical equipment | 10 |  |
| Electrical | Service entrance \& distribution, wiring/conduits, power panels, emergency backup power \& transfer switch, telephone, security systems | 20 | 15 |
| Instrumentation/ Telemetry | Process instrumentation, control panels, process computers (programmable logic controllers), operator interface, control wiring/conduits | 10 |  |

Architectural and Structural Divisions were kept separate in Table 5-2 because of the very different service lives of their components. The Architectural Division components such as windows, doors, roofing, and paint tend to be subject to more deterioration over time compared to the long-lived concrete and masonry comprising a structural system. These percentages for division share of total costs and service life for each division will be applied wherever the component cost approach is used.
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## SECTION 6

## SURFACE WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

### 6.1 SURFACE WATER TREATMENT

Surface water treatment plants employ many of the very same treatment processes as do facilities treating ground water, industrial water, and wastewater. Surface water treatment plants typically treat large volumes of water for comparatively large populations compared to groundwater supplied water treatment facilities. Moreover, lakes and rivers are more likely to become contaminated from natural (e.g., seasonal lake turnover) and anthropogenic causes, requiring additional treatment processes to make the water suitable for drinking. For these reasons, surface water treatment facilities are often larger, and house more treatment processes compared to groundwater facilities, so they are that much more costly and complex by comparison.

The DES database is well developed for this asset. The database contains the construction cost records for most of the surface water treatment facilities in New Hampshire. The availability of cost data for the actual facilities enabled use of a simple inventory approach of the treatment facilities to more accurately determine replacement cost need in the state over the next 20 years for this infrastructure.

### 6.2 SURFACE WATER TREATMENT PROJECTION OF CAPITAL NEEDS

The number of surface water facilities serving from 0.10 to 50 MGD in the dataset is fairly small for the 38 systems served by surface water in New Hampshire. (One surface water facility treats less than $20,000 \mathrm{gpd}$ and is therefore omitted from this count) The date of construction and construction cost for each surface water treatment plant serving over 0.10 MGD was available from NHDES records. This provided an inventory of plant costs to which the division cost component/service life approach could be applied (described in detail in Appendix E). The complete inventory for 39 tabulated NH surface water treatment facilities is included in Appendix F. Note that Pennichuck, Manchester and Portsmouth systems each occur twice (original plants and upgrades) in the listing of 39 separate facilities.

The total cost to replace these facilities in 2010 dollars was estimated to be $\$ 288.7 \mathrm{M}$ for surface water treatment facilities in the State of New Hampshire over the 20 year period, summarized in Table 6-1 below.

TABLE 6-1
PROJECTED SURFACE WATER TREATMENT FACILITY REPLACEMENT COSTS IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

| Type of <br> Treatment | Number of Water <br> Systems in New <br> Hampshire | Estimated 2010 <br> Replacement <br> Cost (\$) | Estimated 20-year <br> Replacement Cost <br> of System <br> Components (\$) | Average Annual <br> Expenditures for <br> years 2010 <br> through 2030 <br> (\$/year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surface Water | 38 | $\$ 288.7$ | $\$ 261.3 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 13.1$ |
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## SECTION 7

## GROUND WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

### 7.1 BACKGROUND

New Hampshire communities served by groundwater sources are much greater in number compared to those served by surface water. There is a correspondingly greater variety in the types of facilities for treating ground water compared to those treating surface water. Surface water treatment is typically undertaken by larger community water systems, not only because of the larger yield afforded by surface water bodies, but also because surface water has historically required comparatively complex and expensive treatment (expense which is more easily supported when rate payers are numerous). For any given community water system using groundwater, more than one groundwater source well is often needed to meet drinking water demand because the yield of groundwater wells is limited by geological aquifer characteristics (e.g., transmissivity), and at least two wells are required in regulation to provide adequate backup. Also, if wells are overpumped the aquifer may be damaged, reducing its ability to maintain its original yield. For these reasons, wells may be separated by fairly large geographical distances so that each source must have its own on-site treatment facilities, which each feed water to a common distribution system.

In New Hampshire, there are 662 community water systems that use groundwater exclusively (sixteen surface water systems also use groundwater). The groundwater sources from which potable water is collected, treated, and pumped include:

- Wells in bedrock and in geological sediments that overlie bedrock (most frequently gravel in New England). These may be dug wells, drilled wells, artesian wells, and driven point wells.
- Springs, in which groundwater naturally flows out of the surface of the earth.
- Infiltration galleries, where perforated piping is placed to collect water moving through or into an aquifer, sometimes from surface water base flow.

For the purpose of the following discussion these will be collectively referred to as "wells" or "groundwater wells".

For the reasons discussed above, several wells may be required to adequately serve a single community population, although in many cases smaller populations are easily served by a single well source. The 662 community groundwater systems, grouped together, are served by a total of approximately 1307 individual well sources. These wells are classified according to state permitting system based on volume and geological formation: a Level 1 permit governs yields < 40 gpm and a Level 2 permit is for yields $\geq 40 \mathrm{gpm}$. Based on these classifications, there are 1037 Level 1 permitted wells ( 903 bedrock wells and 134 gravel wells) and 270 Level 2 permitted wells ( 68 bedrock wells and 202 gravel wells) in New Hampshire.

A more complex community and municipal well can require facilities to house equipment for pumping, electrical power, tankage, treatment equipment, controls and telemetry. As testament to the variety of groundwater facilities, one also frequently finds simple and basic wells that are equipped only with a pitless adapter and no treatment before water is pumped directly into the distribution system (similar to the typical configuration for residential housing). When wells are near enough to each other, they may be served by a single facility, and isolated wells each need to be served by dedicated facilities. In New Hampshire, at the time of this writing, there were approximately 500 individual facilities serving from one to seven wells each.

### 7.2 TYPES OF GROUNDWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

Groundwater treatment has historically been considered to be less complex (fewer and less involved treatment processes) compared to surface water treatment. The smallest of such facilities may be housed in a space in the basement of an apartment house. In recent years the trend has been toward increasing complexity, especially in municipal groundwater systems. Increasing complexity can be traced to increasing USEPA regulation of groundwater contaminants, and the promulgation of rules requiring treatment techniques focused on maintaining quality within the distribution system (e.g., the Lead and Copper Rule may require water conditioning at the source to mitigate metal leaching from privately owned plumbing). Other increases in complexity and sophistication may be attributed to more emphasis on security, need and a desire for remote supervision and control capabilities.

Community wells may have approved daily production volumes ranging from as little as 2 gallons per minute to quantities in excess of two million gallons per day. The type of treatment
that may be required is dependent upon the water chemistry, and not the volume produced from the well. The result is that any supply volume may need to be treated by one, none, or multiple approaches.

Groundwater treatment, where provided, commonly includes disinfection treatment and may also include application of a sequestering/corrosion inhibition agent, and/or pH adjustment. These treatments, for the most part, consist of a liquid chemical feed system consisting of a tank and metering pumps. Other very common treatments address iron, manganese, arsenic, and hardness. Groundwater treatment facilities tend to use the following technologies for some of the listed purposes/functions (although technologies more geared toward surface water may also be used in some cases):

- Chemical Addition
- Disinfectant (sometimes ultraviolet light)
- pH Adjustment
- Corrosion inhibitor
- Nuisance metal sequestering agent (types of phosphate or silicate)
- Fluoride (5 groundwater systems only)
- Aeration to remove volatile gases (also for pH adjustment)
- Granular Media Pressure Filtration (involves chemical additions and filtration through specialized media)
- Softening and Ion exchange (granular media is a resin)
- Greensand, Alumina
- Oxide-coated media
- Activated Carbon Adsorption
- Oxidation/Filtration
- Membrane Filtration


### 7.3 KNOWN GROUNDWATER TREATMENT FACILITY DEVELOPMENT AND CONSTRUCTION WITH EXISTING OF CAPITAL NEEDS FOR GROUND WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

The greatest determinant of cost of any facility is the size of the building required to house the processes. The NHDES database lists the treatment processes provided at each facility, but does
not include its capacity, nor the size of the building, which are normal features to which a cost model may be applied. In this case, the challenge was not so much to locate recently constructed facilities from which to derive a model, but was to find a way to relate or "hang" the cost model on the inventory of facilities. DES staff were able to associate each of the groundwater sources with the particular facility that served them. Because a "safe yield" was known for each groundwater source, that parameter was chosen as a substitute for facility "capacity" which was then used as a link to a cost model. This is described in much more detail in Appendices E and G, where Appendix E describes the approach taken that is common to all building-based assets, and Appendix G describes the steps taken to link safe yield to cost specifically for groundwater facilities.

### 7.4 PROJECTIONS OF CAPITAL NEEDS FOR GROUND WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

The complete inventory for groundwater treatment facilities in New Hampshire including are included in Appendix G.

The total cost to replace these facilities in 2010 dollars was estimated to be $\$ 477 \mathrm{M}$ for ground water pump/treatment facilities in the State of New Hampshire. Over the 20 year period, the Component Replacement Cost was determined to be $\$ 407 \mathrm{M}$, summarized in Table 7-1 below.

TABLE 7-1
PROJECTED GROUND WATER PUMP/TREATMENT FACILITY REPLACEMENT COSTS IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

| Type of <br> Treatment | Number of Water <br> Systems in New <br> Hampshire | Estimated 2010 <br> Replacement <br> Costs | Estimated 20-year <br> Replacement Cost <br> of System <br> Components <br> $(\mathbf{2 0 1 0})$ <br> $(\$)$ | Average Annual <br> Expenditures for <br> years 2010 <br> through 2030 <br> $(\$ /$ year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ground Water | 506 | $\$ 477 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 407 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 20.3$ |
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## SECTION 8

## BOOSTER STATIONS

### 8.1 BACKGROUND

Booster stations typically house pumps and equipment to pressurize, equalize, and control the flow of water. The purpose of booster stations may include ensuring adequate water pressure for customers located in areas of higher elevation, filling water storage tanks, and providing unusual additional large volumes of water in the event of a fire flow, or for an unanticipated water main break (important to prevent water pressures from dropping so as to prevent backflow/siphoning conditions which would require a boil water order following such an event). Often booster stations house pressure reducing/sustaining valves, electrical equipment, controls, communications, and chemical feed equipment for boosting disinfectant concentrations. Such installations can therefore be critical to the water supply security of a community. There are approximately 115 booster station facilities in New Hampshire, generally part of larger municipal-type water systems.

Methodology is described in Appendix H with calculations are presented in spreadsheet form. .

Table 8-1 summarizes the results.

## TABLE 8-1

PROJECTED BOOSTER STATION REPLACEMENT COSTS IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

| Type of <br> Treatment | Estimated <br> Number of <br> Booster Stations <br> in New <br> Hampshire | Estimated 2010 <br> Replacement <br> Costs | Estimated 20-year <br> Replacement Cost <br> $(\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \$)$ | Average Annual <br> Expenditures for <br> years 2010 <br> through 2030 <br> $(\$ /$ year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ground Water | 115 | $\$ 43.4$ | $\$ 48.3 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.4$ |

One may note that for this asset category, the 20-year replacement need is slightly greater than the 2010 replacement cost, unlike the situation for other asset categories addressed. Long-lived
process equipment is less likely to be found in these facilities, and a greater proportion of the equipment present have the shorter 15 -year service lives such as electrical, and instrumentation systems resulting more frequent replacement (a factor of 1.3 over the 20 -year period). Booster stations tend to be buildings with a comparatively small footprint, meaning that the structural and architectural construction service life replacement costs would also be comparatively lower.
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## APPENDIX A

## WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM ASSETS FOR SYSTEMS WITH NO FIRE PROTECTION

## A. 1 CHARACTERISTICS OF SMALL SYSTEMS WITHOUT FIRE PROTECTION

More water systems in the state of New Hampshire do not support fire protection than do. There are (at this writing) 584 Non-Fire protected systems number (out of a total of 723). Of the 584 Non-Fire Protected systems, there are approximately 561 classified as "very small systems" serving a population of 500 or fewer. Non-fire protected systems are not strictly limited to very small systems. Within New Hampshire, an additional 23 non-fire protected systems serve from 538 to 3000 people.

Like the fire-protected systems, when all tabulated systems in the non-fire protected category were plotted against population, there was a large variation between pipe lengths in similarly populated systems. However, this variation was much less pronounced when the tabulated sample set was divided according to the housing characteristics of the population served before the scatterplot was made relating population and total pipe lengths. The most important characteristic was found to be whether the population living pattern was closely grouped together or spread out geographically (whether the population served was dispersed or concentrated).

## A. 2 METHODOLOGY

## A.2.1 Tabulating a Sample Set of Water Systems

A set of data for approximately 56 non-fire protected New Hampshire water systems was collected and tabulated by population and pipe lengths according to diameter (for both distribution and transmission piping). DES staff compiled the data from the New Hampshire record-drawing database of representative non-fire protected community water systems.

## A.2.2 Classifying Non-Fire Protected Systems According to Residential Style

Non-fire protected systems are also almost entirely residential in character, and for New Hampshire, are all ground water supplied. An initial scatter plot made of the tabulated sample for population served and total pipe lengths showed significant scatter and lack of correlation. Indicating that non-fire-protected systems exhibit more diversity in the format and character of their distribution systems compared to fire protected systems.

When the non-fire protected tabulated set was broken apart according to the style of housing served by such systems according to the DES classifications, and then scatter plotted, better correlations were found between populations and pipe lengths. Two broad categories were developed that could be modeled for the various water system residential "styles".

One would expect apartments, school dormitories, and senior housing to consist of a limited number of buildings and a more limited water distribution system, and have a more intensely populated, or "concentrated" residential pattern. Whereas single family residences and mobile home parks are often set up on a lot system with minimum lot sizes separating buildings, resulting in a "dispersed" settlement pattern. Housing consisting of residential and manufactured housing developments would represent the "dispersed" pattern, and the "concentrated" pattern would be represented by apartments, condominiums and senior housing. Condominium systems were difficult to classify as either concentrated or dispersed because the category includes both converted apartment buildings and separate housing units near recreational (lake and ski) areas. Table A-1 shows the DES classifications and the numbers of water systems comprising them. Table A-2 examines these classifications further, presenting the comparative populations they serve, and the number of total systems serving the different population categories. Table A-3 contains the dataset, showing the 52 individual sample systems that were modeled (after analysis for outliers) according to whether they were categorized as "dispersed" or "concentrated", and listing the percentage of occurrence of each pipe diameter.

TABLE A-1
NON- FIRE PROTECTED WATER SYSTEM CATEGORIZATION

| Number in Category | Population Groupings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 584 | Total Non-Fire Protected Systems |  |  |
| 23 | Serving Population > 500 |  |  |
| 561 | Total Very Small Systems w/ Pop < 500 |  |  |
| Number in Category | Attribute | Category Abbreviation | Category Description |
| 43 | C | APT | Apartments |
| 148 | C/D | CON | Condominiums |
| 12 | C | DOM | Schools, Dormitories |
| 10 | C | HOM | Resident Homes (group, Nursing) |
| 23 | C | SRH | Senior Housing |
| 236 |  | Total Concentrated Systems |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 9 | D | LCW | Large CWS w Pop > 1000 |
| 4 | D | MCW | Major CWS (>1500 pope or SW supply) |
| 124 | D | MHP | Manufactured Housing Park |
| 176 | D | SFR | Single Family Residences |
| 9 | D | POR | Privately Owned Redistribution Systems |
| 26 |  | SCW | Small CWS (<1000 Pop \& No FP) |
| 348 |  | Total Dispersed Systems |  |

"C" - indicates a system where residences are close to each other or "Concentrated" in character
"D" - indicates a system where residences are on lots spaced apart, or "Dispersed" in character
"CWS" - Community Water System serves 15 connections or 25 persons year round
"SW" - Surface Water Supply
"GW" - Ground Water Supply
"FP" - Fire Protection

Table A-2 Classification of Non-Fire Protected Systems

| System Type | Database <br> Abbrev | Designation | Total Pop Served <br> by Category | No. of Systems in Share of Total Pop <br> Classification |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Served |  |  |  |  |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{cccc}\hline \text { Population Ranges of Non-Fire Protected Systems } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Number of } \\
\text { Systems }\end{array}
$$ \& \begin{array}{c}Percentage of <br>

Total Systems\end{array} \& Cumulative \%\end{array}\right]\)|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 to 150 | 424 | $69 \%$ | $69.0 \%$ |
| 151 to 300 | 107 | $17 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| 301 to 500 | 30 | $5 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| 501 to 1000 | 44 | $7 \%$ | $99 \%$ |
| 1001 to 2000 | 8 | $1 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Total | 613 |  |  |

Table A-3: NH Non-Fire Protected Systems; 52 Tabulated Systems for Which Pipe Lengths and Diameters were Known (52)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Fire Protection?} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{EPA ID} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Water System} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{System Type} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Pop. Served} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\# Service Connnections} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Totaled Pipe Length, Feet} \& \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Length of Pipe by Diameter} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <2" \& 2" to 4" \& 6" \& 8" \& 10" \& 12' \& 14'-16" \& 18"-20" \& $\geq 24 "$ \& Unknown size or mat'l <br>
\hline \# Concentrated Systems \& 14 \& Concentrated Population Systems Tabulated \& \& Pop. Served \& \# Service Connnections \& Totaled Pipe Length, Feet \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline N \& 432040 \& PEU/SHAKER HEIGHTS \& APT \& 55 \& 22 \& 868 \& - \& 868 \& - \& - \& \& \& - \& - \& \& - <br>
\hline N \& 1392290
1176010 \& PEUUHARVEST VIILAGE \& ${ }_{\text {APT }}$ \& 175 \& 70
41 \& 1,268 \& : \& 1,268 \& $:$ \& : \& \& \& - \& : \& \& : <br>
\hline N \& 1176010
1032070 \& HoLLIS VILLAGE MARKET PLACE \& APT \& 272
60 \& 41
24 \& $\begin{array}{r}1,449 \\ \hline 807\end{array}$ \& 416 \& 1,033 \& $:$ \& : \& \& \& \& \& \& : <br>
\hline N \& 1932120 \& CROSS RIDGE ESTATES \& CON \& 73 \& 29 \& 1,319 \& \& 1,319 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline N \& 882210 \& GILFORD MEADOWS \& CON \& 75 \& 40 \& 1,456 \& \& 1,456 \& - \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline N \& 612130 \& RICHARDSON ESTATES \& Con \& 98 \& 36 \& 1,854 \& - \& 1,854 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline N \& 2542070 \& VILLAGES OF WINDHAM \& Con \& 105 \& 42 \& 2,691 \& - \& 589 \& 2,102 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline N \& 2542170 \& PEU/LAMPLIGHTER VILLAGE \& CON \& 162 \& 65 \& ${ }^{6,583}$ \& - \& 2,219 \& \& 4,364 \& \& \& \& \& \& - <br>
\hline N \& 2542060 \& PEUHARDWOOD HTS BIRCH HILL \& Con \& 250 \& 40 \& 3,898 \& - \& 1,258 \& 979 \& 1,648 \& \& 13 \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline N \& 752020 \& LAKEVIEW CONDOMINIUMS \& CON \& 328 \& 131 \& 5,896 \& - \& 3,878 \& 2,018 \& . \& \& \& \& \& \& - <br>
\hline N \& 2544020 \& WINDHAM TERRACE \& HOM \& 110 \& 1 \& 1,120 \& 149 \& \& 971 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& , <br>
\hline $N$ \& 262060 \& PEUSTONE SLED FARM \& SRH \& 38 \& 25 \& 2,960 \& \& 2,535 \& 425 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& - <br>
\hline N \& 1972070 \& PEU/CLEARWATER ESTATES \& SRH \& 80 \& 32 \& 2,848 \& \& 2,848 \& \& - \& \& - \& - \& - \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& Totaled Pipe \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline \# Dispursed
Systems \& 37 \& Dispursed Population Systems
Tabulated \& \& Pop. \& \# Service
Connnections \& Pipe Length, Feet \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline N \& 1461010 \& VILLAGE DISTRICT OF EIDELWEISS \& LCW \& 1050 \& 420 \& 64,915 \& 44,515 \& 9,650 \& 900 \& 9,850 \& \& \& - \& - \& \& - <br>
\hline N \& 881020 \& GUNSTOCK ACRES VILLAGE DIST \& LCW \& 1425 \& 575 \& 99,299 \& 406 \& 70,009 \& 28,884 \& \& \& \& , \& \& \& - <br>
\hline ${ }_{N}$ \& 1831010 \& ORFORD VILLAGE DISTRICT \& SCW \& ${ }_{128}$ \& 240
51 \& 6,654 \& 154 \& 2,389
6,500 \& \& 4,390 \& \& \& \& \& \& $:$ <br>
\hline N \& 821010 \& FITZWILLIAM VILLAGE \& scw \& 161 \& 55 \& 13,840 \& 8,970 \& 4,870 \& - \& - \& \& \& - \& - \& \& <br>
\hline N \& 2082010 \& PEU/BEAVER HOLLOW \& SFR \& 30 \& 11 \& 3,370 \& \& 3,370 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& - <br>
\hline N \& 1612260
1852020 \& CROSSWINDS \& SFR \& 61 \& 29 \& 4,469
3
3 \& - \& 4,469
3 \& : \& : \& \& \& - \& : \& \& : <br>
\hline N \& 1852020
2352020 \& PEU/GAGE HILL
NORTHERN SHORES WATER \& SFR \& 65
70 \& 26
28 \& 3,800
3,240 \& 304 \& 3,800
2,936 \& - \& - \& \& - \& : \& - \& \& $:$ <br>
\hline N \& 2452010 \& PEUIDANIELS LKE \& SFR \& 70 \& 28 \& $\stackrel{\text { 2,741 }}{ }$ \& 570 \& ${ }_{2,171}$ \& \& \& \& \& \& - \& \& - <br>
\hline N \& 512260 \& DAVIS HILL \& SFR \& 75 \& 30 \& 5,308 \& \& 4,367 \& 941 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline N \& 612090 \& hubbard hill \& SFR \& 80 \& 32 \& 2,797 \& - \& 2,797 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline N \& 1332050 \& PEUITHURSTON WOODS \& SFR \& 85 \& 34 \& 4,491 \& - \& 1,155 \& 3,336 \& \& \& \& \& - \& \& <br>
\hline N \& 1182050 \& PEUWESCO UTILTIES \& SFR \& 88 \& 35 \& 3,076 \& - \& 2,894 \& 182 \& \& \& \& \& - \& \& - <br>
\hline N \& 1392040 \& PEUPIPINEHAVEN WATER TRUST \& SFR \& 90 \& 36 \& 4,562 \& - \& 4,562 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& - <br>
\hline N \& ${ }_{6}^{612110}$ \& PEUIFARMSTEAD ACRES \& SFR \& 95 \& 36
63 \& 2,124
7,550 \& $:$ \& 73
935 \& 2,051
6,615 \& : \& \& - \& $:$ \& - \& \& : <br>
\hline N \& 612170
2542140 \& MAPLE HAVEN
PEU/CASTLE REACH \& SFR \& 95
97 \& 63
39 \& 7,550
5,143 \& - \& 935 \& 6,615
2,848 \& 2,295 \& \& : \& - \& - \& \& $:$ <br>
\hline N \& 2542180 \& PEU/SPRUCE POND ESTS \& SFR \& 100 \& 41 \& 5,960 \& - \& \& \& 5,960 \& \& - \& - \& - \& \& <br>
\hline N \& 1392240 \& PEUNESENKEAG \& SFR \& 110 \& 44 \& 4,850 \& - \& 1,180 \& 3,670 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline N \& 2302040 \& EASTFIELD CROSSING \& SFR \& 113 \& 45 \& ${ }_{6}^{6,613}$ \& : \& 4,777 \& 1,836
1,679 \& \& \& \& \& - \& \& : <br>
\hline N \& 1392250

2542150 \& PEUAVERY ESTATES \& SFR \& 118 \& 47 \& 4,911
3
3896 \& $:$ \& 362
825 \& 1,679 \& 2,870
2
2 \& \& \& : \& : \& \& <br>
\hline N \& 2542150
612140 \& PEEUND LO ESTATES \& SFR \& 133
140 \& 53
56 \& 3,183
5 \& : \& 5,
5,183 \& 637 \& 2,434 \& \& \& \& \& \& - <br>
\hline N \& 1182040 \& PEU/SMYTHE WOODS \& SFR \& 160 \& 64 \& 7,575 \& - \& 7,575 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline N \& 2052070 \& AUTUMN WOODS \& SFR \& 180 \& 72 \& 8,081 \& - \& 4,385 \& 3,696 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline N \& 1972010 \& PEU/LIBERTY TREE ACRES \& SFR \& 183 \& 72 \& 11,273 \& - \& 10,616 \& 657 \& - \& \& \& \& \& \& : <br>
\hline N \& 1652020 \& CHALK POND WATER \& SFR \& 200
203 \& 80
81 \& 12,856
6.567 \& \& 12,856 \& $:$ \& \& \& \& : \& - \& \& - <br>
\hline N \& 1542030
612080 \& PEU/SUNRISE ESTATES
REDFIELD ESTATES \& SFR \& 203
250 \& 81

100 \& | 6,567 |
| :--- |
| 13,046 | \& 5,894 \& 673

10,513 \& - \& 2,533 \& \& \& - \& - \& \& - <br>
\hline N \& 612070 \& GLEN RIDGE DEV \& SFR \& 255 \& 102 \& 11,544 \& 1,948 \& 9,596 \& - \& 2,5s \& \& \& - \& \& \& <br>
\hline N \& 612010 \& PEU/OAKWOOD TERRACE \& SFR \& 305 \& 122 \& 5,262 \& \& 641 \& 4,621 \& - \& \& \& - \& - \& \& - <br>
\hline N \& 2542010 \& PEU/GOLDEN BROOK \& SFR \& 313 \& 125 \& 9,218 \& 100 \& 9,118 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& - <br>
\hline N \& 612020

2542030 \& PEUMAPLE HILL ACRES \& SFR \& 458 \& 183 \& 19,480
44,125 \& 2,840 \& 12,960 \& 1,900 \& 1,780 \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline ${ }_{N}^{N}$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 2542030 \\
& 612150
\end{aligned}
$$ \& PEU/W AND E DREW WOODS \& SFR

SFR \& 498
980 \& 199
392 \& 44,125
45,389 \& ; \& 36,150
20,199 \& 1,671
6,507 \& 6,304
17,074 \& \& 1,602 \& - \& - \& \& <br>
\hline \# Total No -FP Systems \& 52 \& Total No -FP Systems Tabulated (outliers removed) \& \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total for Each categorgy} \& 524,160 \& 66,273 \& 307,233 \& 87,537 \& 61,502 \& - \& 1,615 \& - \& - \& - \& - <br>
\hline Dispursed - \& 37 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{SRF, MCW, LCW, MHP, SCW, POR HOM, DOM, CON, APT, SRH} \& \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Nominal Diameter Category
\% for All 52 Tallied Non FP Systems}} \& <2" \& 2" to 4" \& 6" \& 8" \& 10" \& 12' \& 14'-16" \& 18"-20" \& > 24" \& Unknown <br>
\hline Concentrated - \& 14 \& \& \& \& \& \& 13\% \& 59\% \& 17\% \& 12\% \& 0\% \& 0.3\% \& 0\% \& 0\% \& 0\% \& 0\% <br>
\hline \multicolumn{17}{|l|}{Outliers Removed} <br>
\hline N \& 341030 \& WATERVILLE ESTATE VILL DIST/W \& LCW \& 1230 \& 410 \& 118,395 \& - \& 108,978 \& 9,417 \& - \& \& \& - \& - \& \& <br>
\hline N \& 1722020 \& SLOPE N SHORE CLUB \& CON \& 180 \& 73 \& 18,772 \& - \& \& \& \& \& \& - \& - \& \& 18,772 <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{N} \& 1732020 \& WADE FARM CONDOMINIUMS \& CON \& 40 \& 16 \& 8,501 \& - \& 8,501 \& - \& - \& \& . \& . \& . \& \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& Total for Conc \& entrated Systems \& 35,017 \& 565 \& 21,932 \& 6,495 \& 6,012 \& - \& 13 \& - \& - \& $\cdot$ \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& Nominal Diame \& ter Category \& <2" \& 2" to 4" \& $6{ }^{6}$ \& $8{ }^{\text {8 }}$ \& 10" \& $12^{\prime}$ \& 14'-16" \& 18"-20" \& $\geq 24 "$ \& Unknown size or mat'l <br>
\hline \& \& \% for 37 Concentra \& Systems (Total of 4 \& 43.5K Ft of Pip \& ее) -Ном, DOM, CON \& V, APT, SRH \& 1.6\% \& 62.6\% \& 18.5\% \& 17.2\% \& 0.0\% \& 0\% \& 0\% \& 0\% \& 0\% \& 0\% <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## A.2.3 Least Squares Regression to Model Population and Pipe Lengths

A least squares (regression) analysis was made for the scatterplots of each set, "Dispersed" and "Concentrated", obtaining a mathematical equation to relate the population served to the total length of all water mains found in the system. The scatter plots with the regression line of best fit are shown in Figures A-1 and A-2. The resulting equations for these relationships were then applied to the entire list of non-fire protected water systems in the state, creating an estimate of total length of water main according to population size.

The resulting equations for either "dispersed" or "concentrated" systems could then be applied to the populations served for each non-fire protected water system in the DES database, to provide an estimate of possible total pipe length that may be present in a system serving a population of that size.

## A.2.4 Estimate of Lengths According to Diameter

The estimated total pipe length estimated by the regression equations were then broken apart according to the proportion of diameter sizes that could be expected for the type of system (dispersed vs. concentrated). This was done to allow application of a unit cost to the estimated pipe lengths, because the cost of pipelines is determined (to an extent) by the diameter of the pipe installed. While it is understood that many other factors over and above diameter contribute to cost (the conditions and environment in which pipe is installed is tremendously important), this information is not available for the 575 water systems in the database; such that only typical project costs by diameter could be applied. To obtain an estimate of the lengths by diameter, the columns of pipe lengths by diameter in Table A-3 were summed and calculated for pipe diameter frequency. The percents of the total are shown graphically for all classifications Figures A-3. The particular styles grouped under each category are shown on Figure A-4 for "Dispersed" style systems, and Figure A-5 for "Concentrated Style Systems as frequency histograms of pipe sizes. This gave each subgroup's typical distribution of pipe diameters that might be expected within an inventory of water mains.

Figure A-1: NH Non-Fire Protected Distribution Systems:
Population vs Total Pipe (feet)
For 37 Tabulated "Dispersed Style" Systems: (SFR, SCW, MHP- Model will also apply to LCW, MCW, POR)


Figure A-2: NH Non-Fire Protected Distribution Systems:
Population vs Total Pipe Length
For 14 Tallied "Concentrated Style" Systems:
(HOM, DOM, CON, APT, SRH)


Figure A-3: NH Systems w/ No Fire Protection 52 Tabulated Systems Sorted by Diameter and System Type


Figure A-4: NH Non-Fire Protected Systems:
Percent Share of Population Within "Dispersed" Style Systems


Figure A-5: NH Non-Fire Protected Systems: Share of Non-Fire Protected Category Population Served by "Concentrated" Style Systems
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## A.2.5 Pipeline Construction Costs

An estimate of 2010 cost needed to be made for each diameter pipe length estimated for each system (described above). To do this, a replacement cost was applied to each length of pipe diameter for each water system in the database. The diameter costs were determined by using standard unit construction costs. The construction costs used for this evaluation are presented in Table A-4 on the next page. These were developed for this evaluation, based on a sampling of recent construction projects (Table A-5). These unit costs are understood to be averages. Traffic control, whether there is ledge removal, utility interference, pavement restoration and a variety of other factors affect the overall costs from project to project.

The pipeline unit costs assume a $35 \%$ factor for professional services to plan, design, bid and construct a project in accordance with current NHDES standards using funds from the State Revolving Loan Fund (SRF). Construction projects costs were normalized to the year 2010 using the Engineering News Record (ENR) 20-City Average Construction Cost Index, before converting into a total developed cost per foot. For these systems, 2 to 4 -inch piping predominates. It should be noted that 6 -inch and 10 -inch mains are generally understood to be uncommon and obsolete in most circumstances, so a common replacement cost was assumed for 8 -inch and 12 -inch mains, respectively.

TABLE A-4
UNIT CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR WATER MAIN REPLACEMENT

| Pipe Diameter | Unit Construction Cost* |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2-4-inch | \$110/L.F. |
| 6-8-inch | \$120/L.F. |
| 10-12-inch | \$160/L.F. |

* L.F. - lineal foot


## A.2.5 ESTIMATED SERVICE LIFE

After application of the unit construction cost to each pipe diameter length to achieve an estimated 2010 replacement cost for each diameter, the annualized cost was then determined based on an estimated useful life of pipeline assets. For non-fire protected systems, service life for 2-4-inch mains was assumed to be 50 years. For all other water mains, the useful life was assumed to be 100 years. It is recognized, that some pipe line materials may have longer useful lives than other materials, but an average life of 100 years was assumed to represent an average performance life for a water main for small, medium and large water systems with fire protection. In this step of the model, the 2010 construction cost was divided by the estimated service life of the pipe to achieve an annual replacement cost for each diameter, which were them summed back together to achieve an annual replacement cost for the water system datapoint.

## A.2.6 DETERMINING THE AGGREGATED NON-FIRE PROTECTED SYSTEM ESTIMATED REPLACEMENT COST

The service life adjusted costs by diameter were then summed to obtain an estimated yearly need for each water system in the database. This value was multiplied by 20 to find the 20 -year need. The final model incorporating all the steps listed above are displayed in the Excel spreadsheet Table A-6.

The following summarizes the steps taken to complete the pipe analysis:

- All water systems were first separated into two major categories: Fire-Protected and NonFire Protected (based on the assumption that for any given population, a fire protected system would have more and larger pipe than a non-fire protected system).
- For the Non Fire Protected Category, samples were classified into sets according to water system population "type": dispersed (e.g., single-family homes, manufactured housing developments, etc.) and concentrated (apartments, condominiums, resident homes, etc.).
- Total constructed costs were determined for different water main sizes, from actual pipeline projects normalized to 2010 costs.
- Estimated service lives for pipes of different diameters were obtained.
- Actual pipe lengths according to diameter were collected for systems within each category, and tabulated.
- Each diameter length was divided by total length to obtain the percent frequencies of pipe diameters for each tabulated sample set of NH systems.
- A scatter plot was generated for population and pipe lengths for each group of "dispersed" and "concentrated" tabulated systems.
- Least squares regression analysis was made for each scatter plot (population vs. pipe length), within MS Excel to find the best fit equation that best related population to pipe length.
- Applied best fit equations to each system's population for the purpose of estimating total pipe lengths. This resulted in a 2010 total developed cost for the estimated total length of pipe in a community.
- Applied the percentages breakdown of pipe lengths found in the tabulated set to the total estimated length found from the best fit equation.
- Applied total developed cost per linear foot for each diameter,
- Divided cost by diameter by service life to get a yearly cost for each diameter over the service life,
- Summed all diameter length costs to a yearly cost.
- Multiplied the yearly cost by 20 years to obtain the 20 -year need.


## A. 3 CAPITAL NEEDS PROJECTION FOR SMALL SYSTEMS W/OUT FIRE PROTECTION

For this grouping, a cost was determined for completely replacing all the Non-Fire Protected water distribution systems. On an annual basis, approximately $\$ 7.9 \mathrm{M}$ per year would be required to fully replace all distribution mains for these systems.

Table A-5, below shows the total cost to replace all of the Non-Fire Protected water distribution systems normalized to 2010 dollars. For comparison with other infrastructure refer to Table 1-1
in Section 1. This represents the infrastructure need to modernize all the distribution pipelines in the entire state for such systems on a sustainable basis. For comparison with other infrastructure refer to Table 1-1.

TABLE A-5
PROJECTED NON-FIRE PROTECTED PIPE SYSTEMS NEEDS IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

| Water System Asset Category | Est'd Number of Water Systems in New Hampshire | Est'd <br> Replacement <br> Cost of <br> Entire <br> Distribution <br> System <br> $(2010)$ | Est'd Avg <br> Useful Life of Distribution System | Avg Annual Expenditures for years 2010 through 2030 (\$M/year) | Total Collected over 20 Years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Systems w/out Fire Protection | $\begin{aligned} & 584(23 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{pop}> \\ & 500) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$471M | 50 \& 100 | \$7.9 | \$159 M |
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## APPENDIX B

## WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM ASSETS FOR SYSTEMS WITH FIRE PROTECTION

## B. 1 CHARACTERISTICS OF FIRE PROTECTED WATER SYSTEMS

In New Hampshire, there are approximately 139 community water systems which size their system to provide fire protection to the community. Information as to the lengths of pipes and diameters of piping within these systems is not systematically collected at this time, and so must be modeled to obtain an estimate of pipe lengths in the state.

Fire protected water distribution systems are distinct from those that do not serve fire protected communities in terms of the size and expense of the in-ground pipe infrastructure, although many of them do serve communities with both large and small populations. Most community water systems serving populations greater than 500 also tend to provide sufficient storage volume for fire protection, necessitating comparatively larger diameter piping. However, there are approximately 31 systems serving fewer than 500 that do provide fire protection, and therefore also require relatively larger pipe diameters compared to communities of the same size that do not provide fire protection.

A convenient way to categorize population was to use the EPA-defined community water system population categories. Table B-1 shows the population categories and the total number systems within each EPA defined community water system population category. Figure B-1 displays this graphically. These show that most water systems providing fire protection serve EPA-defined medium sized populations.

Figure B-1: Total NH Systems w/ Fire Protection by Population


[^0]TABLE B-1
FIRE PROTECTED COMMUNITY WATER SYSTEMS

| Population Range | Total Number of <br> Systems | Percent Share of <br> Total FP <br> Systems | Tabulated Fire <br> Protected <br> Systems | Percent <br> Share of <br> Tabulated <br> Sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $25-500$ | 31 | $22 \%$ | 5 | $14 \%$ |
| $501-3,300$ | 69 | $50 \%$ | 15 | $43 \%$ |
| $3301-40,000$ | 36 | $26 \%$ | 12 | $34 \%$ |
| $40,001 \&$ greater | 3 | $2 \%$ | 3 | $9 \%$ |
| Total | 139 | $100 \%$ | 35 | $100 \%$ |

## B. 2 METHODOLOGY

## B.2.1 Tabulating a Set With Known Pipe Lengths and Diameters

Because pipeline data are not always collected and maintained by water systems in New Hampshire, we sought to develop a mathematical model relating population to 34 systems for which pipe length was available. This model was applied to estimate total pipe lengths for the remaining 104 Fire Protected systems for which data was not available. This estimate could be used in combination with estimated construction costs and service life to calculate an estimated piping infrastructure value. The sample set of 34 fire protected water systems was collected to represent the ranges of population sizes served. Table B-2 shows the sample set collected. Sources of information included the Cities of Manchester, Concord, and the Pennichuck Water Works (for numerous systems), Wright-Pierce, and mapping available in the DES recorddrawing database. The sample set included both distribution and transmission piping. Table B-3 shows the number of tabulated samples that were available for each EPA population category. An additional division was created for the largest systems in the state so that systems with a population range from 3301 to 40,000 persons could be considered separately from those serving more than 40,000 persons (of which there are only 3 in the state). These three very largest systems provided a breakout of total pipe lengths by diameter, and therefore a regression model was not required to estimate pipe lengths in order to estimate a year 2010 replacement cost.

Table B-2: Data for 34 Tabulated Fire Protected Systems for Which Pipe Lengths and Diameters are Known


TABLE B-3
TABULATED FIRE PROTECTED SYSTEMS AVAILABLE FOR MODELING

| Population Category | Number of Tabulated Sets Available to Model |
| :---: | :---: |
| Small < 500 | 5 |
| Medium, $501-3,300$ | 15 |
| Large, $3,301-40,000$ | 12 |
| Very Large, $40,000-133,000$ | 3 |

Because there were so few data samples available (and when plotted, there was so much scatter), enough NH samples could not be obtained to ensure significance. As a source of comparison, the State of Maine Drinking Water Program was contacted for a listing of the EPA ID numbers for Maine community water systems with serving a population greater than 500. The EPA ID numbers were used to access pipe length data from the Maine Public Utilities Commission database to develop a sample set of approximately 100 water systems for total pipe lengths/diameters. Systems serving populations fewer than 500 were not required to submit detailed pipe information to MPUC. However, the information was useful in that the comparison with the NH sample set of 35 Fire-Protected systems suggested that the tabulated sample set was representative in the pipeline diameter distributions. When used as an overlay scatterplot and diameter histogram, it was useful for confirming NH results and for developing a workable model for the smallest systems for which only 5 samples were available..

## B.2.2 Modeling Pipe Length Based on Population

The tabulated data sets, scatter plots were made of system populations (x axis) against the total pipe lengths ( $y$ axis) of all diameters (in feet).

The scatter plot of the tabulated system populations ( x axis) against the total pipe lengths ( y axis) of all diameters (in feet) revealed that not only did the pipe lengths increase with increasing population, but also that systems with similar populations saw increasing differences in total pipe lengths as populations increased (increasing variance).

These differences may be explained by the diversity in water system formats determined by the demographic and economic characteristics of the communities served. For example, older communities would tend to have a more compact distribution system. Those communities experiencing intense residential and economic development would tend to see increased pipe length compared to population.

The scatterplot shown in Figure B-2 demonstrates the scatter and lack of trendline agreement between the different population categories. Although a good correlation could be obtained by including the entire range in one model, the increasing scatter with increasing population was too great to consider the model reliable (heteroscedasticity), particularly for modeling systems in the smaller populations. For this reason, a separate best fit linear model was developed for population subcategories.

Least squares analysis was applied to combinations of population vs. pipe length plots to examine the mathematical relationship (line of best fit) and scatter. Once a relationship was developed for each population set, it was applied to the database populations to estimate a total pipe length for each system in the NH dataset. Where actual pipe lengths were known for a dataset system, these were entered instead of being modeled, and the fact that it was a real pipe length was signified in Table B-6 by being outlined with a box. The resulting database list contained pipe lengths calculated by the model, interspersed with actual pipe lengths. An advantage of the list of calculated pipe lengths being interspersed with actual pipe lengths is that it allow "calibration" of the model by making it obvious when the model values were more or less "in line" with the actual values as populations increased. This approach showed that the models based on strict EPA population categories sometimes did not result in model pipe length results that would be expected between two known actual pipe lengths upper and lower values, especially at the transition areas between two EPA population categories. Table B-6 is color

Figure B-2: NH Systems with Fire Protection
Tabulated System Population vs Total System Pipe Length

coded as to the model applied to each population (yellow <500, green 501-3,300, and melon from 3,300-40,000. Outliers not included in the model but entered as tabulated systems are color coded in purple. The population subcategories were relaxed in the case of the groupings from 501 to 3,300 and from 3,300 to 40,000 in order to obtain better pipe length values more in agreement with the known lengths around them in the dataset. This relaxation of categories was made in two different ways. In the way just discussed, where the model equation was allowed to move into the next population category up to allow better agreement between modeled pipe lengths and the nearby actual pipe lengths. In the other way, the groupings used for the regression analysis were adjusted to bring in data from a larger population grouping (as in the case for the "Large" grouping). These are discussed in more detail below.

Small Fire Protected Systems Serving 500 and fewer: There are approximately 31 fire protected water systems in New Hampshire classified as very small systems (serving 500 and fewer). These systems represent about $22 \%$ of the total number of fire-protected public water systems. The tallied sample set of 5 systems in this category represented $14 \%$ of the tabulated set, and were too few to have confidence that a line of best fit would adequately model the 31 systems of this size in NH. Although, the MPUC data (Figures B-3a and B3-b) did not include systems with populations less than 500 , the 59 systems in the 500 to 3300 grouping, setting the intercept to zero defined a strong regression line that did a good job of including smaller populations (that was consistent even when divided by population groupings). When this line was applied to the entire NH data set for populations 500 and less, it resulted in a match up (line ends meeting) between the smaller pop set and the next population category in NH 500 to 3300, resulting in use of the overlay equation in this case (Figure B-3c). The final model applied was Total Pipe Length $(\mathrm{ft})=103.35 \times\left(\right.$ Population $\left.{ }^{\wedge} 0.8989\right) ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.9196$.

Medium Fire Protected Systems Serving Populations from 501 to 3,300 persons: Table B-1 shows approximately 69 NH water systems which provide fire protection and are classified as medium-sized (serving a population of 501 to 3,300 ). These systems represent about $50 \%$ of the total number of public water systems which provide fire protection. The 13 tabulated systems

Figure B-3a: Total Pipe Length for 99 ME Systs Pop > 500, Feet (Full Scale except Portland Water District Removed as Outlier)


Fgure B-3b: Total Pipe Length for 99 ME Systs Pop > 500 (Smaller Scale)


Figure B-3c: NH FP System Tallied Pipe Lengths

were scatterplotted and regression analysis applied to create a model (after removing outliers) made up $42 \%$ of the 31 tabulated systems. Figure B-4 shows the model developed relating population and pipe lengths for this population grouping. The final models applied was Total Pipe Length $(\mathrm{ft})=(37.848 \times$ Population $)+4356 ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.6156$. It was advantageous to apply this equation to the dataset with populations ranging from 3,300 to 4,000 as well because it resulted in values that more closely matched the actual pipe length values entered around them for tabulated systems.

Large Fire Protected Systems Serving Populations from 3,300 to 40,000: Table B-1 shows there are approximately 36 water systems in NH which are classified as large-sized systems (population 3,300 to 40,000 ) which provide fire protection. These systems represent about $26 \%$ of the total number of community water systems which provide fire protection. A model with better agreement with nearby actual pipe lengths could be obtained by having the regression include the sample set for Concord (pop 43,000). Figure B-5 shows the scatterplot and regression line for the model; Length $(\mathrm{ft})=(21.332 \times$ Population $)+121,514 ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.74$. This model was applied to the database populations from population 4,000 up to 43,000 (although Concord was tabulated, not modeled). The previous section's model was applied to the dataset populations from 3,300 to 4,000 as discussed in the previous section. So the actual population range encompassed by the model shown in Figure B-5 became 4,000 to 43,000. However, the diameter distributions were developed for those tabulated systems between 3,300 to 40,000.

Very Large Fire Protected Systems Serving Populations Greater than 40,000: For this grouping, an additional non-EPA size classification was used. There are approximately 3 water systems in New Hampshire which are range from 40,000 to 133,000 . These systems represent about $2 \%$ of the total number of public water systems in New Hampshire which provide fire protection. The amount of piping serving Very Large Systems differs greatly from that serving the Large Systems with populations from 3,301 to 40,000 . The three systems in the Very Large category were not statistically analyzed, but instead inventoried, and entered directly into the calculation without modeling based on population.

Figure B-4: NH Fire Protected Systems: Population vs Pipe Length 13 Tallied Systems w/ Pop 500-3300; (2 outliers removed)


Figure B-5: Fire Protected Systems: Population vs Pipe Length
13 Tallied Systems w/ Pop $\geq$ 3300-45,000


Table B-3A summarizes how the groupings were adjusted.

TABLE B-3A
TABULATED SYSTEMS ADJUSTED GROUPINGS FOR MODELING

| Population Category | Number of <br> Tabulated Sets <br> Available to Model | Adjusted Model Pop <br> Groupings | Tabulated Sets <br> include in Revised <br> Groupings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Small < 500 | 5 | < 500 | 5 |
| Medium, 501-3,300 | $15(13)$ | $501-3,300$ (model) <br> $501-4,000$ (application to <br> dataset) | 13 |
| Large, 3,301-40,000 | 12 | $3,300-45,000$ (model) <br> $4,000-45,000$ (application <br> to dataset) | 13 |
| Very Large, 40,000- <br> 133,000 (not modeled) | 3 | Three values entered but not <br> modeled as "Very Large <br> System"; | 2 |

Revisions for removing outliers and adjustments for regression modeling

## B.2.3 Estimating the Amount of Pipe and 2010 Replacement Cost of Each Diameter

Pipe Diameter Distributions: The data included total pipe lengths and a breakout of pipe lengths according to pipe diameters so that a frequency distribution of the pipe diameters could be made for each size class as shown in Table B-4 and Figure B-6.

Table B-4 shows how these tabulated diameters were summed and plotted as frequency histograms of pipe sizes (Figure B-7), both overall and for each population breakout. The frequencies gave each subgroup's typical distribution of the pipe diameters that might be expected within an inventory of water mains. This information was useful because pipeline construction cost is related to the water main diameter (although many other factors contribute to costs). The percentages by diameter for each tabulated system were applied to the estimated total pipe lengths according to the population grouping (Table B-6).

Table B-4: Tabulated Systems by Diameters


Figure B-6: Pipe Diameter Distribution for 34 Tallied NH FP Systems


Figure B-7: NH Tabulated Fire Protected Systems Distribution of Lengths of Pipe Diameters by Population Category


Replacement Costs: For extrapolating the need within the state, average unit construction costs (Table B-5) were used. These unit costs are understood to be averages based on a sampling of recent construction projects, normalized to the year 2010 using the Engineering News Record 20-City Average Construction Cost Index. Table B-6 shows the derivation in more detail.

TABLE B-5
UNIT CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR DUCTILE IRON WATER MAIN REPLACEMENT

| Pipe Diameter | Unit Construction Cost* |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2-4-inch | \$110/L.F. |
| 6-8-inch | \$120/L.F. |
| $10-12$-inch | \$160/L.F. |
| $14-16$-inch | \$200/L.F. |
| $18-24$-inch | \$240/L.F. |

* L.F. - lineal foot

Although pipes made of many different types of materials exist in the ground today, there have not been many recent construction projects in New Hampshire or New England to make a good determination of average cost for anything but ductile iron pipe. The unit costs also assume a $35 \%$ factor for professional services to design, bid and construct a project in accordance with current NHDES standards using funds from the State Revolving Loan Fund (SRF). It should be noted that 6 -inch and 10 -inch mains are generally understood to be uncommon and obsolete in most circumstances, so a common replacement cost was assumed for 8 -inch and 12-inch mains, respectively. Traffic control, whether there is ledge removal, utility interference, pavement restoration and a variety of other factors affect the overall costs from project to project.


## B.2.4 Estimated Service Life

After application of the unit construction cost to each pipe diameter length to achieve an estimated 2010 replacement cost for each diameter, the annualized cost was then determined based on an estimated useful life of pipeline assets. For fire protected systems, service life of all mains was assumed to be 100 years. It is recognized, that some pipe line materials may have longer useful lives than other materials, but an average life of 100 years was assumed to represent an average performance life for a water main for small, medium and large water systems with fire protection. In this step of the model, the 2010 construction cost was divided by the estimated service life of the pipe to achieve an annual replacement cost for each diameter, which were them summed back together to achieve an annual replacement cost for the water system datapoint.

## B.2.5 Determining the Aggregated Fire Protected System Estimated Replacement Cost

The service life adjusted costs by diameter were then summed to obtain an estimated yearly need for each water system in the database. This value was multiplied by 20 to find the 20 -year need. The final model incorporating all the steps listed above are displayed in the Excel spreadsheet Table B-7. For breaking replacement costs according to system size, the original EPA ID population groupings are used for the summation (as opposed to the model groupings). This is because the EPA ID number groupings are often used as cut-off points in other planning areas and for this reason, reporting the results in conformance with the EPA groupings may make use of the results easier.



## B. 3 SUMMARY OF THE METHOD

The following summarizes the steps taken to complete the pipe analysis:

- Separate all water systems into two major categories: Fire-Protected and Non-Fire Protected (based on the assumption that for any given population, a fire protected system would have more and larger pipe than a non-fire protected system). Non-Fire protected systems are discussed in Appendix A of this report.
- Developed a cost set, adjusted to 2010 costs, for actual water main installations classified by main size.
- Compile a sample set of 34 water systems, and tabulate according to main diameters and lengths.
- Frequencies of pipe diameters were determined for sample set. Compared to set of 100 Maine system diameter frequencies as a check.
- A scatter plot was generated for population and pipe lengths for each population category. Outliers were removed from model construction.
- Least squares (regression) analysis (within MS Excel) for each sample's scatter plot (population vs. pipe length) to find the equation that best related population to pipe length.
- Applied the least squares (best fit) equations to each system's population to estimate each an estimated total pipe length for each system.
- Applied diameter frequencies to total pipe length to estimate a length for each diameter in the system.
- Apply cost per linear foot for each diameter,
- Divide cost for each diameter by service life
- Sum across to total annual cost to replace over 100 years.
- Multiply by 20 years for the 20 -year need.


## B. 4 PROJECTIONS OF CAPITAL NEEDS

## B.4.1 Systems with Fire Protection

Table B-8 gives the estimated 2010 replacement cost for all water main within fire protected systems with 20 year and annual estimated funding need included.

TABLE B-8
PROJECTED FIRE PROTECTED PIPE SYSTEMS NEEDS IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

| Water System Asset Category | Est'd Number of Water Systems in New Hampshire | Est'd Replacement Cost of Distribution Systems (2010) | Est'd Avg <br> Useful Life of Distribution System | Avg Annual Expenditures for years 2010 through 2030 (\$M/year) | Total Collected over 20 Years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Small Systems | 31 | \$62M | 100 | \$0.6 | \$12.4M |
| Medium Systems | 69 | \$568M | 100 | \$5.7 | \$113.5M |
| Large Systems | 36 | \$2,018M | 100 | \$20.2 | \$403.7M |
| Very Large Systems | 3 | \$710M | 100 | \$7.1 | \$142.1M |
| Total Needs | 139 | \$3,358 M |  | \$33.6M | \$671.7M |

## APPENDIX C Atmospheric Storage Tanks

## APPENDIX C

## ATMOSPHERIC STORAGE TANKS

## C. 1 METHODOLOGY FOR LARGE STORAGE TANKS SERVING FIRE PROTECTED SYSTEMS

Larger storage tanks for fire protection are not numerous in New Hampshire. Over time, NHDES personnel have developed a good listing for this asset. For these reasons, a simple inventory of the storage tanks in the State of New Hampshire was deemed the best way to accurately determine financial need for this asset over the next 20 years.

A sample set of construction costs with dates for various types of tanks was compiled from several sources according to the materials of construction of the tanks (Table C-1). These included actual bid results for twelve projects from the NHDES database, and twenty-five tanks over the past 20 years from Wright-Pierce project bid results. Of the actual projects for which total developed costs were available, 22 were for prestressed concrete tanks (these tended to be the more recent projects with the best cost detail), 12 were for welded steel (these were available from the decade of the late 1980's through the 1990's), two were for elevated composite tanks, two were for bolted glass-lined tanks, and two were for cast-in-place rectangular tanks constructed during the 1990's. These are listed according to style in Table C-1. A prestressed concrete tank manufacturer and glass-lined bolted steel manufacturer each assisted with additional equipment and project costs. For professional services and development costs for projects, the NHDES database contained a historical factor of approximately $11 \%$ of total developed cost, on average.

Storage tank unit costs vary from tank to tank for reasons including the materials of construction and the physical configuration of the tank (diameter and height). Storage tanks were first segregated into the following primary categories for the costs analysis:

Table C-1: Large Atmospheric Storage Tanks for Fire Protected Systems: Tabulated Construced Cost Set by Tank Style


- Pre-stressed, Wire-Wound Concrete Tanks
- Elevated Storage Tanks
- Bolted, Glass-Coated Steel Tanks
- Welded Steel Tanks
- Other types of tanks such as cast-in-place buried tanks

Each total developed cost for the sample set was normalized to year 2010 using the Engineering News Record (ENR) 20-City Construction Cost index. The normalized total developed cost was divided by the volume storage capacity to give a developed cost per gallon. The developed costs per gallon (ordinate) was plotted against the tank capacities (abscissa) in millions of gallons. The data from each subset of tanks suggested that a significant curvilinear statistical relationship was present between storage tank volume (Tank capacity in million gallons (MG)) and cost per gallon.

The statistical relationship was initially investigated for small sample subsets according to materials of construction: prestressed concrete, welded steel and glass-lined bolted steel tanks (Figure C-1). The welded tank information was older and may not have indexed up as accurately as the more recent prestressed concrete tanks. The number of constructed glass-lined bolted steel tank cost examples in the set was very limited, and was therefore supplemented by manufacturer's equipment costs adjusted by factors. Ultimately the indexed developed costs for all styles of tank were grouped together (Figure C-2). This single grouping regression equation (\$/gal y $=1.1716 \mathrm{x}$ (Storage Capacity ${ }^{\wedge}-0.502$ ); $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.4751$ ) was applied to the complete tank inventory in the NHDES database where tank capacity was known.

The line of best fit was determined from the plot, giving an equation that could be used to estimate a 2010 replacement value of each tank on the NH inventory of tanks.

Figure C-1: Large Atmospheric Tanks for Fire Protected Systems All Tank Styles, Developed \$ per Gallon (indexed)


Figure C-2: Large Atmospheric Storage Tanks for Fire Protected Systems:
Developed Cost for Projects of All Styles of Tank: Indexed to 2010


## C. 2 CAPITAL NEEDS PROJECTIONS FOR LARGE STORAGE TANKS SERVING FIRE PROTECTED SYSTEMS IN THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

There are approximately 280 storage tanks serving fire protected water systems in the State of New Hampshire. This asset inventory was constructed between the late 19th century up through year 2010. Of these, approximately 261 contained enough information on which to project replacement costs. Most tanks in the inventory contained the capacity in gallons, and materials of construction. The listing of tanks is included in Table C-2.

The total cost to replace these structures in 2010 dollars was estimated to be $\$ 251.2 \mathrm{M}$. As discussed, the useful life of a tank was assumed to be 75 years, regardless of the material of construction. It is recognized that some of the categories of tanks, such as bolted steel tanks, require significantly more maintenance to keep the asset viable for this duration of time. However, only the capital cost to replace the asset was considered in this analysis.

On an annual basis, approximately $\$ 3.5 \mathrm{M}$ annually would be required to fully replace all storage tanks for these systems assuming a 75 -year useful life for this asset. For the 20-year period between 2010-2030, the State of New Hampshire would require approximately $\$ 67 \mathrm{M}$, based in 2010 funds, to replace these assets on a sustainable 75 year life cycle period. It should be noted, because many of these tanks are already exceeding their useful lives, that the analysis assumes only a linear replacement cycle regardless of the age or progressive point towards obsolescence. It should also be noted that an assumed 75-year useful life for a storage tank is typical of values used in other New England states by cost accountants for private sector water utilities. The results are summarized in Table 3-1 in Section 3 of this Report.



## C. 3 METHODOLOGY FOR SMALL ATMOSPHERIC STORAGE TANKS SERVING SMALL, PREDOMINANTLY GROUNDWATER SYSTEMS WITH NO FIRE PROTECTION

DES does not maintain an inventory of each of the smaller storage tanks in non-fire protected groundwater community systems, but does collect data as to the totaled volume of stored water for each community water system. The NHDES database listed the water systems, the number of sources (1 surface water system was counted in this grouping and two systems with fire protection not provided by such tanks) along with the total atmospheric volume stored. It was unknown whether the totaled atmospheric volume for listed each system existed as a single tank or was divided amongst several tanks of unknown volume.

In general, the total gallons of storage for each community water system was used to estimate a "count" of tanks for each community. The total stored volume for each community was divided by an assumed "model volume" of 10,000 gallons to give a rough estimate of the number of 10,000 gallon tanks (an integer and a fraction) that may hypothetically be present in a system. Each integer was multiplied by the estimated developed cost of a 10,000 gallon tank (from suppliers and installers provided costs). Each left over fraction of 10,000 was calculated using a linear cost equation developed for all tank sizes provided by the manufacturers.. The integer and fractional estimates were then summed to the total tank estimated replacement cost for each system's total volume of storage.

## C.3.1 Source of Costs

A sample set of construction costs were created from manufacturers' equipment and delivery cost information. Two manufacturers supplied costs for buried coated steel tanks which are common in NH systems. The manufacturer supplied costs were for sizes including 1000, 5000, 10,000, 25,000, and 50,000 gallons. A local engineer supplied costs for a 120,000 gallon tank.

These costs were adjusted for installation and contractor's markups (no instrumentation). No professional development fees were included in this case, because many small systems may often obtain tanks directly from a contractor. Costs were not indexed because estimates were
generated during October 2010 rather than extrapolated from historical projects. Table C-3 shows the development of the cost model, with Figure C-4 showing the regression line and equation.

## C.3.2 'Straw man" Tanks for cost modeling

An estimate of the number of tanks in a system was generated from the total atmospheric volume stored by dividing by a standard 10,000 gallons. The resulting quotient was a combination of an integer and a fraction. The integer was taken to represent the number of 10,000 gallon tanks installed, and the fraction to represent a smaller tank with a volume equal to the fraction $\times 10,000$ gallons. This resulted in 867 "straw man" tanks that may or may not exist, but which can be used to represent the tankage for each system so that an estimate of value may be made.

## C.3.3 Calculating the Tankage Costs for Each System

Table C-4 shows how the model was applied to inventoried database systems (which had information only as to the total stored volume). The integer portions of each quotient were multiplied by the cost of a 10,000 gallon tank installed ( $\sim \$ 54 \mathrm{k}$ ). The fraction portions of each quotient were modeled using the equation of the line segments created by the estimated costs for the 1000 and 5000 gallon tank, and for the 5000 and 10,000 gallon tank. When the fraction was 0.5 , representing a 5000 gallon tank, the estimated cost for the 5000 gallon tank was entered directly rather than modeled. The integer costs and fraction costs were summed to a total 2010 stored volume cost. Table C-4 projects and sums the estimated 2010 replacement value as well as the annual and 20-year need.

Table C-3: Cost Model Development for Total Small Atmospheric Storage Tanks for Non Fire Protected GW Systems in New Hampshire


Figure C-4: Small Atmospheric Tanks for GW Systems:
Capacity vs Total Developed Cost; 1000 to 50,000 gallons


## C. 4 CAPITAL NEEDS PROJECTIONS FOR SMALL STORAGE TANKS SERVING GROUNDWATER SYSTEMS IN THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

Small Tanks Serving Non-Fire Protected Groundwater Systems: The total 2010 cost to replace these structures was estimated to be $\$ 41.5 \mathrm{M}$. The useful life of such tanks was assumed to be 30 years, regardless of the material of construction. It is recognized that some tanks may be located within buildings and may have a longer service life than buried tanks, however, the situation of tanks for each system in NH was not known.

On an annual basis, approximately $\$ 1.4 \mathrm{M}$ annually would be required to fully replace all storage tanks for these systems assuming a 30 -year useful life for this asset. For the 20 -year period between 2010-2030, the State of New Hampshire would require approximately $\$ 27.7 \mathrm{M}$ based in 2010 funds to replace these assets on a sustainable 30 year life cycle period. It should be noted, because many of these tanks are already exceeding their useful lives, that the analysis assumes only a linear replacement cycle regardless of the age or progressive point towards obsolescence.

The inventory of tanks with their estimated costs is shown in Table C-4. The results are summarized in Table 3-1 in Section 3 of this Report.

Table C-4: NH Small Atmospheric Storage Tanks Projected Costs (Mostly Non Fire Protected GW Systems)

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Atmospheri | Storage Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Serv | Life | placement Cycle] | 30 | ars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire Protection | System Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { Sources } \end{gathered}$ | EPAID\# | Pop | Services | Total System Storage (gal) | Quotient as Fraction or multiple of 10,000 gals |  |  |  |  | s | ction | of 1 | 硡 |  |  |  |  | Total 2010 Replacement Costs | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20-Year } \\ \text { Replacement } \end{gathered}$ | Annual |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Quotient integers | $0 \% 1$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | \$41,549,228 | \$27,699,485 | \$1,384,974 |
| §NULL | Evergreen terrace | 1 | ${ }_{1333030}$ | 45 | 22 | 1000 | 0.10 | \$25,207 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$25,207 | \$16,804 | \$840 |
| \$NULL | MEADOWVIEW APTS | 2 | 412010 | 58 | 23 | 1000 | 0.10 | \$25,207 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$25,207 | \$16,804 | \$840 |
| ¢NULL | barrington hills apts/lower | 1 | 152030 | 38 | 15 | 1060 | 0.11 | \$25,393 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$25,393 | \$16,928 | \$846 |
| ¢NULL | BARRINGTON HILLS APTSUPPER | 1 | 152050 | 43 | 18 | 1060 | 0.11 | \$25,393 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$25,393 | \$16,928 | \$846 |
| ¢NULL | NORTH PINES | 2 | 512110 | 67 | 27 | 1090 | 0.11 | \$25,486 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$25,486 | \$16,990 | \$850 |
| \$NULL | Stonehenge trust apartments | 2 | 1392130 | 105 | 48 | 1090 | 0.11 | \$25,486 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$25,486 | \$16,990 | \$850 |
| ¢NULL | hasbrouck apartments | 1 | 1992020 | 60 | 24 | 1100 | 0.11 | \$22,517 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$25,517 | \$17,011 | \$851 |
| snul | Stratham woods | 2 | 2232990 | 38 | 15 | 1490 | 0.15 | \$26,726 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$26,726 | \$17,817 | \$891 |
| snul | wood hil village | 2 | 1123020 | 91 | 29 | 1500 | 0.15 | \$26,757 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$26,757 | \$17,838 | \$892 |
| \$NULL | SANDY RIIGE ESTATES | 2 | 1843020 | 125 | 50 | 1500 | 0.15 | \$26,757 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$26,757 | \$17,838 | \$892 |
| \$NULL | northern shores water | 1 | 2352020 | 70 | 28 | 1845 | 0.18 | \$27,826 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$27,826 | \$18,551 | \$928 |
| snuLl | balmoral condos | 1 | 2232060 | 105 | 42 | 1980 | 0.20 | \$28,244 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$28,244 | \$18,830 | \$941 |
| \$NULL | whispering brook | 1 | 162310 | 30 | 12 | 2000 | 0.20 | \$28,306 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$28,306 | \$18,871 | \$944 |
| snuL | FRANCOEUR APTHUDSON MOTOR InN | 1 | 1202010 | 96 | 35 | 2000 | 0.20 | \$28,306 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$28,306 | \$18,871 | \$944 |
| sNULL | blueberry knoll estates | 1 | 1932150 | 32 | 13 | 2000 | 0.20 | \$28,306 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$28,306 | \$18,871 | \$944 |
| snuL | barkland acres | 2 | 612040 | 80 | 27 | 2000 | 0.20 | \$28,306 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$28,306 | \$18,871 | \$944 |
| snul | COACH RUN CONDOS | 2 | 1032070 | 60 | 24 | 2000 | 0.20 | \$28,306 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$28,306 | \$18,871 | \$944 |
| \$nULL | pawtuckaway farms | ${ }^{2}$ | 1972050 | 38 | 15 | 2000 | 0.20 | \$28,306 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$28,306 | \$18,871 | \$944 |
| snul | PINE ACRES Condos | 2 | 2082040 | 90 | 36 | 2000 | 0.20 | \$28,306 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$28,306 | \$18,871 | \$944 |
| snuL | meadow brook | 2 | 774030 | 75 | 50 | 2020 | 0.20 | \$28,368 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$28,368 | \$18,912 | \$946 |
| snul | PAPERMILL VILLAGE | 1 | 52010 | 24 | 20 | 2500 | 0.25 | \$29,856 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$29,856 | \$19,904 | \$995 |
| snuL | anne oakley mhi | 1 | 613010 | 127 | 51 | 2500 | 0.25 | \$29,856 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$29,856 | \$19,904 | \$995 |
| \$nULL | GREYSTONE COMMONS | 1 | 1332030 | 25 | 10 | 2500 | 0.25 | \$29,856 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$29,856 | \$19,904 | \$995 |
| sNULL | becket house at campton | 1 | 342060 | 25 | 2 | 3000 | 0.30 | \$31,406 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$31,406 | \$20,937 | \$1,047 |
| snuL | InN At deerfield | 1 | 594020 | 47 | 1 | 3000 | 0.30 | \$31,406 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$31,406 | \$20,937 | \$1,047 |
| snuL | GLENWOod North | 1 | 1032990 | 50 | 20 | 3000 | 0.30 | \$31,406 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$31,406 | \$20,937 | \$1,047 |
| \$NULL | remick acres | 1 | 2312050 | 60 | 24 | 3000 | 0.30 | \$31,406 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$31,406 | \$20,937 | \$1,047 |
| \$NULL | bartiett place | 2 | 162250 | 72 | 29 | 3000 | 0.30 | \$31,406 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$31,406 | \$20,937 | \$1,047 |
| snul | WESTVIEW MEADOWS | 2 | 202040 | ${ }_{58}^{58}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | 3000 | 0.30 | \$31,406 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$31,406 | \$20,937 | \$1,047 |
| snuL | tuxbury meadows | 2 | 1932180 | 75 | 24 | 3000 | 0.30 | \$31,406 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$31,406 | \$20,937 | \$1,047 |
| \$NULL | Aberdennwest | ${ }^{2}$ | 2232150 | 46 | ${ }^{23}$ | 3000 | 0.30 | \$31,406 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$31,406 | \$20,937 | \$1,047 |
| SNULL SNULL | MUIRFELED CLUSTER MCAULEY COMMONS | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ | 2232130 2542130 | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 23 24 24 | 3150 3300 | 0.32 0.33 | $\$ 31,871$ $\$ 32336$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 31,871$ $\$ 32,336$ | \$21,247 | $\$ 1,062$ $\$ 1,078$ |
| SNULL | FORESTVIEW MANOR | 2 | 2542010 | 82 | 24 3 | 3500 3500 | 0.35 | \$32,256 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$32,956 | \$21,971 | \$1,078 |
| ¢NULL | MONTROSE CONDOS | 2 | 2232070 | 210 | 84 | 3500 | 0.35 | \$32,956 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$32,956 | \$21,971 | \$1,099 |
| snuL | birch bend | 1 | 2342990 | 28 | ${ }_{11}$ | 3600 | 0.36 | \$33,266 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$33,266 | \$22,177 | \$1,109 |
| snuL | mountaln view estates | 1 | 2312020 | 35 | 14 | 3655 | 0.37 | \$33,436 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$33,436 | \$22,291 | \$1,115 |
| sNULL | PEUUHARVEST VILLAGE | 2 | 1392290 | 175 | 70 | 3700 | 0.37 | \$33,576 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$33,576 | \$22,384 | \$1,119 |
| snuL | Riversbend | 1 | 162100 | 48 | 19 | 4000 | 0.40 | \$34,506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,506 | \$23,004 | \$1,150 |
| snuL | CATES MPH | 1 | 203010 | ${ }^{134}$ | 54 | 4000 | 0.40 | \$34,506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,506 | \$23,004 | \$1,150 |
| sNULL | Canterbury spruces housing | 1 | 374010 | 25 | 16 | 4000 | 0.40 | \$34,506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,506 | \$23,004 | \$1,150 |
| sNULL | IMMACULATE CONCEPTION SCH | 1 | 394010 | 100 | 4 | 4000 | 0.40 | \$34,506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,506 | \$23,004 | \$1,150 |
| sNULL | Sherburn woods | 1 | 594030 | 50 | 20 | 4000 | 0.40 | \$34,506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,506 | \$23,004 | \$1,150 |
| sNULL | WESTWIND CONDOS | 1 | 1162020 | 35 | 14 | 4000 | 0.40 | \$34,506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,506 | \$23,004 | \$1,150 |
| sNULL | ELIIS River viluage | 1 | 1212060 | 35 | 14 | 4000 | 0.40 | \$34,506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,506 | \$23,004 | \$1,150 |
| snul | wagon wheel | 1 | ${ }^{1393050}$ | 88 | 35 | 4000 | 0.40 | \$34,506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,506 | \$23,004 | \$1,150 |
| sNULL | CARRIAGE APTS | 1 | 1992050 | 43 | 17 | 4000 | 0.40 | \$34,506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,506 | \$23,004 | \$1,150 |
| sNULL | SURRY VILLAGE Water | 1 | 2281010 | 50 | 16 | 4000 | 0.40 | \$34,506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,506 | \$23,004 | \$1,150 |
| sNULL | WATERVILLE ACRES CONDOS | 1 | 2342070 | 50 | 20 | 4000 |  | \$34,506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,506 | \$23,004 | \$1,150 |
| \$NULL | CONE RIDGE APTS |  | 2342100 | 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 4000 | 0.40 | \$34,506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,506 | \$23,004 | \$1,150 |
| \$NULL | WINNISQUAM RESORT CONDOS | $1$ | 2352010 | ${ }^{42}$ | 17 | 4000 | 0.40 | \$34,506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,506 | \$23,004 | \$1,150 |
| \$NULL | EPSOM HEALTHCARE CTR |  |  |  |  | 4000 | $0.40$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,506 | $\$ 23,004$ $\$ 23,004$ |  |
| \$NULL SNULL | LOUISBURG CIRCLE SHEL AL MOBILE ESTATES | 2 | 80230 1773020 | 55 177 | 22 71 | 4000 4000 | 0.40 0.40 | $\$ 34,506$ $\$ 34,506$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,506 $\$ 34,506$ | $\$ 23,004$ $\$ 23,004$ | $\$ 1,150$ $\$ 1,150$ |
| \$NULL | BRANCH RIVER APARTMENTS | 2 | 1972040 | 120 | 48 | 4000 | 0.40 0.40 | \$394,506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 34,506$ $\$ 34,506$ | $\$ 23,004$ $\$ 23,004$ | $\$ 1,150$ $\$ 1,150$ |
| ¢NULL | WINMIIR CONDOs | 2 | 2372050 | 45 | 18 | 4000 | 0.40 | \$34,506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,506 | \$23,004 | \$1,150 |
| \$NULL | SOUTH MAIN STREET WATER DIST | 2 | 2422010 | 200 | 42 | 4000 | 0.40 | \$34,506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,506 | \$23,004 | \$1,150 |
| \$NULL | HIGH MOWING SCHOOL | 2 | 2525010 | 140 | 9 | 4000 | 0.40 | \$34,506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,506 | \$23,004 | \$1,150 |
| \$NULL | HIGHLAND APARTMENTS | 1 | 1852060 | 120 | 48 | 4040 | 0.40 | \$34,630 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,630 | \$23,087 | \$1,154 |
| \$NULL | NORTHERN VIEW APARTMENTS | 1 | 2192020 | 50 | ${ }^{20}$ | 4050 | 0.41 | \$34,661 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$34,661 | \$23,107 | \$1,155 |
| snul | SOUTH FACE CONDOS |  | 212010 | 60 | 30 | 4500 | 0.45 | \$36,056 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$36,056 | \$24,037 | \$1,202 |
| \$NULL | PEUPINEHAVEN WATER TRUST | 1 | ${ }^{1392040}$ | ${ }^{90}$ | ${ }^{36}$ | 4500 | ${ }^{0.45}$ | \$36,056 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$36,056 | \$24,037 | \$1,202 |
| \$NULL | STRAWBERRY HILL | 1 | 1932100 | 50 | 20 | 4500 | 0.45 | \$36,056 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$36,056 | \$24,037 | \$1,202 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | Atmosphe | Storage Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ser | Lif | placement Cycle | 30 | ars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire | System Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { Sources } \end{gathered}$ | EPAID\# | Pop | Services | Total System Storage (gal) | Quotient as Fraction or multiple of 10,000 gals |  |  |  |  |  | act |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total 2010 Replacement Costs | 20-Year Replacement | Annual |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Quotient Integers | 0\&1 | ${ }^{2}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | ${ }^{12}$ | \$41,549,228 | \$27,699,485 | \$1,384,974 |
| snull | Profile apartments | 1 | 2002020 | 90 | 36 | 4500 | 0.45 | \$36,056 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$36,056 | \$24,037 | \$1,202 |
| sNuLL | olde country village townhouse | 2 | 1392030 | ${ }^{130}$ | 53 | 4732 | 0.47 | \$36,775 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$36,775 | \$24,517 | \$1,226 |
| sNuLL | InN at secretariat estates | 2 | 2004010 | 74 | 33 | 4800 | 0.48 | \$36,986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$36,986 | \$24,657 | \$1,233 |
| snull | CROTCHED MOUNTAIN MNTNC | 2 | 832010 | 115 | 46 | 4850 | 0.49 | \$37,141 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,141 | \$24,760 | \$1,238 |
| snull | dearborn RIdge | 1 | 112090 | 25 | 11 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| \$null | ROLLING RIIDE | 1 | 162130 | 70 | ${ }^{28}$ | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| snull | Edge of woods | 1 | 883060 | 120 | 48 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| \$null | Stonegate acres | 1 | 1112010 | ${ }^{63}$ | 25 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| snull | BLACK MOUNTAIN MEADOW CONDOS | 1 | 1212080 | ${ }^{43}$ | 17 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| \$NuLL | Stonehenge apt trust | 1 | 1372020 | 45 | 18 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| snull | Voanne senior housing | 1 | 140230 | 50 | 33 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| \$NuLL | EAStBLUFF VILLAGE Condos | 1 | 1522030 | 48 | 19 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| sNuLL | SANDS OF BROOKHURST | 1 | 152040 | ${ }_{80}$ | 26 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| sNuLL | Forrest street condos | 1 | 1932040 | 70 | 28 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| sNuLL | bryant brook | 1 | 1932110 | 55 | 22 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| sNuLL | country ridge mobile home park |  | 2001020 | 35 | 14 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| \$NuLL | dustin homestead | 1 | 200230 | 150 | 60 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| \$NULL | FAIRFIELD | 1 | 2082020 | 38 | 15 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| \$NULL | WAKEFIELD ACRES | 1 | 2392020 | ${ }^{30}$ | 18 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| sNuLL | wentworth estates | 1 | 2562010 | 50 | ${ }^{23}$ | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| \$NULL | MERRYMEETING MHP | 2 | 63020 | 265 | 106 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| sNuLL | COACHMAN CONDOS | 2 | 342100 | 50 | 20 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| sNuLL | saco pines | 2 | 512180 | 50 | 20 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| sNuLL | AUTUMn woods | 2 | 612220 | ${ }^{73}$ | 29 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| sNuLL | Johnson CREEK | 2 | 692010 | 50 | 24 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| sNULL | Hil_side inn condos | 2 | 1113010 | 65 | 26 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| \$NULL | EAGLE Brook | 2 | 1212130 | ${ }^{53}$ | ${ }^{21}$ | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| \$NULL | DARBY FIELD COMMONS | 2 | 1332020 | 70 | 28 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| snull | bow lake estates |  | 2212010 | 95 | 41 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| snull | South Parish | 2 | 2533010 | 158 | ${ }^{63}$ | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| snull | Jack o lantern condos | 2 | 2572010 | ${ }^{98}$ | 39 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| snull | ATKINSON Woods | 3 | 112100 | 140 | 56 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| snull | hillcrest manor apts | 3 | 362010 | 65 | 25 | 5000 | 0.50 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,606 | \$25,070 | \$1,254 |
| snull | CHERRY Valley condos | 1 | 882080 | ${ }^{90}$ | 36 | 5050 | 0.51 | \$33,760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,760 | \$25,174 | \$1,259 |
| snull | glen acres | 2 | 162060 | 30 | 12 | 5050 | 0.51 | \$37,760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,760 | \$25,174 | \$1,259 |
| snull | 175 Estates | 2 | 2342010 | 108 | 48 | 5050 | 0.51 | \$37,760 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$37,760 | \$25,174 | \$1,259 |
| snull | VILLAGE Pond | 1 | 342070 | 45 | 18 | 5890 | 0.59 | \$40,364 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$40,364 | \$26,909 | \$1,345 |
| snull | WHIP O WILL Condos | 1 | 297020 | 45 | 18 | 6000 | 0.60 | \$40,705 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$40,705 | \$27,137 | \$1,357 |
| sNuLL | OLD LAKE SHore | 1 | 883010 | 128 | 51 | 6000 | 0.60 | \$40,705 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$40,705 | \$27,137 | \$1,357 |
| sNuLL | oyster river condos | 1 | 1332010 | 53 | 21 | 6000 | 0.60 | \$40,705 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$40,705 | \$27,137 | \$1,357 |
| snull | WILDer village cluster | 1 | 1712040 | 45 | 17 | 6000 | 0.60 | \$40,705 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$40,705 | \$27,137 | \$1,357 |
| \$NULL | VILLAGE AT MEAD FIELD | 1 | 1792040 | ${ }^{20}$ | ${ }^{13}$ | 6000 | 0.60 | \$40,705 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$40,705 | \$27,137 | \$1,357 |
| sNuLL | THE MEADOW At NORTHWOOD | 1 | 179250 | 31 | 1 | 6000 | 0.60 | \$40,705 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$40,705 | \$27,137 | \$1,357 |
| \$null | PROLYN TOWNHOUSE APARTMENTS | 1 | 1852040 | ${ }^{123}$ | 49 | 6000 | 0.60 | \$40,705 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$40,705 | \$27,137 | \$1,357 |
| snull | CRoss ridge estates | 1 | 1932120 | ${ }^{73}$ | 29 | 6000 | 0.60 | \$40,705 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$40,705 | \$27,137 | \$1,357 |
| \$null | ashuelot river apts | 1 | 2302010 | 100 | 40 | 6000 | 0.60 | \$40,705 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$40,705 | \$27,137 | \$1,357 |
| snull | country VILLAGE MHP | 2 | 353010 | 50 | 20 | 6000 | 0.60 | \$40,705 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$40,705 | \$27,137 | \$1,357 |
| sNuLL | mt laurel estates | 2 | 912050 | 115 | ${ }^{46}$ | 6000 | 0.60 | \$40,705 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$40,705 | \$27,137 | \$1,357 |
| sNuLL | SALt river condos | 2 | 223230 | 195 | 78 | 6000 | 0.60 | \$40,705 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$40,705 | \$27,137 | \$1,357 |
| sNuLL | BRook VIEw VILLAGE | 1 | 512190 | 40 | 16 | 6020 | 0.60 | \$40,767 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$40,767 | \$27,178 | \$1,359 |
| sNuLL | MOUNTAIN VIEW APTS | 2 | 1282010 | 100 | 40 | 6020 | 0.60 | \$40,767 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$40,767 | \$27,178 | \$1,359 |
| snull | glen garry condos | 2 | 2232010 | 298 | 119 | 6020 | 0.60 | \$40,767 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$40,767 | \$27,178 | \$1,359 |
| SNOLL | SHORTRIDGE ACADEMY BLUEBERBY HIL MHP | 1 | 1582010 413010 | $\begin{array}{r}55 \\ \hline 5\end{array}$ | 6 30 | 6105 6120 | 0.61 0.61 | ${ }_{\text {S41,031 }}{ }_{\text {4,1077 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$41,031 | $\$ 27,354$ $\$ 27385$ | $\$ 1,368$ $\$ 1369$ |
| \$NNLL | BLUEBERRY YIIL MHP | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{413010}$ | ${ }^{75}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | ${ }_{6}^{6120}$ | ${ }^{0.61}$ | \$41,077 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$41,077 | \$27,385 | \$1,369 |
| SNULL | ELM brook viluag | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{1193020}$ | ${ }^{90}$ | 36 17 | ${ }_{6} 6280$ | 0.63 | \$41,573 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 41,573$ $\$ 42255$ | \$27,715 $\$ 28,170$ | $\$ 1,386$ $\$ 1,409$ |
| SNULL | WESTWIND ESTATES II | 1 | 2003990 | 40 | 17 | 6500 | 0.65 | \$42,255 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$42,255 | \$28,170 | \$1,409 |
| SNULL | PEU/SHAKER HEIGHTS | + | 432040 | 55 | ${ }^{22}$ | 6600 | 0.66 | \$42,565 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$42,565 | \$28,377 | \$1,419 |
| SNULL | GREENFIELD COMMONS | 1 | 974010 | 48 | 24 | 7000 | 0.70 | \$44,805 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$43,805 | \$29,203 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,40 \\ & \$ 1.460 \end{aligned}$ |
| \$NULL | HILL TOP | 2 | 1973050 | 140 | ${ }^{56}$ | 7000 | 0.70 | \$43,805 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$43,805 | \$29,203 | $\$ 1,460$ $\$ 1,460$ |
| \$nULL | TAMWORTH MOBLLE HOME PARK | 3 | 2313010 | 75 | 30 | 7000 | 0.70 | \$44,805 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$43,805 | \$29,203 | \$1,460 |
| \$NULL | CANTERBURY Crossing | 1 | 1767020 |  | 14 | 7110 | ${ }^{0.771}$ | \$44,146 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$44,146 | \$29,431 | $\$ 1,472$ |
| $\underset{\text { SNULL }}{\text { SNuLL }}$ | HEMLOCK HAVEN WEST PINE CONDOS | 2 4 | 1053020 1932210 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | 83 24 | 7110 7200 | 0.71 0.72 | $\$ 44,146$ $\$ 44,425$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 44,146$ $\$ 44,425$ | \$29,431 $\$ 29,617$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,472 \\ & \$ 1481 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | West me condos |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | Atmospheri | Storage Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ser | Life | placement Cycle | 30 | ars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire | System Name | \# of | EPAID\# | Pop | Services | Total System | Quotient as Fraction or |  |  |  | mu |  |  | of 1 |  |  |  |  |  | Total 2010 |  | Annual |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Quotient integers | 081 | ${ }^{2}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | \$41,549,228 | \$27,699,485 | \$1,384,974 |
| snull | HAMPSHIRE VILLAGE | 1 | 882410 | 40 | 16 | 7500 | 0.75 | \$44,355 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$45,355 | \$30,237 | \$1,512 |
| sNuLL | kingston Pines Elderly housing | 1 | 1272010 | 125 | 50 | 7500 | 0.75 | \$44,355 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$45,355 | \$30,237 | \$1,512 |
| sNuLL | Pleasant valley park Estates | 1 | 2233010 | 65 | 26 | 7500 | 0.75 | \$44,355 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$45,355 | \$30,237 | \$1,512 |
| sNuLL | halcyon hill | 2 | 153020 | 58 | 24 | 7500 | 0.75 | \$44,355 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$45,355 | \$30,237 | \$1,512 |
| sNuLL | bath village water works | 1 | 171010 | 95 | ${ }^{3}$ | 7600 | 0.76 | \$44,665 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$45,665 | \$30,443 | \$1,522 |
| sNuLL | OLYMPIC MOBILE HOME VILLUPPER | 1 | 1323030 | 60 | 24 | 7610 | 0.76 | \$44,696 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$45,696 | \$30,464 | \$1,523 |
| sNuLL | Gowing woods | 1 | 72080 | 53 | 21 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$44,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| sNuLL | villaggio bianco | 1 | 162260 | 58 | 23 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$46,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| sNuLL | PLEASANT VALLEY MHP | 1 | 353020 | 73 | 29 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$46,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| \$NULL | RICHARDSon Estates | 1 | 612130 | 98 | 36 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$46,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| sNuLL | EXETER HIGHLANDS | 1 | 80220 | 50 | 20 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$46,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| snull | breton woods dev | 1 | 88220 | 90 | 36 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$46,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| sNuLL | mt jeffersonwashington condos | 1 | 1212050 | 38 | 15 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$46,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| sNuLL | PENDLETON COVE | 1 | 1282030 | 125 | 50 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$46,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| snull | LAZY PINES MOBILE HOME PKUPPR | 1 | 1403020 | 90 | 36 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$44,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| sNULL | camelot court | 1 | 1802020 | 45 | 19 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$46,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| snull | PEUGAGE HILL | 1 | 1852020 | 65 | 26 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$44,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| sNuLL | moongate farm | 1 | 1932060 | 84 | 48 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$44,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| sNuLL | Riverside cobb farm | 2 | 162140 | 63 | 25 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$44,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| sNuLL | ENGLISH Woods | 2 | 192060 | 50 | 19 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$46,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| sNuLL | birches of bennington | 2 | 212030 | 52 | 21 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$46,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| sNuLL | harbourside on winnipesaukee | 2 | 1612220 | 40 | 16 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$46,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| snull | grandiliew Estates | 2 | 2002050 | 30 | 12 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$46,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| snull | michawanic village condos | 2 | 2392030 | 120 | 48 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$46,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| sNuLL | SUGAR HILL MANOR MHP | 3 | 2453010 | 98 | 39 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$46,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| snull | stlulings grant | 4 | 162410 | 75 | 30 | 8000 | 0.80 | \$46,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$46,905 | \$31,270 | \$1,563 |
| sNuLL | WILLOw bend | 1 | 612240 | 57 | ${ }^{23}$ | 8050 | 0.81 | \$47,060 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$47,060 | \$31,373 | \$1,569 |
| sNuLL | village of river bend | 2 | 10220 | 258 | 104 | 8050 | 0.81 | \$47,060 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$47,060 | \$31,373 | \$1,569 |
| sNuLL | valley view condos | 2 | 342880 | 25 | 10 | 8050 | 0.81 | \$47,060 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$47,060 | \$31,373 | \$1,569 |
| sNuLL | VILLAgES at Chester condos | 2 | 432010 | 100 | 40 | 8050 | 0.81 | \$47,060 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$47,060 | \$31,373 | \$1,569 |
| sNuLL | PHEASANT RUN CONDOS | 2 | 2232080 | 70 | 28 | 8050 | 0.81 | \$47,060 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$47,060 | \$31,373 | \$1,569 |
| sNuLL | bouml grove condos | 1 | 1392050 | 75 | 30 | 8180 | 0.82 | \$47,463 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$47,463 | \$31,642 | \$1,582 |
| snull | pine hill estates | 2 | 1522070 | 75 | 30 | 8320 | 0.83 | \$47,897 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$47,897 | \$31,931 | \$1,597 |
| snull | amazon Park | 1 | 2003070 | 120 | 96 | 8400 | 0.84 | \$48,144 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$48,144 | \$32,096 | \$1,605 |
| snull | bela brook water | 1 | 262030 | 50 | 20 | 8460 | 0.85 | \$48,330 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$48,330 | \$32,220 | \$1,611 |
| snull | TRIPPLEWOOD RESORT CONDOS | 1 | 342020 | 60 | 24 | 8460 | 0.85 | \$48,330 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$48,330 | \$32,220 | \$1,611 |
| snull | PEUFARMSTEAD ACRES | 1 | 612110 | 95 | 36 | 8500 | 0.85 | \$48,454 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$48,454 | \$32,303 | \$1,615 |
| snull | bear village south | 1 | 162340 | 30 | 12 | 9000 | 0.90 | \$55,004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$50,004 | \$33,336 | \$1,667 |
| snull | NEAR LEDGE | 1 | 512210 | 63 | 25 | 9000 | 0.90 | \$50,004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$50,004 | \$33,336 | \$1,667 |
| sNuLL | colby brook Estates | 1 | 773020 | 68 | 27 | 9000 | 0.90 | \$50,004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$50,004 | \$33,336 | \$1,667 |
| snull | peuidanils lke | 1 | 2452010 | 70 | 28 | 9000 | 0.90 | \$50,004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$50,004 | \$33,336 | \$1,667 |
| N | mountali view park estates | 1 | 493020 | 45 | 18 | 9000 | 0.90 | \$55,004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$50,004 | \$33,336 | \$1,667 |
| \$NuLL | taylor River estates | 2 | 1053030 | 90 | 36 | 9300 | 0.93 | \$50,934 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$50,934 | \$33,956 | \$1,698 |
| snull | PEUWESCO UTILTIES | 0 | 1182050 | 88 | 35 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snull | GoLDen oaks mhp | 1 | 23010 | 125 | 50 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| ¢NuLL | blueberry vilage condos | 1 | 162180 | 40 | 16 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snull | tioga river water | 1 | 202030 | 55 | 22 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snull | Forest Park village | 1 | 512070 | 45 | 18 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snull | deerbrook condos | 1 | 512150 | 80 | 32 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snull | COLONAL POPLIN NURSING HOME | 1 | 874020 | 78 | 1 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| \$nuLL | belknap heights water | 1 | 882100 | 60 | 24 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snull | YaCht Club vista | 1 | 882400 | ${ }^{93}$ | 37 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| \$NULL | ORCHARD HIGHLANDS | 1 | 912020 <br> 120310 | 105 | 42 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| \$NNUL | hudson moblle home ests | 1 | 1203010 <br> 1272020 | $\begin{aligned} & 220 \\ & 63 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 88 21 | 10000 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 1.00 \\ & 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | \$54,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 54,068$ <br> $\$ 54,068$ | $\$ 36,045$ $\$ 36,045$ | $\$ 1,802$ $\$ 1,802$ |
| \$NuLL | MIDRIDGE CONDOS | 1 | 1392070 | 100 | 40 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snull | SCOTCH PINE MHP | 1 | 1403010 | ${ }_{1} 13$ | 55 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snull | KıLNWOOD On KAnASATKA | 1 | 1612230 | 55 | 22 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snull | packer meadows | I | 1752030 | 90 | 45 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snull | northpointe water | 1 | 2342020 | 83 | ${ }^{3}$ | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| \$nuLL | braemar woods condos | , | 2542040 | 60 | 24 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| \$null | point breeze condos | 1 | 2562030 | ${ }^{113}$ | ${ }^{46}$ | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| 9null | SHERWOOD Forest | 1 | 2562050 | 55 | 22 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | Atmospher | Storage Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ser | Life | placement Cycle | 30 | ars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire | System Name | \# of | EPAID \# | Pop | Services | Total System Storage (gal) | Quotient as Fraction or multiple of 10,000 gals |  |  | cost | mu | s | cot | of | al |  |  |  |  | Total 2010 Replacement Costs | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20-Year } \\ \text { Replacement } \end{gathered}$ | Annual |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Quotient integers | 0\%1 | ${ }^{2}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | \$41,549,228 | \$27,699,485 | \$1,384,974 |
| N | SIMPSON MILL ROAD | 1 | 1852090 | 35 | 14 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| \$NULL | THE COMMONS OF ATKINSON | 2 | 112060 | 95 | 38 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| ¢NULL | PEPPERIDGE WOODS | 2 | 152090 | ${ }^{113}$ | 45 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| ¢NULL | barrington oaks | 2 | 153030 | ${ }^{123}$ | 49 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| ¢NULL | LINDERHOF GOLF COURSE | 2 | 162070 | 295 | 118 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| \$NULL | TOP NOTCH CONDOS | 2 | 162200 | 163 | ${ }^{65}$ | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snul | MOUNTAINSIDE AT ATtitash | 2 | 162210 | 167 | 61 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| \$NULL | attitash woods condos | 2 | 162300 | ${ }^{135}$ | 54 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snul | mill Pond crosilig | 2 | 282010 | 28 | 17 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| \$nULL | woodland grove | 2 | 512130 | 155 | 62 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snuL | IRON WHEEL MHP | 2 | 583020 | 140 | 56 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snuL | wood Land at derry | 2 | 612160 | 150 | 60 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| \$nULL | Stonewall viluag |  | 882420 | 93 | 37 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snuL | rowell estates | 2 | 1272040 | 62 | 40 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snuL | Great bay water sys |  | 1732030 | 220 | 87 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snuL | HIGHLAND VILLAGE DISTRICT | 2 | 1762010 | 100 | 40 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snul | lost nation water | 2 | 1781030 | 63 | 25 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snul | bluffs at ossipee lake | 2 | 1842010 | 258 | 103 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snul | golden hill | 2 | 193220 | 110 | 44 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snul | Rainbow ridge | 2 | 1932170 | 38 | 15 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snul | WHIP O WILL | 2 | 1943010 | 165 | 66 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snul | Riverview manor condos | 2 | 1972020 | 110 | 46 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snul | LITtLE MIL Woods | 2 | 208280 | 43 | 17 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snul | Peninsula at winding brook | 2 | 2232040 | 128 | 51 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snuL | PINE GROVE MOBILE HOME PARK | 2 | 2303010 | 305 | 122 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snul | COUNTRY HILLS OF EAST KINGSTON | 3 | 702040 | 93 | 37 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snul | WINDWARD HABBOR Condos | 3 | 1612210 | 90 | ${ }^{36}$ | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snuL | Leisure VILLAGE |  | 1973060 | 315 | 126 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| snul | Stanyan road | 4 | 1612270 | 100 | 40 | 10000 | 1.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$54,068 | \$36,045 | \$1,802 |
| SNULL SNULL | SPAULIING YOUTH CENTER RIDGEWOOD ESTATES | 2 | 1784010 73030 | 225 115 | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | 10000 10100 | 1.00 1.01 | \$54,068 <br> 54.068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 54,068$ $\$ 76,485$ | $\$ 36,045$ $\$ 50,990$ | $\$ 1,802$ $\$ 2.549$ |
| SNULL |  | 2 | ${ }_{2232160}$ | 150 | 60 | 10100 | 1.01 | \$54,068 | ${ }_{\text {\$ } 222,417}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$76,485 | \$50,990 | \$2,549 |
| ¢NULL | POUND ROAD WATER WORKS | 1 | 2512010 | 53 | 21 | 10250 | 1.03 | \$54,068 | \$22,881 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$76,949 | \$51,300 | \$2,565 |
| snul | mountain river condos | , | 2342030 | 60 | 24 | 10500 | 1.05 | \$54,068 | \$23,656 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$77,724 | \$51,816 | \$2,591 |
| snuL | Water wheel estates | 2 | 112070 | 85 | 34 | 10500 | 1.05 | \$54,068 | \$23,656 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$77,724 | \$51,816 | \$2,591 |
| SNUL | HIGHLAND LINKS COLONY | 2 | 1162010 702020 | 88 | $\begin{array}{r}35 \\ \hline 29\end{array}$ | 10500 | 1.05 1.10 | $\stackrel{\$ 54,068}{\$ 5068}$ | \$23,656 <br> $\$ 95050$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$77,724 | \$51,816 | \$2,591 |
| \$NULL |  | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ | 702020 | $\begin{array}{r}72 \\ \hline 25\end{array}$ | 29 | 10950 11400 | $1.10$ | ${ }_{\text {¢54,008 }} 95068$ | \$225,050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$79,118 | \$52,745 | \$2,637 |
| SNULL | STEELE POND DEV SAINT ANSELM COLEGG | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92010 \\ 1472020 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 2500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14400 \\ & 12000 \end{aligned}$ | 1.14 1.20 | \$54,068 | $\$ 26,444$ <br> $\$ 28,302$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$80,512 | \$53,674 | $\$ 2,684$ $\$ 2,746$ |
| snul | dublin sch | 1 | 664020 | 185 | 19 | 12000 | 1.20 | \$54,068 | \$28,302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$82,370 | \$54,914 | \$2,746 |
| snul | hawthorne village | 1 | 2032010 | 55 | 22 | 12000 | 1.20 | \$54,068 | \$28,302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$82,370 | \$54,914 | \$2,746 |
| \$NULL | NORTH LEDGE | 2 | 162050 | 110 | 44 | 12000 | 1.20 | \$54,068 | \$28,302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$82,370 | \$54,914 | \$2,746 |
| snul | evergreen drive water | 2 | 262010 | 80 | 29 | 12000 | 1.20 | \$54,068 | \$28,302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$82,370 | \$54,914 | \$2,746 |
| snul | Chester college of new england | 2 | 435020 | 260 | 5 | 12000 | 1.20 | \$54,068 | \$28,302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$82,370 | \$54,914 | \$2,746 |
| snul | SPRUCE VALLEY MHP | 2 | 583010 | 92 | 37 | 12000 | 1.20 | \$54,068 | \$28,302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$82,370 | \$54,914 | \$2,746 |
| snul | Plumer court | 2 | 762070 | 100 | 40 | 12000 | 1.20 | \$54,068 | \$28,302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$82,370 | \$54,914 | \$2,746 |
| ¢NULL | governors forest | 2 | 872010 | 30 | 16 | 12000 | 1.20 | \$54,068 | \$28,302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$82,370 | \$54,914 | \$2,746 |
| \$NULL | gray ledges |  | ${ }^{952020}$ | 50 | ${ }^{28}$ | ${ }^{12000}$ | 1.20 | \$54,068 | \$28,302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$82,370 | \$54,914 | \$2,746 |
| \$NULL | ${ }_{\text {CROSSWIINDS }}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | 1612260 | ${ }^{73}$ | 29 | ${ }^{12000}$ | 1.20 | \$54,068 | \$28,302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$82,370 | \$54,914 | \$2,746 |
| \$NULL | PITTSBURG WATER DEPT |  | 1901010 |  | $79$ | 12000 | 1.20 | ${ }_{\text {¢54,068 }} 95068$ | \$228,302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$82,370 | \$54,914 | \$2,746 |
| SNULL | TURNBERRY dANIELS ACRES | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 2232110 | $\begin{aligned} & 115 \\ & 136 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | 12000 12000 | 1.20 1.20 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { \$54,068 } \\ \hline 54.068\end{array}$ | $\$ 28,302$ <br> $\$ 28,302$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 82,370$ $\$ 82,370$ | $\$ 54,914$ $\$ 54,914$ | $\$ 2,746$ $\$ 2,746$ |
| \$nULL | GILFord VILLAGE WATER DIST | 3 | 881010 | ${ }_{1}^{130}$ | 36 | 12000 | 1.20 | \$54,068 | ${ }_{\text {S }}{ }^{\text {S28,3,302 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$82,370 | \$54,914 | \$2,746 |
| ¢NULL | LANDS END | 3 | 1612200 | 50 | 20 | 12000 | 1.20 | \$54,068 | \$28,302 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$82,370 | \$54,914 | \$2,746 |
| ¢NULL | LADD HILL MHP | 1 | 203020 | 80 | 32 | 13000 | 1.30 | \$54,068 | \$31,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$85,468 | \$56,979 | \$2,849 |
| \$NULL | CATHEDRAL LEDGE | 2 | ${ }^{512030}$ | 150 | 60 | ${ }^{13200}$ | 1.32 | \$54,068 | \$32,020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$86,088 | \$57,392 | \$2,870 |
| \$NULL | WESTVIEW PARK Condos | 2 | 1932030 | 215 | 86 | 13500 | 1.35 | \$54,068 | \$32,949 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$87,017 | \$58,011 | \$2,901 |
| \$NULL | PEUMINISTERIAL LIILLS | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{1392310}$ | 160 | ${ }^{64}$ | 13600 | ${ }^{1.36}$ | \$54,068 | \$33,259 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$87,327 | \$58,218 | \$2,911 |
| \$NULL SNUL | NORTHWOOD MOUNTAIN VIEW MHP | 2 3 | 1793030 | 148 | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \\ & 63 \end{aligned}$ | 14000 14400 | 1.40 1.44 | $\stackrel{\$ 54,008}{\$ 50068}$ | \$344,48 <br> 35737 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 88,566$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 59,044 \\ & \$ 59870 \end{aligned}$ | \$2,952 $\$ 2,993$ |
| \$NVLL | RAND SHEPARD HILL INTERLAKES MOBILE HOME PARK | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{6122330}$ <br> 1523010 | $\begin{aligned} & 158 \\ & 313 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ | 14400 15000 | 1.44 1.50 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { ¢54,068 } \\ \hline 554,068\end{array}$ | \$35,737 <br> $\$ 37,596$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$89,805 | \$59,870 | $\$ 2,993$ $\$ 3,055$ |
| \$NULL | ASHLEY COMMONS | 0 | 1562020 | 73 | 29 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| \$null | cedar creek | + | 512200 | 105 | 42 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | Atmospheri | Storage Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Serv | Life | placement Cycle | 30 | ears |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire | System Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { Sources } \end{gathered}$ | EPAID\# | Pop | Services | Total System Storage (gal) | Quotient as Fraction or multiple of 10,000 gals |  |  | cost | mu | s | act | of 1 | a |  |  |  |  | Total 2010 Replacement Costs | 20-Year Replacement | Annual |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Quotient Integers | 081 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | \$41,549,228 | \$27,69,485 | \$1,384,974 |
| snull | meadowview apartments | 1 | 1752020 | 100 | 48 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| sNuLL | soda brook | 1 | 1763010 | 62 | 25 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| sNuLL | tenney brook condos I | 1 | 1942020 | 90 | 36 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| sNuLL | PeUbeaver hollow | I | 2082010 | 30 | 11 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| sNuLL | Sun lake village | 2 | 202060 | 5 | 2 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| sNuLL | LAKES REGIION MHP COOP II | 2 | 203090 | 276 | 111 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| \$NuLL | PEU/STONE SLED FARM | 2 | 262060 | 38 | 25 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| \$NuLL | LONGWOOOS MHP | 2 | 603010 | 245 | 98 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| sNuLL | mEADOWBrook | 2 | 612120 | 145 | 59 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| \$NULL | maple haven | 2 | 612170 | 95 | ${ }^{63}$ | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| sNuLL | melling glen | 2 | 762040 | 92 | 42 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| sNuLL | woodiands | 2 | 762120 | 195 | 78 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | ${ }^{\$ 37,596}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| sNuLL | kings grant | 2 | 771020 | 71 | 47 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| sNuLL | broadiew condos | 2 | 882130 | ${ }^{128}$ | 51 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| sNuLL | mountaln view housing | 2 | 883030 | 148 | 59 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| sNULL | runnells landing | 2 | 1172020 | 74 | 49 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| sNuLL | hollis village market place | 2 | 1176010 | 272 | 41 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| sNuLL | indian mound golf club | 2 | 1842030 | 225 | 90 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| sNuLL | deer cove water | 2 | 1842060 | ${ }^{123}$ | 49 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| sNULL | stonebridge village | 2 | 1932080 | 60 | 24 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| sNuLL | WESTwind estates | 2 | 2003040 | 188 | 127 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| sNuLL | mill pine village | 2 | 2082070 | 98 | 65 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| snull | PILLSBURY LAKEPENINSULA | 2 | 2462050 | 125 | 50 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| Y | Green valley moble home park | 2 | 2533020 | 115 | 46 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| snull | River run condos | 3 | 162170 | 750 | 300 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| snull | melody Pines condos | 3 | 512230 | 125 | 50 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| snull | PEUSUUNRISE ESTATES | 3 | 1542030 | 203 | 81 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$ 837,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| snull | Rochester terrace | 3 | 2003020 | 233 | 93 | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | \$37,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| \$NULL | $\xrightarrow{\text { STAR RIIGE }}$ | 3 | 2342080 | ${ }^{60}$ | ${ }^{48}$ | 15000 | 1.50 | \$54,068 | ${ }_{\text {S }}$ S77,596 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,663 | \$61,109 | \$3,055 |
| sNuLL | LAKESIIE AT WINNIPESAUKEE | 2 | ${ }^{62050}$ | 85 | ${ }^{34}$ | 15100 | 1.51 | \$54,068 | ${ }^{\$ 37,905}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,973 | \$61,315 | \$3,066 |
| sNuLL | OAKRIDGE CONDOS | ${ }^{2}$ | 1392010 | 250 | 100 | 15100 | 1.51 | \$54,068 | \$37,905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$91,973 | \$61,315 | \$3,066 |
| SNULL | WELL HILL | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 53010 \\ 5482010 \end{gathered}$ | 43 100 | 17 40 | 15100 15100 | 1.51 <br> 1.51 | $\$ 54,068$ <br> $\$ 54.068$ | $\$ 37,905$ <br> $\$ 37,05$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 91,973$ $\$ 91,973$ | $\$ 61,315$ $\$ 61,315$ | $\$ 3,066$ $\$ 3,066$ |
| \$NVLL | MARLBOROUGH ESTATES Briar court Estates | 3 2 | 1482010 912040 | 100 113 | 40 45 | 15100 15500 | 1.51 1.55 | \$54,068 | $\$ 37,905$ <br> $\$ 39,144$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$93,212 | \$62,141 | \$3,066 |
| snull | HAMPSHIRE COURT WATER | 1 | 1992040 | 50 | 20 | 15880 | 1.59 | \$54,068 | ${ }_{540,321}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$94,389 | \$62,926 | \$3,146 |
| snull | DANVILLE FOUR SEASONS | 1 | 583050 | 200 | 121 | 16000 | 1.60 | \$54,068 | \$40,693 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$94,761 | \$63,174 | \$3,159 |
| snull | ECHo Lake woods | 2 | 512050 | 112 | 45 | 16000 | 1.60 | \$54,068 | \$40,693 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$94,761 | \$63,174 | \$3,159 |
| sNuLL | FOREST EDGE | 2 | 512060 | 118 | 47 | 16000 | 1.60 | \$54,068 | \$40,693 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$94,761 | \$63,174 | \$3,159 |
| snull | dockham Shores estates | 2 | 882190 | 150 | 60 | 16000 | 1.60 | \$54,068 | \$40,693 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$94,761 | \$63,174 | \$3,159 |
| \$NULL | WENTWORTH ACRES | 2 | 1612250 | 88 | 35 | 16000 | 1.60 | \$54,068 | \$440,693 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$94,761 | \$63,174 | \$3,159 |
| snull | PEULAMPLIGHTER VILLAGE | 2 | 2542170 | 162 | 65 | 16000 | 1.60 | \$54,068 | \$40,693 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$94,761 | \$63,174 | \$3,159 |
| snull | Whittemore shores | 3 | 292010 | ${ }^{130}$ | 52 | 16000 | 1.60 | \$54,068 | \$40,693 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$94,761 | \$63,174 | \$3,159 |
| sNuLL | Jonathans landing condos | 3 | 1612170 | 158 | ${ }^{63}$ | 16100 | 1.61 | \$54,068 | \$41,003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$95,071 | \$63,381 | \$3,169 |
| snull | glenclif Improvement | 1 | 2421010 | 50 | 20 | 16800 | 1.68 | \$54,068 | \$443,171 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$97,239 | \$64,826 | \$3,241 |
| sNuLL | freedom village condos | 2 | 862030 | 165 | ${ }^{66}$ | 18000 | 1.80 | \$54,068 | \$446,889 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$100,956 | \$67,304 | \$3,365 |
| sNuLL | WEntworth cove estates | 1 | 1282020 | 116 | 46 | 19970 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| snull | REDFIILD ESTATES | 2 | 612080 | 250 | 100 | 19970 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | Gilford forest estates | 2 | 882020 | 113 | 45 | 19970 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| \$NuLL | peugreen hills ests | 0 | 1973030 | 600 | 240 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| \$NVLL | CRawford hills | 1 | 162190 | 118 | 47 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| \$nvLl | beebe river | 1 | 342010 | 63 | 25 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| \$nvLl | rebecca lane water sys | 1 | 512080 | 140 | 56 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| SNULL SNULL | EXETER RIVER MOBILE HOME PARK COUNTRY VILLAGE WAY | 1 | 803020 882170 | 980 100 | 392 40 | $20000$ | 2.00 2.00 | \$54,008 | $\frac{\$ 54,068}{\$ 54,068}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 108,136$ $\$ 108,136$ | $\$ 72,091$ $\$ 72,091$ | $\$ 3,605$ $\$ 3,605$ |
| \$nulu | NORTH STRATFORD MHP | 1 | ${ }_{2223020}$ | 50 | 20 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$554,068 | \$54,068 <br> 54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 108,136$ $\$ 108,136$ | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| snull | meadow brook at sunapee | 1 | 2272020 | 35 | 14 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| 9NuLL | TAMWORTH WATER WORKS | 1 | 2311010 | 265 | 60 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$554,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| \$null | OLDE TOWNE | 2 | 43020 | 243 | 97 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| \$nuLl | EAGLES REST MHP | 2 | 62010 | 138 | 55 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$554,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| \$nuLL | ROCKY RIVER RESORT | 2 | 162290 | 100 | 40 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$554,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| snuLl | LAKELAND | 2 | 202010 | 388 | 155 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$554,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| \$nULL | SOLAR VIILAGE | 2 | 203060 | 120 | ${ }^{48}$ | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| \$NULL | SACO WOODS CONDOS | 2 | 512250 | 240 | 96 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | Atmospheri | Storage Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ser | Life | placement Cycle | 30 | ars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire Protection | System Name | \# of | EPAID\# | Pop | Services | Total System storage (gal) | Quotient as Fraction or multiple of 10,000 gals |  |  | cost for | mu | sa | ract | of | al |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } 2010 \\ \text { Replacement Costs } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20-Year } \\ \text { Replacement } \end{gathered}$ | Annual |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Quotient Integers | $0 \% 1$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | \$41,549,228 | \$27,699,485 | \$1,384,974 |
| snull | GLen ridge dev | 2 | 612070 | 255 | 102 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | hiand lo estates |  | 612140 | 140 | 56 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | VILLAGES On the lamprey | 2 | 76280 | 113 | 45 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | WINNSTOCK CONDOS | 2 | 882060 | 125 | 50 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| snull | brookside Crossing | 2 | 882180 | ${ }^{138}$ | 55 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | greenville estates tenants | 2 | 993020 | 480 | 192 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| snull | THE MEADOWS | 2 | 1193010 | 200 | 80 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | deer meadows | 2 | 1193030 | 150 | 60 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | Lamplighter estates | 2 | 1272030 | 140 | 56 | 2000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| snull | WINDEMERE RIDGE | 2 | 1282050 | 31 | 13 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | PEUTHURSTON WOODS | 2 | ${ }^{1332050}$ | 85 | 34 | 2000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | PINE KNOLL VILLAGE | 2 | 1333020 | 200 | 80 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | villages at loudon | 2 | 1402020 | 35 | 20 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| \$NULL | ROLLING ACRES MHP | 2 | 1603010 | ${ }^{100}$ | 40 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | seasons at lake sunapee | 2 | 1722010 | 153 | ${ }^{63}$ | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| \$NULL | PEUULIBERTY TREE ACRES | 2 | 1972010 | 183 | 72 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | PEUCLEARWATER ESTATES | 2 | 1972070 | 80 | 32 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | Lancaster farms | 2 | 2052030 | 213 | 85 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | CORNERSTONE ESTATES | 2 | 2082060 | 202 | 81 | 2000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | WATERFORD VILLAGE ESTATES | 2 | 2082090 | 30 | 13 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | AUTUMN HiLLS | 2 | 2082100 | 8 | 4 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | thornhill condos | 2 | 2232020 | 175 | 70 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | the vineyards | 2 | 2232190 | 111 | 74 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | TAMwORTH PINES | 2 | 2313020 | 138 | 55 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | mountain river condos east | 2 | 2342040 | 200 | 80 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | millsbrook VILLAGE | 2 | 2342110 | 68 | 27 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| snull | PILLSbury lakerranklin Pierce | 2 | 2462040 | 150 | 60 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | emerald acres | 3 | 153060 | 250 | 100 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| snull | bedford water | 3 | 192010 | 163 | 65 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| snull | WEST POINT | 3 | 1612040 | 93 | 37 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| snull | stratham green condos | 3 | 2232050 | 150 | 60 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | PEUHARDWOOD HTS BIRCH HILL | 3 | 2542060 | 250 | 40 | 2000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| sNuLL | BIRCH HILL ESTATES | 3 | 2563010 | 159 | 106 | 2000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| N | WIGgin farm winterberry | 3 | 2232180 | 108 | 43 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| snull | CHALK Pond water | 4 | 1652020 | 200 | ${ }^{80}$ | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$108,136 | \$72,091 | \$3,605 |
| \$NULL | TWIN RIICGE CONDOS | 4 | 1932050 | 430 | 108 | 20000 | 2.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 108,136$ | $\$ 72,091$ | \$3,605 |
| \$NULL | WINDY ACRES | 2 | 413030 | 180 | 72 | 20180 | 2.02 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | $\$ 13,501$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 121,637$ | \$81,091 | $\$ 4,055$ |
| SNULL | ossipee mountains estates THE INN AT SPRUCE WOOD | 2 | 1843010 <br> 694010 | 255 225 | 102 52 | 20500 2085 | 2.05 <br> 2.09 | $\$ 54,068$ <br> $\$ 54.068$ | \$54,068 | \$14,619 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 122,754$ $\$ 124,002$ | $\$ 81,836$ <br> $\$ 82,668$ <br> 8. | $\$ 4,092$ $\$ 4.133$ |
| sNuLI | MOUNTAINSIDE AT CROTCHED MTN | 2 | 694010 212020 | 225 165 | 52 70 | 20857 | 2.99 2.10 | \$54,0,68 | ¢54,068 | $\xrightarrow{\text { \$15,6,665 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$124,501 | \$83,001 | \$4,150 |
| snull | Eastrield crosiling | 2 | 2302040 | 113 | 45 | 22000 | 2.20 | \$54,068 | ¢554,068 | \$19,859 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$127,994 | \$85,330 | \$4,266 |
| snull | HIDDEN VALLEYMASON | 4 | 2372020 | 253 | 101 | 2240 | 2.24 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$21,396 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$129,531 | \$86,354 | \$4,318 |
| \$nuLL | REDSUN WATER | 0 | 302040 | 218 | 87 | 23000 | 2.30 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$23,352 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$131,488 | \$87,658 | \$4,383 |
| \$nuLL | LOST VALLEY | 2 | 732030 | ${ }^{350}$ | 72 | 23000 | 2.30 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$23,352 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$131,488 | \$87,658 | \$4,383 |
| \$null | COW HILL WELLHOUSE | 1 | 162160 | 185 | 74 | 24000 | 2.40 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$26,845 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$134,981 | \$89,987 | \$4,499 |
| Y | GOODRICH PROPERTY | 2 | 162350 | 175 | 70 | 24000 | 2.40 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$26,845 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$134,981 | \$89,987 | \$4,499 |
| snull | COTtages at windchimes | 1 | 262040 | 75 | 30 | 25000 | 2.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | 37,006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$145,741 | \$97,161 | \$4,858 |
| snull | deer run | 2 | 342050 | 62 | 58 | 25000 | 2.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$30,339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$138,474 | \$92,316 | \$4,616 |
| snull | OAKwOod Helight | 2 | 512170 | 50 | 20 | 25000 | 2.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$30,339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$138,474 | \$92,316 | \$4,616 |
| snull | LOWER Shaker viluage | 2 | 753030 | 263 | 105 | 25000 | 2.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$30,339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$138,474 | \$92,316 | \$4,616 |
| snull | KINGSTOWNE MHP | 2 | 773010 | ${ }^{350}$ | 140 | 25000 | 2.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$30,339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$138,474 | \$92,316 | \$4,616 |
| snull | PATRICIAN SHORES | 2 | 1522010 | 225 | 90 | 25000 | 2.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$30,339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$138,474 | \$92,316 | \$4,616 |
| snull | the grouse point club | 2 | 1522990 | 203 | 81 | 25000 | 2.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$30,339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$138,474 | \$92,316 | \$4,616 |
| snull | FAR ECHO Harbor | 2 | 1612030 | 200 | 80 | 25000 | 2.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$30,339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$138,474 | \$92,316 | \$4,616 |
| \$NuLL | mEADOWBRook VILLAGE | 2 | 2002040 | 40 | 16 | 25000 | 2.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$30,339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$138,474 | \$92,316 | \$4,616 |
| \$nuLL | Stoneford | 2 | 2082050 | 188 | 75 | 25000 | 2.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$30,339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$138,474 | \$92,316 | \$4,616 |
| \$NULL | Forest view Estates | 2 | 2302050 | 70 | 28 | 25000 | 2.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$30,339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$138,474 | \$92,316 | \$4,616 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | Atmospher | Storage Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ser | Life | placement Cycle | 30 | ars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fire | System Name | \# of | EPAID\# | Pop | Services | Total System | Quotient as Fraction or |  |  | cost fof | or multi | ples and | actio |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total 2010 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 20-Year } \\ \text { Replacement } \end{gathered}$ | Annual |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Quotient integers | 0 \% 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | \$41,549,228 | \$27,699,485 | \$1,384,974 |
| snull | VILLAGES OF WINDHAM | 2 | 2542070 | 105 | 42 | 25000 | 2.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$30,339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$138,474 | \$92,316 | \$4,616 |
| \$NULL | WYNRIDGE CONDOS | 2 | 2542080 | ${ }_{58}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | 25000 | 2.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$30,339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$138,474 | \$92,316 | \$4,616 |
| N | ОАк HILL | 2 | 432020 | 150 | 60 | 25000 | 2.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$30,339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$138,474 | \$92,316 | \$4,616 |
| Y | hadlelgh woods | 2 | 2542160 | 109 | 62 | 25000 | 2.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$30,339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$138,474 | \$92,316 | \$4,616 |
| N | CHESTER brook |  | 432030 | 100 | 40 | 25000 | 2.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$30,339 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$138,474 | \$92,316 | \$4,616 |
| snul | moody point | 3 | 1732010 | 215 | 86 | 25200 | 2.52 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$33,620 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$138,756 | \$92,504 | \$4,625 |
| snul | Greenfield hill estates | 5 | 1932990 | 80 | 39 | 27000 | 2.70 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$33,158 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$141,293 | \$94,196 | \$4,710 |
| snul | peuavery estates | 2 | ${ }^{1392250}$ | 118 | 47 | 28200 | 2.82 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$33,849 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$142,985 | \$95,323 | \$4,766 |
| snul | Slopen shore club | 1 | 1722020 | 180 | 73 | 30000 | 3.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,204 | \$108,136 | \$5,407 |
| N | SWAINS LAKE VILLAGE WATER | 1 | 151010 | 150 | 68 | 30000 | 3.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,204 | \$108,136 | \$5,407 |
| sNuLL | ROPEWALK SERVICES | 2 | 102010 | 290 | 124 | 30000 | 3.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 | \$54,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,204 | \$108,136 | \$5,407 |
| snul | DAVIS HIL | 2 | 512260 | 75 | 30 | 30000 | 3.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 | \$54,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,204 | \$108,136 | \$5,407 |
| snul | MOUNTAIN VALE VILLAGE MHP | 2 | 513100 | 388 | 155 | 30000 | 3.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 | \$54,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,204 | \$108,136 | \$5,407 |
| ¢NULL | SAmoset At winnipesaukee | 2 | 882160 | ${ }^{43}$ | ${ }^{137}$ | 30000 | 3.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,204 | \$108,136 | \$5,407 |
| ¢NULL | PEUNESENKEAG | 2 | ${ }^{1392240}$ | 110 | 44 | 30000 | 3.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 | \$54,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,204 | \$108,136 | \$5,407 |
| ¢NULL | ORFORD VILLAGE DIST | 2 | 1831010 | 128 | 51 | 30000 | 3.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,204 | \$108,136 | \$5,407 |
| ¢NULL | valley field apts northland | 2 | 1932070 | 185 | 74 | 30000 | 3.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,204 | \$108,136 | \$5,407 |
| \$NULL | MONADNOCK TENANTS | 2 | 1993010 | 190 | 75 | 30000 | 3.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,204 | \$108,136 | \$5,407 |
| snul | chocorua meadows | 2 | 2312070 | 50 | 20 | 30000 | 3.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 | 954,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,204 | \$108,136 | \$5,407 |
| \$NULL | White mountain resortgateway |  | 2342060 | 208 | 83 | 30000 | 3.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,204 | \$108,136 | \$5,407 |
| snul | LOCHMERE VILLAGE DIST | 2 | 2351020 | ${ }^{278}$ | ${ }^{111}$ | 30000 | 3.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,204 | \$108, 136 | \$5,407 |
| ¢NULL | collins Landing | 2 | 2452040 | 180 | 72 | 30000 | 3.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,204 | \$108,136 | \$5,407 |
| N | rodgers dev | 2 | 2353010 | 220 | 74 | 30000 | 3.00 | \$54,068 | \$55,008 | \$54,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,204 | \$108,136 | \$5,407 |
| y | 18 HUGHES LNEFFFINGHAM | 2 | 732040 | 60 | 24 | 30000 | 3.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | 954,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,204 | \$108,136 | \$5,407 |
| snul | WHITE ROCK WATER | 3 | 262020 | 240 | 94 | 30000 | 3.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,204 | \$108,136 | \$5,407 |
| snuL | SARGENT WOODS | 3 | 1752070 | 8 | 5 | 30000 | 3.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,204 | \$108,136 | \$5,407 |
| snul | PEUGGOLDEN BROOK | 3 | 2542010 | 313 | 125 | 30000 | 3.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$162,204 | \$108,136 | \$5,407 |
| snul | SOUTH WEARE WATER | 3 | 2452030 | 200 | 80 | 30800 | 3.08 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$24,587 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$186,790 | \$124,527 | \$6,226 |
| SNULL | windham terrace | 1 | 2544020 | 110 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | 31500 | 3.15 | $\stackrel{\text { S54,068 }}{ }$ | \$54,068 | $\$ 54,068$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ 26,757 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$188,960 | \$125,973 | \$6,299 |
| \$NULL | gunstock glen water | $1$ | 88290 | 138 | 55 | 31800 | $3.18$ | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | $\$ 54,068$ | \$27,686 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$189,890 | \$126,593 | \$6,330 |
| \$NULL | SWEET HILL ESTATES | $2$ | 1932200 | 75 | 30 | 32000 | 3.20 | $\$ 554,068$ | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$28,306 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$190,510 | \$127,007 | \$6,350 |
| \$NULL | ROCKHOUSE MOUNTAIN | ${ }^{3}$ | 512240 | 255 | $\begin{aligned} & 101 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | 32000 | 3.20 |  |  | \$54,068 | \$28,306 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$190,510 | \$127,007 | $\$ 6,350$ $\$ 6557$ |
| \$NULL SNULL | STAGECOACH FARMS GRANLIDEN ON SUNAPEE | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 692020 \\ & 2272010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 164 \\ & 285 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ 114 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34000 \\ & 35000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.40 \\ & 3.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\$ 54,068}{\$ 54,008}$ | \$554,068 | \$54,008 | $\frac{534,506}{537,006}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$196,709 $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 9 8 0 9}$ | $\$ 131,140$ $\$ 133,206$ | $\$ 6,557$ $\$ 6,660$ |
| sNULL | Catamount hil | 2 | 43040 | 383 | 153 | 35000 | 3.50 | \$54,068 | \$554,068 | \$54,068 | \$377,006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$199,809 | \$133,206 | \$6,660 |
| snull | wright farm condos | 2 | 112030 | 163 | 65 | 35000 | 3.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 | \$54,008 | \$37,006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$199,809 | \$133,206 | \$6,660 |
| snull | white lake estates | 2 | 2312030 | 250 | 100 | 35000 | 3.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$37,006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$199,809 | \$133,206 | \$6,660 |
| sNULL | Autumn woods | 3 | 2052070 | 180 | 72 | 35000 | 3.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$199,809 | \$133,206 | \$6,660 |
| sNULL | francestown village water | 2 | 831010 | 150 | 60 | 36000 | 3.60 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$40,705 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$202,909 | \$135,273 | \$6,764 |
| sNULL | Souhegan woods | 1 | 72070 | 290 | 116 | 40000 | 4.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | 954,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$216,272 | \$144,181 | \$7,209 |
| ¢NULL | hubbard hill | 1 | 612090 | 80 | 32 | 40000 | 4.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$55,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$216,272 | \$144,181 | \$7,209 |
| ¢NULL | Colby Pond | 2 | 582010 | 399 | 158 | 40000 | 4.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$216,272 | \$144,181 | \$7,209 |
| ¢NULL | PINELAND PARK | 2 | 1583010 | 425 | 170 | 40000 | 4.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$216,272 | \$144,181 | \$7,209 |
| snul | NORTHWOOD RIDGE WATER DIST | 2 | 1792030 | 688 | 55 | 40000 | 4.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$216,272 | \$144,181 | \$7,209 |
| ¢NULL | Chisholm farm | 2 | 2232200 | 70 | 28 | 40000 | 4.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$216,272 | \$144,181 | \$7,209 |
| ¢NULL | OWLS NEST GOLF CLUB AND CONDOS | 2 | 2348110 | 261 | 37 | 40000 | 4.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$216,272 | \$144,181 | \$7,209 |
| ¢NULL | FREEDOM HILL | 3 | 1403030 | 375 | 148 | 40000 | 4.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | 954,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$216,272 | \$144,181 | \$7,209 |
| sNULL | COTTON FARMS MHP | 5 | 588030 | 400 | ${ }^{158}$ | 40000 | 4.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$216,272 | \$144,181 | \$7,209 |
| ¢NULL | NORDIC VILLAGE | 2 | 162270 | 315 | 126 | 42400 | 4.24 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$29,546 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$245,818 | \$163,879 | \$8,194 |
| ¢NULL | WHite mountaln sch | 0 | 242010 | 150 | 10 | 45000 | 4.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$253,877 | \$169,251 | \$8,463 |
| ¢NULL | PIIE GARDENS MHP | 2 | 203040 | 413 | 165 | 45000 | 4.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$253,877 | \$169,251 | \$8,463 |
| sNULL | Lov water | 3 | 862010 | 538 | 215 | 45000 | 4.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$253,877 | \$169,251 | \$8,463 |
| \$NULL | POND VIEW | 5 | 2303020 | 248 | 99 | 45000 | 4.50 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$37,606 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$253,877 | \$169,251 | \$8,463 |
| snull | SIITERS OF MERCY | 1 | 2544010 | 70 | 1 | 50000 | 5.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$270,339 | \$180,226 | \$9,011 |
| snul | the seasons at attitash | 2 | 162240 | 440 | 177 | 50000 | 5.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$270,339 | \$180,226 | \$9,011 |
| snull | MAPLEVALE AND CRICKET HILL | 2 | 702030 | 130 | 70 | 50000 | 5.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$270,339 | \$180,226 | \$9,011 |
| snull | PEUFOREST RIDGE | 2 | 802040 | 115 | 46 | 50000 | 5.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$270,339 | \$180,226 | \$9,011 |
| snull | badger hill | 2 | 1562030 | 258 | 103 | 50000 | 5.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$554,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$270,339 | \$180,226 | \$9,011 |
| \$nuLl | LAKE SHore park | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 882150 | 790 | 316 | 50000 | 5.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$554,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$270,339 | \$180,226 | \$9,011 |
| \$NuLL | PEUCASTLE REACH |  | 2542140 | 97 | 39 | 50000 | 5.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$270,339 | \$180,226 | \$9,011 |
| snull | westaate estates |  | 1972060 | 80 | 26 | 50000 | 5.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,008 | \$54,008 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$270,339 | \$180,226 | \$9,011 |
| \$NULL | Ledges at newfound lake | 5 | 32010 | 160 | 64 | 50000 | 5.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$55,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$270,339 | \$180,226 | \$9,011 |
| \$NULL | EAGLE RIIGE RESORT | 6 | 162400 | 270 | 108 | 50000 | 5.00 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 | \$54,068 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$270,339 | \$180,226 | \$9,011 |

Table C-4: NH Small Atmospheric Storage Tanks Projected Costs (Mostly Non Fire Protected GW Systems)

count 447

APPENDIXD Well Sources of Supply

## APPENDIX D

## WELL SOURCES OF SUPPLY

## D. 1 METHODOLOGY

Water wells are classified by NHDES according to their rate of production and the type of geological formation in which they are located (bedrock vs. gravel). For convenience of handling the large amount of data, subcategories were included under the main categories that would be most likely to replace them in the future. Springs were included under bedrock wells. Included under the Gravel Well category were gravel-packed wells, gravel wells, dug wells, vacuum points, and infiltration wells.

Each category was further divided according to their NHDES Permitting Category: Level 1 for yields under 40 gpm , and Level II for yields equal to or greater than or over 40 gallons per minute (gpm). Such a division made sense because the large number of very small systems would tend to have bedrock wells permitted for yields under 40 gpm .

A median service life was estimated for each well type, Bedrock or Gravel, to adjust an estimated 2010 replacement cost to the 20 year horizon. Well service life depends to a great extent on water chemistry and how effectively the well screen responds to cleaning and redevelopment (in the case of gravel wells). For Bedrock and Gravel wells, assumed median service lives were 25 and 40 years, respectively.

It was assumed that smaller community water systems would require Level 1 replacement wells (permitting for under 40 gpm ), that the time to obtain a permit averaged about $41 / 2$ months, and a similar amount of professional development and cost would be required to design and construct whether they were bedrock or gravel wells. Of the Level 1 wells in the DES dataset, 903 were bedrock wells and 134 were gravel wells.

For larger community water systems, a replacement well would most likely require a Level 2 permit (for over or equal to 40 gpm ). The Level 2 permitting time averaged about 9 months. Level 2 gravel wells tend to be more costly to construct because specialized heavy drilling equipment is often required, which is supported only by a limited number of firms in New England. Level 2 wells costs were averaged for both bedrock and gravel, although for larger systems more wells were gravel than bedrock. For Level 2 wells, 68 were bedrock compared to 202 gravel wells. Table D-1 displays the assumed median costs for replacement wells by Permitting Level.

## TABLE D-1

MEDIAN WELL COSTS

|  | Level 1 Permit (< 40 gpm) | Level 2 Permit $\geq$ 40 gpm) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Permitting | $\$ 15,000$ | $\$ 40,000$ |
| Well Construction | $\$ 25,000$ | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Professional Services | $\$ 5,000$ | $\$ 20,000$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{\$ 4 5 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ |

These 2010 median replacement costs were applied to each well in the database according to whether the well was bedrock or overburden type and then according to permit level. These are shown in Table D-2, D-3, D-4, and D-5. This cost was adjusted by a factor derived by dividing the 20 -year time horizon by the estimated service lives of 25 years for bedrock wells, and 40 years for gravel wells. In addition to the service life factors, Gravel Well 20 year cost needs were also modified for screen redevelopment, assumed to occur twice over the 20 year period ( $\$ 10,000$ for Level 1, and $\$ 18,500$ for Level II). This may be argued to be a maintenance cost rather than a capital cost. However, the inclusion acts as an adjustment factor for the maintenance occurring which modifies the service life expected. Table D-6 shows the estimated replacement values for 2010, and annual and 20-year projected funding needs.

Level I (permitted for less than $\mathbf{4 0} \mathbf{~ g p m}$ ) Bedrock Wells*
903 number of sources**
assumed re-drilled in vicinity of original well
permitting assumed to take $\sim 4.5 \mathrm{mos}$

* may also include springs in this group
*8 three non CWS systems removed ( 7 wells) from inventory
costs do not include exploration because these are replacement wells
Generally, BRW are not cleaned and redeveloped

| FireProtection | System Name | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Groundwate } \\ \text { r Sources } \end{gathered}$ | EPA ID \# | Population | Service Connections | Source Desription | Well Depth <br> (ft) | 2010 Replacement Cost |  | $20 \mathrm{yrs} /$ Service Life |  | Total 20-Year <br> Component Replacement Cost |  | Annual Need |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | \$ | 40,635,000 |  |  | \$ | 32,508,000 | \$ | 1,625,400 |
| \$NULL | CHOCORUA WOODS | 1 | 2312060 | 16 | 15 | BRW /300' W OF PH | 575 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | VILLAGE AT MEAD FIELD | 1 | 1792040 | 20 | 13 | BRW /173' S OF SW REAR CNR PH | 363 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Papermill village | 1 | 52010 | 24 | 20 | BRW 1 /180' SW OF SW CNR BLDG | 1003 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Steele pond dev | 1 | 92010 | 25 | 10 | BRW $1 / \mathrm{IN}$ PH | 280 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | dearborn ridge | 1 | 112090 | 25 | 11 | BRW $1 / 660$ ' NW OF PH | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BECKET HOUSE AT CAMPTON | 1 | 342060 | 25 | 2 | BRW $2 / 150{ }^{\prime}$ SE OF SCHOOL | 393 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Valley view condos | 2 | 342080 | 25 | 10 | BRW $1 / 5{ }^{\text {S S OF PH }}$ | 814 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | VALLEY VIEW CONDOS | 2 | 342080 | 25 | 10 | BRW $2 / 105^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 814 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | andterbury spruces housine | 1 | 374010 | 25 | 16 | BRW $2 / 34^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GREYSTONE COMMONS | 1 | 1332030 | 25 | 10 | BRW $1 / 12{ }^{\prime}$ NW OF PS | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | old lawrence road | 1 | 1852080 | 25 | 22 | BRW $2 / 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 575 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | mCAULEY COMMONS | 1 | 2542130 | 25 | 24 | BRW $1 / 240$ SW OF BLDG | 445 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | NEWFOUND ACRES MHP | 1 | 293010 | 26 | 15 | BRW $1 / 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{OF} \mathrm{PH}$ | 385 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ROBINSONS MHP/UPPER | 1 | 453020 | 26 | 11 | BRW 2 /55' E OF UPPER PH | 350 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MILL POND CROSSING | 2 | 282010 | 28 | 17 | BRW $1 / 405$ SE OF PH | 900 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MILL POND CROSSING | 2 | 282010 | 28 | 17 | BRW $2 / 122{ }^{\text {S S OF PH }}$ | 700 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PICKPOCKET WOODS | 1 | 802010 | 28 | 11 | BRW $1 / 50$ N OF PS | 160 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BIRCH BEND | 1 | 2342090 | 28 | 11 | BRW $1 / 57$ ' E OF PH | 260 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | glen acres | 2 | 162060 | 30 | 12 | BRW $1 / 30^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 360 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GLEN ACRES | 2 | 162060 | 30 | 12 | BRW $2 / 25^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 375 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WHISPERING BROOK | 1 | 162310 | 30 | 12 | BRW 1/1'EOFPH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | bear village south | 1 | 162340 | 30 | 12 | BRW 1/14' S OF PS |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GOVERNORS FOREST | 2 | 872010 | 30 | 16 | BRW $2 / 290$ ' S OF PUMPHOUSE | 320 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GOVERNORS FOREST | 2 | 872010 | 30 | 16 | BRW $3 / 390$ ' SW OF PUMPHOUSE | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CEDAR WOOd Estates | 1 | 1332040 | 30 | 12 | BRW $1 / 100$ SE OF PUMPHOUSE | 515 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SOUTHVIEW CONDOS | 1 | 1392300 | 30 | 15 | BRW /9' NW OF UNIT 1 | 620 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | FLINTLOCK APTS | 1 | 1402010 | 30 | 12 | BRW $1 / 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF N BLDG 802 | 145 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | RUtLedge place | 1 | 1932140 | 30 | 12 | BRW 1 /16' E OF PUMP HOUSE |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HOWARD MANOR CONDOS | 1 | 1932160 | 30 | 12 | BRW $1 / 193$ ' SE OF PH | 650 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CHANDLER TERRACE | 1 | 1932190 | 30 | 12 | BRW $1 / 500$ S OF BLDG | 342 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GRaNDVIEW ESTATES | 2 | 2002050 | 30 | 12 | BRW $1 / 27$ ' SE OF PH | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GRaNDVIEW EStates | 2 | 2002050 | 30 | 12 | BRW $2 / 12$ S OF PH | 350 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/BEAVER Hollow | 1 | 2082010 | 30 | 11 | BRW $2 / 20$ SE OF PH | 700 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WATERFORD VILLAGE ESTATES | 2 | 2082090 | 30 | 13 | BRW $2 / 38{ }^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 1000 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WATERFORD VILLAGE ESTATES | 2 | 2082090 | 30 | 13 | BRW $1 / 185^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 360 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CONE RIDGE APTS | 1 | 2342100 | 30 | 12 | ART /5' W OF PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WAKEFIELD ACRES | 1 | 2392020 | 30 | 18 | BRW /250' NW OF PH | 305 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WINDEMERE RIDGE | 2 | 1282050 | 31 | 13 | BRW $1 / 366^{\prime}$ SE OF SW CNR OFPH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WINDEMERE RIDGE | 2 | 1282050 | 31 | 13 | BRW $2 / 766^{\text {SW }}$ OS SW CNR OF PH | 1000 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |


| Fire Protection | System Name | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Groundwate } \\ \text { r Sources } \end{gathered}$ | EPA ID \# | Population | Service Connections | Source Desription | Well Depth <br> (ft) <br> 580 | 2010 Replacement Cost |  | 20 yrs / Service Life |  | Total 20-Year Component Replacement Cost |  |  | al Need |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$NULL | THE MEADOW AT NORTHWOOD | 1 | 1792050 | 31 | 1 | BRW /150' SE OF PARKING LOT |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | blueberry knoll estates | 1 | 1932150 | 32 | 13 | BRW $1 / 120{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 420 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | RED Sleigh condos | 1 | 342090 | 33 | 13 | BRW $1 / 10$ W OF OFFICE BLDG | 156 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | 26 Chandler ave condos | 1 | 1932130 | 33 | 15 | BRW $1 / 230$ SSE OF CONDOMINIUMS | 365 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BREEZY POINT CONDOS | 1 | 98070 | 35 | 14 | BRW /18' SE OF PH | 580 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | chalet Village | 1 | 882010 | 35 | 15 | BRW $1 / \mathrm{IN}$ PS | 105 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WESTWIND CONDOS | 1 | 1162020 | 35 | 14 | BRW $3 / 180$ ' NE OF PS | 513 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ELLIS RIVER VILLAGE | 1 | 1212060 | 35 | 14 | BRW $2 / 390{ }^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 305 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | VILLAGES AT LOUDON | 2 | 1402020 | 35 | 20 | BRW $1 / 28$ ' NE OF PH | 1006 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | VILLAGES AT LOUDON | 2 | 1402020 | 35 | 20 | BRW $2 / 166{ }^{\text {' SW OF PH }}$ | 1210 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CANTERBURY CROSSINGS | 1 | 1767020 | 35 | 14 | BRW $1 / 206{ }^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 605 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MEETING HOUSE WATER | 1 | 1862010 | 35 | 14 | BRW /WITHIN VAULT /294 WOODLAWN | 550 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Shady Lane apartments | 1 | 1932220 | 35 | 14 | BRW /42' NW OF BLDG 3 |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | JUNTRY RIDGE MOBILE HOME PAI | 1 | 2001020 | 35 | 14 | BRW $1 / 27^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 200 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LAMINGTON HILL | 2 | 2232170 | 35 | 14 | BRW $1 / 125^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 240 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LAMINGTON HILL | 2 | 2232170 | 35 | 14 | BRW $2 / 135^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 240 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | meadow brook at sunapee | 1 | 2272020 | 35 | 14 | BRW 1/30' W OF PS | 510 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MOUNTAIN VIEW ESTATES | 1 | 2312020 | 35 | 14 | BRW | 22 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | OLD StAGE COACH ARMS | 1 | 152020 | 38 | 15 | BRW $1 / 40$ ' SE OF APT BLDG | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BARRINGTON HILLS APTS/LOWER | 1 | 152030 | 38 | 15 | BRW $1 / 22$ SW OF REAR CNR PS | 523 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/STONE SLED FARM | 2 | 262060 | 38 | 25 | BRW $1 / 85$ E OF PH | 425 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/STONE SLED FARM | 2 | 262060 | 38 | 25 | BRW $2 / 30^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 425 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SUNSET VILLA PARK | 1 | 823010 | 38 | 15 | BRW /IN PH |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | JEFFERSONWASHINGTON COND | 1 | 1212050 | 38 | 15 | BRW $1 /$ IN PIT IN FRONT OF UT 5 |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | POND VIEW APARTMENTS | 1 | 1432010 | 38 | 15 | BRW $1 / 15$ S OF APT BLDG | 192 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PINE GROVE APARTMENTS | 1 | 1842070 | 38 | 15 | BRW /18' NE OF PH | 325 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Rainbow ridge | 2 | 1932170 | 38 | 15 | BRW $1 / 1000^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Rainbow ridge | 2 | 1932170 | 38 | 15 | BRW $2 / 80$ SE OF PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PAWTUCKAWAY FARMS | 2 | 1972050 | 38 | 15 | BRW 2E/430' SE OF PS | 505 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PAWTUCKAWAY FARMS | 2 | 1972050 | 38 | 15 | BRW 3W /420' ESE OF PS | 610 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SAWMILL DORMITORY | 1 | 1992060 | 38 | 15 | BRW 1 /IN PH PIT | 235 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | FAIRFIELD | 1 | 2082020 | 38 | 15 | BRW $1 / 125^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 185 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | StRATHAM WOODS | 2 | 2232090 | 38 | 15 | BRW $1 / 180^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 620 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | STRATHAM WOODS | 2 | 2232090 | 38 | 15 | BRW 2 /185' SE OF PH | 360 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | blueberry village condos | 1 | 162180 | 40 | 16 | 3RW $1 / 40$ ' SW OF PH /IN PRKNG LOT UNDR MHC | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | FISHERFIELD TOWNHOUSES | 1 | 272010 | 40 | 16 | BRW $1 / 34$ S OF PH | 280 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | brook view village | 1 | 512190 | 40 | 16 | BRW /15' S OF PH | 220 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HAMPSHIRE VILLAGE | 1 | 882410 | 40 | 16 | BRW $1 / 57{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ OF PH | 505 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HIGH PASTURES AT BLACK MTN | 1 | 1212090 | 40 | 16 | BRW 1/10' W OF PS | 490 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LYMPIC MOBILE HOME VILL/LOWE | 1 | 1323050 | 40 | 16 | BRW $1 / 5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 345 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | IARBOURSIDE ON WINNIPESAUKE | 2 | 1612220 | 40 | 16 | BRW $1 / 7000^{\prime}$ NNE OF PH | 790 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | IARBOURSIDE ON WINNIPESAUKE | 2 | 1612220 | 40 | 16 | BRW $3 / 150$ ' NNW OF PH | 790 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WADE FARM CONDOS | 2 | 1732020 | 40 | 16 | BRW $1 / 20{ }^{\text {S S OF PH }}$ | 362 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WADE FARM Condos | 2 | 1732020 | 40 | 16 | BRW $2 / 10{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 268 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MEADOWBROOK VILLAGE | 2 | 2002040 | 40 | 16 | BRW 1/10' W OF PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MEADOWBROOK VILLAGE | 2 | 2002040 | 40 | 16 | BRW $2 / 15^{\prime}$ S OF PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WESTWIND ESTATES ॥ | 1 | 2003090 | 40 | 17 | BRW $1 / 100{ }^{\text {E E OF PH }}$ | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | reeds crossing | 1 | 2082030 | 40 | 16 | BRW $1 / 90{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ OF PH | 230 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MOUNTAIN VIEW PARK | 1 | 63030 | 41 | 16 | BRW 2 IN MANBOW RD PH /UG VAULT |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ROLLING MEADOWS CONDOS IV | 1 | 1392230 | 42 | 15 | BRW $1 / 80$ SW OF BLDG 8 | 148 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WINNISQUAM RESORT CONDOS | 1 | 2352010 | 42 | 17 | BRW 2 /180' SE OF PS | 436 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WELL HILL | 3 | 53010 | 43 | 17 | BRW $1 / 150^{\prime}$ E OF ATMOS TANK | 608 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |


| Fire Protection <br> \$NULL | System Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# } \\ \text { Groundwate } \\ \text { rSources } \end{gathered}$ | EPAID \# | Population | Service Connections | Source Desription | Well Depth <br> (ft) <br> 500 | 2010 Replacement Cost |  | $20 \mathrm{yrs} /$ Service Life |  | Total 20-Year <br> Component Replacement Cost |  | Annual Need |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WELL HILL | 3 | 53010 | 43 | 17 | BRW 2 /100'NE OF ATMOS TANK |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WELL HILL | 3 | 53010 | 43 | 17 | BRW $3 / 25$ 'NW OF UT AT 346 GILSUM MINE RD | 720 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BARRINGTON HILLS APTS/UPPER | 1 | 152050 | 43 | 18 | BRW $1 / 75$ SW OF SW REAR CNR OF BLDG A | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | FITZWILLIAM VILLAGE/PRIGGE | 1 | 821010 | 43 | 12 | BRW 1 /IN PH PRIGGE PROPERTY | 150 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | . ACK MOUNTAIN MEADOW CONDC | 1 | 1212080 | 43 | 17 | BRW /15' SW OF PS | 110 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | TYROL WELL A | 1 | 1212140 | 43 | 17 | BRW $1 / \mathrm{IN}$ PH | 506 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | POLAND BROOK WOODS | 1 | 1842050 | 43 | 17 | BRW 1/175' SW OF PH | 110 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CARRIAGE APTS | 1 | 1992050 | 43 | 17 | BRW $1 / 30$ S OF PH | 505 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LITTLE MILL WOODS | 2 | 2082080 | 43 | 17 | BRW $1 / 102$ N OF PH | 240 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LITTLE MILL WOODS | 2 | 2082080 | 43 | 17 | BRW $2 / 72$ NE OF PH | 340 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ELLISON FARM APTS | 1 | 152010 | 45 | 18 | BRW $1 / 350$ ' OF N BLDG 3 | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WHIP O WILL CONDOS | 1 | 297020 | 45 | 18 | BRW $1 / 100$ ' SW OF BLDG 3 IN PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | VILLAGE POND | 1 | 342070 | 45 | 18 | BRW /294' SE OF PH | 1050 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CURRIERS MHP | 1 | 1323040 | 45 | 18 | BRW 2 /225' N OF UNIT 20 | 525 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | EVERGREEN TERRACE | 1 | 1333030 | 45 | 22 | BRW /WITHIN LOWER PUMPHOUSE | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Stonehenge apt trust | 1 | 1372020 | 45 | 18 | BRW /24' SW OF PH | 255 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WILDER VILLAGE CLUSTER | 1 | 1712040 | 45 | 17 | BRW 1/320' E OF PS | 525 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CAmelot court | 1 | 1802020 | 45 | 19 | BRW $1 / 160$ SE OF PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WINMIIR CONDOS | 2 | 2372050 | 45 | 18 | BRW $2 / 77{ }^{\prime}$ WSW OF PH | 358 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WINMIIR CONDOS | 2 | 2372050 | 45 | 18 | BRW $1 / 200{ }^{\text {W }}$ W OF PH | 750 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Smith farm water | 2 | 2232120 | 46 | 12 | BRW $1 / 152{ }^{\text {' S OF PH }}$ |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SMITH FARM WATER | 2 | 2232120 | 46 | 12 | BRW $2 / 138{ }^{\text {S }}$ S OF PH |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Aberdeen/west | 2 | 2232150 | 46 | 23 | BRW $1 / \mathrm{N} 380^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ OF PH | 200 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | aberdeen/west | 2 | 2232150 | 46 | 23 | BRW 2 /S $380{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ OF PH | 260 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | InN AT DEERFIELD | 1 | 594020 | 47 | 1 | BRW $1 / 315$ ' SE OF BLDG | 260 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WINDY HILL/NORTH | 2 | 2353020 | 47 | 19 | BRW 2 /28' NE OF PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WINDY HILL/NORTH | 2 | 2353020 | 47 | 19 | BRW $3 / 27$ ' E OF PH | 670 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Riversbend | 1 | 162100 | 48 | 19 | BRW $2 / 40$ ' S OF PH | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GREENFIELD COMMONS | 1 | 974010 | 48 | 24 | BRW $1 / 257^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ OF COMMONS 250 ' N OF FIRE ST | 900 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | AZY PINES MOBILE HOME PK/LOW | 1 | 1403040 | 48 | 19 | BRW /8' E OF LOWER PH | 165 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | EASTBLUFF HIGHLANDS CONDOS | 1 | 1522020 | 48 | 19 | BRW /IN PS | 420 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | EAStBLUFF VILLAGE CONDOS | 1 | 1522030 | 48 | 19 | BRW /275' E OF PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | milLville CIRCLE/SOUTH | 1 | 2052010 | 48 | 20 | BRW 2 /IN PH /AT 100 MILLVILLE CIR | 225 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | FROST RESIDENTS | 1 | 613050 | 49 | 30 | BRW $3 / 320$ SW OF PH | 365 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GREEN HILLS MHP | 1 | 153010 | 50 | 20 | BRW 1 /IN BASEMENT OF LOT 20 | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ENGLISH WOODS | 2 | 192060 | 50 | 19 | BRW /90' S OF PH | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ENGLISH WOOdS | 2 | 192060 | 50 | 19 | BRW 2 /122' SE OF PH | 1200 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | beLa brook water | 1 | 262030 | 50 | 20 | BRW $1 / \mathrm{IN}$ PH | 270 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | COACHMAN CONDOS | 2 | 342100 | 50 | 20 | BRW $1 / 120$ E OF PS | 480 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | COACHMAN CONDOS | 2 | 342100 | 50 | 20 | BRW $2 / 30$ S OFPS | 485 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | COUNTRY VILLAGE MHP | 2 | 353010 | 50 | 20 | BRW $2 / 20$ S OF PH | 650 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | COUNTRY VILLAGE MHP | 2 | 353010 | 50 | 20 | BRW $1 / 61 / 2^{\prime} \mathrm{NOFP}$ PH |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | OAKWOOD HEIGHTS | 2 | 512170 | 50 | 20 | BRW $1 / 25^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 683 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | saco pines | 2 | 512180 | 50 | 20 | BRW $3 / 129$ SE OF PS |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SACO PINES | 2 | 512180 | 50 | 20 | BRW $4 / 52^{\prime}$ SE OF PS |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SHERBURN WOODS | 1 | 594030 | 50 | 20 | BRW $1 / 450$ NW OF UT 9 | 960 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | OLD COACH VILLAGE | 2 | 612210 | 50 | 20 | BRW 1/150' N OFPS | 280 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | OLD COACH VILLAGE | 2 | 612210 | 50 | 20 | BRW 2 /150' NE OF PS | 280 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Johnson Creek | 2 | 692010 | 50 | 24 | BRW 1/12' W OF PH | 310 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Johnson creek | 2 | 692010 | 50 | 24 | BRW $2 / 100$ SW OF PH | 390 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | EVANS TRAILER PARK | 1 | 763010 | 50 | 20 | BRW 1/20' W OF PH | 160 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | EXETER HIGHLANDS | 1 | 802020 | 50 | 20 | BRW $1 / 250^{\prime}$ ESE OF PH | 425 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |


| Fire Protection <br> \$NULL | System Name <br> PINE LANDING CONDOS | $\frac{$$\#$ <br>  Groundwate  <br>  rSources }{2} | EPA ID \# <br> 862020 | Population <br> 50 | Service Connections <br> 20 | Source Desription <br> BRW $1 / 200$ ' N OF CONDOMINIUM UNITS | Well Depth <br> (ft) <br> 800 | 2010 Replacement Cost |  | 20 yrs / Service Life |  | Total 20-Year <br> Component Replacement Cost |  | Annual Need |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LIBERTY HIGHLANDS WATER | 1 | 882040 | 50 | 21 | BRW $2 / 85$ S OF PS | 800 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GRay ledges | 2 | 952020 | 50 | 28 | BRW $1 / 203$ S OF PH | 650 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GRAY LEDGES | 2 | 952020 | 50 | 28 | BRW $2 / 161$ ' SW OF PH | 700 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GLENWOOD NORTH | 1 | 1032090 | 50 | 20 | BRW $1 / 370$ SW OF UT 19 | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ROLLING MEADOWS CONDOS III | 1 | 1392220 | 50 | 20 | BRW $1 / 20$ ' NW OF BLDG 16 CARPORT | 278 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Voainne senior housing | 1 | 1402030 | 50 | 33 | BRW /385' SW OF BLDG/ALONG ACCESS RD | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BROOKWOOD PARK | 1 | 1463010 | 50 | 20 | BRW $1 / \mathrm{IN}$ PH | 330 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LANDS END | 3 | 1612200 | 50 | 20 | BRW $2 / 80{ }^{\prime}$ WNW OF PS | 630 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LANDS END | 3 | 1612200 | 50 | 20 | BRW $3 / 100{ }^{\text {S }}$ S OF PS | 524 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LANDS END | 3 | 1612200 | 50 | 20 | BRW $4 / 240$ S OF S CNR OF 4 BAY GARAGE | 546 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | STRAWBERRY HILL | 1 | 1932100 | 50 | 20 | BRW $1 / 200{ }^{\text {' SE OF PH }}$ | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HAMPSHIRE COURT WATER | 1 | 1992040 | 50 | 20 | BRW $2 / 140^{\prime} \mathrm{NE}$ OF PH | 365 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | mab realty | 1 | 2052040 | 50 | 20 | BRW IN CENTER COURTYARD | 155 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | NORTHERN VIEW APARTMENTS | 1 | 2192020 | 50 | 20 | BRW 1240 S OF PS | 515 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | NORTH STRATFORD MHP | 1 | 2223020 | 50 | 20 | ART /500' ENE OF AMST BLDG /PH | 240 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | JEWETT HILL | 2 | 2232140 | 50 | 19 | BRW $1 / 130$ ' S OF PH | 200 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | JEWETT HILL | 2 | 2232140 | 50 | 19 | BRW $2 / 1455^{\text {S O O P P }}$ | 200 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Chocorua meadows | 2 | 2312070 | 50 | 20 | BRW $1 / 39^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 680 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Chocorua meadows | 2 | 2312070 | 50 | 20 | BRW $2 / 60^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ OF PH | 680 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WATERVILLE ACRES CONDOS | 1 | 2342070 | 50 | 20 | BRW / 40 ' SE OF PH | 1100 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | + | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GLENCLIFF IMPROVEMENT | 1 | 2421010 | 50 | 20 | BRW /19' SW OF PH CNR | 365 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WENTWORTH ESTATES | 1 | 2562010 | 50 | 23 | BRW /5' NW OF NEW PH /2002/ | 226 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SURRY VILLAGE WATER | 1 | 2281010 | 50 | 16 | SPRING /2000' W OF FIREHOUSE ON HILL | 3 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BIRCHES OF BENNINGTON | 2 | 212030 | 52 | 21 | ART $1 / 340^{\prime}$ W OF BIRCH GLEN DR | 160 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BIRCHES OF BENNINGTON | 2 | 212030 | 52 | 21 | ART $2 / 520{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ OF BIRCH GLEN DR | 220 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GOWING WOODS | 1 | 72080 | 53 | 21 | BRW $1 / 14$ ' NE OF PH | 920 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | FITZWILLIAM VILLAGE/MASSIN | 1 | 821030 | 53 | 18 | BRW $4 / 82^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF MASSIN HOUSE | 250 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | OYSTER RIVER CONDOS | 1 | 1332010 | 53 | 21 | BRW $/ 200{ }^{\text {S }}$ S P PS | 440 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | tame | 1 | 2342050 | 53 | 21 | BRW /IN PH | 360 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | tioga river water | 1 | 202030 | 55 | 22 | BRW $1 / 8^{\prime}$ N OF PH | 350 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/SHAKER HEIGHTS | 1 | 432040 | 55 | 22 | BRW $1 / 35^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 780 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | RUNNING BROOK | 1 | 613030 | 55 | 24 | BRW 1 IIN PH S OF OFFICE | 175 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LOUISBURG CIRCLE | 2 | 802030 | 55 | 22 | BRW 1 /E 60' S OF PH | 700 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LOUISBURG CIRCLE | 2 | 802030 | 55 | 22 | BRW 2 W 65' S OF PH | 490 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | GORHAM HILL SPRING | 2 | 921020 | 55 | 22 | BRW 1 / WELL |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | JUR SEASONS MOBILE HOME PAF | 1 | 1053010 | 55 | 22 | BRW $2 / 15^{\prime}$ N OFPH | 350 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BOBS MOBILE HOME PARK | 1 | 1553010 | 55 | 22 | BRW $1 / 15^{\prime}$ SE OF PS | 385 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SHORTRIDGE ACADEMY | 1 | 1582010 | 55 | 6 | BRW 1/400' SW OF PUMP ROOM | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | KILNWOOD ON KANASATKA | 1 | 1612230 | 55 | 22 | BRW $1 / 10$ ' W OF PH | 250 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | TOWER VIEW | 1 | 1793010 | 55 | 22 | BRW 1 /INPS 1 | 280 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BRYANT BROOK | 1 | 1932110 | 55 | 22 | BRW $1 / 180^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 510 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SHERWOOD FOREST | 1 | 2562050 | 55 | 22 | BRW $2 / 6{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 142 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | GORHAM HILL SPRING | 2 | 921020 | 55 | 22 | SPRING |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WILLOW bend | 1 | 612240 | 57 | 23 | BRW $1 / 125^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 1005 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MANITOOS SHORES | 1 | 1672010 | 57 | 15 | WELL 1 /IN PS | 65 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | APPLETON GARDENS | 1 | 1712010 | 57 | 23 | BRW $1 / 15^{\prime}$ SW OF BLDG | 185 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MILLVILLE CIRCLE/NORTH | 1 | 2052060 | 57 | 23 | BRW 1 /IN PH/27 MILLVILLE CIR | 225 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HALCYON HILL | 2 | 153020 | 58 | 24 | BRW /150' SE OF PS | 330 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | VILLAGGIO BIANCO | 1 | 162260 | 58 | 23 | BRW $1 / 30$ S OF PH | 100 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WESTVIEW MEADOWS | 2 | 202040 | 58 | 23 | BRW $1 / 250$ N OF PH WEST | 550 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WESTVIEW MEADOWS | 2 | 202040 | 58 | 23 | BRW $2 / 250^{\prime}$ N OF PH EAST | 610 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |


| Fire Protection | System Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# } \\ \text { Groundwate } \\ \text { r Sources } \end{gathered}$ | EPA ID \# | Population | Service Connections | Source Desription | Well Depth <br> (ft) | 2010 Replacement Cost |  | 20 yrs / Service Life |  | Total 20-Year Component Replacement Cost |  | Annual Need |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$NULL | MEADOWVIEW APTS | 2 | 412010 | 58 | 23 | BRW 1 /177' SE OF APT 1 FRONT | 440 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MEADOWVIEW APTS | 2 | 412010 | 58 | 23 | BRW 2 /120' SW OF APT 1 BACK |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WILSONS MHP | 1 | 753010 | 58 | 23 | BRW /76' NW OF PS UNDER WINDMILL | 240 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | hadow Lake mobile home Par | 1 | 2053030 | 58 | 23 | BRW $1 / 60$ WNW OF PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WYNRIDGE CONDOS | 2 | 2542080 | 58 | 23 | BRW $1 / 500$ SE OF PH | 305 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WYNRIDGE CONDOS | 2 | 2542080 | 58 | 23 | BRW $3 / 550$ ' SSE OF PH | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | OYSTER RIVER APTS EAST/WEST | 1 | 152060 | 60 | 24 | BRW $3 / 380$ S OF EAST APT BLDG | 403 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SOUTH FACE CONDOS | 1 | 212010 | 60 | 30 | BRW /84' NW OF PH | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | TRIPPLEWOOD RESORT CONDOS | - 1 | 342020 | 60 | 24 | BRW /15' E OF PH | 413 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CHESLEYS MHP | 2 | 343010 | 60 | 24 | BRW $1 / 200{ }^{\text {' NW OF PS }}$ | 536 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CHESLEYS MHP | 2 | 343010 | 60 | 24 | BRW $2 / 130$ ' SE OF PS | 526 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | 18 HUGHES LN/EFFINGHAM | 2 | 732040 | 60 | 24 | BRW $2 / 90{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ OF PH | 120 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | beLkNap heights water | 1 | 882100 | 60 | 24 | BRW $1 / 30$ SW OF NEW PUMPHOUSE | 420 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | COACH RUN CONDOS | 2 | 1032070 | 60 | 24 | BRW 1E/203' N OF PS | 180 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | COACH RUN CONDOS | 2 | 1032070 | 60 | 24 | BRW $2 / \mathrm{W} 200{ }^{\text {a }}$ NNW OF PS | 280 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LYYMPIC MOBILE HOME VILL/UPPE | 1 | 1323030 | 60 | 24 | BRW $2 / 3{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OFPS | 575 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PACKERS FALLS VILLAGE | 1 | 1333060 | 60 | 24 | BRW $1 / 80$ SE OF UT 4 | 225 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Stonebridge village | 2 | 1932080 | 60 | 24 | BRW $3 / 110$ S OF PS | 900 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Stonebridge village | 2 | 1932080 | 60 | 24 | BRW 1 190' SW OF PH | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WEST PINE CONDOS | 4 | 1932210 | 60 | 24 | BRW $1 / 155$ ' SE OF PH | 550 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WEST PINE CONDOS | 4 | 1932210 | 60 | 24 | BRW $2 / 155$ SW OF PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WESt Pine condos | 4 | 1932210 | 60 | 24 | BRW $3 / 160$ S OF PH | 800 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WEST PINE CONDOS | 4 | 1932210 | 60 | 24 | BRW 4 /175' S OF PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HASBROUCK APARTMENTS | 1 | 1992020 | 60 | 24 | BRW $6 / 2000$ ' SW OF APTS | 360 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | mountain river condos | 1 | 2342030 | 60 | 24 | BRW /30' E OF PH | 650 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Star ridge | 3 | 2342080 | 60 | 48 | BRW $2 / 225^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 700 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Star ridge | 3 | 2342080 | 60 | 48 | BRW $3 / 190{ }^{\prime}$ NNW OF PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Star Ridge | 3 | 2342080 | 60 | 48 | BRW $4 / 160{ }^{\text {' NNE OF PH }}$ | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BRAEMAR WOODS CONDOS | 1 | 2542040 | 60 | 24 | BRW $4 / 315^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 405 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | deer run | 2 | 342050 | 62 | 58 | BRW 4 /LOT P8 35' NE OF PH | 850 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | deer run | 2 | 342050 | 62 | 58 | BRW 5 /LOT P8 200' NE OF PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ROWELL ESTATES | 2 | 1272040 | 62 | 40 | BRW $1 / 30{ }^{\prime}$ E OFPH | 565 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ROWELL ESTATES | 2 | 1272040 | 62 | 40 | BRW $2 / 112$ E OF PH | 465 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SODA BROOK | 1 | 1763010 | 62 | 25 | BRW $1 / 40$ ' SW OF NEW PH | 330 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | RIVERSIDE COBB FARM | 2 | 162140 | 63 | 25 | BRW 3 /170' NNE OF PH | 301 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | RIVERSIDE Cobb FARM | 2 | 162140 | 63 | 25 | BRW $2 / 200{ }^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 550 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | beebe river | 1 | 342010 | 63 | 25 | ART/GRAVEL WELL $24{ }^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 34 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Stonegate acres | 1 | 1112010 | 63 | 25 | BRW $2 / 30$ SW OF PH |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | terrace condos | 3 | 1202020 | 63 | 25 | BRW $1 / 12$ SE OF BLDG 1 /IN WELLHOUSE VLT | 100 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | terrace condos | 3 | 1202020 | 63 | 25 | BRW $2 / 12^{\prime}$ W OF BLDG 2 |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | terrace condos | 3 | 1202020 | 63 | 25 | BRW $3 / 105$ ' N OF BLDG 5 | 360 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | fairhaven mobile home park | 1 | 1563020 | 63 | 25 | BRW 1/700' WSW OF PS IN WOODS | 700 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LOST NATION WATER | 2 | 1781030 | 63 | 25 | BRW $1 / 351 / 2$ S OF PH | 405 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Lost nation water | 2 | 1781030 | 63 | 25 | BRW $2 / 36{ }^{\prime}$ SW OF PH BACK-UP | 450 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PLEASANT LAKE MHP/UPPER | 1 | 2413010 | 63 | 25 | BRW $2 / 5{ }^{\text {S S OF PH }}$ | 225 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MUIRFIELD CLUSTER | 2 | 2232130 | 64 | 23 | BRW 1 /S 28 ' S OF WELL 2 | 320 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MUIRFIELD CLUSTER | 2 | 2232130 | 64 | 23 | BRW $2 / \mathrm{N} 260^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ OF PH | 140 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HILLCREST MANOR APTS | 3 | 362010 | 65 | 25 | BRW 3/15' W OF TENNIS COURT | 1493 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HILLCREST MANOR APTS | 3 | 362010 | 65 | 25 | BRW 1 /IN PH | 250 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HILLCREST MANOR APTS | 3 | 362010 | 65 | 25 | BRW $2 / 15$ SSW OF PH | 375 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SACO RIVER FOREST | 1 | 512120 | 65 | 24 | BRW 1/15' W OF PS | 210 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | FITZWILLIAM VILLAGE/LAUGHNER | 2 | 821020 | 65 | 25 | BRW $2 / 180$ ' NE OF MOULTON HOUSE | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fire } \\ \text { Protection } \end{gathered}$ | System Name | $\#$ <br> Groundwate <br> r Sources <br> 12 | EPA ID \# <br> 821020 | Population <br> 65 | Service Connections <br> 25 | Source Desription <br> 3RW 3 /FRONT OF BLOCK HOUSE AT ENTRANCI | Well Depth <br> (ft) <br> 160 | 2010 Replacement Cost |  | 20 yrs/ Service Life |  | Total 20-Year Component Replacement Cost |  | Annual Need |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$NULL | FITZWILLIAM VILLAGE/LAUGHNER |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/GAGE HILL | 1 | 1852020 | 65 | 26 | BRW /3' E OF PH | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | 'LEASANT VALLEY PARK ESTATE؛ | 1 | 2223010 | 65 | 26 | 3RW $1 / 235^{\prime}$ N OF INTERS MASON RD /KADDY LT | 145 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | millsbrook village | 2 | 2342110 | 68 | 27 | BRW $1 / 107$ ' WNW OF PH | 385 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Rolling ridge | 1 | 162130 | 70 | 28 | BRW $1 / 25^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 305 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SOUTH PINES | 1 | 512140 | 70 | 28 | BRW $2 / 10$ 'WNW OF PH | 375 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BEECH HILL MHP | 1 | 803040 | 70 | 28 | BRW /IN PH | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | DARBY FIELD COMMONS | 2 | 1332020 | 70 | 28 | BRW $1 / 80{ }^{\text {S }}$ OF PH | 365 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | DARBY FIELD COMMONS | 2 | 1332020 | 70 | 28 | BRW $2 / 120$ S OF PH | 405 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | FORREST STREET CONDOS | 1 | 1932040 | 70 | 28 | BRW $1 / 70{ }^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PHEASANT RUN CONDOS | 2 | 2232080 | 70 | 28 | BRW $1 / 240^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 107 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PHEASANT RUN CONDOS | 2 | 2232080 | 70 | 28 | BRW $2 / 360^{\prime}$ ESE OF PH | 102 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CHISHOLM FARM | 2 | 2232200 | 70 | 28 | BRW $1 / 50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 440 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CHISHOLM FARM | 2 | 2232200 | 70 | 28 | BRW $2 / 60$ ' NNE OF PH | 260 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | FOREST VIEW ESTATES | 2 | 2302050 | 70 | 28 | BRW $2 / 35^{\prime}$ NNW OF PH | 485 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | FOREST VIEW ESTATES | 2 | 2302050 | 70 | 28 | BRW $1 / 61^{\prime} \mathrm{NW}$ OF PH | 385 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | NORTHERN SHORES WATER | 1 | 2352020 | 70 | 28 | ART / $\mathrm{N}^{\text {P }}$ P | 185 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SISTERS OF MERCY | 1 | 2544010 | 70 | 1 | BRW 1 /IN PIT IN PUMPROOM | 990 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | KINGS GRANT | 2 | 771020 | 71 | 47 | BRW $1 / 136{ }^{\text {c }}$ SE OF PH NORTH WELL | 290 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | KINGS GRANT | 2 | 771020 | 71 | 47 | BRW $2 / 137$ ' SE OF PH SOUTH WELL /PRIMARY | 235 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BARTLETT PLACE | 2 | 162250 | 72 | 29 | BRW $1 / 26$ ' NNE OF PH | 363 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | bartlett Place | 2 | 162250 | 72 | 29 | BRW $2 / 16$ ' S OF PH |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PLEASANT VALLEY MHP | 1 | 353020 | 73 | 29 | BRW /130' NE OF PS | 335 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | AUTUMN WOODS | 2 | 612220 | 73 | 29 | BRW $1 / 265^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 140 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | AUTUMN WOODS | 2 | 612220 | 73 | 29 | BRW $2 / 270$ SE OF PH | 140 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | FROST TRAILER PARK | 2 | 993030 | 73 | 30 | BRW $1 / 1000$ S OF PH | 181 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | FROST TRAILER PARK | 2 | 993030 | 73 | 30 | BRW $2 / 344^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 275 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CROSSWINDS | 2 | 1612260 | 73 | 29 | BRW $1 / 145{ }^{\text {' }}$ NW OFPS | 800 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CROSSWINDS | 2 | 1612260 | 73 | 29 | BRW $2 / 60$ SW OF PS | 700 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GRIGGS MOBILE HOMES | 1 | 1913010 | 73 | 29 | BRW /30' S OF UGPH /BTW LOTS 9/10 | 360 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CROSS RIDGE EStates | 1 | 1932120 | 73 | 29 | BRW $/ 75$ ' NNW OF PH | 160 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WINDY HILL/SOUTH | 1 | 2353090 | 73 | 29 | BRW /15' NW OF PH | 250 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | RUNNELLS LANDING | 2 | 1172020 | 74 | 49 | BRW $2 / 285^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 605 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | RUNNELLS LANDING | 2 | 1172020 | 74 | 49 | BRW $1 / 300$ ' SE OF PH | 705 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Loon estates | 1 | 1793020 | 74 | 30 | BRW $2 / 66^{\text {S O O P PH }}$ | 1220 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | InN AT SECRETARIAT ESTATES | 2 | 2004010 | 74 | 33 | BRW $1 / 400{ }^{\text {E E OF PH }}$ | 805 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | InN AT SECRETARIAT ESTATES | 2 | 2004010 | 74 | 33 | BRW $2 / 400$ ' SE OF PH | 900 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | COTTAGES AT WINDCHIMES | 1 | 262040 | 75 | 30 | BRW $1 / 400^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 460 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | bLUEBERRY HILL MHP | 3 | 413010 | 75 | 30 | BRW $1 / 15^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 435 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | bLUEBERRY HILL MHP | 3 | 413010 | 75 | 30 | BRW $2 / 70^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 550 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | bLUEBERRY HILL MHP | 3 | 413010 | 75 | 30 | BRW $3 / 1755^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 435 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | DAVIS HILL | 2 | 512260 | 75 | 30 | BRW $2 / 235^{\prime}$ WNW OF PS | 478 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | DAVIS HILL | 2 | 512260 | 75 | 30 | BRW $4 / 225^{\prime}$ WSW OF PS | 1025 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MEADOW BROOK | 2 | 774030 | 75 | 50 | BRW $1 / 40{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 263 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MEADOW BROOK | 2 | 774030 | 75 | 50 | BRW $2 / 32$ NE OF PH | 303 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GILFORD MEADOWS | 2 | 882210 | 75 | 40 | BRW $1 / 75{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ OF PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GILFORD MEADOWS | 2 | 882210 | 75 | 40 | BRW $2 / 195{ }^{\text {N }}$ N OF PH | 680 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BOUMIL GROVE CONDOS | 1 | 1392050 | 75 | 30 | BRW $2 / 35{ }^{\text {' SW OF PH }}$ | 800 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Pine hill estates | 2 | 1522070 | 75 | 30 | BRW 1/115' WSW OF PH | 1000 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PINE HILL EStates | 2 | 1522070 | 75 | 30 | BRW $2 / 5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 645 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | TUXBURY MEADOWS | 2 | 1932180 | 75 | 24 | BRW $15 / 310^{\prime}$ SW OF PH | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | TUXBURY MEADOWS | 2 | 1932180 | 75 | 24 | BRW $2 \mathrm{~N} / 260^{\prime} \mathrm{SW}$ OF PH | 220 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |


| Fire Protection <br> \$NULL | System Name | $\#$ <br> Groundwate <br> r Sources2 | EPAID \# | Population | Service Connections | Source Desription <br> BRW $2 / 400$ ' E OF PH | Well Depth <br> (ft) <br> 705 | 2010 Replacement Cost |  | $20 \mathrm{yrs} /$ Service Life |  | Total 20-Year <br> Component Replacement Cost |  | Annual Need |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SWEET HILL ESTATES |  | 1932200 | 75 | 30 |  |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SWEET HILL ESTATES | 2 | 1932200 | 75 | 30 | BRW $1 / 280$ S S OF PH | 780 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | OLIVERIAN SCH | 1 | 1107030 | 76 | 6 | BRW /6' NE OF WELLHOUSE | 212 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | jOLONIAL POPLIN NURSING HOME | 1 | 874020 | 78 | 1 | BRW $1 / 325$ ' SW Of bldg entrance | 330 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LITCHFIELD LANDING | 1 | 1373010 | 78 | 44 | BRW /36' N OF PH DOOR IN VAULT | 35 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LADD HILL MHP | 1 | 203020 | 80 | 32 | BRW /75' W OF PS | 250 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | evergreen drive water | 2 | 262010 | 80 | 29 | BRW $1 / 290{ }^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 1000 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | DEERBROOK CONDOS | 1 | 512150 | 80 | 32 | BRW /15' N OF PH |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BARKLAND ACRES | 2 | 612040 | 80 | 27 | BRW $1 / 15^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ OF PH | 260 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | baRKLAND ACRES | 2 | 612040 | 80 | 27 | BRW $2 / 45^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 260 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | morningside drive | 2 | 612050 | 80 | 32 | BRW $2 / 6{ }^{\prime}$ SE OF ENTRANCE TO PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MORNINGSIDE DRIVE | 2 | 612050 | 80 | 32 | BRW $1 / 30^{\prime}$ NE OF ENTRANCE TO PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HUBBARD HILL | 1 | 612090 | 80 | 32 | BRW 2, 75' NORTH OF PUMP HOUSE | 1000 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ROLLING MEADOWS CONDOS I | 1 | 1392200 | 80 | 32 | BRW 1 /97' SW OF BLDG 22 CARPORT | 196 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SANDS OF BROOKHURST | 1 | 1522040 | 80 | 26 | BRW $1 / 33^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ;OPPLE CROWN VILLAGE DISTRIC | 1 | 1672020 | 80 | 32 | BRW $1 / 245^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 828 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GREENFIELD HILL ESTATES | 5 | 1932090 | 80 | 39 | BRW $4 / 1700^{\text {E O OF PH }}$ | 900 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GREENFIELD HILL ESTATES | 5 | 1932090 | 80 | 39 | BRW $5 / 275{ }^{\text {E E OF PH }}$ | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GREENFIELD HILL ESTATES | 5 | 1932090 | 80 | 39 | BRW 2 MIDDLE $/ 300{ }^{\text {S }}$ SE OF PH | 1000 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GREENFIELD HILL ESTATES | 5 | 1932090 | 80 | 39 | BRW $3 \mathrm{~S} / 240$ ESE OF PH | 615 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GREENFIELD HILL ESTATES | 5 | 1932090 | 80 | 39 | BRW 1 S /350' SSE OF PH | 550 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WEStGATE ESTATES | 4 | 1972060 | 80 | 26 | BRW $4 / 280{ }^{\prime}$ SW OF PH | 925 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WESTGATE ESTATES | 4 | 1972060 | 80 | 26 | BRW $5 / 150$ ' W OF PH | 825 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WEStGATE ESTATES | 4 | 1972060 | 80 | 26 | BRW $3 / 345{ }^{\prime}$ SW OF PH | 825 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WESTGATE ESTATES | 4 | 1972060 | 80 | 26 | BRW $2 / 3000$ S OF PH | 1025 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/CLEARWATER ESTATES | 2 | 1972070 | 80 | 32 | BRW $2 / 156{ }^{\prime}$ SW OF PH | 683 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/CLEARWATER ESTATES | 2 | 1972070 | 80 | 32 | BRW $1 / 158$ ' S OF PH | 443 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | magdalen college | 2 | 2415010 | 80 | 7 | BRW $1 / 420$ ' NW OF CHAPEL | 525 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MAGDALEN COLLEGE | 2 | 2415010 | 80 | 7 | BRW $2 / 360^{\prime}$ NW OF CHAPEL | 755 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | FORESTVIEW MANOR | 2 | 1524010 | 82 | 3 | BRW $1 / 165^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF BLDG ONE | 1000 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | FORESTVIEW MANOR | 2 | 1524010 | 82 | 3 | BRW $2 / 155$ ' NW OF BLDG ONE | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | lyme water | 3 | 1431010 | 83 | 33 | BRW 3 /DOWD | 220 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | LYME WATER | 3 | 1431010 | 83 | 33 | BRW 1 /MUNGER/HUNTON | 160 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | lyme water | 3 | 1431010 | 83 | 33 | BRW 4 /CELONE | 260 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MOONGATE FARM | 1 | 1932060 | 84 | 48 | BRW $1 / 150$ ' W OF PH | 120 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LAKESIDE AT WINNIPESAUKEE | 2 | 62050 | 85 | 34 | BRW $8 / 800{ }^{\prime}$ NE OF PS | 361 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LAKESIDE AT WINNIPESAUKEE | 2 | 62050 | 85 | 34 | BRW $2 / 240^{\prime}$ NE OF PS | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Water wheel estates | 2 | 112070 | 85 | 34 | BRW $1 / 150^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 200 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WATER WHEEL ESTATES | 2 | 112070 | 85 | 34 | BRW $2 / 50^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 200 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | NORTHBROOK MHP | 2 | 203030 | 85 | 34 | BRW 1 /IN PS 1 /OLD /ESTATES I | 200 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | NORTHBROOK MHP | 2 | 203030 | 85 | 34 | BRW $2 / 225{ }^{\prime}$ SE OF PS 2 /NEW /ESTATES II | 185 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | COUNTRY LANE MANOR | 1 | 363010 | 85 | 34 | BRW /1 SW OF NEW PH ADDITION | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/THURSTON WOODS | 2 | 1332050 | 85 | 34 | BRW $1 / 154$ S OF PUMPHOUSE | 760 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/THURSTON WOODS | 2 | 1332050 | 85 | 34 | BRW $2 / 174.5$ S OF PUMPHOUSE | 447 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HIGHLAND LINKS COLONY | 2 | 1162010 | 88 | 35 | BRW $1 / 42$ SW OF PH | 180 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HIGHLAND LINKS COLONY | 2 | 1162010 | 88 | 35 | BRW $2 / 32$ NE OF PH | 720 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WAGON WHEEL | 1 | 1393050 | 88 | 35 | BRW 2/16' E OF NEW STONEHENGE RD PH | 260 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WENTWORTH ACRES | 2 | 1612250 | 88 | 35 | BRW $1 / 25^{\prime}$ NNW OF PH | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WENTWORTH ACRES | 2 | 1612250 | 88 | 35 | BRW $2 / 143$ ' NW OF PH | 580 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WILLOW GROVE TRAILER PARK | 1 | 1753010 | 88 | 35 | BRW 2 /70' N OF PH | 700 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | hale estates | 6 | 512270 | 90 | 36 | BRW $5 / 210^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 1203 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | hale estates | 6 | 512270 | 90 | 36 | BRW $1 / 170{ }^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 1202 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |


| Fire Protection | System Name | \# Groundwate r Sources | EPA ID \# | Population | Service Connections | Source Desription | Well Depth <br> (ft) | 2010 Replacement Cost |  | 20 yrs / Service Life |  | Total 20-Year Component Replacement Cost |  | Annual Need |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$NULL | HALE ESTATES | 6 | 512270 | 90 | 36 | BRW 2 /70' E OF PH | 1203 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | hale estates | 6 | 512270 | 90 | 36 | BRW $3 / 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 1000 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | hale estates | 6 | 512270 | 90 | 36 | BRW $6 / 160^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 660 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | hale estates | 6 | 512270 | 90 | 36 | BRW $4 / 110^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 698 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CHERRY VALLEY CONDOS | 1 | 882080 | 90 | 36 | BRW /400' SW OF PH |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | breton woods dev | 1 | 882220 | 90 | 36 | BRW $1 / 200$ S OF PH |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | taylor river estates | 2 | 1053030 | 90 | 36 | BRW $1 / 10$ S OF PH | 180 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | TAYLOR RIVER ESTATES | 2 | 1053030 | 90 | 36 | BRW $2 / 25^{\prime}$ SW OF PH | 220 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ELM BROOK VILLAGE | 2 | 1193020 | 90 | 36 | BRW 1 /5' W FROM CNR OFPH | 125 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ELM BROOK VILLAGE | 2 | 1193020 | 90 | 36 | BRW 2 /6' SW FROM PH | 149 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | AZY PINES MOBILE HOME PK/UPP | 1 | 1403020 | 90 | 36 | BRW /IN UPPER PUMPHOUSE | 265 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WINDWARD HARBOR CONDOS | 3 | 1612210 | 90 | 36 | BRW 1 /270' W OF PH | 630 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WINDWARD HARBOR CONDOS | 3 | 1612210 | 90 | 36 | BRW $2 / 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ OF PH | 270 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WINDWARD HARBOR CONDOS | 3 | 1612210 | 90 | 36 | BRW $3 / 170{ }^{\prime}$ W OFP PH | 350 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PACKER MEADOWS | 1 | 1752030 | 90 | 45 | BRW $1 / 250$ N OF BLDG C | 250 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | TENNEY BROOK CONDOS I | 1 | 1942020 | 90 | 36 | BRW $1 / 95^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 340 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PROFILE APARTMENTS | 1 | 2002020 | 90 | 36 | BRW /10' N OFPS | 415 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | tisdale mobile homes | 2 | 2053040 | 90 | 58 | BRW $2 / 50^{\prime}$ E OF SW CNR GARAGE | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | tisdale mobile homes | 2 | 2053040 | 90 | 58 | BRW $3 / 40$ ' SE OF SW CNR GARAGE | 440 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PINE ACRES CONDOS | 2 | 2082040 | 90 | 36 | BRW $1 / 90$ ' NE OF PH | 155 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PINE ACRES CONDOS | 2 | 2082040 | 90 | 36 | BRW $2 / 45$ ' N OF PH | 200 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WOOD HILL VILLAGE | 2 | 1123020 | 91 | 29 | BRW $3 / 51$ ' SW PS 2 IN BACK OF PARK | 205 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WOOD HILL VILLAGE | 2 | 1123020 | 91 | 29 | BRW $2 / 80{ }^{\prime}$ NE OF PS 1 | 295 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SPRUCE VALLEY MHP | 2 | 583010 | 92 | 37 | BRW $1 / 10^{\prime}$ NW OF PS | 435 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SPRUCE VALLEY MHP | 2 | 583010 | 92 | 37 | BRW $2 / 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PS | 295 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | melling glen | 2 | 762040 | 92 | 42 | BRW $1 / 160$ S OF PH | 380 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | melling glen | 2 | 762040 | 92 | 42 | BRW $3 / 2640$ ' ENE OF PH | 900 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | OUNTRY HILLS OF EAST KINGSTO | 3 | 702040 | 93 | 37 | BRW $1 / 108$ ' SE OF PH | 1100 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | OUNTRY HILLS OF EAST KINGSTO | 3 | 702040 | 93 | 37 | BRW $3 / 500$ ' W OF PH | 800 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | OUNTRY HILLS OF EAST KINGSTO | 3 | 702040 | 93 | 37 | BRW $2 / 125^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 900 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Yacht club vista | 1 | 882400 | 93 | 37 | BRW $1 / 120{ }^{\text {' SW OF PH }}$ | 240 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Stonewall village | 2 | 882420 | 93 | 37 | BRW $1 / 900{ }^{\text {' NW OF PH }}$ | 560 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Stonewall village | 2 | 882420 | 93 | 37 | BRW $2 / 950^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 660 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WEST POINT | 3 | 1612040 | 93 | 37 | BRW 3/3' N OF BRW 1/001 |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WEST POINT | 3 | 1612040 | 93 | 37 | BRW $1 / 190^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF TREATMENT BLDG | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WEST POINT | 3 | 1612040 | 93 | 37 | BRW $2 / 6$ ' SW OF INF WELL | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | THE COMMONS OF ATKINSON | 2 | 112060 | 95 | 38 | BRW $1 / 120{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ OF PH NORTH | 140 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | THE COMMONS OF ATKINSON | 2 | 112060 | 95 | 38 | BRW $2 / 90$ SW OF PH SOUTH | 255 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/FARMSTEAD ACRES | 1 | 612110 | 95 | 36 | BRW $1 / 1700^{\prime} \mathrm{NOFPH}$ | 245 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | maple haven | 2 | 612170 | 95 | 63 | BRW $2 / 169^{\prime} \mathrm{NOFPH}$ | 330 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | maple haven | 2 | 612170 | 95 | 63 | BRW $4 / 200{ }^{\text {NE }}$ NE OF PH | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BOW LAKE ESTATES | 2 | 2212010 | 95 | 41 | BRW / 150' WSW OF PH /STEEL CASING | 234 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ANCOEUR APT/HUDSON MOTORI | 1 | 1202010 | 96 | 35 | ART $3 / 400$ ' NW OF PUMPROOM | 805 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/CASTLE REACH | 3 | 2542140 | 97 | 39 | BRW $4 / 400{ }^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 1600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/CASTLE REACH | 3 | 2542140 | 97 | 39 | BRW $1 / 49$ 'NW OF PH | 885 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/CASTLE REACH | 3 | 2542140 | 97 | 39 | BRW $2 / 243^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 825 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | RICHARDSON ESTATES | 1 | 612130 | 98 | 36 | BRW $1 / 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 454 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BRAKE HILL ACRES | 2 | 882050 | 98 | 39 | 3RW 1/150' W OF NEW PH ACROSS THE BROOr | 355 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BRAKE HILL ACRES | 2 | 882050 | 98 | 39 | BRW 2 /7' SE OF NEW PH | 225 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MILL PINE VILLAGE | 2 | 2082070 | 98 | 65 | BRW $1 / 650^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 1005 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MILL PINE VILLAGE | 2 | 2082070 | 98 | 65 | BRW $2 / 700{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{NOFPH}$ | 980 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SUGAR HILL MANOR MHP | 3 | 2453010 | 98 | 39 | BRW /362' SE OF PH 1 FRONT OF PARK | 355 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |


| Fire Protection | System Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# } \\ \text { Groundwate } \\ \text { r Sources } \end{gathered}$ | EPA ID \# | Population | Service Connections | Source Desription | Well Depth <br> (ft) <br> 375 | 2010 Replacement Cost |  | 20 yrs / Service Life |  | Total 20-Year <br> Component <br> Replacement Cost |  | Annual Need |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$NULL | SUGAR HILL MANOR MHP | 3 | 2453010 | 98 | 39 | BRW 1/15' W OF PH 1 FRONT OF PARK |  | , | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ROCKY RIVER RESORT | 2 | 162290 | 100 | 40 | BRW $1 / 30$ ' S OF PH | 200 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | IMMACULATE CONCEPTION SCH | 1 | 394010 | 100 | 4 | BRW $2 / 170{ }^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 335 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | VILLAGES AT CHESTER CONDOS | 2 | 432010 | 100 | 40 | BRW N/590' NW OF PH | 385 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | VILLAGES AT CHESTER CONDOS | 2 | 432010 | 100 | 40 | BRW S $/ 520{ }^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | Chester brook | 3 | 432030 | 100 | 40 | BRW $1 / 35^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 1010 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | CHESTER BROOK | 3 | 432030 | 100 | 40 | BRW $2 / 45^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 605 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | CHESTER BROOK | 3 | 432030 | 100 | 40 | BRW $3 / 40^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 575 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PLUMER COURT | 2 | 762070 | 100 | 40 | BRW $1 / 250^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 650 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PLUMER COURT | 2 | 762070 | 100 | 40 | BRW $2 / 260^{\prime} \mathrm{NE}$ OF PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MARINA BAY CONDOS I AND II | 1 | 882140 | 100 | 40 | BRW $3 / 3$ ' W OF PH 2 | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | COUNTRY VILLAGE WAY | 1 | 882170 | 100 | 40 | BRW 1/1' ${ }^{\text {N OF PS }}$ | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MOUNTAIN VIEW APTS | 2 | 1282010 | 100 | 40 | BRW $1 / 100$ ' W OF PS | 175 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MOUNTAIN VIEW APTS | 2 | 1282010 | 100 | 40 | BRW $2 / 100{ }^{\text {N }}$ OFP PS | 175 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MIDRIDGE CONDOS | 1 | 1392070 | 100 | 40 | BRW $1 / 55{ }^{\text {' NW OF PH }}$ | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MARLBOROUGH ESTATES | 3 | 1482010 | 100 | 40 | BRW $1 / 500$ ' SE OF PH | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MARLBOROUGH ESTATES | 3 | 1482010 | 100 | 40 | BRW $2 / 480^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 580 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | marlborough estates | 3 | 1482010 | 100 | 40 | BRW $3 / 483{ }^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 320 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ROLLING ACRES MHP | 2 | 1603010 | 100 | 40 | BRW $1 / \mathrm{INPS}$ | 150 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ROLLING ACRES MHP | 2 | 1603010 | 100 | 40 | BRW $2 / 135{ }^{\text {N }}$ N OF PS | 325 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Stanyan road | 4 | 1612270 | 100 | 40 | BRW $1 / 130^{\prime}$ NE OF PS | 550 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Stanyan road | 4 | 1612270 | 100 | 40 | BRW 2 /70' SSW OF PS | 265 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Stanyan road | 4 | 1612270 | 100 | 40 | BRW $3 / 200$ ' S OF PS | 295 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Stanyan road | 4 | 1612270 | 100 | 40 | BRW $4 / 250$ SSW OF PS | 265 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MEADOWVIEW APARTMENTS | 1 | 1752020 | 100 | 48 | BRW $1 / 45$ ' SW OF PH | 295 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HIGHLAND VILLAGE DISTRICT | 2 | 1762010 | 100 | 40 | BRW UE $2 / 600{ }^{\text {S }}$ S OF NEW PH | 760 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HIGHLAND VILLAGE DISTRICT | 2 | 1762010 | 100 | 40 | BRW UE $1 / 600{ }^{\prime}$ SE OF NEW PH | 660 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ASHUELOT RIVER APTS | 1 | 2302010 | 100 | 40 | BRW /250' NW OF STORAGE TANKS | 605 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/SPRUCE POND ESTS | 2 | 2542180 | 100 | 41 | BRW 1E/25' SE OF PH | 365 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ORCHARD HIGHLANDS | 1 | 912020 | 105 | 42 | BRW $1 / 20$ S OF PH | 810 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | TONEHENGE TRUST APARTMENT | 2 | 1392130 | 105 | 48 | BRW $1 / 700$ ' SW OF BLDG 23 | 364 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | TONEHENGE TRUST APARTMENT | 2 | 1392130 | 105 | 48 | BRW $2 / 145^{\prime}$ NE OF BLDG 23 | 252 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | balmoral condos | 1 | 2232060 | 105 | 42 | BRW $1 / 500{ }^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 140 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | VILLAGES OF WINDHAM | 2 | 2542070 | 105 | 42 | BRW $1 \mathrm{~S} / 410$ S OF LOWER PH | 505 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | VILLAGES OF WINDHAM | 2 | 2542070 | 105 | 42 | BRW 2N/ $534{ }^{\text {' }}$ N OF LOWER PH | 450 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | WIGGIN FARM WINTERBERRY | 3 | 2232180 | 108 | 43 | BRW 1 /MIDDLE $84{ }^{\text {' SE OF PH }}$ | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | WIGGIN FARM WINTERBERRY | 3 | 2232180 | 108 | 43 | BRW $2 / \mathrm{N} 83$ ' SE OF PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | WIGGIN FARM WINTERBERRY | 3 | 2232180 | 108 | 43 | BRW $3 / \mathrm{S}$ 183' SSE OF PH | 320 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | 175 ESTATES | 2 | 2342010 | 108 | 48 | BRW $1 / 32{ }^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 200 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | 175 ESTATES | 2 | 2342010 | 108 | 48 | BRW $2 / 46$ ' SE OF PH | 420 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | hadLeigh woods | 2 | 2542160 | 109 | 62 | BRW $1 / 475$ ' SW OF PH | 145 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | HADLEIGH WOODS | 2 | 2542160 | 109 | 62 | BRW $2 / 460^{\prime}$ SW OF PH | 125 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | NORTH LEDGE | 2 | 162050 | 110 | 44 | BRW $2 / 145$ ' NW OF PH 2 | 1045 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/NESENKEAG | 2 | 1392240 | 110 | 44 | BRW $2 / 280^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 1000 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/NESENKEAG | 2 | 1392240 | 110 | 44 | BRW $1 / 960$ NW OF PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GOLDEN HILL | 2 | 1932020 | 110 | 44 | BRW $2 / 97$ ' SE OF NE CNR OF PH | 1000 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GOLDEN HILL | 2 | 1932020 | 110 | 44 | BRW $1 / 67$ ' SE OF NE CNR OF PH | 605 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | RIVERVIEW MANOR CONDOS | 2 | 1972020 | 110 | 46 | BRW $1 / 68{ }^{\text {' W O O PH }}$ | 260 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | RIVERVIEW MANOR CONDOS | 2 | 1972020 | 110 | 46 | BRW $2 / 43^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 240 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WIndham terrace | 1 | 2544020 | 110 | 1 | BRW $1 / 140{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{NE}$ OF PH | 405 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | THE VINEYARDS | 2 | 2232190 | 111 | 74 | BRW $1 / 250$ S OF PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | THE VINEYARDS | 2 | 2232190 | 111 | 74 | BRW $2 / 260$ ' SE OF PH | 200 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |



| Fire Protection | System Name | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Groundwate } \\ \text { r Sources } \end{gathered}$ | EPAID \# | Population | Service Connections | Source Desription | Well Depth <br> (ft) | 2010 Replacement Cost |  | 20 yrs/ Service Life |  | Total 20-Year Component Replacement Cost |  | Annual Need |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$NULL | PENINSULA AT WINDING BROOK | 2 | 2232040 | 128 | 51 | BRW 1/44' W OF PH | 340 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PENINSULA AT WINDING BROOK | 2 | 2232040 | 128 | 51 | BRW $2 / 45^{\prime}$ S OF PH | 340 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WHITTEMORE SHORES | 3 | 292010 | 130 | 52 | BRW 3 /950' SE OF PH | 700 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WHITTEMORE SHORES | 3 | 292010 | 130 | 52 | BRW $1 / 120{ }^{\text {S }}$ S OF PH | 1000 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WHITTEMORE SHORES | 3 | 292010 | 130 | 52 | BRW $2 / 300{ }^{\text {S }}$ S OF PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MAPLEVALE AND CRICKET HILL | 2 | 702030 | 130 | 70 | BRW $2 / 320{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{OF} \mathrm{PH}$ | 640 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GILFORD VILLAGE WATER DIST | 3 | 881010 | 130 | 36 | BRW $4 / 800{ }^{\text {S }}$ SSW OF PH | 553 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GILFORD VILLAGE WATER DIST | 3 | 881010 | 130 | 36 | BRW $2 / 75^{\prime}$ NE OF PS | 430 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GILFORD VILLAGE WATER DIST | 3 | 881010 | 130 | 36 | BRW $3 / 340$ E OF PS | 277 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | de Country village townhou | 2 | 1392030 | 130 | 53 | BRW $1 / 100{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ OF PH NORTH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | de Country village townhou | 2 | 1392030 | 130 | 53 | BRW $2 / 100$ SW OF PH/SOUTH | 355 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | JEU/FLETCHER CORNER ESTATE§ |  | 2542150 | 133 | 53 | BRW $2 / 1170$ SE OF PH | 1040 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CATES MPH | 1 | 203010 | 134 | 54 | BRW $1 / 35^{\prime}$ N OFPS | 365 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ATTITASH WOODS CONDOS | 2 | 162300 | 135 | 54 | BRW $1 / 105{ }^{\text {N }}$ N OF PH | 200 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ATTITASH WOODS CONDOS | 2 | 162300 | 135 | 54 | BRW $2 / 5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 225 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | dANIELS ACRES | 2 | 753020 | 136 | 54 | BRW 2 /IN VAULT $20{ }^{\prime}$ SE OF 18 BRALEY DR | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | daniels Acres | 2 | 753020 | 136 | 54 | BRW $3 / 35^{\prime}$ E OF NE CNR PH | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SCOTCH PINE MHP | 1 | 1403010 | 137 | 55 | BRW $1 / 3$ SW OF PS | 485 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | EAGLES REST MHP | 2 | 62010 | 138 | 55 | BRW 2 /53' S OF SE CNR OF PH | 1408 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | EAGLES REST MHP | 2 | 62010 | 138 | 55 | BRW $1 / 33^{\prime}$ NNE OF PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GUNSTOCK GLEN WATER | 1 | 882090 | 138 | 55 | BRW /IN PH | 360 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BROOKSIDE CROSSING | 2 | 882180 | 138 | 55 | BRW $1 / 65^{\prime}$ NE OF PS | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | brookside crossing | 2 | 882180 | 138 | 55 | BRW $2 / 90^{\prime}$ NW OF PS | 1000 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | TAMWORTH PINES | 2 | 2313020 | 138 | 55 | BRW $1 / 300{ }^{\text {E }}$ E OF PH SOUTH | 680 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ATKINSON WOODS | 3 | 112100 | 140 | 56 | BRW $3 / 220{ }^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ATKINSON WOODS | 3 | 112100 | 140 | 56 | BRW $1 / 200{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{OF} \mathrm{PH}$ | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ATKINSON WOODS | 3 | 112100 | 140 | 56 | BRW $2 / 220{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | IRON WHEEL MHP | 2 | 583020 | 140 | 56 | BRW $1 / 73^{\prime}$ NE OF PS | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | IRON WHEEL MHP | 2 | 583020 | 140 | 56 | BRW $2 / 620$ SW OF PS | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HI AND LO ESTATES | 2 | 612140 | 140 | 56 | BRW $4 / 334^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Hi And lo estates | 2 | 612140 | 140 | 56 | BRW $2 / 100{ }^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LAMPLIGHTER ESTATES | 2 | 1272030 | 140 | 56 | BRW $1 / 40$ ' S OF PH | 685 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LAMPLIGHTER ESTATES | 2 | 1272030 | 140 | 56 | BRW $2 / 60$ S OF PH | 685 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HILL TOP | 2 | 1973050 | 140 | 56 | BRW $4 / 50$ SW OF PH | 620 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HILL TOP | 2 | 1973050 | 140 | 56 | BRW $2 / 180$ ' NE OF PS | 240 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HIGH MOWING SCHOOL | 2 | 2525010 | 140 | 9 | BRW 2 /20' SE ENTRANCE TO BOYS DORM | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 |  | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HIGH MOWING SCHOOL | 2 | 2525010 | 140 | 9 | BRW 1 WELL HOUSE BY GYM | 580 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 |  | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | NORTH COUNTRY VILLAGE | 2 | 2373010 | 143 | 57 | BRW $3 / 450{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 1035 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | NORTH COUNTRY VILLAGE | 2 | 2373010 | 143 | 57 | BRW $1 / \mathrm{IN}$ PS | 180 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | meadowbrook | 2 | 612120 | 145 | 59 | BRW $3 / 305{ }^{\text {N }}$ N OF PH | 603 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | meadowbrook | 2 | 612120 | 145 | 59 | BRW $2 / 300{ }^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 250 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MOUNTAIN VIEW HOUSING | 2 | 883030 | 148 | 59 | BRW $4 / 25^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 380 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MOUNTAIN VIEW HOUSING | 2 | 883030 | 148 | 59 | BRW $3 / 30^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 430 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | IORTHWOOD MOUNTAIN VIEW MH | 2 | 1793030 | 148 | 59 | BRW $1 / 50$ SW OF PH | 477 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | IORTHWOOD MOUNTAIN VIEW MH | 2 | 1793030 | 148 | 59 | BRW $2 / 135{ }^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 614 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | OAK HILL | 2 | 432020 | 150 | 60 | BRW $1 / 55$ SW OF PH | 912 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | OAK HILL | 2 | 432020 | 150 | 60 | BRW 2 /2050' SE OF PH | 730 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WOODLAND AT DERRY | 2 | 612160 | 150 | 60 | BRW $1 / 110{ }^{\prime}$ SW OF PH | 550 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WOODLAND AT DERRY | 2 | 612160 | 150 | 60 | BRW $2 / 150$ ' W OF PH | 550 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | FRANCESTOWN VILLAGE WATER | 2 | 831010 | 150 | 60 | BRW $2 / 50$ S OF PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | FRANCESTOWN VILLAGE WATER | 2 | 831010 | 150 | 60 | BRW 1 /ON QUINN PROPERTY | 370 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | DOCKHAM SHORES ESTATES | 2 | 882190 | 150 | 60 | BRW $1 / 50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ OF BRW 2 | 295 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |


| Fire Protection | System Name | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Groundwate } \\ \text { r Sources } \end{gathered}$ | EPA ID \# | Population | Service Connections | Source Desription | Well Depth <br> (ft) | 2010 Replacement Cost |  | 20 yrs / Service Life |  | Total 20-Year Component Replacement Cost |  | Annual Need |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$NULL | DOCKHAM SHORES ESTATES | 2 | 882190 | 150 | 60 | BRW $2 / 1000$ ' W OF PH | 290 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | deer meadows | 2 | 1193030 | 150 | 60 | BRW $1 / 210{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{NE}$ OF PH | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | deer meadows | 2 | 1193030 | 150 | 60 | BRW $2 / 43^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 900 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | DUSTIN HOMESTEAD | 1 | 2002030 | 150 | 60 | BRW $4 / 500{ }^{\prime}$ NNW OF PH | 250 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | StRATHAM GREEN CONDOS | 3 | 2232050 | 150 | 60 | BRW $3 / 163^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 352 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | StRATHAM GREEN CONDOS | 3 | 2232050 | 150 | 60 | BRW 1N/42' E OF PH | 460 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | StRATHAM GREEN CONDOS | 3 | 2232050 | 150 | 60 | BRW $2 \mathrm{~S} / 48{ }^{\text {' SE OF PH }}$ | 260 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | + | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | burnhaven | 2 | 2232160 | 150 | 60 | BRW $1 / 160^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 180 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | burnhaven | 2 | 2232160 | 150 | 60 | BRW $2 / 180^{\circ} \mathrm{NE}$ OF PH | 160 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PLEASANT LAKE MHP/LOWER | 1 | 2413020 | 150 | 32 | BRW /10' S OF PH | 98 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | 'ILLSBURY LAKE/FRANKLIN PIERC | 2 | 2462040 | 150 | 60 | BRW $7 / 187^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{OF} \mathrm{PH}$ | 1060 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | 'ILLSBURY LAKE/FRANKLIN PIERC | 2 | 2462040 | 150 | 60 | BRW $4 / 35^{\prime}$ W OF NEW PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WEDIKO SCHOOL | 3 | 2552010 | 150 | 20 | BRW $1 / 30$ ' SE OF DORM BLDG | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 |  | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WEDIKO SCHOOL | 3 | 2552010 | 150 | 20 | BRW $3 / 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF INFIRMARY PH | 280 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | + | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WEDIKO SCHOOL | 3 | 2552010 | 150 | 20 | BRW $4 / 10$ E OF SCHOOL PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SEASONS AT LAKE SUNAPEE | 2 | 1722010 | 153 | 63 | BRW 1/14' SW OF PH | 275 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | + | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SEASONS AT LAKE SUNAPEE | 2 | 1722010 | 153 | 63 | BRW 2 /81' SW OF PH | 250 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WOODLAND GROVE | 2 | 512130 | 155 | 62 | BRW $1 / \mathrm{IN}$ PH |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | woodland grove | 2 | 512130 | 155 | 62 | BRW 2 /40' SW OF PH | 185 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | dUBLIN CHRISTIAN ACADEMY | 2 | 664010 | 155 | 7 | BRW 1/70' W OF BOYS DORMITORY | 425 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | dUBLIN CHRISTIAN ACADEMY | 2 | 664010 | 155 | 7 | BRW 2 /GIRLS DORMITORY ANNEX BASEMENT | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | RAND SHEPARD HILL | 3 | 612230 | 158 | 63 | BRW $3 / 250$ SE OF PH | 145 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | RAND SHEPARD HILL | 3 | 612230 | 158 | 63 | BRW $1 / 170$ S OF PH | 305 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | RAND SHEPARD HILL | 3 | 612230 | 158 | 63 | BRW 2 /180' SE OF PH | 700 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Jonathans LANDING Condos | 3 | 1612170 | 158 | 63 | BRW $1 / 760^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 620 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Jonathans LANDING CONDOS | 3 | 1612170 | 158 | 63 | BRW $3 / 7000^{\text {E O OF PH }}$ | 620 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Jonathans LANDING CONDOS | 3 | 1612170 | 158 | 63 | BRW $2 / 730^{\circ} \mathrm{NE}$ OF PH | 620 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | AdAMS MOBILE HOME PARK | 2 | 2043010 | 158 | 63 | BRW $2 / 45^{\prime}$ NE OF REAR CNR PH | 250 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | AdAMS MOBILE HOME PARK | 2 | 2043010 | 158 | 63 | BRW $3 / 45^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 110 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SOUTH PARRISH | 2 | 2533010 | 158 | 63 | BRW $1 / 1000{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ OF PH | 70 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SOUTH PARRISH | 2 | 2533010 | 158 | 63 | BRW $2 / 1000{ }^{\text {E E OF PH }}$ | 100 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BIRCH HILL ESTATES | 3 | 2563010 | 159 | 106 | BRW $2 / 100$ S OF PS | 542 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BIRCH HILL ESTATES | 3 | 2563010 | 159 | 106 | BRW $3 / 120$ SE OF PS | 405 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | BIRCH HILL ESTATES | 3 | 2563010 | 159 | 106 | BRW $4 / 110^{\prime}$ SE OF PS | 410 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LEDGES AT NEWFOUND LAKE | 5 | 32010 | 160 | 64 | BRW $1 / 95{ }^{\text {' NW OF PH }}$ | 1010 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LEDGES AT NEWFOUND LAKE | 5 | 32010 | 160 | 64 | BRW $2 / 165^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 580 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LEDGES AT NEWFOUND LAKE | 5 | 32010 | 160 | 64 | BRW $3 / 45^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 800 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LEDGES AT NEWFOUND LAKE | 5 | 32010 | 160 | 64 | BRW $4 / 500{ }^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 483 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LEDGES AT NEWFOUND LAKE | 5 | 32010 | 160 | 64 | BRW $5 / 250{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{NE}$ OF PH |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/MINISTERIAL HILLS | 2 | 1392310 | 160 | 64 | BRW $1 / 550^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 685 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/MINISTERIAL HILLS | 2 | 1392310 | 160 | 64 | BRW $2 / 610^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 725 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/LAMPLIGHTER VILLAGE | 2 | 2542170 | 162 | 65 | BRW $2 / 550{ }^{\text {E E OF PH }}$ | 785 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/LAMPLIGHTER VILLAGE | 2 | 2542170 | 162 | 65 | BRW $1 / 450{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{NE}$ OF PH | 385 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WRIGHT FARM CONDOS | 2 | 112030 | 163 | 65 | BRW $2 / 65$ S OF PH | 505 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | S | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WRIGHT FARM CONDOS | 2 | 112030 | 163 | 65 | BRW $1 / 180$ SE OF PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | TOP NOTCH CONDOS | 2 | 162200 | 163 | 65 | BRW 1E/280' E OF PH | 378 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | TOP NOTCH CONDOS | 2 | 162200 | 163 | 65 | BRW 2E $2925^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ OF PH | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | bedFord water | 3 | 192010 | 163 | 65 | BRW $1 / 2{ }^{\text {S S OF PH }}$ | 540 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Stagecoach farms | 3 | 692020 | 164 | 52 | BRW $1 / 100$ SE OF PH | 601 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | StAGECOACH FARMS | 3 | 692020 | 164 | 52 | BRW $4 / 180{ }^{\text {' SSE OF PH }}$ | 800 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Stagecoach farms | 3 | 692020 | 164 | 52 | BRW $5 / 50$ ' NNW OF PH 2 | 480 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | IOUNTAINSIDE AT CROTCHED MTI | 2 | 212020 | 165 | 70 | BRW 1 /73' SW OF PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |


| Fire Protection | System Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# } \\ \text { Groundwate } \\ \text { r Sources } \end{gathered}$ | EPA ID \# | Population | Service Connections | Source Desription | Well Depth <br> (ft) | 2010 Replacement Cost |  | 20 yrs / Service Life |  | Total 20-Year Component Replacement Cost |  | Annual Need |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$NULL | IOUNTAINSIDE AT CROTCHED MTI | 2 | 212020 | 165 | 70 | BRW $2 / 160{ }^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | FREEDOM VILLAGE CONDOS | 2 | 862030 | 165 | 66 | BRW 1 /78' SSW OF PH | 377 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | FREEDOM VILLAGE CONDOS | 2 | 862030 | 165 | 66 | BRW $2 / 105{ }^{\text {S S O OF PH }}$ | 377 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WHIP O WILL | 2 | 1943010 | 165 | 66 | BRW $5 / 320{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{NW}$ OF BRW 4 PH | 540 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WHIP O WILL | 2 | 1943010 | 165 | 66 | BRW $4 / 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF BRW 4 PH | 1243 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MOUNTAINSIDE AT ATTITASH | 2 | 162210 | 167 | 61 | BRW $1 / 200$ SSE OF UNIT 11 PS/LOWER WELL | 753 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MOUNTAINSIDE AT ATTITASH | 2 | 162210 | 167 | 61 | BRW 2 /230' SE OF UNIT 11 PS /UPPER WELL | 689 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | GOODRICH PROPERTY | 2 | 162350 | 175 | 70 | BRW $1 / 3$ SW OF PH | 150 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | GOODRICH PROPERTY | 2 | 162350 | 175 | 70 | BRW $2 / 41 / 2 \mathrm{~L}$ SE OF PH | 283 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ERROL WATER WORKS/WEST | 3 | 781010 | 175 | 70 | BRW 3 /OUTSIDE NEW PH / EAST OF RIVER | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ERROL WATER WORKS/WEST | 3 | 781010 | 175 | 70 | BRW $1 / 30$ E OF LIBRARY | 90 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ERROL WATER WORKS/WEST | 3 | 781010 | 175 | 70 | BRW 2 /IN BASEMENT OF TOWN HALL | 190 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/HARVEST VILLAGE | 2 | 1392290 | 175 | 70 | BRW $2 / 220{ }^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 425 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/HARVEST VILLAGE | 2 | 1392290 | 175 | 70 | BRW $3 / 215^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 725 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | THORNHILL CONDOS | 2 | 2232020 | 175 | 70 | BRW $1 / 90$ ' NW OF CONTROL BLDG | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | THORNHILL CONDOS | 2 | 2232020 | 175 | 70 | BRW $3 / 110$ S OF CONTROL BLDG | 585 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Shel al mobile estates | 2 | 1773020 | 177 | 71 | BRW $1 / 5$ ' S OF OFFICE/SINGLE FAMILY RENTAL | 350 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Shel al mobile estates | 2 | 1773020 | 177 | 71 | BRW $2 / 40$ ' W OF LUCK /RESIDENCE | 125 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WINDY ACRES | 2 | 413030 | 180 | 72 | BRW $2 / 110^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 320 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WINDY ACRES | 2 | 413030 | 180 | 72 | BRW $3 / 80^{\circ} \mathrm{NE}$ OF PH | 605 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SLOPE S Shore club | 1 | 1722020 | 180 | 73 | BRW /30' SW OF InN | 350 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | AUTUMN WOODS | 3 | 2052070 | 180 | 72 | BRW 3 /91' N OF PH | 1025 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | AUTUMN WOODS | 3 | 2052070 | 180 | 72 | BRW $1 / 175{ }^{\text {N }}$ N OF PH | 725 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | AUTUMN WOODS | 3 | 2052070 | 180 | 72 | BRW $4 / 1160^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 660 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | COLLINS LANDING | 2 | 2452040 | 180 | 72 | BRW 1/65' NE OF PH | 1208 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | COLLINS LANDING | 2 | 2452040 | 180 | 72 | BRW $2 / 50$ SW OF PH | 605 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/LIBERTY TREE ACRES | 2 | 1972010 | 183 | 72 | BRW $1 / 12$ ENE OF PS 2 REAR | 375 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/LIBERTY TREE ACRES | 2 | 1972010 | 183 | 72 | BRW $2 / 8{ }^{\text {' SSE OF PS } 2} 2$ FRONT | 625 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Cow hill wellhouse | 1 | 162160 | 185 | 74 | BRW $1 / 15$ NNE' OF PH LOWER | 200 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | DUBLIN SCH | 1 | 664020 | 185 | 19 | BRW $1 / 200{ }^{\text {E O O }}$ O HILL HOUSE IN PH | 115 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | VALLEY FIELD APTS NORTHLAND | 2 | 1932070 | 185 | 74 | BRW $3 / 605^{\prime} \mathrm{NW}$ OF PH | 495 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | VALLEY FIELD APTS NORTHLAND | 2 | 1932070 | 185 | 74 | BRW $1 / 274{ }^{\prime}$ W OFPH | 508 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WESTWIND ESTATES | 2 | 2003040 | 188 | 127 | BRW 1 /IN BLDG | 260 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WESTWIND ESTATES | 2 | 2003040 | 188 | 127 | BRW $2 / 4000^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 250 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Stoneford | 2 | 2082050 | 188 | 75 | BRW $1 \mathrm{~W} / 250 \mathrm{SW}$ OF PH | 305 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Stoneford | 2 | 2082050 | 188 | 75 | BRW $2 / 200{ }^{\text {S }}$ S OF PH | 505 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MONADNOCK TENANTS | 2 | 1993010 | 190 | 75 | BRW 2 /60' SW OF PH | 225 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MONADNOCK TENANTS | 2 | 1993010 | 190 | 75 | BRW $3 / 111$ ' S OF PH | 425 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | woodlands | 2 | 762120 | 195 | 78 | BRW $1 / 90{ }^{\text {S S OF PH }}$ | 360 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WOODLANDS | 2 | 762120 | 195 | 78 | BRW $2 / 180$ S OF PH | 440 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SALT RIVER CONDOS | 2 | 2232030 | 195 | 78 | BRW $1 / 40^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 240 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Salt river condos | 2 | 2232030 | 195 | 78 | BRW $2 / 45^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 140 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PItTSBURG WATER DEPT | 2 | 1901010 | 198 | 79 | ART $2 / 100{ }^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 105 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PItTSBURG WATER DEPT | 2 | 1901010 | 198 | 79 | ART $5 / 44$ ' S OF PH | 1000 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | DANVILLE FOUR SEASONS | 1 | 583050 | 200 | 121 | BRW $4 / 118$ ' SW OF NEW PS | 1100 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | IVERMORE MOBILE HOME VILLAG | 3 | 1163010 | 200 | 80 | BRW $1 / 6 \mathrm{~S}$ S OF SHORT ST PH | 550 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | IVERMORE MOBILE HOME VILLAG | 3 | 1163010 | 200 | 80 | BRW $2 / 88^{\prime}$ NW OF STRONG HOUSE 31 | 150 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | IVERMORE MOBILE HOME VILLAG | 3 | 1163010 | 200 | 80 | BRW $3 / 58$ ' S OF STRONG HOUSE 31 | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | the meadows | 2 | 1193010 | 200 | 80 | BRW $1 / 47$ ' S OF PH | 235 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | the meadows | 2 | 1193010 | 200 | 80 | BRW 2 /75' S OF PH | 235 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Pine knoll village | 2 | 1333020 | 200 | 80 | BRW $1 / 230^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{OF} \mathrm{PH}$ | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PINE KNOLL VILLAGE | 2 | 1333020 | 200 | 80 | BRW $2 / 210^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |


| Fire Protection <br> \$NULL | System Name | $\frac{$$\#$ <br>  Groundwate  <br>  r Sources }{2} | EPA ID \#$1612030$ | Population <br> 200 | Service Connections <br> 80 | Source Desription <br> BRW /50' WNW OF PH | Well Depth <br> (ft) <br> 906 | 2010 Replacement Cost |  | 20 yrs / Service Life |  | Total 20-Year Component Replacement Cost |  |  | Need |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CHALK POND WATER | 4 | 1652020 | 200 | 80 | BRW 2 /80' SE OF LOWER PH | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MOUNTAIN RIVER CONDOS EAST | 2 | 2342040 | 200 | 80 | BRW /100' SE OF PH | 610 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MOUNTAIN RIVER CONDOS EAST | 2 | 2342040 | 200 | 80 | BRW /40' N OF PH | 625 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SOUTH WEARE WATER | 3 | 2452030 | 200 | 80 | BRW $3 / 850^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF HOYT MILLS /UPPER PH | 1105 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SOUTH WEARE WATER | 3 | 2452030 | 200 | 80 | BRW $2 / 350^{\prime}$ NE OF HOYT MILLS /UPPER PH | 1206 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SOUTH WEARE WATER | 3 | 2452030 | 200 | 80 | BRW $1 / 100{ }^{\text {' NE OF }}$ HOYT MILLS /UPPER PH | 1025 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CORNERSTONE ESTATES | 2 | 2082060 | 202 | 81 | BRW $2 / 53^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 845 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Cornerstone estates | 2 | 2082060 | 202 | 81 | BRW $1 / 48$ ' NW OF PH | 785 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | THE GROUSE POINT CLUB | 2 | 1522090 | 203 | 81 | BRW 2 /335' NW OF WMS/NEAR TENNIS COURT | 526 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | the grouse point club | 2 | 1522090 | 203 | 81 | BRW 1 /62' N OF WATER METER SHED/WMS | 526 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/SUNRISE ESTATES | 3 | 1542030 | 203 | 81 | BRW $1 / 118^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 1000 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/SUNRISE ESTATES | 3 | 1542030 | 203 | 81 | BRW $2 / 33^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 440 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | hemlock haven | 2 | 1053020 | 207 | 83 | BRW $4 / 100{ }^{\text {NE O O P PH }}$ | 700 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | hemlock haven | 2 | 1053020 | 207 | 83 | BRW $3 / 10^{\prime}$ E OF NEW PH | 550 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HITE MOUNTAIN RESORT/GATEW, | 2 | 2342060 | 208 | 83 | BRW 1 IIN WELLHOUSE | 207 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HITE MOUNTAIN RESORT/GATEW, | 2 | 2342060 | 208 | 83 | BRW $2 / 25^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 275 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CARROLL COUNTY COMPLEX | 5 | 1844010 | 210 | 37 | BRW $2 / 350^{\prime}$ NW OF NEW PH | 1006 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CARROLL COUNTY COMPLEX | 5 | 1844010 | 210 | 37 | BRW 4000 ' W OF NEW PH | 315 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MONTROSE CONDOS | 2 | 2232070 | 210 | 84 | BRW $15 / 110^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ OF PH | 160 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MONTROSE CONDOS | 2 | 2232070 | 210 | 84 | BRW $2 \mathrm{~N} / 95^{\prime} \mathrm{NW}$ OF PH | 160 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LANCASTER FARMS | 2 | 2052030 | 213 | 85 | BRW $1 / 15^{\prime}$ ESE OF PH /LEAD WELL |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LANCASTER FARMS | 2 | 2052030 | 213 | 85 | BRW $2 / 50$ NNE OF PH/LAG WELL |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MOODY POINT | 3 | 1732010 | 215 | 86 | BRW $4 / 150{ }^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 510 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MOODY POINT | 3 | 1732010 | 215 | 86 | BRW $1 / 75^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ OF PH | 610 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MOODY POINT | 3 | 1732010 | 215 | 86 | BRW $3 / 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 607 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WESTVIEW PARK CONDOS | 2 | 1932030 | 215 | 86 | BRW $1 / 40$ SW OF PH | 350 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WESTVIEW PARK CONDOS | 2 | 1932030 | 215 | 86 | BRW $2 / 120$ S OF PH | 410 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HUDSON MOBILE HOME ESTS | 1 | 1203010 | 220 | 88 | BRW $2 / 125^{\prime}$ SW OF PS | 450 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GREAT BAY WATER SYS | 2 | 1732030 | 220 | 87 | BRW $1 / 840$ ' NE OF MAIN PH/LAG | 625 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GREAT BAY WATER SYS | 2 | 1732030 | 220 | 87 | BRW 3 /700' NE OF MAIN PH/LEAD | 625 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | Rodgers dev | 2 | 2353010 | 220 | 74 | BRW 2 /205' W OF PS | 153 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | RODGERS DEV | 2 | 2353010 | 220 | 74 | BRW 1 1/100' W OF PS | 305 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | THE INN AT SPRUCE WOOD | 2 | 694010 | 225 | 52 | BRW $1 / 320$ ' S OF PH | 650 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | THE INN AT SPRUCE WOOD | 2 | 694010 | 225 | 52 | BRW $2 / 315$ ' S OF PH | 640 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PATRICIAN SHORES | 2 | 1522010 | 225 | 90 | BRW $1 / \mathrm{IN}$ PH | 325 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PATRICIAN SHORES | 2 | 1522010 | 225 | 90 | BRW $2 / 70^{\prime}$ WNW OF PH | 550 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SPAULDING YOUTH CENTER | 4 | 1764010 | 225 | 11 | BRW $4 / 600$ S OF UPPER PH | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SPAULDING YOUTH CENTER | 4 | 1764010 | 225 | 11 | BRW 1 /IN WESTERLY PH/LOWER | 350 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SPAULDING YOUTH CENTER | 4 | 1764010 | 225 | 11 | BRW $2 / 150^{\prime}$ W OF LOWER PH | 625 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SPAULDING YOUTH CENTER | 4 | 1764010 | 225 | 11 | BRW $3 / 600{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH/LOWER | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | EPSOM HEALTHCARE CTR | 2 | 774010 | 226 | 2 | BRW $1 / 19$ ' NW OF HEALTHCARE CTR | 420 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | EPSOM HEALTHCARE CTR | 2 | 774010 | 226 | 2 | BRW 1 /8' S OF HEALTHCARE CTR | 1000 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | TENNEY BROOK II | 1 | 1942010 | 228 | 91 | BRW $1 / 40$ E OF PRIMARY PH | 650 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LENCLIFF HOME FOR THE ELDERI | 3 | 224010 | 230 | 11 | BRW $1 / 250$ ' SE OF ADM BLDG UPHILL | 1100 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LENCLIFF HOME FOR THE ELDERI | 3 | 224010 | 230 | 11 | BRW $2 / 250$ SE OF ADM BLDG DOWNHILL | 860 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LRMHV | 2 | 883040 | 233 | 93 | BRW $2 / 30 / 40$ E OF NEW EASTSIDE PH 002 | 250 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LRMHV | 2 | 883040 | 233 | 93 | BRW $3 / 10$ SW OF WESTSIDE PH 003 | 240 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ROCHESTER TERRACE | 3 | 2003020 | 233 | 93 | BRW $9 / 21$ ' SW OF Joshua st PH | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ROCHESTER TERRACE | 3 | 2003020 | 233 | 93 | BRW $6 / 125^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF ARROW ST PH | 860 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ROCHESTER TERRACE | 3 | 2003020 | 233 | 93 | BRW $7 / 275{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF ARROW ST PH | 1005 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | WHITE ROCK WATER | 3 | 262020 | 240 | 94 | BRW 1 /INSIDE LOWER PH | 430 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |


| Fire Protection | System Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# } \\ \text { Groundwate } \\ \text { r Sources } \end{gathered}$ | EPAID \# | Population | Service Connections | Source Desription | Well Depth <br> (ft) | 2010 Replacement Cost |  | 20 yrs / Service Life |  | Total 20-Year Component Replacement Cost |  |  | al Need |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$NULL | WHITE ROCK WATER | 3 | 262020 | 240 | 94 | BRW 2 /81' NW OF LOWER PH | 900 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | White rock water | 3 | 262020 | 240 | 94 | BRW $3 / 112$ ' SW OF ATM PH | 420 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SIX FLAGS MHP | 2 | 343020 | 240 | 96 | BRW 2 /95' NE OF PS | 100 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SIX FLAGS MHP | 2 | 343020 | 240 | 96 | BRW $3 / 200{ }^{\prime}$ NE OF PS | 100 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SACO WOODS CONDOS | 2 | 512250 | 240 | 96 | BRW $1 / 370{ }^{\prime}$ W OFP P | 325 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SACO WOODS CONDOS | 2 | 512250 | 240 | 96 | BRW $2 / 300{ }^{\prime}$ W OF PH | 363 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | OLDE TOWNE | 2 | 43020 | 243 | 97 | BRW $3 / 300$ ' NW OF NEW PH | 320 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | olde towne | 2 | 43020 | 243 | 97 | BRW 1 /ONLOT 7 | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LONGWOODS MHP | 2 | 603010 | 245 | 98 | BRW 1 /IN PS | 490 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LONGWOODS MHP | 2 | 603010 | 245 | 98 | BRW $2 / 133^{\prime}$ E OF PS | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | POND VIEW | 5 | 2303020 | 248 | 99 | BRW $1 / 420$ ' NE OF PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | POND VIEW | 5 | 2303020 | 248 | 99 | BRW $2 / 440$ ' NE OF PH | 236 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | POND VIEW | 5 | 2303020 | 248 | 99 | BRW $3 / 470$ ' NE OF PH | 210 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | POND VIEW | 5 | 2303020 | 248 | 99 | BRW $4 / 520$ ' NE OF PH | 147 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | POND VIEW | 5 | 2303020 | 248 | 99 | BRW 5 /100' SE OF PH | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Emerald acres | 3 | 153060 | 250 | 100 | BRW $3 / 27{ }^{\prime}$ W OF EMERALD DRIVE PH | 700 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Emerald acres | 3 | 153060 | 250 | 100 | BRW $4 / 60$ S OF EMERALD DRIVE PH | 700 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Emerald acres | 3 | 153060 | 250 | 100 | BRW 5 /187' SE OF EMERALD DRIVE PH | 260 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SUNRAY SHORES WATER DIST | 2 | 202020 | 250 | 100 | BRW $1 / 12^{\prime}$ NW OF NW CNR OF RELAY STATION | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SUNRAY SHORES WATER DIST | 2 | 202020 | 250 | 100 | BRW 2 /39' NE OF NW CNR OF RELAY STATION | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | REDFIELD ESTATES | 2 | 612080 | 250 | 100 | BRW $1 / 10{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 380 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | REDFIELD ESTATES | 2 | 612080 | 250 | 100 | BRW $4 / 1000$ ' SE OF POND | 440 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | OAKRIDGE CONDOS | 2 | 1392010 | 250 | 100 | BRW $3 / 501 / 2^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 925 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | OAKRIDGE CONDOS | 2 | 1392010 | 250 | 100 | BRW $2 / 821 / 2{ }^{\text {2 }}$ NE OFPH | 450 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/HARDWOOD HTS BIRCH HILL | 3 | 2542060 | 250 | 40 | BRW 5/ 345 ' S OF PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/HARDWOOD HTS BIRCH HILL | 3 | 2542060 | 250 | 40 | BRW $3 / 300$ ' SW OF PH | 202 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/HARDWOOD HTS BIRCH HILL | 3 | 2542060 | 250 | 40 | BRW $4 / 330$ SW OF PH | 800 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HIDden Valleymmason | 4 | 2372020 | 253 | 101 | BRW 5 /2168' NE OF UPPER PH | 792 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Hidden valley mason | 4 | 2372020 | 253 | 101 | BRW $2 \mathrm{~S} / 30$ ' SE OF LOWER PH | 1003 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HIDDEN VALLEY/MASON | 4 | 2372020 | 253 | 101 | BRW $1 / 5$ ' W OF UPPER PH/ORANGE | 1100 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | HIDden Valleymason | 4 | 2372020 | 253 | 101 | BRW 2M /145' E OF UPPER PH/GREEN | 700 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ROCKHOUSE MOUNTAIN | 3 | 512240 | 255 | 101 | BRW $2 / 10^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 1200 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ROCKHOUSE MOUNTAIN | 3 | 512240 | 255 | 101 | BRW $1 / 225^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 1050 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ROCKHOUSE MOUNTAIN | 3 | 512240 | 255 | 101 | BRW 3/200' W OF PH | 720 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GLEN RIDGE dev | 2 | 612070 | 255 | 102 | BRW $1 / 240{ }^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 295 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GLEN RIDGE dev | 2 | 612070 | 255 | 102 | BRW $2 / 200{ }^{\text {NE O }}$ OF PH | 295 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ESTER COLLEGE OF NEW ENGLA | 2 | 435020 | 260 | 5 | BRW $4 / 230^{\prime} \mathrm{NE} \mathrm{OF} \mathrm{PH}$ | 445 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ESTER COLLEGE OF NEW ENGLA | 2 | 435020 | 260 | 5 | BRW $3 / 85^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 585 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | VLS NEST GOLF CLUB AND CONDI | 2 | 2348110 | 261 | 37 | BRW $1 / 360$ SSE OF PH | 480 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | VLS NEST GOLF CLUB AND CONDI | 2 | 2348110 | 261 | 37 | BRW 2 /150' SSE OF PH | 620 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LOWER SHAKER VILLAGE | 2 | 753030 | 263 | 105 | BRW 2 /600' NE OF PS | 560 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LOWER SHAKER VILLAGE | 2 | 753030 | 263 | 105 | BRW $1 / 95^{\prime}$ NE OF PS | 360 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MERRYMEETING MHP | 2 | 63020 | 265 | 106 | BRW 2 /511' SE OF NEW PH | 58 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MERRYMEETING MHP | 2 | 63020 | 265 | 106 | BRW $1 / 130$ N OF NEW PH | 235 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | EAGLE RIDGE RESORT | 6 | 162400 | 270 | 108 | BRW $5 / 300{ }^{\text {S }}$ S OF PH | 305 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | EAGLE RIDGE RESORT | 6 | 162400 | 270 | 108 | BRW $6 / 350{ }^{\circ}$ SW OF PH | 305 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | EAGLE RIDGE RESORT | 6 | 162400 | 270 | 108 | BRW $4 / 130$ SW OF PH | 313 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | EAGLE RIDGE RESORT | 6 | 162400 | 270 | 108 | BRW $1 / 4{ }^{\text {S S OF PH }}$ | 250 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | EAGLE RIDGE RESORT | 6 | 162400 | 270 | 108 | BRW 3 /65' NW OF PH | 268 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | EAGLE RIDGE RESORT | 6 | 162400 | 270 | 108 | BRW $7 / 330$ ' S OF PH | 305 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | hollis Village market place | 2 | 1176010 | 272 | 41 | BRW $2 / 427^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF FIRE STATION/50' NNW OF PH | 1500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | hollis VILlage market place | 2 | 1176010 | 272 | 41 | BRW $1 / 400{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF FIRE STATION/35' W OF PH | 594 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fire } \\ \text { Protection } \end{gathered}$ | System Name | \# Groundwate r Sources | EPAID \# | Population | Service Connections | Source Desription | Well Depth <br> (ft) | 2010 Replacement Cost |  | 20 yrs/ Service Life |  | Total 20-Year Component Replacement Cost |  | Annual Need |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$NULL | LAKES REGION MHP COOP II | 2 | 203090 | 276 | 111 | BRW 6/1000' SE OF PH | 1040 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LAKES REGION MHP COOP II | 2 | 203090 | 276 | 111 | BRW $5 / 35^{\prime}$ N OF PH 2 | 685 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LAKEVIEW NEUROREHAB CTR | 2 | 732020 | 280 | 11 | BRW $1 / 65^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 670 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LAKEVIEW NEUROREHAB CTR | 2 | 732020 | 280 | 11 | BRW $2 / 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 638 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ROPEWALK SERVICES | 2 | 102010 | 290 | 124 | BRW $1 / 25$ SW OF PH | 658 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ROPEWALK SERVICES | 2 | 102010 | 290 | 124 | BRW $2 / 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 658 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LINDERHOF GOLF COURSE | 2 | 162070 | 295 | 118 | BRW $1 / 125$ ' SSW OF PH | 205 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LINDERHOF GOLF COURSE | 2 | 162070 | 295 | 118 | BRW $2 / 200{ }^{\text {S }}$ S OF PH | 505 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GLEN GARRY CONDOS | 2 | 2232010 | 298 | 119 | BRW $1 / 3$ SW OF PH | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GLEN GARRY CONDOS | 2 | 2232010 | 298 | 119 | BRW 3/25' W OF PH | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | CARDIGAN MOUNTAIN SCH | 2 | 354010 | 300 | 23 | BRW $2 / 400$ ' SW OF FIELD HOUSE | 525 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | CARDIGAN MOUNTAIN SCH | 2 | 354010 | 300 | 23 | BRW $1 / 210$ ' SSW OF FIELD HOUSE | 540 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | monroe water dept | 3 | 1591010 | 300 | 168 | BRW 1/ 290 ' S OF PH | 1000 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | NORDIC VILLAGE | 2 | 162270 | 315 | 126 | BRW 2 /130' SSW OF PH 1 | 377 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | NORDIC VILLAGE | 2 | 162270 | 315 | 126 | BRW 4 /148' SSW OF PH 1 /LOWER | 342 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Leisure village | 3 | 1973060 | 315 | 126 | BRW 3 /194' ESE OF PH | 603 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Leisure village | 3 | 1973060 | 315 | 126 | BRW $1 / 240^{\prime}$ ESE OF PH | 280 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Leisure village | 3 | 1973060 | 315 | 126 | BRW 2 /170' ESE OF PH | 280 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | mallards landing | 2 | 203080 | 325 | 130 | BRW $1 / 130{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{NE}$ OF PH | 605 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | mallards landing | 2 | 203080 | 325 | 130 | BRW $2 / 1200^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 280 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LAKEVIEW CONDOS | 2 | 752020 | 328 | 131 | BRW 1/199' NW OF BLDG 7 /UNIT 15 | 483 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LAKEVIEW CONDOS | 2 | 752020 | 328 | 131 | BRW 2 /90' S OF GRAVITY TANK | 420 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SAMOSET AT WINNIPESAUKEE | 2 | 882160 | 343 | 137 | BRW $1 / 25^{\prime}$ SW OF LOWER TENNIS COURT | 278 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SAMOSET AT WINNIPESAUKEE | 2 | 882160 | 343 | 137 | BRW 2 /75' SW OF UNIT 76 | 310 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Lost valley | 2 | 732030 | 350 | 72 | BRW 1/42' E OF PH | 270 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | Lost Valley | 2 | 732030 | 350 | 72 | BRW $2 / 42{ }^{\text {' W OF PH }}$ | 380 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | KINGStOWNE MHP | 2 | 773010 | 350 | 140 | BRW $4 / 50^{\prime} \mathrm{NOFPH}$ | 1220 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | KINGSTOWNE MHP | 2 | 773010 | 350 | 140 | BRW 3/50' S OF PH | 420 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ACKERMAN RETIREMENT PARK | 2 | 2053020 | 350 | 140 | BRW 3/35' W OF PS | 285 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | ACKERMAN RETIREMENT PARK | 2 | 2053020 | 350 | 140 | BRW $4 / 6{ }^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | FREEDOM HILL | 3 | 1403030 | 375 | 148 | BRW 5/ 1700' W OF PH | 720 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | freedom hill | 3 | 1403030 | 375 | 148 | BRW $3 / 1566^{\text {S }}$ S OF PH | 900 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | freedom hill | 3 | 1403030 | 375 | 148 | BRW $4 / 145^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 440 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | EXETER RIVER LANDING | 2 | 803030 | 380 | 259 | BRW $1 / 40^{\prime}$ NW OF PS | 140 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | EXETER RIVER LANDING | 2 | 803030 | 380 | 259 | BRW $2 / 25^{\prime}$ NW OF PS | 68 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CATAMOUNT HILL | 2 | 43040 | 383 | 153 | BRW 6/ 40 ' W OF MIDDLE PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CATAMOUNT HILL | 2 | 43040 | 383 | 153 | BRW $5 / 3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ OF MIDDLE PH | 505 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LAKELAND | 2 | 202010 | 388 | 155 | BRW $4 / 890$ S OF PH | 1140 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | COTTON FARMS MHP | 5 | 583030 | 400 | 158 | BRW $7 / 160^{\prime}$ NW OF WESLEY ST PH | 1005 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | COTTON FARMS MHP | 5 | 583030 | 400 | 158 | BRW $8 / 147{ }^{\text {' }}$ N OF MARY ST PH | 980 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | COTTON FARMS MHP | 5 | 583030 | 400 | 158 | BRW $9 / 43^{\prime}$ S OF MARY ST PH | 1000 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | COTTON FARMS MHP | 5 | 583030 | 400 | 158 | BRW $4 / 32{ }^{\text {' NW OF WESLEY ST PH }}$ | 505 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | COTTON FARMS MHP | 5 | 583030 | 400 | 158 | BRW $6 / 52$ SE OF WESLEY ST PH | 805 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PINE GARDENS MHP | 2 | 203040 | 413 | 165 | BRW $1 / 15^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 128 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PINE GARDENS MHP | 2 | 203040 | 413 | 165 | BRW $2 / 35^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 128 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PINELAND PARK | 2 | 1583010 | 425 | 170 | BRW $1 / 2000^{\prime}$ E OF PS | 638 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PINELAND PARK | 2 | 1583010 | 425 | 170 | BRW $2 / 125^{\prime}$ E OF PS | 565 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | TWIN RIDGE CONDOS | 4 | 1932050 | 430 | 108 | BRW $6 / 210^{\prime} \mathrm{NE}$ OF PH | 880 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | TWIN RIDGE CONDOS | 4 | 1932050 | 430 | 108 | BRW $7 / 600{ }^{\text {S }}$ S OF TWIN RIDGE PH | 298 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | TWIN RIDGE CONDOS | 4 | 1932050 | 430 | 108 | BRW 5 /104' SE OF PH | 780 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | TWIN RIDGE CONDOS | 4 | 1932050 | 430 | 108 | BRW $4 / 58{ }^{\prime}$ SE OF PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | THE SEASONS AT ATTITASH | 2 | 162240 | 440 | 177 | BRW $1 / 35$ SW OF PH | 222 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |


| Fire Protection | System Name | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Groundwate } \\ \text { r Sources } \end{gathered}$ | EPAID \# | Population | Service Connections | S Source Desription | Well Depth <br> (ft) | 2010 Replacement Cost |  | $20 \mathrm{yrs} /$ Service Life |  | Total 20-Year Component Replacement Cost |  | Annual Need |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$NULL | THE SEASONS AT ATTITASH | 2 | 162240 | 440 | 177 | BRW 2 /25' SW OF PH | 222 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | mittersill water dept | 2 | 841020 | 475 | 112 | BRW $2 / 110$ ' SSE OF STORAGE BLDGS | 418 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | mittersill water dept | 2 | 841020 | 475 | 112 | BRW $1 / 150$ ' SE OF STORAGE BLDGS | 980 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GREENVILLE ESTATES TENANTS | 2 | 993020 | 480 | 192 | BRW 4 /153' SE OF LOWER PH | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | GREENVILLE ESTATES TENANTS | 2 | 993020 | 480 | 192 | BRW 3 /75' SE OF LOWER PH | 150 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | CHESHIRE COUNTY COMPLEX | 1 | 2494010 | 480 | 7 | BRW $6 / 1500$ ' S OF MAIN BLDG | 400 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | PEU/W AND E | 3 | 2542030 | 498 | 199 | BRW $6 / 1270$ SW OF PH | 505 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | PEU/WHITE ROCK SENIOR LIVING | 2 | 262050 | 547 | 219 | BRW $1 / 40{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{NW}$ OF PH | 420 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | PEU/WHITE ROCK SENIOR LIVING | 2 | 262050 | 547 | 219 | BRW $2 / 57^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 360 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | CANAAN WATER DEPT | 1 | 351010 | 600 | 192 | BRW $1 / 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF TREATMENT FACILITY | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | NEW HAMPTON VILLAGE PCT | 1 | 1691010 | 600 | 125 | BRW 1/ 1240' SSW OF TREATMENT PLANT | 832 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SULLIVAN COUNTY COMPLEX | 2 | 2384010 | 625 | 6 | BRW DH6/4' N OF DH6 PH | 805 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | SULLIVAN COUNTY COMPLEX | 2 | 2384010 | 625 | 6 | BRW /1000' NW OF DH5 PUMPHOUSE | 730 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | MOUNTAIN LAKES WATER DEPT | 2 | 1101050 | 653 | 315 | BRW $4 / 320^{\prime}$ N OF PS | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | NORTHWOOD RIDGE WATER DIST | 2 | 1792030 | 688 | 55 | BRW $1 / 205$ SW OF PS | 225 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | NORTHWOOD RIDGE WATER DIST | 2 | 1792030 | 688 | 55 | BRW $2 / 170{ }^{\prime}$ NE OF PS | 265 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | FRANCONIA VILLAGE WATER | 5 | 841010 | 750 | 300 | COAL HILL BRW /15' FROM RESERVOIR /GALE | 350 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | FRANCONIA VILLAGE WATER | 5 | 841010 | 750 | 300 | OWE BRW /6' N FROM RESERVOIR BLDG 1 ENT | 284 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | FRANCONIA VILLAGE WATER | 5 | 841010 | 750 | 300 | HOWE SPR $1 / 3$ REAR OF RESERVOIR 1 | 3 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | FRANCONIA VILLAGE WATER | 5 | 841010 | 750 | 300 | MAGOWAN SPR /3' REAR OF RESERVOIR 2 | 3 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LAKE SHORE PARK | 3 | 882150 | 790 | 316 | BRW $3 / 220$ SW OF AMST TANK/PH | 520 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LAKE Shore Park | 3 | 882150 | 790 | 316 | BRW $2 / 40^{\prime}$ NW OF AMST TANK/PH | 530 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | LAKE Shore Park | 3 | 882150 | 790 | 316 | BRW $1 / 60^{\prime}$ NW OF AMST TANK /PH | 405 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CENTURY VILLAGE CONDOS | 2 | 1392180 | 875 | 350 | BRW $5 / 350$ S OF PS | 1000 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | CENTURY VILLAGE CONDOS | 2 | 1392180 | 875 | 350 | BRW $1 / 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PS | 485 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | DREW WOODS | 6 | 612150 | 980 | 392 | BRW $7 / 437{ }^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 700 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | DREW WOODS | 6 | 612150 | 980 | 392 | BRW $6 / 310^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 880 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | DREW WOODS | 6 | 612150 | 980 | 392 | BRW $1 / 90^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 700 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | DREW WOODS | 6 | 612150 | 980 | 392 | BRW $4 / 100^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{OF} \mathrm{PH}$ | 390 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| \$NULL | DREW WOODS | 6 | 612150 | 980 | 392 | BRW $3 / 120{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{NE}$ OF PH | 660 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | OTCHED MOUNTAIN REHAB CENT | 3 | 972010 | 1050 | 22 | BRW $13 / 600{ }^{\prime}$ NE OF WELLHOUSE 13/14 | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | OTCHED MOUNTAIN REHAB CENT | 3 | 972010 | 1050 | 22 | BRW $14 / 60^{\prime}$ NW OF WELLHOUSE 13/14 | 1420 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | OTCHED MOUNTAIN REHAB CENT | 3 | 972010 | 1050 | 22 | BRW $8 / 375^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF WELLHOUSE 8 | 560 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | /ILLAGE DISTRICT OF EIDELWEISS | 3 | 1461010 | 1050 | 420 | BRW $1 / 280 '$ NE OF MUDDY BEACH PS | 188 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | /ILLAGE DISTRICT OF EIDELWEISS | 3 | 1461010 | 1050 | 420 | BRW $2 / 360^{\prime}$ NE OF MUDDY BEACH PS | 423 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | ENFIELD WATER DEPT | 4 | 751010 | 1145 | 458 | PRIOR WELL $2 / 30^{\prime}$ NW OF PS 2 |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | TROY WATER WORKS | 5 | 2361010 | 1200 | 461 | BRW $4 / 50^{\prime}$ S OF STORAGE TANK | 703 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | TROY WATER WORKS | 5 | 2361010 | 1200 | 461 | BRW $3 / 220{ }^{\prime}$ NE OF Storage tank | 741 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | emerald lake | 8 | 1141020 | 1300 | 520 | MARY ROWE WELL /220 NE OF PH | 403 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | emerald lake | 8 | 1141020 | 1300 | 520 | BRW 4 /MEETING HOUSE WELL 50 S OF PS | 254 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | emerald lake | 8 | 1141020 | 1300 | 520 | BRW 6 /PATTEN HILL W OF ATMOS STORAGE | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | emerald lake | 8 | 1141020 | 1300 | 520 | BRW 1 /HUMMINGBIRD LN | 306 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | emerald lake | 8 | 1141020 | 1300 | 520 | 3RW 7 /PATTEN HILL 20 SW OF CONTROL BLDC | 200 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | emerald lake | 8 | 1141020 | 1300 | 520 | BRW 8 /PATTEN HILL $27^{\prime}$ E OF CONTROL BLDG | 200 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | emerald lake | 8 | 1141020 | 1300 | 520 | BRW 9 /PATTEN HILL 145' E OF CONTROL BLDG | 560 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | GUNSTOCK ACRES VILLAGE DIST | 10 | 881020 | 1425 | 570 | BRW 7B /220' S OF PH | 620 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | GUNSTOCK ACRES VILLAGE DIST | 10 | 881020 | 1425 | 570 | BRW $1 / 80^{\prime}$ NE OF PS 1 | 150 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | GUNSTOCK ACRES VILLAGE DIST | 10 | 881020 | 1425 | 570 | BRW 1C /50' ESE OF PS 1 | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | GUNSTOCK ACRES VILLAGE DIST | 10 | 881020 | 1425 | 570 | BRW 1B /35' NE OF PS 1 | 1010 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | WHITEFIELD WATER | 5 | 2501010 | 1450 | 580 | BRW $4 / 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF BRAY HILL PS | 640 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | FRANKLIN PIERCE UNIVERSITY | 4 | 1994010 | 1600 | 18 | BRW 13/ 620' E OF PH | 640 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | FRANKLIN PIERCE UNIVERSITY | 4 | 1994010 | 1600 | 18 | BRW $8 / 330$ S OF STORAGE TANK | 1100 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |


| Fire Protection <br> Y | System Name | $\#$ <br> Groundwate <br> r Sources4 | EPAID \# <br> 1994010 | Population <br> 1600 | Service Connections <br> 18 | Source Desription <br> BRW 9 /SERENTIY WELL 30' S OF PC BLDG | Well Depth <br> (ft) <br> 480 | 2010 Replacement Cost |  | 20 yrs/ Service Life |  | Total 20-Year Component Replacement Cost |  | Annual Need |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | PARADISE SHORES | 2 | 1612010 | 1881 | 753 | BRW 6 /W OF UPR PH 250' SW ACCESS RD 360' | 650 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | PEU/LOCKE LAKE | 7 | 142010 | 2083 | 836 | BRW $14 / 300{ }^{\text {S S PEACHAM RD PH }}$ | 704 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | PEU/LOCKE LAKE | 7 | 142010 | 2083 | 836 | BRW $13 / 120$ E PEACHAM RD | 700 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | PEU/LOCKE LAKE | 7 | 142010 | 2083 | 836 | BRW $15 / 563$ ' SW OF PH | 662 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | PEU/LOCKE LAKE | 7 | 142010 | 2083 | 836 | BRW $3 / 195$ ' SE OF PS 1 GOLF COURSE | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | PEU/LOCKE LAKE | 7 | 142010 | 2083 | 836 | BRW $9 / 265{ }^{\text {' S OF PS } 1 \text { GOLF COURSE }}$ | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | Peu/Locke lake | 7 | 142010 | 2083 | 836 | BRW $10 / 2500$ ' NNW OF PS 2 /AIRSTRIP | 563 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | WALNUT RIDGE/BRYANT WOODS | 13 | 112080 | 2650 | 1059 | BRW $17 / 100{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH/JAMESON RIDGE | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 |  | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | WALNUT RIDGE/BRYANT WOODS | 13 | 112080 | 2650 | 1059 | BRW $6 / 320$ SW OF OLD VILLAGE RD PH | 418 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | WALNUT RIDGE/BRYANT WOODS | 13 | 112080 | 2650 | 1059 | BRW $7 / 300$ ' S OF OLD VILLAGE RD PH | 341 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 |  | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | WALNUT RIDGE/BRYANT WOODS | 13 | 112080 | 2650 | 1059 | BRW $9 / 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF MIDPOINT PH | 800 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | WALNUT RIDGE/BRYANT WOODS | 13 | 112080 | 2650 | 1059 | BRW 13/218' E OF COGSWELL WELL FIELD PH | 420 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 |  | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | WALNUT RIDGE/BRYANT WOODS | 13 | 112080 | 2650 | 1059 | 3RW $14 / 464$ ' SE OF COGSWELL WELL FIELD Pr | 600 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | WALNUT RIDGE/BRYANT WOODS | 13 | 112080 | 2650 | 1059 | BRW $11 / 650^{\prime}$ E OF MIDPOINT PH | 445 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | WALNUT RIDGE/BRYANT WOODS | 13 | 112080 | 2650 | 1059 | BRW 12 /620' NE OF MIDPOINT PH | 420 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | WALNUT RIDGE/BRYANT WOODS | 13 | 112080 | 2650 | 1059 | BRW $1 / 50$ ' SSW OF BRYANT WOODS PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | WALNUT RIDGE/BRYANT WOODS | 13 | 112080 | 2650 | 1059 | BRW $3 / 300$ ' SW OF BRYANT WOODS PH | 500 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | + | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| N | WALNUT RIDGE/BRYANT WOODS | 13 | 112080 | 2650 | 1059 | BRW $4 / 350$ ' NE OF BRYANT WOODS PH | 550 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | HAMPSTEAD AREA WATER | 15 | 1031010 | 2723 | 1158 | BRW $10 / 555$ ' NE OF BARTLETT BROOK PH | 800 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | hampstead area water | 15 | 1031010 | 2723 | 1158 | BRW $9 / 400{ }^{\prime}$ NE OF BARTLETT BROOK PH | 800 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | hampstead area water | 15 | 1031010 | 2723 | 1158 | BRW $13 / 310$ SW OF ANGLE POND PH | 1000 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | hampstead area water | 15 | 1031010 | 2723 | 1158 | BRW $8 / 39^{\prime}$ NE OF BARTLETT BROOK PH | 900 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | hampstead area water | 15 | 1031010 | 2723 | 1158 | BRW $11 / 200{ }^{\prime}$ WSW OF PUTNAM PLACE PH | 660 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | hampstead area water | 15 | 1031010 | 2723 | 1158 | BRW $12 / 80{ }^{\prime}$ NE OF PH/EAST WOOD PLACE PH | 360 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | hampstead area water | 15 | 1031010 | 2723 | 1158 | BRW $14 / 580^{\prime}$ E OF ANGLE POND PH | 340 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | hampstead area water | 15 | 1031010 | 2723 | 1158 | BRW $4 / 70$ SE OF TANGLEWOOD PH | 295 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | hampstead area water | 15 | 1031010 | 2723 | 1158 | BRW 5 /LOT 72C /200' SW OF 4 PH/WOODLAND | 225 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | hampstead area water | 15 | 1031010 | 2723 | 1158 | BRW 6 /LOT 28C /200' SW OF 5 PH/WOODLAND | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | hampstead area water | 15 | 1031010 | 2723 | 1158 | BRW $7 / 200{ }^{\text {' NE OF PIT HATCH/WOODLAND }}$ | 300 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | HAMPSTEAD AREA WATER | 15 | 1031010 | 2723 | 1158 | BRW 1 KENT FARM / $180^{\prime}$ ' WNW OF PH | 200 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
| Y | hampstead area water | 15 | 1031010 | 2723 | 1158 | BRW 3 KENT FARM $/ 400^{\prime}$ NNW OF PH | 297 | \$ | 45,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 36,000 | \$ | 1,800 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | minimum maximum average | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 1600 \\ 481 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fire } \\ \text { Protection } \end{gathered}$ | System Name | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Groundwater } \\ \text { Sources } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { r SURFACE_S } \\ \text { OURCES } \end{gathered}$ | EPAID \# | Population | Service Connections | S Source Desription | Well Depth <br> (ft) | 2010 <br> Replacement Cost |  | Service | Screen Redevelopment | Total 20-Year Component Replacement Cost | Annual Need |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$NULL | FRENCH POND ESTATES | 1 | 0 | 1102020 | 25 | 10 | DUG /60' SE OF PH | 0 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | PITARYS MOBILE HOME PARK/EAST | 1 | 0 | 1173010 | 90 | 36 | dug $1 / E A S T$ SIDE OF MHP /IN PH | 20 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | PITARYS MOBILE HOME PARKWEST | 1 | 0 | 1173020 | 135 | 54 | DUG 2 / WEST SIDE OF MHP /IN PH | 20 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | EAGLE BROOK | 2 | 0 | 1212130 | 53 | 21 | GRW $1 / 45$ 'SSW OF PH | 80 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | EAgle brook | 2 | 0 | 1212130 | 53 | 21 | GRW $2 / 110^{\prime}$ NW OFP PH | 76 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | anns LANDING | 1 | 0 | 1272020 | 63 | 21 | GPW $1 / 70^{\circ} \mathrm{NOFPS}$ | 16 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| Y | LINCOLN WATER WORKS | 7 | 2 | 1351010 | 2750 | 1800 | Cold spr grw group | 0 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| Y | LINCOLN WATER WORKS | 7 | 2 | 1351010 | 2750 | 1800 | GRW $1 /$ COLD SPR GROUP/260' NOF CS PH | 21 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| Y | LINCOLN WATER WORKS | 7 | 2 | 1351010 | 2750 | 1800 | GRW 2 /COLD SPR GROUP/155' NOF CS PH | 18 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| Y | LINCOLN WATER WORKS | 7 | 2 | 1351010 | 2750 | 1800 | GRW 3/COLD SPR GROUP/105' N OF CS PH | 19 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| Y | LINCOLN WATER WORKS | 7 | 2 | 1351010 | 2750 | 1800 | GRW $4 /$ COLD SPR GROUP/40' NOF CS PH | 17 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| Y | LINCOLN WATER WORKS | 7 | 2 | 1351010 | 2750 | 1800 | GRW $5 /$ COLD SPR GROUP/35' S OF CS PH | 14 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| Y | LINCOLN WATER WORKS | 7 | 2 | 1351010 | 2750 | 1800 | GRW $6 /$ COLD SPR GROUP/90' S OF CS PH | 17 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| Y | SILVER LAKE LANDING SR Housing | 1 | , | 1462040 | 25 | 20 | DUG/250' W Of bldg | 12 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| Y | MONROE WATER DEPT | 3 | 0 | 1591010 | 300 | 168 | GRW $2 / 81$ ' S OFPH | 40 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | CHALK POND WATER | 4 | 0 | 1652020 | 200 | 80 | GPW $1 / 380$ ' NE OF LOWER PH | 35 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| Y | NEWFIELDS VLG Water and sewer | 4 | 0 | 1681010 | 500 | 175 | GPW $1 /$ IN PS 1 | 26 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| Y | NEWFIELDS VLG WATER AND SEWER | 4 | 0 | 1681010 | 500 | 175 | GPW 2 IN PS 2 VAULT | 45 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| Y | NEWFIELDS VLG WATER AND SEWER | 4 | 0 | 1681010 | 500 | 175 | GPW 4/40' WNW OF PS VAULT | 45 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| snull | VAILLANCOURT MOBILE HOME PARK | 2 | 0 | 1713010 | 112 | 50 | GPW $/ 40{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{W}$ OFP PH | 35 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | VAILLANCOURT MOBILE Home park | 2 | 0 | 1713010 | 112 | 50 | GPW /3' NE OFPH | 55 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | Cary and allen st dev | 1 | 0 | 1742010 | 43 | 17 | GPW /110' E OF RTE 10 IN PH VAULT | 82 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | bluffs at ossipee lake | 2 | 0 | 1842010 | 258 | 103 | 3PW $1 / 24$ SE OF BEACH SOURCE SMPLG BLDC | 27 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | bluff at ossipee lake | 2 | 0 | 1842010 | 258 | 103 | iPW $2 / 60^{\prime}$ SSE OF BEACH SOURCE SMPLG BLD | 27 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NuLL | INDIAN MOUND SHOPPING CENTER | 2 | 0 | 1842020 | 40 | 16 | GPW $2 / 12$ SW OFPS | 49 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NuLL | INDIAN MOUND SHOPPING CENTER | 2 | 0 | 1842020 | 40 | 16 | GPW 1/44' SE OF PS | 49 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NuLL | INDIAN MOUND GOLF CLUB | 2 | 0 | 1842030 | 225 | 90 | GPW 1/12'EOFPH | 50 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | deer cove water | 2 | 0 | 1842060 | 123 | 49 | GPW 1/495' N OFPH | 61 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | deer cove water | 2 | 0 | 1842060 | 123 | 49 | GPW $2 / 560^{\prime} \mathrm{NOFPH}$ | 58 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | OSSIPEE MOUNTAINS ESTATES | 2 | 0 | 1843010 | 255 | 102 | GPW 1/30'W OF THE VIKING RD PH 1 | 60 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | OSSIPEE MOUNTAINS ESTATES | 2 | 0 | 1843010 | 255 | 102 | GPW 2 I70' SW OF THE VIKING RD PH1 | 60 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | SANDY RIDGE Estates | 2 | 0 | 1843020 | 125 | 50 | GRW $1 / 67^{\prime}$ N OF NW CNR OF NEW PH | 78 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | SANDY RIDGE ESTATES | 2 | 0 | 1843020 | 125 | 50 | GRW $2 / 155^{\prime}$ NE OF NW CNR OF NEW PH | 74 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$null | CARROLL COUNTY COMPLEX | 5 | 0 | 1844010 | 210 | 37 | dug $1 / 200{ }^{\text {NW O }}$ Of OLD RES | 20 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | CARROLL COUNTY COMPLEX | 5 | 0 | 1844010 | 210 | 37 | dUG $2 / 400{ }^{\text {NW O }}$ OF OLD RES | 20 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | CARROLL COUNTY COMPLEX | 5 | 0 | 1844010 | 210 | 37 | DUG $3 / 500{ }^{\text {NW O }}$ OF OLD RES | 20 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| N | SIMPSON MILL ROAD | 1 | 0 | 1852090 | 35 | 14 | GPW $2 / 112{ }^{\prime}$ W OF PH | 27 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| , | PLAINFIELD VILLAGE WATER DIST | 2 | 0 | 1921010 | 248 | 100 | MOORE WELL B /227' NE OF PH | 83 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| Y | PLAINFIELD VILLAGE WATER DIST | 2 | 0 | 1921010 | 248 | 100 | MOORE WELL A $2000^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 83 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | PARADISE ESTATES | 1 | 0 | 2003030 | 405 | 162 | DUG PAIR / 100 ' SE OF PS | 15 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NuLL | HAWTHORNE VILLAGE | 1 | 0 | 2032010 | 55 | 22 | GRW $2 / 500$ ' W OF PH | 265 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NuLL | BOW LAKE ESTATES | 2 | 0 | 2212010 | 95 | 41 | GRW / 145' SW PH/WHITE PVC CASING | 19 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NuLL | EAStrield crossing | 2 | , | 2302040 | 113 | 45 | GPW $1 / 840{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ OF PH | 18 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NuLL | EAStrield crossing | 2 |  | 2302040 | 113 | 45 | GPW $2 / 690{ }^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 21 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NuLL | PINE GROVE MOBILE HOME PARK | 2 | 0 | 2303010 | 305 | 122 | GPW $2 / 420$ ' SW OF LOT 116 | 22 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NuLL | PINE GROVE MOBILE HOME PARK | 2 | 0 | 2303010 | 305 | 122 | GPW 1/350' SW OF LOT 116 | 32 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | TAMWORTH WATER WORKS | 1 | 0 | 2311010 | 265 | 60 | GPW 1/100' S OF PH | 123 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$null | White lake estates | 2 | 0 | 2312030 | 250 | 100 | GPW 1/15'EOFPH | 100 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | WHite lake estates | 2 | 0 | 2312030 | 250 | 100 | GPW $2 / 15{ }^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 100 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | remick acres | 1 | 0 | 2312050 | 60 | 24 | GPW $1 / 1900^{\text {E }}$ ENE OFP PH | 20 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NuLL | TAMWORTH MOBILE HOME PARK | 3 | 0 | 2313010 | 75 | 30 | GRW $1 / 150^{\prime}$ NNE OF PH | 21 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$null | TAMWORTH MOBILE HOME PARK | 3 | 0 | 2313010 | 75 | 30 | GRW $2 / 150^{\prime} \mathrm{NE}$ OFPH | 21 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NuLL | TAMWORTH PINES | 2 | 0 | 2313020 | 138 | 55 | GPW $1 / 300{ }^{\text {E E OF PH NORTH }}$ | 49 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NuLL | NORTHPOINTE WATER | 1 |  | 2342020 | 83 | 33 | GRW $/ 400{ }^{\text {a }}$ NW OF PH | 120 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | millsbrook VILLAGE | 2 | - | 2342110 | 68 | 27 | dUG WELL/ /40' NW OF PH | 24 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$null | LOCHMERE VILLAGE dist | 2 |  | 2351020 | 278 | 111 | GPW 1/111' W OFPH | 10 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NuLL | LOCHMERE VILLAGE dIST | 2 | - | 2351020 | 278 | 111 | GPW $2 / 116^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 11 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | beverly hills water | 1 | 0 | 2392010 | 120 | 48 | GPW $1 / 10^{\prime}$ E OFP PH | 100 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fire } \\ \text { Protection } \end{gathered}$ | System Name | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Groundwater } \\ \text { Sources } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { r SURFACE_S } \\ \text { OURCES } \end{gathered}$ | EPAID \# | Population | Service Connections | Source Destiption | Well Depth <br> (ft) | 2010 <br> Replacement Cost |  | Service | Screen Redevelopment | Total 20-Year Component Replacement Cost | Annual Need |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$NULL | MICHAWANIC VILLAGE CONDOS | 2 | 0 | 2392030 | 120 | 48 | GPW 1s /111'E OFPH | 60 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | MICHAWANIC VILLAGE CONDOS | 2 | 0 | 2392030 | 120 | 48 | GPW $2 \mathrm{~N} / 120^{\prime}$ E OFPH | 60 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NuLL | SOUTH MAIN STREET WATER DIST | 2 | 0 | 2422010 | 200 | 42 | dug $1 / 126$ ' SW OF PH | 15 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | SOUTH MAIN STREET WATER DIST | 2 | 0 | 2422010 | 200 | 42 | GRW $1 / 65^{\prime}$ SW OF PH | 17 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| Y | WATERVILLE VALLEY WATER dist | 3 | 0 | 2441010 | 3050 | 1220 | GPW 1 | 28 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| Y | WATERVILLE VALLEY WATER DIST | 3 | 0 | 2441010 | 3050 | 1220 | GPW 3/600' SW OF PH 1 | 22 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | PEu/daniels lke | 1 | 0 | 2452010 | 70 | 28 | GRW /IN PH | 33 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | SUGAR HILL MANOR MHP | 3 | 0 | 2453010 | 98 | 39 | DUG 1/100' S OF PH 1 FRONT OF PARK | 22 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | KUNCANOWET HILLS MOBILE HOME | 2 | 0 | 2453020 | 103 | 41 | DUG $1 / 270{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{WNW}$ OF PS | 20 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | KUNCANOWET HILLS MOBILE HOME | 2 | 0 | 2453020 | 103 | 41 | DUG $2 / 270{ }^{\prime}$ WSW OF PS | 28 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | POUND ROAD WATER WORKS | 1 | 0 | 2512010 | 53 | 21 | GRW /in PH | 115 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| Y | green valley mobile home park | 2 | 0 | 2533020 | 115 | 46 | GPW $4 / 4366^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 67 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| Y | green valley mobile home park | 2 | 0 | 2533020 | 115 | 46 | GPW $5 / 414^{\prime} \mathrm{NW}$ OFPH | 67 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | Jack o lantern condos | 2 | 0 | 2572010 | 98 | 39 | GPW $1 / 600{ }^{\text {a }}$ NNE OF PH | 18 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | Jack o lantern condos | 2 | 0 | 2572010 | 98 | 39 | GPW $2 / 600{ }^{\text {' NE OF PH }}$ | 17 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | TAMWORTH MOBILE HOME PARK | 3 | 0 | 2313010 | 75 | 30 | PTW $1 / 135^{\prime}$ NNE OF PH | 18 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | Indian mound golf club | 2 | 0 | 1842030 | 225 | 90 | PTW $1 /$ SW CNR OFP PS | 25 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | PONDEROSA MHP | 2 | 0 | 1393060 | 110 | 44 | PTW $2 / 62$ ' SE OF PS NEAR POND SHED | 18 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | peu/golden brook | 3 | 0 | 2542010 | 313 | 125 | PTW $2 / 76$ ' NE OF PH | 40 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | CHALK POND WATER | 4 | 0 | 1652020 | 200 | 80 | PTW 3/138' S OF LOWER PH | 16 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | peu/golden brook | 3 | 0 | 2542010 | 313 | 125 | PTW $3 / 81^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 44 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | Chalk pond water | 4 | 0 | 1652020 | 200 | 80 | PTW 4/21' W OF LOWER PH | 16 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | peuipinehaven water trust | 1 | 0 | 1392040 | 90 | 36 | PTW $4 / 30^{\circ} \mathrm{NOFPH}$ | 30 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | peu/golden brook | 3 | 0 | 2542010 | 313 | 125 | PTW 4 /54' NE OF PH | 42 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | Ponderosa mhp | 2 | 0 | 1393060 | 110 | 44 | PTW 4/75' NE OF PH | 18 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | hillside inn condos | 2 | 0 | 1113010 | 65 | 26 | INF/150' N OF PS | 20 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | HilLside inn Condos | 2 | 0 | 1113010 | 65 | 26 | INF/20' N OF PS | 25 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | FAR ECHO HARBOR | 2 | 0 | 1612030 | 200 | 80 | INF/47' SW OF PS | 505 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | GLENCLIFF HOME FOR THE ELDERLY | 3 | 0 | 224010 | 230 | 11 | INF $1 / 1775{ }^{\text {/ NE OF }}$ OdM BLDG | 30 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |
| \$NULL | MOUNTAIN LAKES WATER DEPT | 2 | 0 | 1101050 | 653 | 315 | INF WELL/IN PH | 14 | \$45,000 | \$ | 22,500 | \$22,000 | \$44,500 | \$2,225 |

Generalized Costs for Larger Replacement Bedrock Wells Permitted for > 40 gpm (Level II)

| Level II (permitted for more than $\mathbf{4 0} \mathrm{gpm}$ ) Bedrock Wells* |  |  |  |  | Estimated | Date | Oct-10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68 number of sources | Assumed median depth | 470 | ft | Permitting | \$40,000 | ENR | 8921 |
| assumed re-drilled in vicinity of original well | Assumed Service Life | 25 | yrs | Well Construction | \$100,000 |  |  |
| permitting assumed to take $\sim 9 \mathrm{mos}$ | Service Life Factor for 20 yr period | 0.8 | 20/service life | Engineering | \$20,000 + |  |  |
| * may also include springs |  |  |  | Total | \$160,000 |  |  |
| Generally, BRW are not cleaned and redeveloped |  | \$340 | per ft |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fire } \\ \text { Protection } \end{gathered}$ | System Name | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Groundwater } \\ \text { Sources } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { SURFACE_So } \\ & \text { URCES } \end{aligned}$ | EPAID \# | Population | Service Connections | Source Desription | Well Depth <br> (tt) | 2010 Replacement Cost |  | 20 yrs/ <br> rvice Life | Total 20-Year Component Replacement Cost | Annual Need |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | \$10,880,000 |  |  | \$8,704,000 |  | ,200 |
| N | WALNUT RIDGE/BRYANT WOODS | 13 | 0 | 112080 | 2650 | 1059 | BRW 10 /750' SE OF OLD VILLAGE RD PH | 600 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| N | WALNUT RIDGE/BRYANT WOODS | 13 | 0 | 112080 | 2650 | 1059 | BRW $16 / 6755^{\prime}$ NE OF SETTLERS RIDGE PH | 420 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| N | Peu/Locke lake | 7 | 0 | 142010 | 2083 | 836 | BRW 11 /475' SSW OF PS 1 GOLF COURSE | 425 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| \$NULL | COLBY POND | 2 | 0 | 582010 | 399 | 158 | BRW $2 / 252^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF PH | 550 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| \$NULL | Colby Pond | 2 | 0 | 582010 | 399 | 158 | BRW $1 / 35^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ OF PH | 400 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| \$NULL | DREW WOODS | 6 | 0 | 612150 | 980 | 392 | BRW $5 / 275{ }^{\text {NW O }}$ OF PH | 470 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| \$NULL | brandywine | 2 | 0 | 702020 | 72 | 29 | BRW $1 / 90{ }^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 490 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| \$NULL | brandywine | 2 | 0 | 702020 | 72 | 29 | BRW $2 / 1130^{\prime} \mathrm{NW}$ OF PH | 470 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| \$NULL | MAPLEVALE AND CRICKET HILL | 2 | 0 | 702030 | 130 | 70 | BRW $1 / 85^{\prime}$ NE OF PH | 420 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | 18 HUGHES LN/EFFINGHAM | 2 | 0 | 732040 | 60 | 24 | BRW 1/180' W OF PH | 59 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | ENFIELD WATER DEPT | 4 | 0 | 751010 | 1145 | 458 | PRIOR WELL $1 / 25^{\prime}$ E OF 1 PS | 425 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | ENFIELD WATER DEPT | 4 | 0 | 751010 | 1145 | 458 | MARSH WELL $/ 30^{\prime}$ E OF MARSH PS | 460 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | ENFIELD WATER DEPT | 4 | 0 | 751010 | 1145 | 458 | MCCONNELL WELL /180' S OF AVALONE 2 | 550 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | EPPING Water and sewer dept | 3 | 0 | 761010 | 1240 | 545 | BRW 1 /FREEMONT RD | 270 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | EPPING WATER AND SEWER DEPT | 3 | 0 | 761010 | 1240 | 545 | BRW 3 /HOAR POND WELL 2 | 370 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | EPPING WATER AND SEWER DEPT | 3 | 0 | 761010 | 1240 | 545 | BRW 2 / NW OF HOAR POND | 500 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| \$NULL | EXETER RIVER MOBILE HOME PAR | 1 | 0 | 803020 | 980 | 392 | BRW $1 / 125^{\prime}$ NW OF PH | 160 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| N | FRANCONIA VILLAGE WATER | 5 | 0 | 841010 | 750 | 300 | MAGOWAN BRW /6' S OF RESERVOIR 2 | 350 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| N | GUNSTOCK ACRES VILLAGE DIST | 10 | 0 | 881020 | 1425 | 570 | BRW 1A/40' SE OF PS 1 | 505 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| N | GUNSTOCK ACRES VILLAGE DIST | 10 | 0 | 881020 | 1425 | 570 | BRW $7 / 1460$ SW OF PS 7 | 530 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| N | GUNSTOCK ACRES VILLAGE DIST | 10 | 0 | 881020 | 1425 | 570 | BRW 7A/140' SW OF PS 7 | 561 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| N | GUNSTOCK ACRES VILLAGE DIST | 10 | 0 | 881020 | 1425 | 570 | BRW 7C /1350' NOFPS 7 | 750 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| N | GUNSTOCK ACRES VILLAGE DIST | 10 | 0 | 881020 | 1425 | 570 | BRW 7D /950' N OF PS7 | 750 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| N | VILLAGE dist of Eastman | 3 | 0 | 951010 | 3000 | 1250 | BRW 6 /APPROX 100 W OF THE WTP | 999 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | hales location | 2 | 0 | 1021010 | 385 | 94 | BRW $2 / 750^{\prime}$ SW OF PH | 100 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | hales location | 2 | 0 | 1021010 | 385 | 94 | BRW 1/750' W OF PH | 110 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | hampstead area water | 15 | 0 | 1031010 | 2723 | 1158 | BRW 3 /163' SE OF VILLAGE GREEN PH | 225 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | hampstead area water | 15 | 0 | 1031010 | 2723 | 1158 | CRAN MEAD BRW 650' NW NORFOLK \& ST J | 360 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | AQUARION WATER/NH | 18 | 0 | 1051010 | 23000 | 8600 | BRW 2 /COAKLEY | 308 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | AQUARION WATER/NH | 18 | 0 | 1051010 | 23000 | 8600 | AW 8AMMARSTINS SPRINGS REPLACEMENT | 12 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | AQUARION WATER/NH | 18 | 0 | 1051010 | 23000 | 8600 | BRW CAYRE 17 /ON WOODS RD | 456 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | AQUARION WATER/NH | 18 | 0 | 1051010 | 23000 | 8600 | BRW CAYRE 18/460' S OF CAYRE 17 | 565 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | AQUARION WATER/NH | 18 | 0 | 1051010 | 23000 | 8600 | BRW 21 /MR 2 AT MILL RD | 647 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | AQUARION WATER/NH | 18 | 0 | 1051010 | 23000 | 8600 | BRW CAYRE $19 / 250^{\prime}$ E OF CAYRE 17 | 435 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | AQUARION WATER/NH | 18 | 0 | 1051010 | 23000 | 8600 | BRW 13B/NEXT TO COAKLEY /008 | 703 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | AQUARION WATER/NH | 18 | 0 | 1051010 | 23000 | 8600 | BRW 20 /MR 1 AT MILL RD | 607 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | PRECINCT OF HAVERHILL CORNER | 2 | 0 | 1101010 | 540 | 192 | BRW /100' SSW OF COLLECTOR BASIN | 600 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| N | emerald lake | 8 | 0 | 1141020 | 1300 | 520 | BRW 11 /200' NW OF PATTEN HILL Storage | 603 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | Littleton water and light dep | 1 | 2 | 1381010 | 6010 | 1680 | BRW 1 /BRICKYARD RD WELL | 500 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| \$NULL | PEU/SUNRISE ESTATES | 3 | 0 | 1542030 | 203 | 81 | BRW 3 /700' W OF PH/HAROLD DR | 423 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| \$NULL | badger hill | 2 | 0 | 1562030 | 258 | 103 | BRW $1 / 199{ }^{\text {' NW OF PH }}$ | 505 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| \$NULL | badger hill | 2 | 0 | 1562030 | 258 | 103 | BRW $3 / 590{ }^{\prime}$ SW OF PH | 305 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| N | PARADISE SHORES | 2 | 0 | 1612010 | 1881 | 753 | BRW $5 / \mathrm{W}$ OF UPR PH AT ACCESS RD STA 8: | 522 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | NEWFIELDS VLG Water and sewe | 4 | 0 | 1681010 | 500 | 175 | BRW $6 / 15^{\prime}$ NNW OF SARGENT TEBO PS | 340 | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |
| Y | PORTSMOUTH WATER WORKS | 6 | 1 | 1951010 | 33000 | 7200 | COLLINS WELL |  | \$160,000 | \$ | 128,000 | \$128,000 | \$ | 6,400 |



202 assumed re-drilled in vicinity of original well permitting assumed to take $\sim 9$ mos
also includes dug wells, infiltration, and point wells
costs do not include exploration because these are replacement wells

Generalized Costs for Large Replacement Overburden Wells Permitted for > 40 gpm (Level II)


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fire } \\ \text { Protection } \end{gathered}$ | System Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# } \\ \text { Groundwate } \\ \text { r Sources } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { SURFACE_S } \\ \text { OURCES } \end{gathered}$ | EPAID \# | Population | Service Connections | Source Destription | Well Depth <br> (ft) | 2010 <br> Replacement Cost | $20 \mathrm{yrs} /$ Service Life | $\begin{gathered} \text { Screen } \\ \text { Redevelopm } \\ \text { ent } \end{gathered}$ | Total 20-Year Component Replacement Cost | Annual Need |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y | NORTH CONWAY WATER PCT | 4 | 0 | 511030 | 5000 | 2200 | GPW 3/500' S OF RIVER RD /SEE COMMENTS | 76 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | NORTH CONWAY WATER PCT | 4 | 0 | 511030 | 5000 | 2200 | GPW 4/1550' NW OF PS | 116 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | dover water dept | 8 | 0 | 651010 | 28000 | 6800 | GPW CAMPBELL/HOPPERS/GLEN HILL RD | 88 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | dover Water dept | 8 | 0 | 651010 | 28000 | 6800 | GPW CUMMINGS /SMITH WELL RD DOVER | 75 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | dover water dept | 8 | 0 | 651010 | 28000 | 6800 | GPW HUGHES /OLD STAGE RD DOVER | 107 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | dover water dept | 8 | 0 | 651010 | 28000 | 6800 | GPW SMITH/SMITH WELL RD DOVER | 75 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | DOVER WATER DEPT | 8 | 0 | 651010 | 28000 | 6800 | GPW 1 /CALDERWOOD HOPPERS | 104 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | dover Water dept | 8 | 0 | 651010 | 28000 | 6800 | GPW IRELAND MASt RD Dover | 101 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | dover water dept | 8 | 0 | 651010 | 28000 | 6800 | GPW GRIIFFIN MAST RD MADBURY | 114 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | DOVER WATER DEPT | 8 | 0 | 651010 | 28000 | 6800 | GPW /FRENCH CROSS RD WELL | 175 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | UNHIDURHAM WATER SYS | 1 | 2 | 691010 | 16000 | 1080 | LEE WELL | 54 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | EPSOM VILLAGE DIST | 2 | 0 | 771010 | 750 | 285 | GPW $2 / \mathrm{IN}$ PS /BEHIND ELEMENTARY SCHOOL | 42 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | EPSOM VILLAGE DISt | 2 | 0 | 771010 | 750 | 285 | GPW $1 / / \mathrm{N}$ PS /BEHIND LIBRARY S OF RTE 4 | 42 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | EXETER WATER DEPT | 2 | 2 | 801010 | 11000 | 3500 | GPW LARY Ln | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | FARMINGTON WATER DEPT | 3 | 0 | 811010 | 3000 | 1050 | GPW 4 /CEMETERY WELL $1 / 450{ }^{\text {' NW OF PH }}$ | 28 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | FARMINGTON WATER DEPT | 3 | 0 | 811010 | 3000 | 1050 | GPW 5 /CEMETERY WELL $2 / 340^{\circ}$ NE OF PH | 23 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | FARMINGTON WATER DEPT | 3 | 0 | 811010 | 3000 | 1050 | GPW $6 / 1200{ }^{\text {e }}$ E OF RTE 11 | 38 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | FRANKLIN WATER WORKS | 4 | 0 | 851010 | 7000 | 2292 | GPW 1N/ACME WELL 1 | 75 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | FRANKLIN WATER WORKS | 4 | 0 | 851010 | 7000 | 2292 | GPW 2S /ACME WELL 2 | 75 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | franklin water works | 4 | 0 | 851010 | 7000 | 2292 | GPW 3/AT FRANKLIN FALL DAM | 122 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| \$NULL | LOV WATER | 3 | 0 | 862010 | 538 | 215 | GPW 1/175' WSW OF PH | 50 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | GOFFSTOWN VILLAGE PCT | 2 | 1 | 911010 | 3000 | 1100 | GPW $1 / 60^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ OF RIVER | 40 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | GOFFSTOWN VILLAGE PCT | 2 | 1 | 911010 | 3000 | 1100 | GPW $2 / 270{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF GPW 1 | 40 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | gorham Water and sewer dept | 1 | 2 | 921010 | 2630 | 1052 | GPW 2 /2000' SW OF MAIN AND BELLOUE | 72 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| N | VILLAGE dist of eastman | 3 | 0 | 951010 | 3000 | 1250 | dug well field | 22 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| N | VILLAGE dist of eastman | 3 | 0 | 951010 | 3000 | 1250 | GPW R4/REPLACMENT SOURCE FOR 004 | 49 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | AQUARION WATER/NH | 18 | 0 | 1051010 | 23000 | 8600 | GPW JENnESS /CENTRAL RD | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | AQUARION WATERNH | 18 | 0 | 1051010 | 23000 | 8600 | GPW 1 Coakley / winnicut rd | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | AQUARION WATERNH | 18 | 0 | 1051010 | 23000 | 8600 | GPW DALTON NO 14/RTE 111 HAMPTON RD | 31 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | AQUARION WATER/NH | 18 | 0 | 1051010 | 23000 | 8600 | GPW WHITES FIELD /MILL RD | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | AQUARION WATERNH | 18 | 0 | 1051010 | 23000 | 8600 | GPW PEABODY NO 16 /STRATHAM | 60 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | AQUARION WATERNH | 18 | 0 | 1051010 | 23000 | 8600 | GPW CRENSHAW / WINNICUT RD | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | AQUARION WATERNH | 18 | 0 | 1051010 | 23000 | 8600 | GPW RYDER /little River rd | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | AQUARION WATERNH | 18 | 0 | 1051010 | 23000 | 8600 | GPW SCAMMON MILL RD | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | AQUARION WATERNH | 18 | 0 | 1051010 | 23000 | 8600 | GPW SICARD /SICARD ST | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | aquarion waternh | 18 | 0 | 1051010 | 23000 | 8600 | GPW 5A /26' NE OF PH | 21 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | jogswell springs water works | 3 | 0 | 1121010 | 2500 | 530 | GPW 1 W OF RTE 114 /E OF GPW 2 | 60 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | jogswell springs water works | 3 | 0 | 1121010 | 2500 | 530 | GPW 2 W OF RTE 114 AND GPW 1 | 60 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | jOGSWELL SPRINGS WATER WORK: | 3 | 0 | 1121010 | 2500 | 530 | GPW 3/FOSTER RD / $1000{ }^{\prime}$ ESE PS 3 | 45 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | HILL WATER WORKS | 2 | 0 | 1131010 | 350 | 139 | GRW $1 / \mathrm{INPH}$ | 40 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | HILL WATER WORKS | 2 | 0 | 1131010 | 350 | 139 | GRW $2 / 45^{\prime}$ SE OF GRW 1 | 42 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | NORTH HINSDALE WATER DEPT | 2 | 0 | 1151010 | 1800 | 500 | GRW $2 / 30^{\prime}$ N OF NORTH HINSDALE PH | 48 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | NORTH HINSDALE WATER DEPT | 2 | 0 | 1151010 | 1800 | 500 | GRW $3 / 250^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF NORTH HINSDALE PH | 76 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | HINSDALE WATER DEPT/DOWNTOW | 2 | 0 | 1151020 | 1600 | 500 | GPW 4/300' NW GLEN ST PS | 37 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | HINSDALE WATER DEPT/DOWNTOWI | 2 | 0 | 1151020 | 1600 | 500 | GPW 5 /IN GLEN ST PS | 38 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | HOOKSETT VILLAGE WATER PCT | 4 | 0 | 1181020 | 2250 | 886 | GPW 2 /SO END OF LAKE/SOUTH WELL | 56 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | HOOKSETT VILLAGE WATER PCT | 4 | 0 | 1181020 | 2250 | 886 | GPW 1/60' SE OF CONTROL BLDG /NORTH WELL | 76 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | HOOKSETT VILLAGE WATER PCT | 4 | 0 | 1181020 | 2250 | 886 | GPW $3 / 50^{\circ} \mathrm{WNW}$ OF SOUTH WELL AND PH | 65 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | HOOKSETT VILLAGE WATER PCT | 4 | 0 | 1181020 | 2250 | 886 | GPW $4 / 900{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ OF SOUTH WELL PH/EAST WELL | 75 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | HOPKINTON VILLAGE PCT | 2 | 0 | 1191020 | 215 | 100 | GPW $2 / 270^{\prime}$ FROM PH | 56 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | HOPKINTON VILLAGE PCT | 2 | 0 | 1191020 | 215 | 100 | GPW 3/302' NW OF PH | 34 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | HUdSON WATER DEPT | 3 | 0 | 1201010 | 16000 | 5730 | GPW ducharme | 90 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | HUDSON WATER DEPT | 3 | 0 | 1201010 | 16000 | 5730 | GPW WEINSTEIN | 65 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | hudson Water dept | 3 | 0 | 1201010 | 16000 | 5730 | GPW DAME | 90 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | JAFFREY WATER WORKS | 3 | 0 | 1221010 | 3612 | 1445 | GPW 1 /TURNPIIKE RD WELL | 46 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | JAFFREY WATER WORKS | 3 | 0 | 1221010 | 3612 | 1445 | GPW 2 /CONTOOCOOK LAKE WELL | 48 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | JAFFREY WATER WORKS | 3 | 0 | 1221010 | 3612 | 1445 | GPW /REDUNDANT TPKE WELL 2 | 58 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | keene water dept | 4 | 1 | 1241010 | 25000 | 6000 | GPW WEST ST WELL WELL $1 / 66$ | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fire } \\ \text { Protection } \end{gathered}$ | System Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# } \\ \text { Groundwate } \\ \text { r Sources } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { SURFACE_S } \\ & \text { OURCES } \end{aligned}$ | EPAID \# | Population | Service Connections | Source Desription | Well Depth (ft) | 2010 <br> Replacement Cost | $20 \mathrm{yrs} /$ Service Life | Screen Redevelopm ent | Total 20-Year Component Replacement Cost | Annual Need |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y | KEENE WATER DEPT | 4 | 1 | 1241010 | 25000 | 6000 | GPW 1N/WELL $2 / 67$ | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | keene water dept | 4 | 1 | 1241010 | 25000 | 6000 | GPW 2 M WELL 3 /68 | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | keene water dept | 4 | 1 | 1241010 | 25000 | 6000 | GPW 4 S/WELL 4/69 | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | LISBON WATER DEPT | 2 | 0 | 1361010 | 1050 | 420 | GPW 1/ CASWELL | 55 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | LISBON WATER DEPT | 2 | 0 | 1361010 | 1050 | 420 | GPW $2 / 200{ }^{\text {W }}$ W OF GPW 1 | 55 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| N | VILLAGE DISTRICT OF EIDELWEISS | 3 | 0 | 1461010 | 1050 | 420 | GPW 6 /Garage well | 74 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | MARLBOROUGH WATER WORKS | 2 | 0 | 1481010 | 750 | 300 | GPW $1 /$ /N PH $1 / 400{ }^{\text {' SW OF }}$ O SCHOOL | 30 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | MARLBOROUGH WATER WORKS | 2 | 0 | 1481010 | 750 | 300 | GPW 2 IN PH 2 /FITCH COURT | 44 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | MERRIMACK VILLAGE DIST | 6 | 0 | 1531010 | 25000 | 8730 | GPW 4 MVD 4 | 53 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | MERRIMACK VILLAGE DIST | 6 | 0 | 1531010 | 25000 | 8730 | GPW 5 MVD 5 | 65 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | merrimack village dist | 6 | 0 | 1531010 | 25000 | 8730 | GPW 3/CAMP SARGENT | 68 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | MERRIMACK VILLAGE DIST | 6 | 0 | 1531010 | 25000 | 8730 | GPW 7 WITCHES BROOK WELL HOLLIS | 52 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | MERRIMACK VILLAGE DIST | 6 | 0 | 1531010 | 25000 | 8730 | GPW $8 / 450$ SE OF WELL 7 HOLLIS | 57 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | MERRIMACK VILLAGE DIST | 6 | 0 | 1531010 | 25000 | 8730 | GPW 2A/BERRY LN | 96 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | MILFORD WATER UTILITIES DEPT | 2 | 0 | 1561010 | 9500 | 3476 | GPW CURTIS 2 WEST | 50 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | MILFORD WATER UTILITIES DEPT | 2 | 0 | 1561010 | 9500 | 3476 | GPW CURTIS 1 /EAST | 60 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | MLLTON WATER DIST | 5 | 0 | 1581010 | 800 | 350 | GPW /iN PH | 50 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | MLLTON WATER DIST | 5 | 0 | 1581010 | 800 | 350 | RPW GPW $2 / 550^{\circ}$ E OF END OF ST JAMES AVE | 44 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | MLLTON WATER DIST | 5 | 0 | 1581010 | 800 | 350 | RPW GPW $3 / 590^{\circ}$ E OF END OF ST JAMES AVE | 44 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| y | MLLTON WATER DIST | 5 | 0 | 1581010 | 800 | 350 | RPW GPW $4 / 620^{\circ}$ E OF END OF ST JAMES AVE | 44 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | MLLTON WATER DIST | 5 | 0 | 1581010 | 800 | 350 | RPW/GPW 1/520' E OF END OF ST JAMES AVE | 44 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | monroe water dept | 3 | 0 | 1591010 | 300 | 168 | GPW $1 / \mathrm{INPH}$ | 48 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | PENNICHUCK WATER WORKS | 2 | 3 | 1621010 | 86630 | 23629 | GPW IN PS/AMHERST VILLAGE | 60 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | PENNICHUCK WATER WORKS | 2 | 3 | 1621010 | 86630 | 23629 | GPW /iN PS/BON TERRAIN | 56 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | NEW LONDON SPRINGFIELD WATER | 6 | 0 | 1721010 | 2750 | 1100 | GPW 2 /LOWERE | 30 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | NEW LONDON SPRINGFIELD WATER | 6 | 0 | 1721010 | 2750 | 1100 | GPW 5/LOWER SE | 35 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | NEW LONDON SPRINGFIELD WATER | 6 | 0 | 1721010 | 2750 | 1100 | GPW 1/LOWER NE | 30 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | NEW LONDON SPRINGFIELD WATER | 6 | 0 | 1721010 | 2750 | 1100 | GPW 3 /UPPER NW | 56 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | NEW LONDON SPRINGFIELD WATER | 6 | 0 | 1721010 | 2750 | 1100 | GPW 6/UPPER WEST | 60 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | NEW LONDON SPRINGFIELD WATER | 6 | 0 | 1721010 | 2750 | 1100 | GPW 4 /UPPER SW | 59 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | newmarket Water works | 2 | 0 | 1731010 | 5000 | 1933 | GPW bennett /RTE 152 Near pw garage | 48 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | NEWMARKET WATER WORKS | 2 |  | 1731010 | 5000 | 1933 | GPW SEWELL $/ 350$ ' S OF 152 | 82 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | NEWPORT WATER WORKS | 1 | 1 | 1741010 | 5000 | 1597 | GPW 1/POLLARDS MILL WELL | 85 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | GROVETON WATER SYS | 2 | 0 | 1781010 | 2650 | 793 | GPW 1/860'W OF PH | 65 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | GRoveton water sys | 2 | 0 | 1781010 | 2650 | 793 | GPW 2 /800' W OF PH | 81 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | ossipee water dept | 2 | 0 | 1841010 | 850 | 325 | GPW 1/40'E OFPH | 85 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | ossipee water dept | 2 | 0 | 1841010 | 850 | 325 | GPW $2 / 80{ }^{\text {S S OF PH }}$ | 88 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | peumilliamsburg | 2 | 0 | 1851010 | 615 | 246 | GPW 1/141' W OF Entrance gate | 35 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | peumilliamsburg | 2 | 0 | 1851010 | 615 | 246 | GPW 1A/125' W OF Entrance gate | 35 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | PEMBROKE WATER WORKS | 5 | 0 | 1861010 | 5200 | 2032 | GPW 4/BEAR BROOK PS 1 | 51 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | PEMBROKE WATER WORKS | 5 | 0 | 1861010 | 5200 | 2032 | GPW 3 /CONCORD | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | PEMBROKE WATER WORKS | 5 | 0 | 1861010 | 5200 | 2032 | GPW $2 /$ /CONCORD | 88 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fire } \\ \text { Protection } \end{gathered}$ | System Name | \# Groundwate $r$ Sources | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { SURFACE_S } \\ \text { OURCES } \end{gathered}$ | EPAID \# | Population | Service Connections | Source Destription | Well Depth <br> (ft) | 2010 <br> Replacement Cost | $20 \mathrm{yrs} /$ Service Life | $\begin{gathered} \text { Screen } \\ \text { Redevelopm } \\ \text { ent } \end{gathered}$ | Total 20-Year Component Replacement Cost | Annual Need |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y | PEMBROKE WATER WORKS | 5 | 0 | 1861010 | 5200 | 2032 | GPW BB 3/1500' NE OF BB 1 PH | 75 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | PEMBROKE WATER WORKS | 5 | 0 | 1861010 | 5200 | 2032 | GPW 6/RTE 106 | 60 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | Peterborough water works | 3 | 0 | 1871010 | 4062 | 1586 | GPW /SUMMER ST | 50 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | PETERBOROUGH WATER WORKS | 3 | 0 | 1871010 | 4062 | 1586 | GPW tarbell /tarbell rd | 60 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | PEtERBOROUGH WATER WORKS | 3 | 0 | 1871010 | 4062 | 1586 | GPW NORTH /TARBELL RD W OF MEADOW SCH | 76 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | MERIDEN VILLAGE WATER DIST | 3 | 0 | 1921020 | 750 | 60 | dug /100 YDS E OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL | 30 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | MERIDEN VILLAGE WATER DIST | 3 | 0 | 1921020 | 750 | 60 | GRW $1 / 40^{\prime}$ FT NE OF EXISTING PHWELL A | 46 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | MERIDEN VILLAGE WATER DIST | 3 | 0 | 1921020 | 750 | 60 | GRW $2 / 675^{\prime}$ NW OF EXISTING PH/WELL B | 46 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | PLYMOUTH VIL WATER AND SEWER | 2 | 0 | 1941010 | 6300 | 985 | GPW 1/PH NE | 44 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | PLYMOUTH VIL WATER AND SEWER | 2 | , | 1941010 | 6300 | 985 | GPW 2 /PIT SW | 45 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | PORTSMOUTH WATER WORKS | 6 | 1 | 1951010 | 33000 | 7200 | PORTSMOUTH GPW 1 | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | PORTSMOUTH WATER WORKS | 6 | 1 | 1951010 | 33000 | 7200 | madBury grw 4 | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | PORTSMOUTH WATER WORKS | 6 | 1 | 1951010 | 33000 | 7200 | madBury grw 2 | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | PORTSMOUTH WATER WORKS | 6 | 1 | 1951010 | 33000 | 7200 | madBury grw 3 | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | PORTSMOUTH WATER WORKS | 6 | 1 | 1951010 | 33000 | 7200 | Greenland grw 5 | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | RAYMOND WATER DEPT | 3 | 0 | 1971010 | 2682 | 1073 | GPW $1 / 50^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ OFPH | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | RAYMOND WATER DEPT | 3 | 0 | 1971010 | 2682 | 1073 | GPW $2 / 150{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{NOFPH}$ | 53 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | RAYMOND WATER DEPT | 3 |  | 1971010 | 2682 | 1073 | GPW $3 / 175^{\prime}$ N OFPW 2 | 53 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | ROLLINSFORD WATER AND SEWER | 3 | 0 | 2011010 | 1688 | 639 | GPW 1 LOCATED IN GPW PH | 32 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | RYE WATER DIST | 3 | 0 | 2041010 | 3900 | 1546 | GPW /GARLAND RD Qtr mile se of Storag tnks | 49 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | SEAbROOK WATER dept | 10 | 0 | 2111010 | 14000 | 4314 | GPW 3 /IN RILEY PH 3 /NW OF GPW 4 | 90 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | SEAbROOK WATER dept | 10 | 0 | 2111010 | 14000 | 4314 | GPW 2 /TRUE RD FURTHEST / W OF GPW 1 | 50 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | SEAbROOK WATER dept | 10 | 0 | 2111010 | 14000 | 4314 | GPW 7/197 WELL | 0 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | SEAbrook water dept | 10 | 0 | 2111010 | 14000 | 4314 | GPW 1 /TRUERD /EOF GPW 2 | 50 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | SEABROOK WATER DEPT | 10 | 0 | 2111010 | 14000 | 4314 | GPW 4 /IN RILEY PH 4 /SE OF GPW 3 | 50 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | SOMERSWORTH WATER WORKS | 1 | 1 | 2151010 | 12000 | 3300 | GPW 1 | 70 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| \$NULL | coos County farm | 2 | 0 | 2194010 | 295 | 6 | GPW 1/60' W OF PS | 140 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| \$NULL | coos Count farm | 2 | 0 | 2194010 | 295 | 6 | GPW $2 / A U X I N P S$ | 137 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | NORTH STRATFORD WATER DEPT | 2 | 0 | 2221010 | 300 | 109 | GPW 1/80'EOFPH | 75 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | NORTH StRATFORD WATER DEPT | 2 | 0 | 2221010 | 300 | 109 | GPW $2 / 240{ }^{\prime}$ E OF PH | 60 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| N | WEST SWANZEY WATER | 2 | 0 | 2301020 | 183 | 73 | GPW /IN PH 1 N WITH HYDRO TANK | 76 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| N | WEST SWANZEY WATER | 2 | 0 | 2301020 | 183 | 73 | GPW /IN PH 2 | 77 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | TILTON NORTHFIELD WATER DIST | 2 | 0 | 2351010 | 2500 | 941 | GPW 2 WESTERLY | 69 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | tilton northfield water dist | 2 | 0 | 2351010 | 2500 | 941 | GPW 1 /EASTERLY | 69 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | TROY WATER WORKS |  | 0 | 2361010 | 1200 | 461 | GPW $1 / 900{ }^{\text {E E OF }}$ JAFFREY RD | 43 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | TROY WATER WORKS | 5 | 0 | 2361010 | 1200 | 461 | GPW 2 /APPROX $25^{\prime}$ ' NE OF GPW 1 | 42 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | SANBORNVILLE WATER DEPT | 2 | 0 | 2391010 | 1500 | 410 | GPW 2 IN PS 2 TTOWN OF BROOKFIELD | 38 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | SANBORNVILLE WATER DEPT | 2 | 0 | 2391010 | 1500 | 410 | GPW 3/50' S OF PS |  | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | WALPOLE WATER DEPT | 2 | 0 | 2401010 | 975 | 389 | GPW 1/125' S OF GREAT BROOK WATKINS | 28 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | WALPOLE WATER DEPT | 2 | 0 | 2401010 | 975 | 389 | GPW $2 / 600^{\prime}$ E OF CONN RIVER/RIVER WELL | 68 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | N WALPOLE VILLAGE DIStrict/Low | 2 | 0 | 2401020 | 800 | 300 | GPW 1/50' E OF RIVER | 50 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | N WALPOLE VILLAGE DISTRICT/LOW | 2 | 0 | 2401020 | 800 | 300 | GPW $2 / 100{ }^{\text {N }}$ N OF GPW 1 | 49 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | WARNER VILLAGE WATER DIST | 2 | 0 | 2411010 | 500 | 198 | GPW 1/ROYCE/EASTERLY OF 2 | 38 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | WARNER VILLAGE WATER DIST | 2 | 0 | 2411010 | 500 | 198 | GPW 2 /ROYCE WESTERLY OF 2 | 38 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | WATERVILLE VALLEY WATER DIST | 3 | 0 | 2441010 | 3050 | 1220 | GPW 2 | 52 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | WHITEFIELD WATER |  | 0 | 2501010 | 1450 | 580 | GPW 1/ROBINSON 600' W OF PS /RTE 116 WS | 83 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | Whitefield water | 5 | 0 | 2501010 | 1450 | 580 | GW 2 /ROBINSON WELL 2 /RW2 100' SE OF GPW1 | 275 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | WILTON WATER WORKS | 2 | 0 | 2521010 | 1665 | 665 | EVERETT GPW /IN PH | 52 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | WILTON WATER WORKS | 2 | 0 | 2521010 | 1665 | 665 | ABBOTT GPW /400' N OF PH 400' E OF RTE 31 | 50 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | WINCHESTER WATER DEPT | 3 | 0 | 2531010 | 2800 | 1121 | GPW $1 / 21 / 2 \mathrm{MIN} \mathrm{OF} 119 / \mathrm{ESIDE}$ RTE 10 | 54 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | WINCHESTER WATER DEPT | 3 | 0 | 2531010 | 2800 | 1121 | GPW $2 / 100$ YDS N OF GPW 1 | 57 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | WINCHESTER WATER DEPT | 3 | 0 | 2531010 | 2800 | 1121 | GPW $3 / \mathrm{S}$ SIDE OF $119 / 1$ MLLE E OF RTE 10 | 55 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | WOODSTOCK WATER DEPT | 2 | 0 | 2571020 | 2475 | 990 | GPW $1 / 1 \mathrm{NPH} 11000$ W OF RTE 175 | 50 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | WOODSTOCK WATER DEPT | 2 | 0 | 2571020 | 2475 | 990 | GPW 2 /INPH 2 200' SE OF GPW 1 | 50 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |
| Y | FRANKLIN WATER WORKS | 4 | 0 | 851010 | 7000 | 2292 | PTW FIELD SANBORNTON | 25 | \$160,000 | \$80,000 | \$41,000 | \$121,000 | \$6,050 |

TABLE D-6
PROJECTED VALUES BY WELL TYPE

| BY PERMITTING <br> LEVEL AND <br> GEOLOGICAL <br> CLASS | COUNT <br> $(\mathbf{2 0 1 0})$ | 2010 <br> REPLACEMENT <br> VALUE (\$M) | ANNUAL (\$M) | 20 YEAR <br> VALUE (\$M) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedrock (Level 1) | 903 | $\$ 40.6$ | $\$ 1.6$ | $\$ 32.5$ |
| Bedrock (Level 2) | 134 | $\$ 10.9$ | $\$ 0.4$ | $\$ 8.7$ |
| Total Bedrock | 1037 |  | $\$ 0.3$ | $\$ 5.9$ |
| Overburden (Level 1) | 68 | $\$ 6.0$ | $\$ 1.2$ | $\$ 24.4$ |
| Overburden (Level 2) | 202 | $\$ 32.3$ |  | $\$ \mathbf{\$ 7 1 . 5}$ |
| Total Overburden | 270 |  | $\mathbf{\$ 8 9 . 8}$ |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

October, 2010 ENR 8921
Bedrock includes bedrock wells, artesian wells, springs
Overburden includes gravel wells, gravel-packed, dug, point, infiltration wells
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## TREATMENT, AND PRESSURE BOOSTING FACILITIES (APPENDIX H)

## E. 1 BACKGROUND

The purpose of this Study is to make a determination of how much money must be invested to replace or maintain New Hampshire Community Water System facilities over a future period of time; annually, 20-years, or otherwise. Actual built projects were analyzed to create a mathematical cost model that was used to estimate a replacement cost for all community water system treatment plants and pressure booster stations in terms of 2010 dollars. Following this, each 2010 cost needed to be converted into a periodic investment to replace or keep up the facilities over time. This Appendix section constitutes a general discussion of the development of a method for converting the 2010 estimated replacement cost into the periodic investment need. This appendix section is referred to by the sections of the report concerning buildingbased facilities: Surface Water Treatment Plants, Ground Water Treatment Facilities, and Pressure Boosting Stations..

A large portion the value of community water system infrastructure is invested in complex facilities including water treatment plants and pressure booster stations. These facilities consist of buildings constructed to house primary and auxiliary water treatment process functions and equipment. They are termed "complex" in that many different built and manufactured components comprise them, each with correspondingly variable costs to build initially, then to maintain or replace. Examples of these components include the building itself, tankage, process and piping equipment, both within and outside in the yard. Additionally, there is important auxiliary equipment required to run a facility such as telemetry, instrumentation, control, heating,
and plumbing. These many components are subject to varying rates of deterioration, and a correspondingly variable need and cost for replacement/refurbishments over time.

## E. 2 METHODOLOGY

A uniform way of projecting replacement costs over twenty years and annually was developed. The approach was based on building trade components and their estimated service life. It is worth reiterating that the purpose of the Study is to obtain an aggregated replacement value for all existing facilities of each type in the state, and is not appropriate for estimating a true value for each individual facility in the database.

## E.2.1 Review of Initial Steps (covered in more detail in pertinent sections)

Initial steps are described under each appendix discussing the specifics of each infrastructure type. These resulted in a 2010 replacement cost for each facility in the NH database inventory of facilities. Generalized initial steps are summarized here.

Collection of Known Project Costs: Cost information was compiled for a set of recent and historical construction projects. These projects included construction costs and also other development costs (planning studies, engineering, financing, and administration) when these could be found which were combined to result in a "Total Developed Cost" for each facility. Land purchase costs were not generally available and are therefore excluded from the analysis.

Indexing to Current Year (2010): Compiled project costs were normalized to 2010 using a construction cost index (in this case the Engineering News Record's 20-City Construction Cost Average). After normalizing actual constructed projects' Total Developed Costs to the year 2010, a mathematical model was developed that could predict a general Total Developed Cost for the facilities in the DES database. The specifics of this for each infrastructure type are described under the pertinent sections of this report.

These initial steps resulted in an estimated 2010 cost for each facility datapoint in the infrastructure inventory. However, the purpose was to determine a 20-year and an annual investment need that would cover replacement/refurbishment based on the expected service lives
of the facility's components. However, for complex facilities housing treatment and other water system equipment and functions, not all components around, on, and in a building have the same service life. Certain assets, such as roofs, must be rebuilt more regularly, whereas the structural components of a building are long-lived and don't need regular replacement. Software must be replaced very frequently compared to other equipment in the facility because of the pace of technology advancements.

## E.2.2 Estimated Share of Costs by Building Trade Asset Division

To determine how to apportion the 2010 replacement cost (previous paragraph) over a 20 -year period, the same sample sets of recently constructed projects (used to create the model) were again examined to determine how the construction costs were apportioned by asset type. Each constructed project's records allowed an examination of its breakdown of cost according to building trade division, which could then be combined and averaged. To get at the components of a water treatment facility, a breakdown according to asset categories as represented by the share of construction cost by skilled construction trade discipline may be made as shown in Table E-1.

In general, different contractors (the building trade division) have the expertise to supply and install the different asset categories within constructed facilities and equipment in a construction project (process, civil, structural, architectural, plumbing/heating/ventilation, instrumentation/ electrical). During construction, these different types of work are tracked for the purpose of payment using a document called the "Schedule of Values" which breaks out the costs according to these different areas. These documents tend to not be entirely consistent from project to project in the way elements of cost are grouped together, but most contractors generally follow the same groupings. Although, there is certainly variation in the specifics within each building trade, it is a good general approach to assume that things constructed under any particular building trade would share similar characteristics. The general contractor may combine the divisions such as architectural and structural in organizing the construction work. Mechanical and process divisions are often combined, as are the electrical and instrumentation/telemetry sections. However, the schedule of values is usually the only available detailed record of construction costs.

For each constructed project, Schedules of Values were collected and examined to determine the relative percentage share of total construction cost by grouped construction trade division. These were then averaged across all the projects to create a factor. The construction trade division factors were applied to the 2010 estimated cost for each building based facility in the database to make an estimate of what each building trade division's share could be. These costs were broken out in this way to enable application of service life to each estimated division cost.

Table E-1 shows both the breakout of cost components by building trade asset type. Additionally, Table E-1 provides a general description of the systems, equipment, and work under each trade division, showing the estimated division shares as an average. Architectural and structural assets were separated here because of the very different service lives of their components (although they are often quoted together by the general contractor who is thinking in terms of supplying a whole building).

An estimate for prospective frequencies and intervals for maintenance and refurbishments over a 20-year period based on each facility's component asset's expected service life is described next.

## E.2.3 Service Life

The construction building trade asset divisions, described above, may also be used for determining the cost to replace/refurbish facility components over time. The systems and equipment furnished and installed during construction under each of the building trade asset divisions (described above), may be assumed to have generally similar needs for refurbishment or replacement over time (represented by either of the terms "service life" or "useful life"). For example, as a group instrumentation and software systems may be expected to become outdated or require replacement within a comparatively short time frame compared to more robust and heavily built treatment process equipment (filters, pressure vessels). Architectural components such as windows, doors, roofing, and paint tend to be subject to more deterioration over time compared to the long-lived concrete and masonry (comprising a structural system). In this final

## TABLE E-1

BREAKOUT BY BUILDING TRADE ASSET GROUP - ESTIMATED SHARE OF COSTS AND SERVICE LIFE

| Asset <br> Division | Example Components Under Asset Division | Est. Service Life of Component Group |  | Average Asset Div \% of Total Developed Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Groundwater Facilities | Surface Water Treatment Plants | Pressure <br> Boosting Facilities |
| Civil/Site | Clearing \& grubbing, excavation, trenching, loaming/seeding/mulching/ landscaping, paving, pre-cast concrete, demolition, site piping | 75 |  | 20\% | 15\% | 10\% |
| Structural | Cast-in-place concrete, rebar, masonry, tankage | 100 |  | 18\% | 18\% | 15\% |
| Architectural | Roof, carpentry, damp-proofing, metals/grating, coatings | 25 |  | 12\% | 12\% | 15\% |
| Process | Treatment process equipment, interior piping, valves, gauges, chemical feed systems | 20 | 15 | 30\% | 38\% | 35\% |
| Mechanical | Plumbing, heating, ventilation, sanitary systems (sometimes this division includes process piping and equipment), storage silos, lifts, gantrys, special mechanical equipment | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| Electrical | Service entrance \& distribution, wiring/conduits, power panels, emergency backup power \& transfer switch, telephone, security systems | 20 | 15 | 20\% | 17\% | 25\% |
| Instrumentation /Telemetry | Process instrumentation, control panels, process computers (programmable logic controllers), operator interface, control wiring/conduits | 10 |  |  |  |  |

step of the model, the 20 years of the cost period were divided by the estimated service life to create a ratio factor that could be applied to each trade division percent of cost. The estimated service life for different classifications of water facilities are shown in Table E-1.

## E.2.3 Projecting Investment Need

To get to the 20-year need, the techniques described above were applied. The estimated 2010 cost for each facility in the DES dataset was multiplied by the estimated share of costs contributed by each Building Trade Asset Division. Following this, a factor was applied consisting of the 20 year time horizon divided by the estimated service life for each Building Trade Asset Division. This is explained much more briefly in the following equation:

20-Year Investment Need $=$
$\Sigma\left[\left(\right.\right.$ Total Developed Cost Indexed to 2010) x (Bldg Assed Class \% Share of Cost) x $\left.\left(\frac{20 \text { yr Horizon }}{\text { Bldg Asset Class. Service Life }}\right) \right\rvert\,$

The 20-year result was divided by 20 to also present an annual need.

The projected need for each of the facilities in the DES database were then summed to obtain the estimated expected total investment need for each class of water infrastructure, over the 20 years, as well as annually. This is a linear relationship such that the 20 year cost may be divided by two to find a 10 year investment need, or by 20 to find an annual cost.

The calculations are shown in each of the applicable Appendix section spreadsheets.
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## F. 1 SURFACE WATER TREATMENT FACILITY METHOD

The population of surface water facilities serving from 0.10 to 50 MGD is fairly small in New Hampshire ( 39 systems). The date of construction and construction cost for each surface water treatment plant serving over 0.10 MGD was available from NHDES records. This provided an almost complete inventory of plant costs to which the division cost component/service life approach could be applied.

Complete cost information was available for 34 of the 39 surface water treatment facilities. Because costs were not available for five facilities, the 34 for which information was available were modeled using regression analysis. The completeness of the data set allowed modeling only the NH systems without using other cost examples for the set from within New England.

The Engineering News Record 20- City Construction Cost Index was used to bring all costs to the year 2010. Table F-1 shows the cost set used. A scatterplot was generated for each system for which costs were available with "cost per gallon" on the x axis (abscissa) and "capacity as million gallons per day (MGD)" on the y axis (ordinate). Standard residuals were examined to remove apparent outliers before generating a line of best fit (Figure F-1) to use as the model equation. The equation was applied to the five systems for which costs were not available (shown at the bottom of Table F-1) to estimate a 2010 total developed cost.

Because the objective is to determine both an annual and 20-year funding need to replace facilities as they age, subsequent steps were made for modeling. These steps are common for any of the building based infrastructure housing facilities, and are described in detail in Appendix E. Table F-2 displays both the model equation applied to the five facilities without historical costs, and the component method of incorporating the service lives of the various building assets.

Table F-1:NH Surface Water Facilities: Cost Set for Developing Model
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { Enter Date of Current ENR Index } & \text { Nov-10 } \\ \text { Current ENR index for that date } & 8951\end{array}$
COST SET OF NH SURFACE WATER PLANTS

| EPA\# | Municipality | Public Water System | Type | Capacity, MGD | Project Year | ENR CCI | Actual Construction Cost | Engineering Cost | Actual Total Developed Cost for Given Date |  | Indexed to 2010 Total Developed Cost |  | Cost/Gallon Indexed to 2010 |  | Cost/Gallon Indexed to 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0351010 | CANAAN | CANAAN WATER DEPT | Slow Sand | 0.10 | 1988 | 4519 | 361,902 | \$ 136,600 | \$ | 498,502 | \$ | 987,367 | \$ | 9.87 | \$ | 9.87 |
| 1691010 | NEW HAMPTON | NEW HAMPTON VILLAGE PRECINCT | Kinetico | 0.17 | 1995 | 5471 | 340,851 | \$ 101,298 | \$ | 442,149 | \$ | 723,362 | \$ | 4.19 | \$ | 4.19 |
| 0081010 | ANDOVER | ANDOVER VILLAGE DIST | Kinetico | 0.20 | 1993 | 5210 | \$ 405,159 | \$ 13,476 | \$ | 418,635 | \$ | 719,204 | \$ | 3.60 | \$ | 3.60 |
| 1191010 | HOPKINTON | CONTOOCOOK VILLAGE PRECINCT | Kinetico | 0.20 | 1993 | 5210 | \$ 627,520 | \$ 175,937 | \$ | 803,457 | \$ | 1,380,317 | \$ | 6.90 | \$ | 6.90 |
| 1911010 | PITTSFIELD | PITTSFIELD AQUEDUCT CO | Conventional - Trident | 0.25 | 1997 | 5826 | \$ 795,757 | \$ 89,231 | \$ | 884,988 | \$ | 1,359,631 | \$ | 5.44 | \$ | 5.44 |
| 0161010 | BARTLETT | BARTLETT VILLAGE PRECINCT | Slow Sand | 0.30 | 1995 | 5471 | \$ 761,000 | \$ 127,898 | \$ | 888,898 | \$ | 1,454,251 | \$ | 4.85 | \$ | 4.85 |
| 0241010 | BETHLEHEM | BETHLEHEM VILLAGE DIST | Slow Sand | 0.50 | 1993 | 5210 | \$ 964,738 | \$ 356,956 | \$ | 1,321,694 | \$ | 2,270,635 | \$ | 4.54 | \$ | 4.54 |
| 2271010 | SUNAPEE | SUNAPEE WATER WORKS | Slow Sand | 0.50 | 1997 | 5826 | \$ 1,546,074 | \$ 414,457 | \$ | 1,960,531 | \$ | 3,012,016 | \$ | 6.02 | \$ | 6.02 |
| 0911010 | GOFFSTOWN | GOFFSTOWN VILLAGE PRECINCT | Kinetico | 0.60 | 1994 | 5408 | \$ 1,064,000 | \$ 143,500 | \$ | 1,207,500 | \$ | 1,998,502 | \$ | 3.33 | \$ | 3.33 |
| 1291010 | LANCASTER | LANCASTER WATER DEPT | Slow Sand | 0.70 | 1995 | 5471 | \$ 1,690,025 | \$ 150,643 | \$ | 1,840,669 | \$ | 3,011,362 | \$ | 4.30 | \$ | 4.30 |
| 1741010 | NEWPORT | NEWPORT WATER WORKS | Slow Sand | 0.70 | 1993 | 5210 | \$ 1,060,096 | \$ 192,633 | \$ | 1,252,729 | \$ | 2,152,155 | \$ | 3.07 | \$ | 3.07 |
| 0921010 | GORHAM | GORHAM WATER AND SEWER DEPT | Slow Sand | 1.00 | 1990 | 4732 | \$ 1,648,821 | \$ 282,544 | \$ | 1,931,365 | \$ | 3,653,203 | \$ | 3.65 | \$ | 3.65 |
| 1101040 | HAVERHILL | WOODSVILLE WATER \& LIGHT | Conventional - Trident | 1.00 | 1991 | 4835 | \$ 1,467,160 | \$ 367,344 | \$ | 1,834,504 | \$ | 3,396,067 | \$ | 3.40 | \$ | 3.40 |
| 1141010 | HILLSBORO | HILLSBORO WATER WORKS | Slow Sand | 1.00 | 1995 | 5471 | \$ 2,242,518 | \$ 421,394 | \$ | 2,663,912 | \$ | 4,358,202 | \$ | 4.36 | \$ | 4.36 |
| 1351010 | LINCOLN | LINCOLN WATER WORKS | Conventional - Trident | 1.00 | 1992 | 4985 | \$ 2,268,367 | \$ 367,888 | \$ | 2,636,255 | \$ | 4,733,434 | \$ | 4.73 | \$ | 4.73 |
| 1521010 | MEREDITH | MEREDITH WATER DEPT | Conventional - Trident | 1.00 | 1988 | 4519 | \$ 1,264,340 | \$ 245,247 | \$ | 1,509,586 | \$ | 2,989,989 | \$ | 2.99 | \$ | 2.99 |
| 2561010 | WOLFEBORO | WOLFEBORO WATER AND SEWER | Conventional - Trident | 2.00 | 1996 | 5620 | \$ 2,366,104 | \$ 440,791 | \$ | 2,806,895 | \$ | 4,470,374 | \$ | 2.24 | \$ | 2.24 |
| 2151010 | SOMERSWORTH | SOMERSWORTH WATER WORKS | Conventional | 3.00 | 1991 | 4835 | \$ 2,463,303 | \$ 493,539 | \$ | 2,956,842 | \$ | 5,473,760 | \$ | 1.82 | \$ | 1.82 |
| 0801010 | EXETER | EXETER WATER DEPT | Conventional | 3.40 | 1990 | 4732 | \$ 2,816,648 | \$ 846,128 | \$ | 3,662,776 | \$ | 6,928,188 | \$ | 2.04 | \$ | 2.04 |
| 0231010 | BERLIN | BERLIN WATER WORKS | Conventional - Trident | 4.00 | 1995 | 5471 | \$ 4,999,772 | \$ 655,157 | \$ | 5,654,929 | \$ | 9,251,551 | \$ | 2.31 | \$ | 2.31 |
| 1951010 | PORTSMOUTH | PORTSMOUTH WATER WORKS | Conventional | 5.00 | 1995 | 5471 | \$ 1,607,181 | \$ 381,104 | \$ | 1,988,285 | \$ | 3,252,865 | \$ | 0.65 | \$ | 0.65 |
| 2001010 | ROCHESTER | ROCHESTER WATER DEPT | Conventional | 5.00 | 1988 | 4519 | \$ 4,402,311 | \$ 582,794 | \$ | 4,985,105 | \$ | 9,873,840 | \$ | 1.97 | \$ | 1.97 |
| 1241010 | KEENE | KEENE WATER DEPT | Conventional - Trident | 6.00 | 1994 | 5408 | \$ 3,405,015 | \$ 451,354 | \$ | 3,856,369 | \$ | 6,382,577 | \$ | 1.06 | \$ | 1.06 |
| 1281010 | LACONIA | LACONIA WATER WORKS | Conventional - Trident | 6.00 | 1989 | 4615 | \$ 2,718,169 | \$ 470,183 | \$ | 3,188,352 | \$ | 6,183,703 | \$ | 1.03 | \$ | 1.03 |
| 2051010 | SALEM | SALEM WATER DEPT | Conventional - Trident | 6.00 | 1991 | 4835 | \$ 3,726,543 | \$ 645,593 | \$ | 4,372,136 | \$ | 8,093,777 | \$ | 1.35 | \$ | 1.35 |
| 1621010 | NASHUA | PENNICHUCK WATER WORKS | Conventional | 32.00 | 1980 | 3237 | - | - | \$ | 7,600,000 | \$ | 21,014,787 | \$ | 0.66 | \$ | 0.66 |
| 1621010 | NASHUA | PENNICHUCK WATER WORKS | Conventional | 32.00 | 2009 | 8566 | \$ 29,900,000 | \$ 5,200,000 | \$ | 35,100,000 | \$ | 36,676,099 | \$ | 1.15 | \$ | 1.15 |
| 1471010 | MANCHESTER | MANCHESTER WATER WORKS | Conventional | 50.00 | 1974 | 2020 | - | - | \$ | 5,500,000 | \$ | 24,370,554 | \$ | 0.49 | \$ | 0.49 |
| 1471010 | MANCHESTER | MANCHESTER WATER WORKS | Conventional | 50.00 | 2006 | 7751 | - | - | \$ | 32,800,000 | \$ | 37,876,531 | \$ | 0.76 | \$ | 0.76 |
| 1071010 | HANOVER | HANOVER WATER WORKS | Membrane | 3.50 | 2005 | 7415 | \$ 4,992,100 | \$ 777,793 | \$ | 5,769,893 | \$ | 6,964,833 | \$ | 1.99 | \$ | 1.99 |
| 991010 | GREENVILLE | GREENVILLE WATER DEPT | Conventional | 0.40 | 1999 | 6060 | \$ 1,810,101 | \$ 389,500 | \$ | 2,199,601 | \$ | 3,248,817 | \$ | 8.12 | \$ | 8.12 |

SuggestedOutliers removed for developing model

| 2494010 | WESTMORELAND | CHESHIRE COUNTY HOME | Conventional | 0.10 | 1992 | 4985 | \$ | 477,000 | \$ | 81,566 | \$ | 558,566 | 1,002,913 | \$ | 10.03 | \$ | 10.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1211010 | JACKSON | JACKSON WATER PRECINCT | Kinetico | 0.35 | 2003 | 6695 | \$ | 1,566,666 | \$ | 359,894 | \$ | 1,926,560 | 2,575,645 | \$ | 7.36 | \$ | 7.36 |
| 1951010 | PORTSMOUTH | PORTSMOUTH WATER WORKS | Conventional | 4.00 | 2010 | 8671 | \$ | 16,949,947 | \$ | 4,307,000 | \$ | 21,256,947 | 21,942,484 | \$ | 5.49 | \$ | 5.49 | Systems without historical cost information


| 461010 | CLAREMONT | CLAREMONT WATER DEPT | Conventional |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 501010 CONCORD | CONCCORD WATER WORKS | Conventional | 4.00 |
| 691010 | DURHAM | DURHAM/UNH WATER WORKS | Conventional |
| 1321010 | LEBANON | LEBANON WATER DEPT | Conventional |
| 1381010 | LITTLETON | LITTLETON WATER AND LIGHT | Slow Sand |

Figure F-1: NH Surface Water Treatment Plants Capacity vs Actual Cost per Gallon Indexed to 2010



## SURFACE WATER TREATMENT PROJECTION OF CAPITAL NEEDS

The total cost to replace these facilities during 2010 was estimated to be $\$ 261.3 \mathrm{M}$ for surface water treatment facilities in the State of New Hampshire. Annually and over the 20 year period are summarized in Table F-3 below.

TABLE F-3
PROJECTED SURFACE WATER TREATMENT FACILITY REPLACEMENT COSTS IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

| Type of <br> Treatment | Number of Water <br> Systems in New <br> Hampshire | Estimated 2010 <br> Replacement <br> Cost (\$) | Estimated 20-year <br> Replacement Cost <br> of System <br> Components (\$) | Average Annual <br> Expenditures for <br> years 2010 <br> through 2030 <br> (\$/year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surface Water | 39 |  | $\$ 261.3 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 13.1$ |

## APPENDIX G

Ground Water Treatment Facilities

## APPENDIX G <br> GROUND WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

## G. 1 METHODOLOGY FOR GROUNDWATER SYSTEMS

A general discussion of groundwater facilities is presented in Section 8 of the text. Because of the large number of ground water systems, and the variability amongst systems, a step by step method was developed to form reasonable correlations and cost projections based on known factors. To create a cost model, mathematical relationships were developed based on a set of facilities for which the actual construction and cost information was known. These relationships were then applied to the database of facilities for to estimate a cost for each. The steps to creating a model are discussed in detail, below.

## G. 2 DEVELOP AN INVENTORY OF KNOWN PROJECT COSTS

Records of recently constructed projects for which detailed cost information was available were assembled. Information included the treatments provided, footprint area of the facility housing the treatment, approximate construction or bid date, production capacity, bid construction costs and other costs of development (excluding land purchase) where possible. Construction and development costs were combined into, what will be termed in this study report, a "Total Developed Cost". Total Developed Cost was defined to include not only the actual costs of construction (of all facilities including the well pump and transmission piping), but also included the cost of planning studies, engineering and survey, financing, and administration when these could be found (however, this did not include land costs). Prior to creating a cost model, the Total Developed Costs were normalized to the current date using a construction cost index (in this case the Engineering News Record's 20-City Construction Cost Average).

## G. 3 LINKING CONSTRUCTED PROJECT COSTS AND THE DATASET OF WELL FACILITIES

In order to determine a mathematical relationship (the model), a second numerical factor was identified (an independent variable) that would be part of the record in the DES dataset of facilities. This factor would be used to link the DES dataset of groundwater facilities with a factor shared in common in the records of the constructed facilities making up the set of Total

Developed Costs. Such a factor should be common among all the water facilities of the same type. Cost determinative factors considered were:

- Building footprint in square feet
- Population served
- Production volume; such as a flow rate in terms of millions of gallons per day (MGD) or gallons per minute (gpm)
- Type of treatment used

However, the factors above could not be used directly as a linking factor to Total Developed Cost without some manipulation for the following reasons:

1. Building size - Building size is considered to be the most influential factor in project cost. However, the dimensions of the facilities used by the 662 community groundwater systems was not part of the record in the database (and was impractical to obtain directly).
2. Population served - The NH database lists a community water system's population based on the customer accounts served by a common water main distribution system. The population served by each of several facilities in a system cannot be parsed out because each groundwater well's contribution to the water supply is mixed together within the distribution piping system for the entire population, and cannot be ascribed to one well. Therefore, population cannot be used as a linking factor to costs.
3. Capacity production Volume - Capacity production volume of a facility may be somewhat predictive of the building area required when the treatment process equipment is also known. Both treatment method and process equipment that are provided to each database facility was in the database, but the rated production capacity for each facility is not in the database record.

One would not necessarily expect that such information would be collected for a database. This is because, from an operational point of view, production is not a fixed quantity. For example, the daily hours of operation, and used percent of well capacity would vary from day
to day based on operational need. Water systems are unlikely to use their complete groundwater capacity every day because water systems generally hold excess capacity in order to be able to address emergencies. Additionally, water systems will generally rotate and alternate well usage, use them at less than full capacity, or at full pumping capacity but for only a short portion of a day. It is important for water systems to have such production capacity in reserve in the event of well failure, pump failure, or contamination of one of the sources.

However, the database for groundwater wells did contain the safe yield of each groundwater source. DES staff were able to link the sources to the facility serving them, and sum the safe yields going to each facility. Summed safe yield is discussed in more detail below.
4. Treatment methods - The DES database records both treatment method and equipment type used for each facility. If the treatment process equipment is identified, and if production capacity were available, a rough estimate of the facility required to house the equipment could be made. The type and capacity of the process equipment influences the size of facilities (and therefore construction cost based on square footage). More complicated treatment entails more equipment with more supporting auxiliary facilities, which each need to be purchased, installed, started and tested. Moreover, each process system requires space to house it inside a building, increasing the building footprint. The inventory of constructed projects with their known Total Developed Costs, supported the conclusion that that the cost of facilities tended to increase with increasing treatment process complexity, beginning with (1) "chemical addition", (2) "aeration", and (3) "granular media pressure filtration facilities". A category "no treatment" has been included, although no specific examples were available in the constructed project cost set. Many of the smallest facilities that make up much of the inventory of facilities in NH do not provide any treatment. A basic estimate was made to enable these facilities to be included.

## G.3.1 Using Cumulative Safe Yield as the Independent Variable Linking Database to Cost

The DES database identified each building facility that served each community well, and also the type of treatment provided. The DES database contained the determined safe yield (from permitting records) of each community well in the state. DES staff were able to combine the two
data sets to show the cumulative safe yield contributions of all wells served by each building facility.

Cumulative Safe Yield was therefore chosen as the basic surrogate parameter to stand for capacity production volume. This is because safe yield could be assumed to be similar in magnitude to production capacity (which also tends to be larger than average production usage). The cumulative safe yield was therefore entered as the independent variable for estimating a basic building square footage using the Step 1 model, described below. Treatment factors were then developed to adjust estimated square footage and construction costs due to process equipment, described in more detail below.

Although not optimal, Cumulative Safe Yield as a surrogate independent variable for capacity production volume was determined to be the only available link between the constructed cost set and all database community groundwater systems.

## G. 4 STRATEGY FOR A COST MODEL

## G.4.1 Working with the Set of Constructed Project Costs

A cost set of 39 construction projects were collected. After projects were removed by analysis for outliers or for having insufficient information, 30 projects remained to use as the basis for cost modeling. Project records included plant capacity, square footage, treatments, and total developed costs. Costs were indexed to 2010 as described above. The 30 cost samples divided according to treatment as follows:

- chemical additions - 12 cost data points from 1996 to 2010,
- aeration and chemical addition - (12 cost data points from 1995 to 2010).
- granular media/resin pressure filtration - (6 cost data points from 2000 to 2010;).
- no treatment - No costs were available for facilities either not providing treatment, nor for the facilities serving the very smallest systems.

The modeling process is summarized briefly in this paragraph and described in more detail below. A regression analysis was made for each treatment grouping in the set of constructed projects to identify a mathematical relationship between (1) production capacity and square
footage, and (2) square footage and cost. Each regression analysis was tested for fit and outliers removed (determined as more than two standard deviations from regression line).

This provided stepping stones: production capacity $\rightarrow$ square footage $\rightarrow$ cost. The first relationship (production capacity and square footage (1)) was applied to the cumulative safe yield of each database facility to estimate a square footage. Then this square footage was entered into the second relationship (square foot and cost (2)) to estimate a total developed cost.

Additional adjustments were then made for the type of treatment in the facility, both in terms of how treatment affects square footage and how treatment equipment affects cost. Replacement costs were then projected over twenty years based on building trade components and their estimated service life. More detail of the method is described sequentially below.

## G.4.2 Step by Step Development of Link between Independent Variable and Estimated 2010 Cost

The steps to developing the cost model for groundwater facilities may be summarized as follows:

Step 1: Relating Capacity to Square Footage: For the set of constructed projects, actual production capacity volumes (x axis) were scatter plotted against building footprint area (y axis), and regression analysis applied. This was initially done separately for each treatment facility grouping (chemical additions, aeration, pressure filtration) because building space requirements tend to increase with increasing treatment complexity (as described above) in the following order: (1) no treatment uses very little floor space, (2) chemical additions require some space to store and dispense the chemicals, (3) aeration requires a vessel and a second pump, and (4) pressure filtration requires the media vessels and chemicals to condition the water entering the vessels.

Each treatment grouping of the constructed projects showed a relationship between production volume capacity and square footage with a large amount of scatter. Moreover, neither the aeration nor the pressure filtration treatment grouping cost sets included facilities with capacities below 0.10 MGD (except for one costed aeration facility with a 0.14 MGD capacity). This
meant that for the pressure filtration and aeration facilities, the capacity to square footage relationship in the lower part of their curve was not represented by data points and could not reliably be extended to apply to small capacity systems. Because the small groundwater facilities constitute the majority of facilities in the NH dataset, it was important to represent a strong mathematical model relationship in the smaller capacity area is very important. For this reason, the statistical relationships available for aeration and pressure filtration facilities could not be applied to facilities with the smaller production capacities (see Figure G-1).

However, Figure G-1 shows how the constructed project costs for the chemical additions treatment grouping covered a wide range of production capacities ( 0.02 to 2.9 MGD ), and they also exhibited a stronger statistical relationship between capacity and square footage ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.56$ compared to the aeration or pressure filter grouping cost sets which showed $R^{2}=0.42$ and -0.13 , respectively). Setting the intercepts of the pressure grouping set to zero resulted in an estimate of less square footage for small capacity facilities than was found by plotting the chemical additions grouping, which didn't make sense. However, the shape of the curve for chemical additions treatment facilities exhibited the same behavior as the aeration facilities curve, starting out with a large increases in square footage with increasing capacity, but leveling out between 1.0 and 2.0 MGD. The shape of the curve for capacity vs square footage became a method for obtaining an initial base-line square footage for the entire database of groundwater facilities, using the chemical feed only relationship; $\mathrm{SF}=186.31 \mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{MGD})+$ 1031.2. However, Figure G-1 show how retaining the shape of the chemical additions pump station curve for the more complex process facilities, and afterwards increasing the estimate of square footage by multiplicative factors (derived from the cost inventory) could effectively include the influence of treatment on building square footage. A similar approach was also used to include the effect on constructed cost of the purchase and installation of equipment (an effect of process on cost in addition to influencing the square footage of a building). The development of these factors is described next.

Figure G-1: (Step 1) - Regression on Costed, Indexed GW Stations: MGD capacity vs Footprint Area


Step 2: Adjustment Factor for Building Areas Required by Treatment Grouping: As described in Step 1, above, the production capacity vs. square footage relationship was not strong when considering the more complex treatment groups separately (aeration and pressure filtration). To adequately include the influence of treatment type on modeled costs, two factors were developed. The first to adjust square footage according to the treatment processes used, and a second to adjust costs according to the treatment process purchased and installed (Step 4, below). The development of the first factor is described herein Step 2, and its application to estimating cost in Step 2.

The square footages for facilities were each normalized by dividing square footages by the production capacity. There were eleven (11) examples for chemical addition facilities, nine (9) for aeration, and five for pressure filtration. The examples were provided by Wright-Pierce project records, DES records, Underwood Engineers, and Horizon Engineering. The SF/MGD was averaged for each treatment grouping. Because we had no examples of the no-treatment "code 0 " grouping, these were assumed to be $10 \%$ less than the chemical additions only grouping. Factors to upwardly adjust square footage according to the treatment provided are shown in Table G-1a, below:

## TABLE G-1A (STEP 2)

FACTORS TO ADJUST SQUARE FOOT AREA FOR TREATMENT

| Treatment Grouping | Area Adjustment Factor for Treatment |
| :---: | :---: |
| No Treatment | $0 \%$ |
| Chemical Addition | $10 \%$ |
| Aeration | $30 \%$ |
| Pressure Filtration | $50 \%$ |

These are shown in more detail with the sample set from which they were derived in Table G-1b.

Step 3: Relating Square Footage to Total Developed Cost: The set of costed constructed projects were again separated according to treatment grouping and actual square footages scatter plotted against 2010 indexed Total Developed Costs to determine a mathematical relationship (recall the discussion in Step 1 above was about relating production capacity vs. square footage by treatment grouping, which exhibited a weaker, more scattered relationship compared to the same treatment groupings for square footage vs. costs). For relating square footage to cost, each treatment grouping subset's linear regression line exhibited a very similar slope and intercept that was independent of treatment grouping (Figure G-2). For this reason the subsets were combined to create a stronger relationship (Figure G-3). To capture smaller capacity facilities, the model intercept was set to zero (the relationship is given as $\$ \mathrm{M}=0.0012 \times \mathrm{SF} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=0.79$ ). This relationship was applied to the adjusted estimate of square footage determined in Steps 1 and 2 for each database facility. For those database systems with production flows less than 10 gpm, $\$ 280,000$ was assigned as a baseline cost. Cost was estimated for database points with flows greater than 10 gpm by applying this mathematical model to the estimated square footage derived in Steps 1 and 2, above.

Step 4: Adjust Total Developed Cost for Treatment Process Equipment Purchase and Installation

Step $1 \& 2$ created and adjusted an estimated square footage based on combined safe yield and a factor for the additional building square footage required by the type of treatment housed. Step 3 used the estimated square footages to calculate an estimated 2010 total developed cost. At this stage, the Step 3 cost estimate was based only on square footage considerations. Step 4 modified the Step 3 cost estimate by applying a factor for the purchase and installation of treatment equipment. To develop the factor for treatment process equipment cost and installation, the records of construction cost projects separate out the costs attributable to purchasing and installing treatment process equipment and associated auxiliary facilities. No Step 4 adjustment was made if no treatment (treatment code "0") was provided to the database point. Treatment Factors for Total Developed Costs are shown in Table G-2a:

| Normalize Square Footage to MGD Capacity for Each Treatment Typer <br> Assume No Treatment would be about 10\% less than chemical additions only <br> Actual costs, not indexed |
| :--- |
| Name of Treatment Facility |

1a chemical feed treatment square footage is assumed to be $9 \%$ higher on average than a facility with chemical feed facilities; limited data set means this is a rough estimate So a faciltity with no treatment would be assumed to have normalized SF/MGD of approx $10 \%$ less than 1a treated square foot/MGD

Figure G-2: NH GROUNDWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES:
Sample Set of Costed, Indexed Facilities
Footprint Area vs Total Developed Cost (\$M)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Aeration Sta Cost, } y=(0.0013 x \text { SF })-0.4447 \\
& R^{2}=0.6533 \\
& \text { Chem Feed Sta Cost, } y=(0.0008 x \text { SF })+0.2821 \\
& R^{2}=0.508 \\
& \text { Pressure Filter Cost, } y=(0.0013 x \text { SF })-0.1118 \\
& R^{2}=0.7444
\end{aligned}
$$

- Indexed Total Developed Cost, \$M
- Indexed Total Developed Cost, \$M
- Indexed Total Developed Cost, \$M

Chem Feed Sta Footprint SF vs Total Developed Cost

-     -         - Pressure Filter Sta Footprint SF vs Total Developed Cost
Aeration Sta Footprint SF vs Total Developed Cost

Figure G-3: NH GROUNDWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES
Sample Set of All Costed, Indexed Facilities
Footprint Area vs Total Developed Cost, \$M (outliers removed)
Intercept through Origin to Capture Small Systems


## TABLE G-2A (STEP 4)

## FACTORS TO ADJUST SQUARE FOOT AREA FOR TREATMENT

| Treatment Grouping | Cost Adjustment Factor for Treatment |
| :---: | :---: |
| No Treatment | $0 \%$ |
| Chemical Addition | $7.2 \%$ |
| Aeration | $17.4 \%$ |
| Pressure Filtration | $19.2 \%$ |

Table G-2B shows additional detail of the Total Developed Cost and the dates of the sample set projects. These were not indexed to 2010 because for determining proportion, this step was not required.

## G.4.3 Determining the Projected Future Investment Need

The results of Steps 1 through 4 estimated a 2010 replacement cost for each facility in the NH database inventory of groundwater facilities. It is worth reiterating that the value of the method is to obtain an aggregated replacement value for all groundwater facilities in the state, and is not appropriate for estimating a true value for each individual facility in the database. All modeling steps are shown and described visually in the header rows of Table G-3.

Steps 1 through 4 normalized the infrastructure inventory to estimated 2010 costs. However, the interest is in determining a 20-year replacement/refurbishment cost based on the expected service lives of the facility's components. Not all building components have the same service life. Certain assets, such as roofs, must be rebuilt more regularly, whereas the structural components of a building are long-lived and don't need regular replacement. Software must be replaced very frequently compared to other equipment in the facility because of the pace of technology advancements. The next steps first break the Total Developed Costs into components based on building asset divisions, then factors costs over the 20 year period using a factor based on service life.

Table G-2b: (Step 4): Treatment Process Factor for Total Developed Cost


Step 5 Adjusting Cost Components by Building Asset Components - To transform the 2010 replacement values to a 20-year projection, the useful life of individual components must be accessed (because the purpose is to find the cost needs of existing facilities, not costs to expand to meet growth or meet the requirements of new regulations).

To identify which components of cost would need to be replaced/refurbished over time, the categorized components of cost developed during actual construction projects may be used as a reasonable guideline. During the construction of a project, records are kept that break out costs based on construction building trade divisions. A detailed description of this process is presented in Appendix E.

Step 6 - Adjusting Cost Components by Anticipated Service Life: A convenient feature for determining the 20 -year need, is that the systems and equipment installed under each of the construction building trade divisions may be assumed to have similar needs for refurbishment or replacement over time. A factor may be developed based on the estimated service life of building trade division asset classes. A detailed description of this process is presented in Appendix E.

Step 7 - Steps 5 and 6 are combined to break apart the estimated 2010 Total Developed Cost, and then project a replacement/refurbishment need over 20 years and annually.

20-Year Investment Need $=$
$\sum\left[\left.\left(\right.\right.$ Total Developed Cost Indexed to 2010) x (Bldg Assed Class \% Share of Cost) x ( $\left.\frac{20 \text { yr Horizon }}{\text { Bldg Asset Class. Service Life }}\right) \right\rvert\,$

The calculations are presented in the attached spreadsheet Table G-3.

## G. 5 PROJECTIONS OF CAPITAL NEEDS FOR GROUND WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

There are approximately 500 ground water pump/treat facilities in the State of New Hampshire. The complete inventory for all treatment facilities in New Hampshire including are included in Table G-3. Table G-4, below summarizes projected need.


| EPA ID | System Name | Summed Safe Yields（gpm）of all wells serving the facility | Est Capacity，MGD （based on Summed Safe Yield） | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Treatment } \\ \text { Process Master } \\ \text { Code } \end{array}$ | Steps $1 \& 2$ est SF from MG capacity \＆ Treatment Provided，SF | Step 3，est total developed cost as $\$ M$ from sf | Step 4，adj by treatment process for 2010 total developed cos | Mechanical／ Process | Electrical／ Instrument ation | Architectural | Structural | Civil | Total 20－Year Component Replacement Cost | Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 175230 | PACKER MEADOWS | 10 | 0.0144 | ${ }^{19}$ | 200 | \＄280，000 | \＄380， 160 | \＄120，064 | 880，043 | 528.815 | \＄10，806 | S16，099 | \＄225，736 | \＄12，787 |
| 342470 202030 | VILAGE PNO DUSTI HOMESTEAD | 10 10 | ${ }_{\substack{0.0144 \\ 0.0144}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{16 \\ 16}}$ | 200 200 | \＄2880，000 S280000 |  | \＄1831．488 | ${ }_{\substack{887 \\ 887,659}}^{\text {c，}}$ |  |  |  | （8238，099 | \＄14，003 |
| 112030 | DEABborn | 11 | ${ }_{0}^{0.0151}$ | $1{ }^{18}$ | ${ }_{275}^{220}$ | \＄330，313 | ¢535，096 | \＄141，638 | \＄99，426 | ${ }_{\text {s33，993 }}$ | \＄12，747 | ${ }_{\text {S11，855 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {cke }}$ | ${ }_{\text {ckis }}$ |
| 1332010 | OYSTEER RIVER Coondos | ${ }_{11}^{11}$ | －0．0158 | ${ }_{\substack{19 \\ 10}}$ | ${ }^{2235}$ |  | cois | ¢146．54 |  |  |  | \＄\＄9，5399 |  | ${ }_{\text {815，607 }}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {2052070 }}^{2620}$ | AUTUNN Woods | ${ }_{12}^{11}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00158} 0$ | ${ }_{2}^{16}$ | ${ }_{358}^{337}$ |  | （ |  | \＄126，45 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S435，869 }}}^{\text {S403，991 }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S20，200 } \\ \text { S22，793 }}}$ |
| 821020 | FTTZWILLIAM VILLAGELAUGHNER | 12 | 0.0173 | 2 | ${ }_{358}$ | ${ }_{5429,181}$ | ${ }_{5511,583}$ | ${ }_{\text {\＄220，633 }}$ | \＄136，422 | \＄49，112 | \＄18，417 | s27，24 | \＄435，669 | \＄22，793 |
| 13333030 <br> 1932150 | EVERGREEN TERRACE | ${ }_{12}^{12}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0073}$ | ${ }_{2}$ | －${ }_{\text {358 }}^{358}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S2004，633 } \\ \text { S20．633 }}}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s499，112 }}}^{\text {S4，}}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { sp7，} 284 \\ \text { S27 } 284}}{ }$ | \＄4358，899 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s21，793 } \\ 821793}}$ |
| － 19384160 | Howato Manor condos DUELIN CHRITTAN ACODEMY | ${ }_{12}^{12}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00173}$ | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | －${ }_{358}^{358}$ |  |  |  | $\underset{\$ 136,422}{\$ 136,42}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { S499，112 } \\ \$ 49 \\ \hline 12}}{ }$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { sing } \\ \$ 18,417}}{\text { sid }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s21，793 }}}^{\text {s21，93 }}$ |
|  | EVANS TALLER ARAK | ${ }_{12}^{12}$ | ${ }^{0} 0.0173$ | 3 |  |  |  |  | （10， |  | \＄18，417 | cois | （ex | 边 |
| 2384010 1852090 | SULLVAN Countr CoMmplex SIMPSoN MLL ROAD | ${ }_{13}^{12}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00173}$ | ${ }_{12}^{12}$ | ${ }_{313}^{303}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S } \\ \$ 1650,765}}^{\$ 1.700}$ | （ |  |  | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { s20，763 } \\ \text { s2，} 449}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{5331,683 \\ 8342643}}^{\text {s，}}$ |  |
| （1852900 |  | 13 13 | ${ }_{\substack{0.018187}}^{0.0181}$ | ${ }_{2}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{377}^{313}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { si60，865 } \\ \$ 215,726}}$ | \＄ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s38，608 } \\ 551,74}}^{5912}$ | （10， |  | ¢ |  |
| （1992050 | CARRAGE APTS GREENHILS MHP | 13 13 | ${ }_{\substack{0.0187 \\ 0.0187}}^{0.08}$ | ${ }_{19}^{2}$ | ${ }_{319}^{377}$ |  | \＄${ }_{\substack{\text { S539，314 } \\ 5410.402}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S22，75 }}$ |
| 153910 4120010 |  | 13 14 | （0．0．0282 | ${ }_{10}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{334}^{319}$ |  | （ |  | \＄ |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |
| ${ }^{1852020}$ | PEUGGAEE HLL MLL Pono crosing | 14 15 |  | ${ }_{2}^{16}$ | ${ }_{412}^{395}$ | （4973．983 |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S222，533 } \\ \$ 225565}}$ | （140，388 |  | \＄820．32 | cois |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { s23，705 } \\ 925087}}{ }$ |
| ${ }_{23282050}^{2820}$ |  | 15 15 | ${ }_{\substack{0.0216 \\ 0.0216}}^{0.020}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | ${ }_{412}^{412}$ |  | ¢ | ¢ |  |  |  | 为 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S501，735 } \\ \text { S501，735 }}}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {s25，087 }}$ |
| ${ }^{2452230} 0$ | SOUTH WEARE Water | ${ }_{15}^{15}$ | ${ }^{0.0216}$ | 2 | ${ }^{412}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}$ \＄949，036 |  | ${ }_{\text {\＄233，556 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {\＄157，038 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S } 56,554}$ | ${ }^{521,200}$ | ${ }^{53,4,488}$ | ${ }_{\text {S } 5017,735}$ | ${ }_{\text {S22，087 }}$ |
| ${ }_{7}^{223202040}$ | MONTROSE CONDOS COUNTRY HILS Of EAST KIIGSTON | 15 16 | ${ }_{0}^{0.0222} 0$ | ${ }_{10}^{16}$ | ${ }_{362}^{418}$ |  | ¢ |  | ¢ | $\underset{\substack{556,542 \\ 444,54}}{5654}$ |  | cose |  |  |
| ${ }^{1430320}$ | Leal P PEES SOBILE HoME PKUPPR | 17 | ${ }^{0} 0.0240$ | 2 | ${ }^{437}$ | S544，761 |  | ${ }_{\text {cke }}^{\text {\＄250，185 }}$ |  | 560，044 | ${ }_{\text {s22，517 }}$ | cole | ¢ 5 S32，893 | \＄20，645 |
| ${ }_{8841010}^{139290}$ | PEUHARVEST VILLAGE FRANCONA VILAGE WATER | ${ }_{18}^{17}$ | 0.0225 0.0259 | ${ }_{0}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{456}^{374}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S448，812 } \\ \text { S47，026 }}}^{\text {S4，}}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { S192，40 } \\ \$ 218,811}}{\text { S，}}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$ 19,693}^{817,321}$ | ¢ ${ }_{\substack{\text { s25，60，} \\ \text { s2，} 150}}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {s20，}}^{520,903}$ |
| 203820 | LADD HIL MHP | 18 | 0.0259 | 2 | 456 | \＄547，026 | \＄6552，055 | \＄220，822 | \＄173，881 | \＄62，597 | \＄23，474 | \＄83，766 | \＄555，551 | \＄22，778 |
| 512120 762070 | SACO RIVER FOREST PUMER COUST | 18 18 | 0.0259 0.0259 | 2 | ${ }_{456}^{456}$ | （5547，026 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s62，597 } \\ 862597}}^{\text {S22，}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { sen } \\ \text { S23，474 }}}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S555555151 }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S27，778 } \\ \text { S2778 }}}$ |
| ${ }_{7}^{762870} 7$ | PLUMER COURT EPSOM HELLTHARE | 18 18 | ${ }_{0}^{0.025959} 0$ | 2 | ${ }_{456}^{456}$ | （ | \＄${ }_{\text {S6522，055 }}^{\text {S65 }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S62，597 } \\ 86259}}^{50397}$ |  | ¢ | ${ }_{\text {S } 555,551}^{\text {S55，51 }}$ |  |
| ${ }_{23822050}^{2080}$ | AUTUMN HILS WiNMII CoNDO | 18 18 | 0.0259 0.0259 | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | ${ }_{456}^{456}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { \＄260，822 } \\ \$ 260822}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S } \\ \$ 1773,881 \\ \hline 1781}}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s23，474 } \\ \text { s23，47 }}}$ | ¢ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S5555，551 }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S27，778 } \\ \text { S27，78 }}}$ |
| 1053200 | HEMLOCK HAVEN | 18 | ${ }_{0}^{0.0259}$ | $1{ }^{3}$ | ${ }_{386}$ |  | （ | 边 | \＄132，39 |  | \＄81，863 | \＄92，464 | \＄442，58 |  |
| ${ }_{\substack{322310 \\ 232170}}$ | HLLLCREST MANOR APTS LAMMGTON HLL | 19 19 | ${ }_{0}^{0.02299} 0$ | ${ }_{3}^{1 a}$ | 394 469 |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\$ 202,501 \\ \$ 268,315}}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { S135，001 } \\ \$ 178,87}}{ }$ |  |  | Ses， |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s21，566 } \\ \text { s2，576 }}}$ |
| ${ }_{1}^{19221010}$ | PLAINFIELD VVLLAGE W Water dist | ${ }^{19}$ | ${ }^{0.0274}$ | ${ }^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{489}^{397}$ |  | ¢5014．49 | \＄204， 80 | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S136，120 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {\＄18，376 }}$ | ciseren |  | ${ }_{\text {s21，745 }}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {8882410 }}^{583030}$ |  | ${ }_{20}^{20}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.02288}$0.2088 | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{481}^{481}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { \＄231，059 } \\ \text { s23，} 59}}^{\text {2059 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S }}$ \＄154，0，000 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S55，454 }}}^{\text {S554，4 }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s20，795 } \\ \text { s2，} 95}}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {cke }}^{\substack{\text { S24，4，608 } \\ \text { S20 }}}$ |
| 2020240 | MEADOWBrook VILLAGE | ${ }_{20}^{20}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0288}$ | 0 | ${ }_{481}$ | ${ }_{\text {S } 577,649}$ | \＄557，649 | ${ }_{\text {\＄231，059 }}$ | \＄154，040 | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S5，454 }}$ | \＄20，795 |  | ¢ | ${ }_{\text {S }}$ |
| 262040 | COTTAGES AT WINCOHIMES | ${ }_{20}^{20}$ | ${ }^{0.00288}$ | ${ }_{2}$ | ${ }_{481}^{481}$ | ¢ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { \＄2757，423 } \\ \$ 27523}}{ }$ | \＄183，615 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s66，102 } \\ \$ 66.102}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S24，788 } \\ \text { 22788 }}}$ |  | ¢588，651 |  |
| 821730 1173010 | PITZTILLAM VILLAGEMASSIN | 20 20 | （0．0．0288 | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | ${ }_{481}^{488}$ |  |  |  | ¢ | $\underset{\substack{\text { s66，102 } \\ 566,102}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1582010 1932130 | SHORTRIIGEEACADEMY 26 CHANDLER AVE CONDOs | ${ }_{20}^{20}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.02888} 0$ | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | ${ }_{481}^{481}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { s577，649 } \\ \text { S57，649 }}}{ }$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S6888，557 } \\ \text { S687 }}}^{\text {Si8 }}$ |  |  |  | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { s24，788 } \\ \text { S24，78 }}}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{55888,651 \\ \$ 58,651}}^{\text {c，}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s29，333 } \\ \text { s2，} 33}}$ |
| （103230 |  | 20 20 20 | ${ }_{\substack{0 \\ 0.02888 \\ 0.0288}}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | ${ }_{481}^{481}$ |  | （is |  | （1883，65 | ¢ |  | cise | （ 5 S586，651 |  |
| 2544020 <br> 15020 <br> 1 | WINDAM TERRACE | ${ }_{20}^{20}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00288} 0$ | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | ${ }_{481}^{481}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { S577，699 } \\ \text { S57，649 }}}{ }$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S688，5，57 } \\ \text { S67 }}}^{\text {S }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\$ 275,423 \\ \$ 27,423}}{\$ 2}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S183，615 } \\ \$ 183,615}}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { s66，102 } \\ 866,102}}{\text { cen }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s24，788 } \\ \text { S27，78 }}}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{5588,651 \\ \$ 58,651}}^{\text {c，}}$ | ${ }_{\text {s20，33 }}^{529,33}$ |
| 192200 <br> 160170 | STEEE POND DEV | 20 20 20 | － | ${ }_{12}^{19}$ | ${ }_{407}^{407}$ |  | （is |  | （1） |  | （is | 边 | （ | Sele |
| 162270 803040 |  | ${ }_{20}^{20}$ | ${ }_{\substack{0.02288 \\ 0.0288}}^{0.0}$ | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{407}^{407}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S } 50,301 \\ 550,301}}$ |  | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { s27，945 } \\ \text { S2，945 }}}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {s22，321 }}^{522,31}$ |
| （883060 | ECDE O O Woins CAMELOT COURT | 20 20 20 |  | ${ }^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{407}^{407}$ |  |  |  | （1） |  | （tictiobe | 边 |  | （ex |
| ${ }_{1}^{1820202}$ | CAMELOT COURT GOLOEN HIL | 20 20 | ${ }_{\substack{0.02888 \\ 0.0288}}^{0.0298}$ | ${ }_{19}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{407}^{407}$ |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { S } \\ \$ 1399,726}}{\$ 9726}$ | ¢ |  | ¢ |  |  |
|  | CHESHIRE COUNTT COMPPLEX | ${ }_{20}^{20}$ | －${ }_{0}^{0.0288}$ | ${ }_{10}^{19}$ | ${ }_{481}^{407}$ | S4887，700 <br> 557749 |  |  | S1939726 <br> $\$ 188843$ | $\underset{\substack{550.31 \\ 565103}}{ }$ |  |  | （446，424 | （22，321 |
| ${ }_{8}^{682240}$ |  | 20 20 | （0．0．0288 | ${ }_{10}^{16}$ | ${ }_{481}^{488}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { S577，699 } \\ \text { S57，} 649}}{ }$ |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { S655，103 } \\ 865,103}}{5030}$ | cise |  |  |  |
| （ 8 827130 | Broadview Conoos WESTPONT | ${ }_{21}^{20}$ | ${ }^{0.02888} 0$ | ${ }_{10}^{10}$ | ${ }_{412}^{481}$ |  | ¢ ${ }_{\substack{\text { S678，} 600 \\ \text { S50，482 }}}$ |  | \＄180．833 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { ¢655．103 } \\ 850.926}}$ |  |  | ¢ ${ }_{\substack{\text { S577，792 } \\ \text { S41，970 }}}$ |  |
| $\underset{\substack{1612020 \\ 1763010}}{ }$ | WEST PONT | ${ }_{21}^{21}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.02935} 0$ | ${ }_{19}^{19}$ | ${ }_{417}^{412}$ |  | ¢ |  | \＄\＄1414，42 <br> $\$ 143,156$ |  | （19， |  | （ | ¢ |
| ${ }^{1921010} \times 2$ |  | ${ }_{21}^{21}$ | ${ }_{\substack{0.0302 \\ 0.3022}}^{0.0}$ | ${ }_{12}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{417}^{417}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S } \\ \$ 143,156 \\ \$ 1.156}}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{19,326 \\ 81,326}}{ }$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{22}^{21}$ | － | ${ }^{12}$ | ${ }_{499}^{497}$ |  | 戓 | （ |  | ¢ | \＄ | 边 |  |  |
| －612120 <br> 912020 <br> 10 | Meadowbrook OCCHARP HIGHLANOS | ${ }_{22}^{22}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.03310} 0$ | ${ }_{2}^{12}$ | ${ }_{504}^{422}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S500，565 } \\ 8605,550}}^{50,50}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\$ 19,599 \\ 825,97}}^{\text {S }}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { S462，69 } \\ \text { S61，784 }}}{\text { S }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s23，} \\ 83,139}}^{\text {S39 }}$ |
| 2342020 | Northpolin water | ${ }_{22}^{22}$ | ${ }^{0} 0.0317$ | 2 | 504 |  | （in |  | 边 | ¢ | ¢ | 边 |  | 边 |
|  | HUBBARD HILL SAMMLL DoRMITORY | 22 22 | ${ }_{0}^{0.0317}$ | ${ }_{12}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{427}^{427}$ |  | ¢ | ${ }_{\substack{\$ 219,640 \\ \$ 29,940}}^{29,}$ | （ ${ }_{\substack{\text { \＄146，426 } \\ \$ 146,426}}$ |  |  | cose |  | ${ }_{\text {sin }}^{\text {s23，392 }}$ |
| 11101050 | mountan lakes water dept | ${ }_{2} 2$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0331}$ | ${ }_{1 a}$ | 436 | ${ }_{8523,151}$ | \＄556，818 | ${ }_{\text {S224，327 }}$ | \＄149，552 | ${ }_{\text {S55，339 }}$ | s20，189 | cel | ${ }_{5447,817}$ | ${ }_{522,391}$ |
| 51210 <br> 1141020 | $\underset{\substack{\text { North Pines } \\ \text { EMERALD } \\ \text { AKE }}}{ }$ | ${ }_{24}^{24}$ | ${ }^{0} 0.0346$ | 2 | ${ }_{526}^{526}$ |  | ¢ 8 \＄751，722 |  | ¢ | ${ }_{\text {cki }}^{\text {872，} 165}$ | ${ }^{827,062}$ | \＄40，092 | \＄560，467 | S32，203 |
| （1972020 | CMEOTCLIED MOUNTAN Rehab center | ${ }_{24}^{24}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0346} 0$ | ${ }_{19}^{2}$ |  |  | ¢ | ¢ | \＄ |  | \＄27，062 |  | ¢ |  |
| （12060 | FORESTEDGE CRAWFORD | ${ }_{25}^{25}$ | 0.0360 0.0360 | ${ }_{19}$ | 535 453 |  | ¢ |  | \＄177．344 | $\underset{\substack{\text { sici．600 } \\ 855.549}}{ }$ |  |  | S547．413 | S27，771 S24，827 |
| 162190 61210 | PEUUFARMSTEAD ACRES | ${ }_{25}^{25}$ | ${ }^{0} 0.03600$ | ${ }_{1 a}$ | ${ }_{453}^{453}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\$ 155,413}$ | ${ }_{\text {che }}^{5555,949}$ | \＄20．981 |  |  |  |
| （881020 <br> 1212060 | GUNSTOCK ACRES V VLIAGE DIST ELIIS RIVER VILAGE | ${ }_{25}^{25}$ | 0.0360 0.0360 | ${ }_{10}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{453}^{453}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{55434,6,677}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S } 5882801 \\ \text { S582801 }}}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {\＄15 }}^{\$ 155.413}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S55．949 } \\ 855.949}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S20．981 } \\ \text { S20．081 }}}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S24，827 } \\ \text { S22，827 }}}^{\text {S2，}}$ |
| ${ }_{1}^{1392200}$ | Rolling Meatows conoos | ${ }_{25}^{25}$ | ${ }^{0} 0.0360$ | $1{ }^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{4}^{453}$ | ${ }_{\text {ckis }}^{5543,657}$ | \＄5582，801 | ¢ |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{555.949}$ | \＄20，981 | S33，083 | Stis | （ |
| 1993010 | MONADNOCK TENANTS |  | 0.0360 |  | ${ }^{453}$ | \＄593， 567 | 5582，801 | \＄233，120 | \＄155，413 | \＄55，949 | \＄20，981 | 831，083 | \＄496，546 | \＄24，827 |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline EPA ID \& System Name \& Summed Safe Yields（gpm）of all wells serving the facility \& Est Capacity，MGD （based on Summed Safe Yield） \& \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\text { Treatment } \\
\text { Process Master } \\
\text { Code }
\end{array}
\] \& Steps 1 \＆ 2 est SF from MG capacity \＆ Treatment Provided，SF \& Step 3，est total
developed cost as \(\$ M\)
from st \& Step 4，adj by reatment process for 2010 total developed cost \& Mechanical／ Process \& Electrical／ Instrument ation \& Architectural \& Structural \& Civil \& Total 20－Year Component Replacement Cost \& Annual \\
\hline \({ }_{882130}\) \& Broadvew Conoos \& \({ }^{25}\) \& \({ }^{0.0360}\) \& \({ }^{10}\) \& 535 \& \({ }^{5642,504}\) \& 9754，300 \& \＄301，720 \& \＄201， 47 \& \＄72，413 \& \＄27，155 \& \({ }^{540,29}\) \& S642，663 \& \({ }_{\text {s32，133 }}\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
112880 \\
1972050 \\
\hline 1
\end{tabular} \&  \& 25
26 \& \({ }_{\substack{0 \\ 0.03686}}^{0.036}\) \& \({ }_{2}^{2}\) \& 539
545 \&  \& ¢ \& \({ }_{\substack{5308,546 \\ \$ 311781}}\) \&  \&  \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { sp7，} \\ \text { S28，} 690}}{ }\) \& \(\underset{\substack{541,139 \\ 54157}}{\text { Sid }}\) \& ¢ \& （s32．800 \\
\hline 882180 \& brooksile crossing \& \({ }_{26}^{26}\) \& 0.0374 \& \& \({ }_{545}\) \& S653，003 \& \＄779，433 \& \({ }_{831,1,81}\) \& \({ }_{\text {S207，554 }}\) \& \({ }_{874,827}\) \& \＄22，060 \& \({ }_{841,571}\) \& （ \({ }_{\text {S664，094 }}\) \& \({ }_{\text {c33，205 }}\) \\
\hline 1522010 \& PATRICIAN SHORES \& \({ }^{27}\) \& 0.0389 \& 0 \& 554 \& S664，872 \& S664，872 \& \＄226，949 \& s177，299 \& 966，828 \& \({ }_{\text {s22，335 }}\) \& 933，460 \& \＄566，771 \& \＄28，324 \\
\hline  \& NORRHWOOOM MOUNTAN VIEW MHP
STTAWEERRY HIL \& \({ }_{27}^{27}\) \& （0．0．0389 \& 3 \& （ 5 54 \&  \& （c） \& ¢ \& （1877．29 \&  \&  \&  \&  \& \begin{tabular}{c}
\(\$ 820.324\) \\
\(\$ 33,762\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \({ }_{1}^{19321200}{ }_{19290}\) \&  \& \({ }_{28}^{27}\) \& \({ }_{0}^{0.00389} 0\) \& \({ }_{2}^{3}\) \& \({ }_{563}^{554}\) \& \({ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S6675，} 442}\) \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& （ \& ¢ \& \begin{tabular}{|c} 
S33，762 \\
\hline 34,298 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1844010 \& CAAROLL CoUntr COMPLEX \& \({ }^{29}\) \& \({ }^{0.0422}\) \& \({ }^{1 a}\) \& \({ }^{486}\) \& \({ }_{\text {S582，689 }}\) \& S624，643 \& \＄24，957 \& \＄166，571 \& \＄59，966 \& \({ }_{\text {s22，487 }}\) \& 533，344 \& \＄532， 196 \& \＄22，610 \\
\hline 142010 \& PEULOCKE LIAKE \& \({ }^{30}\) \& \({ }^{0.0432}\) \& ， \& \({ }_{5}^{580}\) \& \＄665，495 \& \({ }^{\text {s695，495 }}\) \& \＄278，198 \& \＄185，465 \& \({ }_{\text {S66，767 }}\) \& \＄25，038 \& 537，093 \& \({ }_{\text {S } 5929.561}\) \& \({ }^{\text {\＄29，628 }}\) \\
\hline － 2030600 \& Solar vilage
RIVEVVIEW MANor condos \& 30
30 \& （0．0432 \& \(\bigcirc\) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
580 \\
580 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& Stis，495 \&  \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { S278，} 198 \\ 827,198}}\) \& \({ }_{\text {S185，}}^{\text {S185 }}\) \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { S66，767 } \\ 866,767}}\) \&  \&  \&  \& \begin{tabular}{c}
\(\$ 29,628\) \\
\(\$ 92,628\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \({ }_{262020}\) \&  \& \({ }_{30}\) \& － \& 2 \& 580
580 \& ¢695，495 \&  \&  \&  \& （ \& ¢ \& ¢ \& ¢ \& \begin{tabular}{l} 
\＄296， 28 \\
\hline 857 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 512170
821020 \& OARWOOD HEIGHTS
FITzWILLIM V VILIGELAUGGNER \& \({ }_{30}^{30}\) \& （0．0432 \& \({ }_{2}\) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
580 \\
580 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& S699，495 \&  \& \({ }_{\text {¢331．612 }}^{5331612}\) \& ¢ \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { S77，} \\ 87987 \\ \hline 587}}\) \&  \& ¢ \&  \& \(\underset{\substack{\$ 35.317 \\ \$ 55317}}{ }\) \\
\hline 8212020
1392240 \&  \& 30
30 \& （0．0．0332 \& \({ }_{2}^{2}\) \& 580
580 \& ¢ \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { S44，215 }}}{544}\) \&  \&  \\
\hline 1932212

123200 \& Corss filge Estates \& 30

30 \& （0．0．032 \& ${ }_{2}^{2}$ \& （ | 500 |
| :---: |
| 580 | \& （6995．495 \& cois \&  \& 边 \&  \& ¢ \&  \& cisco \&  <br>

\hline ${ }_{19322040}^{22300}$ \& BALMORAL Conoos

FORREST STREET CONDOS \& ${ }_{30}^{30}$ \&  \& ${ }_{3}^{2}$ \& | 550 |
| :--- |
| 580 | \&  \&  \&  \& ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {s221，075 }}$ \& $\underset{\substack{\text { s77，} 9887}}{\text { s7，}}$ \&  \& $\underset{\substack{\text { S4，} \\ 544,215}}{54,5}$ \&  \& $\underset{\substack{\$ 35.317 \\ \$ 55,37}}{\text { S }}$ <br>

\hline 2413010 \& PLEASAAT LAKE MHPUPPER \& ${ }^{30}$ \& 0．0432 \& ， \& 580 \& S695，95 \& \＄8829，300 \&  \& S221，075 \& ${ }_{\text {S79，}}^{587}$ \& \＄29．945 \& ${ }_{544,2,56}$ \& \＄706，33 \&  <br>
\hline 142010
432303 \& PEULOCKE LAKE
CHESTER BROOK \& 30 ${ }_{30}$ \& ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.0432} 0$ \& ${ }_{10}^{1 a}$ \& 490

490 \&  \&  \& $\underset{\substack{\text { S252，347 } \\ \text { S25，347 }}}{\text { S3，}}$ \&  \& $\underset{\substack{\text { s60．0．53 } \\ \text { s6．563 }}}{ }$ \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { sper } \\ 822,711}}$ \&  \& $\underset{\substack{\text { s537，499 } \\ \text { S57，499 }}}{ }$ \& | $\$ 26,875$ |
| :---: |
| $\$ 86,875$ | <br>

\hline 4323030
1227230 \& CAESTELGBRERESTATES \& 30 \& ${ }_{\substack{0 \\ 0.00432}}^{0.0432}$ \& ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ \& ${ }_{490}^{490}$ \&  \&  \& ¢ \& ¢ \&  \&  \&  \&  \& $\$ 26,875$
$\$ 26,875$ <br>
\hline 1332050
139230
1 \&  \& ${ }_{30}^{30}$ \& ${ }^{0} 0.04322$ \& ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ \& 490

490 \&  \&  \& | S232，347 |
| :---: |
| $\$ 252347$ | \& ¢168，231 \&  \&  \&  \& S537，499

5577999 \& 526,875
58685
$\$ 8$ <br>
\hline 1392380
171010 \&  \& 30 ${ }_{30}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.00432} 0$ \& ， $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1a } \\ & 10\end{aligned}$ \& 490
580 \&  \& $\underset{\substack{\text { S630，867 } \\ 886,511}}{\text { a }}$ \&  \&  \&  \& $\underset{\substack{\text { s22，711 } \\ \$ 29,39}}{\text { S2，}}$ \&  \&  \& $\$ 26,875$
$\$ 84783$ <br>

\hline | 171710 |
| :--- |
| 256250 |
| 1402020 | \&  \& 30

30
30 \& ${ }^{0} 0.04322$ \& ${ }_{10}^{16}$ \& 580
580
505 \&  \& （ex \& cois \&  \&  \& cose \& cisis \&  \&  <br>
\hline ${ }_{2452020}^{14020}$ \& VILLAGES AT LOUDON
Coluss lanoling \& ${ }_{33}^{32}$ \& ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.0461}$ \& ${ }_{2}^{2}$ \& 595
599 \& ¢ \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { S851，389 } \\ \text { s856，780 }}}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { S340，555 } \\ 8342704}}$ \& S227，037
S228，49 \& $\underset{\substack{\text { S81，733 } \\ 882249}}{ }$ \&  \&  \& （8725．383 \& （\＄36，269 <br>
\hline ${ }^{2} 192203000$ \& ENGLILH WWoos \& ${ }_{33}$ \& ${ }^{0} 0.04655$ \& 2 \& ${ }_{603}$ \& ${ }_{\text {S723，} 196}$ \& S862，049 \& ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S34，4，820 }}$ \& ¢ \& ¢882，757 \& S33，034 \& ¢ \& ${ }_{\text {S734，466 }}$ \&  <br>
\hline 2415010
1762010 \& MAGDALEN COLLEGE \& ${ }_{34}^{33}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.0475} 0$ \& ${ }_{19}^{2}$ \& cis
513 \&  \& Sis6，049 \&  \& \＄29，880

$\$ 175,989$ \&  \& ${ }_{\text {s23，}}^{\text {s31，034 }}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { S455，966 } \\ \$ 35,188}}$ \&  \& | $\$ 36,723$ |
| :---: |
| $\$ 82,114$ | <br>

\hline ${ }^{2082080}$ \& LITTEMLL WToos \& ${ }_{34}^{34}$ \& ${ }^{0.0487}$ \& ${ }_{3}$ \& ${ }_{608}^{608}$ \&  \&  \&  \& ${ }_{\text {coser }}^{\substack{\text { s232，093 }}}$ \& ciseme \& ${ }_{\text {cke }}$ \& \＄46，498 \& ¢ \&  <br>
\hline （1332020 \&  \& ${ }_{34}^{34}$ \& － 0.0 .0990 \& ${ }_{13}^{3}$ \& 610

516 \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { S } \\ 8619,2777}}^{\text {S71，872 }}$ \& S \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { S348，957 } \\ \$ 25,546}}^{\text {S，}}$ \& ¢ \&  \& ¢ \& ¢ \&  \& | $\$ 37,164$ |
| :---: |
| $\$ 82,281$ | <br>

\hline ${ }_{1833010}$ \& ORFORD VILLAGE SIIT \& ${ }_{35}^{34}$ \& ${ }^{0} 0.0490$ \& ${ }^{16}$ \& ${ }_{6}^{610}$ \& ¢781， 8 \&  \& \＄334，687 \& ${ }_{\text {S } 229,125}$ \& \＄882，85 \& \＄30，932 \& \＄45，825 \& ¢782，054 \&  <br>
\hline 99930
1031010
1020 \&  \& 35
35 \& ${ }_{0}^{0.0504} 0$ \& ${ }_{2}^{2}$ \& ${ }_{617}^{617}$ \&  \& S888，435 \&  \& ¢ \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  <br>
\hline 13772020
171200 \& STONEEENGE APT TRUST
APPLETON AREENS \& ${ }_{35}^{35}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.0504}$ \& ${ }_{2}$ \& 617

617 \&  \& S 8 S882，435 \& \begin{tabular}{c}
S335，974 <br>
855294 <br>
\hline

 \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { S235，316 } \\ \text { ¢255316 }}}$ \& S84774 \&  \&  \&  \& 

S37．592 <br>
$\mathbf{8 7 7 5 9 2}$ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline ${ }^{1712310}$ \&  \& ${ }_{35}^{35}$ \& － 0.0 .5054 \& ${ }_{2}^{2}$ \& ${ }_{6}^{617}$ \& ¢ \& （sicki， \&  \&  \& 界 \&  \&  \&  \&  <br>
\hline ${ }_{1}^{1972070}{ }_{132040}$ \& PeUCLIEARWATER ESTATES
CEDAR WOOD ESTATES \& ${ }_{35}^{35}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.0554}$ \& ${ }_{3}$ \& 617
617 \&  \& S982，435 \& $\underset{\substack{\text { S352，974 } \\ 8352,74}}{\text { S2，}}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { \＄235，316 } \\ \$ 225,316}}^{\text {S }}$ \&  \& ${ }_{\text {S33，}}^{531,768}$ \&  \&  \& $\$ 87.592$
887,592 <br>
\hline 2542900 \& BRAEMA WOOOST CONOS \& ${ }_{35}^{35}$ \& ${ }^{\text {a }}$ \& ${ }^{3}$ \& ${ }_{6}^{667}$ \&  \& （isers \&  \& 边 \& （ex \& Sessi， \& ¢ \&  \&  <br>
\hline cistio \& CAADIGAN M Mountan sch

FARECHO HabBor \& ${ }_{35}^{35}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.05504}$ \& ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ \& \begin{tabular}{c}
522 <br>
522 <br>
\hline

 \&  \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { S677，507 } \\ \text { S67，507 }}}^{\text {Ser }}$ \&  \& \＄179，068 \&  \& ${ }_{\text {S22，}}^{\text {s24，} 74}$ \&  \&  \& 

$\$ 28,606$ <br>
$\$ 82,068$ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline （1482010 \& MAALOROUAGESTETAES \& ${ }_{36}^{35}$ \& （0．054 \& ${ }_{10}^{10}$ \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& 为 \&  \&  \&  \& （ <br>
\hline ${ }^{3234210}$ \& MMMACCLATE CONCEPTION SCH \& ${ }_{36}^{36}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.0518}$ \& ${ }_{12}^{2}$ \& cier ${ }_{528}^{624}$ \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& $\underset{\$}{\text { S32，} 24.42}$ \&  \&  \&  <br>

\hline | 2435300 |
| :--- |
| 155070 | \& SUGAR HLL M MNOR MHP

SAAGENT WOOOS \& 37
37 \& ${ }_{\substack{0.0533}}^{0.0533}$ \& ${ }_{2}$ \& 630
630 \& ¢ \&  \&  \&  \&  \& （ex \&  \& cis \& （ <br>
\hline （1952070 \& SARGENT WOODS
STONERRIIGE VILIAGE \& ${ }_{37}^{37}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.05333}$ \& ${ }_{2}^{2}$ \& cis ${ }_{630}^{630}$ \& ${ }_{\text {S775，449 }}$ \& ${ }_{\text {s900，} 687}^{5907,187}$ \&  \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { S }}}^{\substack{\text { s240，40，450 } \\ \text { S20 }}}$ \& ${ }_{\text {Sck }}^{\text {s86，562 }}$ \& ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S32，461 }}$ \&  \&  \& $\$ 38,412$
$\$ 88,412$ <br>
\hline 162160

2232140 \& Cow HIL WELLHOUSE \& ${ }_{38}^{37}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.05333}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{16}$ \& （630 \& ¢ \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { s888，071 } \\ 8764,199}}$ \& \begin{tabular}{c}
S335，228 <br>
S05．680 <br>
\hline

 \&  \& ¢88，255 \& $\underset{\substack{83,1,971 \\ 827,511}}{ }$ \&  \& \＄755，636 \＄65，098 \& 

$\$ 37.832$ <br>
$\$ 82,55$ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline ${ }_{\substack{2323140 \\ 32010}}$ \& JEWETT MIL \& ${ }_{38}^{38}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{0.05477}}^{0.0547}$ \& ${ }_{19}$ \& ${ }_{539}^{637}$ \&  \&  \&  \& ¢ \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  <br>
\hline 2082000
1843020 \& Cornerstone Estates
SANDY RIIGE ESTATES \& ${ }_{38}^{38}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.0547}$ \& $\underset{\substack{19 \\ 10}}{ }$ \& ¢ ${ }_{637}^{539}$ \& ¢ \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { s693，} 188 \\ 889,170}}$ \&  \&  \& ${ }_{\substack{566.546 \\ 886,128}}^{\text {c，}}$ \& ${ }_{\text {S }}^{524,955}$ \& （s36，970 \&  \&  <br>
\hline  \& PEUWHITE FOCK SENOM LIVIM \& ${ }_{39}^{38}$ \& ${ }^{0} 0.0547$ \& 2 \& 6， 63
644
54 \&  \& （tay \&  \&  \& （is \& 旡 \& cisis \& （is \& （ <br>
\hline  \&  \& ${ }_{39}^{39}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.0562}$ \& ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ \& $\underset{544}{544}$ \& Stiss．018 \&  \& $\underset{\substack{\text { S288，0，014 } \\ \text { S28，}}}{\text { S }}$ \&  \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { S67，} 203 \\ 867,203}}$ \& ${ }_{\text {sen }}^{\text {s25，201 }}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { s37，} 3 \text { S35 }}}^{\text {s，}}$ \&  \& $\$ 29,822$
$\$ 29,822$ <br>
\hline 8804010
8861010 \&  \& ${ }_{39}^{39}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{0 \\ 0.0562 \\ 0.5622}}^{0.052}$ \& ${ }_{\text {a }}^{1 a}$ \& 544
544
544 \&  \& ¢ \& （ex \& （1188，676 \&  \& （ex \&  \&  \& （ <br>
\hline ${ }_{\substack{8601010 \\ 254210}}$ \& FREEDOMW WTER POT
PEULAMMLIGTER VILIAGE \& ${ }_{39}^{39}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.0562}$ \& ${ }_{10}^{19}$ \& 544
643 \& ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S657，} 0178}$ \&  \&  \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { S } \\ \$ 2464,609}}^{\$ 18,66}$ \&  \& ${ }_{\text {S32，}}^{\text {S25，217 }}$ \&  \&  \&  <br>
\hline 762040 \& MELLING GLEN \& 40 \& 0.0575 \& 0 \& 649 \& \＄778，380 \& \＄778，380 \& \＄331，352 \& \＄207，568 \& S87， 5 \&  \&  \& \＄663， 180 \& － 933,159 <br>
\hline （1691000 \& NEW HAMPTTON VILILGE PCT \& ${ }_{40}^{40}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.0055}$ \& ${ }_{2}$ \& 649
649 \& ¢878，380 \& S927，829 \&  \&  \& S89，720 \&  \&  \& ¢780．510 \&  <br>
\hline 112080
413020 \&  \& ${ }_{40}^{40}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.00576}$ \& 2 \& 649
649 \& ¢ ${ }_{\substack{\text { S777，} 108 \\ 8779,108}}$ \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& ¢ \&  <br>
\hline （80240 \& PEUVFRESEST RIDGE
COOONALPOPLIN NUSING HOME \& ${ }_{40}^{40}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.00576}$ \& ${ }_{2}^{2}$ \& 649
649 \& ¢ ${ }_{\text {s779，} 108}$ \& ¢ \&  \&  \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { sp9，} \\ \text { s99，} 155}}^{\text {se，}}$ \& $\underset{\substack{833,433 \\ 83,433}}{\text { c，}}$ \& $\underset{\substack{449,550 \\ 84950}}{\text { 4，}}$ \& ¢7991，249 \&  <br>

\hline  \& Cile \& ${ }_{40}^{40}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.0 .0576}$ \& 2 \& | 699 |
| :--- |
| 649 |
| 49 | \& ¢ \&  \&  \& － \& cise \&  \& ¢ \& cole \&  <br>

\hline ${ }_{25255010}^{242010}$ \&  \& ${ }_{40}^{40}$ \& ${ }_{0.0576}^{0.0576}$ \& ${ }_{2}^{2}$ \& 649

649 \& \＄879，108 \&  \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { S3771，478 } \\ 837148}}$ \& ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S247，} 652}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { s89，} \\ \text { s9，} 155}}^{\text {Se }}$ \&  \&  \& \＄8991，249 \& ¢ | $\$ 39.562$ |
| :---: |
| 89.562 | <br>

\hline $\underset{ }{25254160}$ \& HADLEIGH Wooos \& ${ }_{40}^{40}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{0.0576}}^{0.0576}$ \& 3 \& 649
649
649 \& ¢7879， \& （tase \&  \&  \& （ex \&  \& ¢ \& cols \& （ <br>

\hline $\underset{\substack{66420 \\ 832010}}{ }$ \& DUBLIN SCH CROTCHED MOUNTAN MnTVC \& ${ }_{40}^{40}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.00576}$ \& ${ }_{1 a}^{3}$ \& －${ }_{549}^{649}$ \&  \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { sper，696 } \\ \$ 9706710}}$ \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& （ | $\$ 39.562$ |
| :---: |
| $\$ 90,106$ | <br>

\hline 841020 \& MITTERSILL WATER DEPT \& ${ }_{40}$ \& ${ }^{0.0576}$ \& ${ }_{1 a}$ \& 549

549 \& S659，245 \& ST06，710 \&  \& \＄188，466 \& S66，844 \&  \& \＄83，691 \&  \& | S30，106 |
| :--- |
| $\$ 80,106$ | <br>

\hline | 1781730 |
| :--- |
| 2302020 | \&  \& ${ }_{42}^{40}$ \& ${ }^{0.0576}$ \& ${ }^{1 a}$ \& ${ }_{569}^{549}$ \&  \&  \&  \&  \& cisiora \& \＄25．422 \& \＄37，691 \& S602，177 \& ${ }^{\text {S30，} 106}$ <br>

\hline ${ }_{12302020}^{23020}$ \&  \& ${ }_{42}^{42}$ \& ${ }_{0}^{0.00605} 0$ \& 2 \& ${ }_{661}^{661}$ \&  \& \＄994，599 \&  \&  \& ¢90，778 \&  \& $\underset{\substack{\text { s50，432 } \\ 550,42}}{ }$ \&  \&  <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| EPA ID | System Name | Summed Safe Yields（gpm）of all wells serving the facility | Est Capacity，MGD （based on Summed Safe Yield） | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Treatment } \\ \text { Process Master } \\ \text { Code } \end{array}$ | Steps 1 \＆ 2 est SF from MG capacity \＆ Treatment Provided，SF | Step 3，est total developed cost as $\$ M$ from st | Step 4，adj by reatment process for 2010 total developed cost | Mechanical／ Process | Electrical／ Instrument ation | Architectural | Structural | Civil | Total 20－Year Component Replacement Cost | Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43040 | Catamount hil | ${ }^{42}$ | ${ }^{0.0605}$ | ${ }^{19}$ | 559 | S67，244 | ${ }^{\text {s719，573 }}$ | \＄287，829 | \＄19，886 | 569，079 | \＄25，905 | ${ }_{\text {938，377 }}$ | S613，076 | ${ }^{\text {S30，654 }}$ |
| 162140 702020 | RIVERSIIE Cobs farm BRANDVWINE | ${ }_{43}^{43}$ | ${ }^{0.00619}$ 0．0619 | ： | $\underset{\substack{667 \\ 667}}{66}$ | S800，127 | S800，127 |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S213，367 } \\ 821,367}}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{534.085 \\ \text { S34，085 }}}^{\text {S }}$ |
| ${ }_{1932070}$ | VALLEY Fillo apts northland | ${ }_{43}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0669}$ |  | ${ }_{667}$ | \＄880，127 | ¢995，5151 | ${ }_{\text {¢888，} 501}$ | \＄254，334 | 590，560 | 833，355 | ${ }_{\text {S50，867 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S812，56 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S44，} 30}$ |
| ${ }^{2342010}$ | 175 ESTATES PEUHAROWOOD HTS BIICH H | ${ }_{43}^{43}$ | ${ }^{0.0619}$ | 2 | ${ }_{667}^{667}$ | （s800，127 |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S3888，501 } \\ 838.501}}^{\text {cien }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S } 25554,344}$ | ${ }_{\text {cosel，}}^{590}$ | ${ }_{\text {s34，335 }}$ |  |  | $\$ 44,630$ <br> 80.30 |
| （ 25422060 | PEUHARDOWOOD HTS SIIRCH HIL TROY WATER WORKS | ${ }_{44}^{43}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00619}$ | ${ }_{19}^{2}$ | ${ }_{568}^{667}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { S900，} 127 \\ 8682,125}}{ }$ |  | ${ }_{\text {S2022 }}^{\text {S38，} 495}$ |  | \＄90，500 |  | $\underset{\substack{550,867 \\ 888,99}}{ }$ |  | $\$ 40,630$ <br> $\$ 3,151$ |
| 512130 | WOODLAND GRove | 44 | 0.0634 | 19 | 569 | ${ }_{\text {S682，684 }}$ | 8731，838 | \＄292，735 | \＄195，157 | \＄70，256 | \＄26，346 | \＄33，031 | ${ }_{\text {s623，526 }}$ | 933，176 |
| 882420 1672020 | STONEWALL VILAGE COPPLE CROWN VIILAGE DISTRICT | ${ }_{45}^{45}$ | （0．0648 | ${ }_{2}$ | $\underset{\substack{678 \\ 678}}{ }$ | ¢ | ¢8813，340 | $\underset{\substack{\text { \＄325］，36 } \\ \$ 387801}}{ }$ | S216，991 S256，534 | ¢78．081 | s29，280 <br> S3， 202 |  | ¢ | $\underset{\substack{533,648 \\ \$ 41,301}}{ }$ |
| 1672020 882130 |  | ${ }_{45}^{45}$ | ${ }_{\substack{0.0648 \\ 0.0648}}^{0.048}$ | ${ }_{19}^{2}$ | ${ }_{574}^{678}$ |  | coss |  |  | \＄980，072 |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { sin } \\ \text { s3，} 3,377}}{ }$ | ¢ | $\$ 41,301$ <br> $\$ 81,429$ |
| 240030 | N WALPOLE VILILAGE DISTMIGH | ${ }^{45}$ | ${ }^{0.0648}$ | ${ }^{16}$ | ${ }^{678}$ | ${ }^{8813,340}$ | ${ }_{\text {9954，862 }}$ | \＄388，945 | ${ }^{\text {S2554，630 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {s90，} 667}$ | \＄34，375 | \＄50，926 | ${ }_{\text {s813，542 }}$ | \＄40，677 |
| 10371010 1272020 | HAMPSTEAD AREA WATER ANDS LANDINE | ${ }_{46}^{46}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.0062}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | ${ }_{683}^{683}$ | ¢ | S977，116 | $\underset{\substack{5390,877 \\ 8390847}}{ }$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S280，564 } \\ 88264}}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {S33，176 }}^{\text {s35，}}$ | ¢ 5 s52．113 |  |  |
| 1272220 <br> 192200 | ANNS LANDING | ${ }_{46}^{46}$ | （0．0662 | ${ }_{19}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 41,625$ <br> $\$ 81,676$ |
| 612140 1212090 |  | ${ }_{47}^{47}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00677}$ | ${ }_{19}$ | ${ }_{582}^{688}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{53939,827}$ | ¢ | $\underset{\text { s94，518 }}{57.926}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { S35，444 } \\ \text { s26，92 }}}{ }$ | （52，5010 |  | $\$ 41,943$ s31．977 |
| 751010 | ENFIELD WATER DEPT | 48 | ${ }_{0}^{0.0691}$ | a | ${ }_{693}$ | S882，098 | \＄991，861 | \＄396，74 | \＄264，996 | ${ }_{\text {coser }}^{\text {s9，} 219}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S35，707 }}$ | ¢ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S432，253 }}}^{\text {S4，971 }}$ |
| ${ }^{2462050}$ | PILISUUYY LAEEPEENINSULA | ${ }^{48}$ | ${ }^{0.0691}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }_{593}^{693}$ | ${ }_{\text {8832，098 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{5991.867}$ | 8396，744 | ${ }_{\text {s2064，466 }}$ | \＄95，219 | ${ }_{\text {s35，707 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S52，899 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {8845，066 }}$ | ${ }_{\$ 42,253}$ |
|  | 18 HUGCES L LEFFINGAMM OLO COACHVLLAGE |  | ${ }_{0}^{0.00691}$ | ${ }_{2}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{703}^{587}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S301，911 } \\ \text { S42，} 401}}$ | （ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S27，172 } \\ \text { s36，216 }}}$ | $\underset{\substack{440,255 \\ 553,64}}{\text { cien }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S643，070 } \\ \text { s85，} 115}}$ | $\$ 32,154$ $\$ 42.565$ |
| 612210 | OLD COACH VILLAGE | 50 | 0.0720 | 2 | 703 | \＄884，963 | \＄1，00，004 | \＄402，401 | \＄226，268 | \＄996，576 | \＄36，216 | \＄53，64 | \＄887，115 | \＄42，856 |
| 1031010 | HAMPSTEAD AREA WATER | ${ }^{50}$ | ${ }^{0.0720}$ | 2 | ${ }^{703}$ | \＄884，963 | \＄1，00，004 | \＄402，401 | \＄226，268 | \＄996，576 | \＄36，216 | \＄53，644 | \＄887，115 | \＄42，866 |
| ${ }^{1050330}$ | TAYYOR RIVER ESTATES | 50 | ${ }^{0.0720}$ | 2 | ${ }^{703}$ | ${ }_{\text {s843，963 }}$ | \＄1，006，004 | S402，401 | \＄268，268 | \＄99，576 | \＄36，216 | ${ }_{\text {s53，654 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {8857，} 115}$ | ${ }^{\text {s42，256 }}$ |
| 1202020 139200 |  | 50 50 | （0．0720 | ${ }_{2}$ | 703 703 | S |  | S402，401 S42201 | （cese | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s90，576 } \\ 99565}}$ | S ${ }_{\substack{\text { s36，216 } \\ 836216}}$ |  | S885，115 | （542,856 <br> $\$ 42856$ |
| － |  | 50 50 | ${ }_{0}^{0.072720}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | ${ }_{703}^{703}$ |  | S1，00，004 <br> si，006，04 | ¢ |  | $\underset{\text { s99，576 }}{\text { s96，}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S } \\ \text { S36，} 31216}}^{\text {S216 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S857，} 115}$ | $\$ 42.856$ <br> $\$ 42.856$ |
| 1031010 | HAMPSTEAD AREA WATER | ${ }_{50}$ | 0.0720 |  | 703 | \＄884，963 | \＄1，00，00 | \＄402，401 | \＄226，268 | \＄99，576 | \＄36，216 | \＄53，654 | \＄857，115 | \＄42，866 |
| 921200 1203010 | GORHAM HILL SPRING HUOSON MOBLE HOME ESTS | 50 50 | ${ }_{\text {0，}}^{0.0720} 0$ | ${ }_{10}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{595}^{595}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s774，} \\ 8774.122}}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S300，216 } \\ 830,216}}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { S200，} 144 \\ \text { S20，} 144}}{ }$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S27，} 5959 \\ 82759}}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S6552，239 } \\ \text { S652239 }}}$ |  |
| ${ }_{1}^{12033010} 1$ |  | 50 50 | ${ }_{\substack{0 \\ 0.07200}}^{0.027}$ | ${ }_{19}^{19}$ | ${ }_{595}^{595}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s }}}^{8774,1,122}$ |  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {s27，}}^{\text {s27，599 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S } \\ \text { S6552，239 } \\ \text { S639 }}}$ | $\$ 32,612$ $\$ 32.612$ |
| 2542070 | VILLAGES OF WINDHAM | ${ }^{50}$ | ${ }^{0.0720}$ | ${ }^{1 a}$ | 595 | 874，122 | \＄765，539 | \＄300，216 | S204， 144 | 873，92 | \＄27，59 | \＄40，829 | \＄652，239 | ${ }^{\text {\＄32，612 }}$ |
| （1322000 | PEUPINEHAVEN WATER TRUST COTTON FAFMS MHP | 50 51 | ${ }_{0}^{0.0720} 0$ | ${ }_{2}^{16}$ | 708 708 |  | S990．812 | ${ }_{\substack{5396,325 \\ \$ 405,146}}^{\text {s，}}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { S264，217 } \\ \text { S27，097 }}}{ }$ | ${ }_{\text {S95，}}^{\text {s97，} 235}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { s33．669 } \\ 836463}}{ }$ | ¢ ${ }_{\substack{\text { s52，843 } \\ \text { S54，019 }}}$ |  | $\$ 42.209$ $\$ 43,148$ |
| ${ }_{5}^{5383030} 5$ | MELOOY PNES CONOOS | ${ }_{52}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0749}$ | 2 | ${ }_{713}^{778}$ | Stis |  | （ |  | ¢99，881 | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {s36，705 }}$ | （ | S868，692 | ¢ |
| ${ }^{19727200}$ | BAANCH RIVER APAPATMENTS | ${ }_{52}^{52}$ | ${ }^{0.0749}$ | 3 | ${ }^{713}$ | \＄855，362 | ${ }_{\text {Slo }}^{\text {\＄107，592 }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {S97，} 981}$ |  | S54，788 | \＄888，692 |  |
| 2312070 <br> 202040 | CHOCORUA MEADOWS WESTVEW MEADOWS | 52 53 | ${ }_{0}^{0.0749} 0$ | $\stackrel{12}{2}$ | 603 717 |  | S775．879 $\mathbf{s i , 0 6 , 1 9 1}$ | ${ }_{\substack{5310,352 \\ 5410,776}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S200，901 } \\ \$ 27,651}}$ |  |  | （isti，300 | Stichore |  |
| ${ }^{202020}$ | STAGECOACH FARMS | ${ }_{54}^{53}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0} 0.0770$ | ${ }_{0}^{2}$ | 720 |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Si，06，} \\ \text { S63，27 }}}^{51,97}$ |  |  |  |  | ¢ | S | 543,716 $\$ 86,791$ |
| 1392310 2232160 | PEUMMINTSERIAL HILLS BUPNHAVEN | 54 55 5 | ${ }_{\text {coiol }}^{0.0778}$ | ${ }^{16}$ | ${ }_{722} 72$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S886，331 } \\ \text { S871 } 664}}$ |  |  | S277，219 <br> S23244 |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S33，615 } \\ 8380}}$ |  | S866．546 | $\$ 44,327$ <br> $\$ 37123$ |
| ${ }^{223323250}$ |  | 55 55 |  | ${ }_{2}^{0}$ | 726 726 |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { ssil，30 } \\ \$ 37,405}}{ }$ |  |  |  |
| 841010 1031010 | Francona vilage water HAMPSTEAD AREA WATER | ${ }_{55}^{55}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0792}$ | ${ }_{18}^{19}$ | ${ }_{615}^{615}$ |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { S316，267 } \\ 836,627}}{\text { S4，}}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { S210，844 } \\ \text { S21，} 244}}{\text { s，}}$ | ${ }_{\text {che }}^{\text {s75，904 }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { s28，64 } \\ \text { S28，} 64}}{ }$ | ¢ |  |  |
| 1101010 123020 20310 | PREENCT OFFAVERHLL Coiner | 55 55 55 | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{0.0792}$ | ${ }^{\text {1a }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{615 \\ 6615}}^{6}$ | cois | cois |  | 边 | ¢ | 边 | 边 |  | （ |
| 2232010 2861010 | Glen caray Conoos TROY WATER WORKS | 55 55 | ${ }_{0}^{0.07992}$ | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{6}^{615}$ | ${ }_{\text {s737，} 622}$ | ¢ ${ }_{\text {s790，} 96.666}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { s316，267 } \\ 836,67}}{\text { che }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {sen }}^{\text {s28，464 }}$ |  |  | （933，688 <br> $\$ 33,682$ |
| 155030 | batrimaton oaks | ${ }_{55}^{55}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0792}$ | $1{ }^{10}$ | ${ }_{726}$ | ${ }_{\text {S871，64 }}$ | \＄1，02，334 | ${ }_{\text {S409，33 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {999，240 }}$ | Scers | ${ }_{\text {che }}^{54,4,578}$ | \＄887， 880 | ¢ |
| － 19332180 | TUXBUVY Y MEADOLS | ${ }_{56}^{56}$ | ${ }^{0.0799}$ | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }_{6}^{729}$ | 8874，294 | \＄1，002， 159 |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S277，999 }}$ | \＄100．077 |  |  | s887，999 | ¢$\$ 44,396$ <br> $\$ 4385$ |
| 1842330 252120 | INDIAN MOUND GOLF CLUB PUUCASTE RECH | ${ }_{56}^{56}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0806}$ | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | cir ${ }_{6}^{618}$ | ¢ ${ }_{\text {S741，993 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S318，} 67 \\ 838,67}}^{\text {ci67 }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { s212，111 } \\ \$ 221,111}}{\text { sin }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {sper }}^{\text {s28，635 }}$ |  |  | $\$ 33,885$ <br> $\$ 33,885$ <br> 1893 |
| 2383010 262020 | PIEE GROVE MOBLE H HME PARK WHIE ROCK WATER | 57 57 | －0．0874 | ${ }_{\text {la }}^{19}$ | 620 622 | ¢ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { S3399，04 } \\ \text { S32，} 033}}{\text { and }}$ | $\substack{\text { S212，736 } \\ \$ 213,355}$ |  |  |  | ¢ $\begin{gathered}\text { S6797，992 } \\ \text { S681，671 }\end{gathered}$ |  |
| 26220 159010 | WHITE ROCK WATER MONREE WATER DEST | ${ }_{58}^{57}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.08821} 0$ | ${ }_{10}^{19}$ | ${ }_{626}^{622}$ | ¢ | S800，083 |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { s28，9，988 }}}{\substack{\text { s2，}}}$ |  |  | \＄34，084 S34，279 |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{0}^{0.0835}$ | ${ }_{2}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{743}^{626}$ |  | \＄804，668 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S321，} \\ \text { S42，37 }}}^{\text {S38 }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S214，578 } \\ \$ 28,559}}$ |  |  |  |  | （ $934.2,279$ |
| 43820 <br> 148100 <br> 70230 | MARLILOROUGH WATER WORKS | 59 60 60 | － | ${ }^{2}$ | 774 <br> 774 <br> 74 | （tase |  |  | （ex | （ 888,108 | 边 |  | S |  |
| 70230 773010 | Maplevale and cricet hil | ${ }_{60}^{60}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0884} 0$ | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 747 747 | Sise，953 | $\$ 1,009,168$ <br> $\$ 1,069,168$ |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {\＄285，} 112}$ | （ 1020,640 | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {s38，490 }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { s57，022 } \\ 557,022}}{ }$ |  |  |
| 882400 252200 |  | 60 60 | － | 2 | ${ }_{747}^{774}$ |  |  |  | （ |  |  | （istion | （tale | （ $\$$ |
| 2542180 175020 | PEUSPRUCE P PND ESTS MEADOWUEW PARTTENTS | ${ }_{60}^{60}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0084} 0$ | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | 747 747 |  | $\$ 1,009,168$ $\$ 1,06,168$ s， | ${ }_{\text {S427，667 }}^{5427,67}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {\＄285，} 112}$ | （$\$ 102,640$ <br> $\$ 102,640$ | $\underset{\substack{538,490 \\ 838,400}}{ }$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { s57，} 222 \\ 557.022}}{ }$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\$ 45.547 \\ \$ 45.547}}^{\text {S }}$ |
| 1852040 | Prolln Townhouse apartments | ${ }_{60}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0864}$ | 3 | 747 | \＄889，953 | \＄1，069，168 | ${ }_{\text {S427，667 }}$ | ${ }_{5285,112}$ | \＄102，640 | S38，400 | ${ }_{\text {s57，022 }}$ | \＄9910，31 | \＄45，547 |
|  | PEENINSULA AT WINDING Brook AUUTMW WOODS | 60 6 | － 0.0884 | ${ }^{3}$ | 747 632 |  | \＄11，09，168 |  |  |  | cois | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s57，022 } \\ 54332}}$ |  |  |
| 612220 75320 | AUTUUN WCoDs DANELS ACBES | ${ }_{60}^{60}$ | ${ }_{\substack{0 \\ 0.08864}}^{0.0884}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1 a}$ | c382 |  |  | ¢ |  |  |  |  | ¢ | \＄34，660 S4， 600 |
| 1852500 2082070 | HHGHLAND APARTMENTS MLL PINE VILAGE | 60 60 | ${ }^{0} 0.0864$ | ${ }_{1}^{1 a}$ | 632 632 |  |  |  |  | s77．106 <br> 787,106 |  | $\underset{\substack{44,3,32 \\ 443,32}}{\text { 4，}}$ | ¢ |  |
| （2032710 | MULPNNEVELLCAGE | 60 60 | ${ }^{0} 0.0864$ | ${ }_{1 a}^{19}$ | 632 <br> 632 <br> 68 | come |  | S |  | ¢ ${ }_{\substack{\text { s78，} 106 \\ 87,106}}$ | 边 | ¢ |  |  |
| 23922010 2422010 | BEVERLY YLLLS WATER south mali steet water ist | ${ }_{60}^{60}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0084} 0$ | ${ }_{19}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{633}^{632}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S813，606 } \\ \text { s84，483 }}}^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S325，422 }}^{\text {S35，793 }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S217，962 } \\ \$ 217,195}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LAEEAND | 60 61 61 | ${ }_{\substack{0 \\ 0.0087 \\ 0.0878}}^{0.088}$ | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | 633 <br> 636 <br> 68 |  | cois |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1842020 142010 |  | ${ }_{62}^{61}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0078} 0$ | ${ }_{2}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{755}^{636}$ |  | S817，963 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { \＄327，125 } \\ \$ 432,211}}^{\text {se2，}}$ |  |  | $\underset{888,999}{\text { ¢2，477 }}$ |  | Stise，905 | （\＄34，845 |
| （223880 |  |  | － | 1a 10 10 | ¢ <br> 75 <br> 755 |  |  |  | （ex |  | （ex |  | （iscois | （ |
| 1032070 |  | ${ }_{63}^{62}$ | ${ }_{\substack{0.00938 \\ 0.097}}^{0.0}$ | ${ }_{2}^{16}$ | ${ }_{759}^{755}$ |  | $\$ 1,064,212$ $\$ 1,086,072$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S425，685 } \\ \text { S43，429 }}}^{\text {S }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{833,099}^{\text {¢38，312 }}$ |  | S906，708 |  |
| 166200 | TOP Notch Conoos | ${ }_{63}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0907}$ | ${ }_{10}$ | ${ }_{79} 7$ | \＄991，134 | S | ¢ | ${ }_{\text {cke }}^{\text {s285，246 }}$ | （ | 898，508 | ¢ ${ }_{\text {S }}$ | \＄991，360 | ${ }_{\text {445，568 }}$ |
| 972010 | CROTCHED MOUNTAI REHAB CENTER | ${ }_{64}$ | ${ }^{0.0927}$ | ${ }^{19}$ | 647 | \＄776，365 | s882，263 | S332，905 | \＄221，937 | \＄77，997 | \＄22，961 | ${ }_{\text {S4 }} \times 1,377$ | \＄709，088 | \＄35，454 |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline EPA ID \& System Name \& Summed Safe Yields（gpm）of all wells serving the facility \& Est Capacity，MGD （based on Summed Safe Yield） \& \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\text { Treatment } \\
\text { Process Master } \\
\text { Code }
\end{array}
\] \& Steps \(1 \& 2\) est SF from MG capacity \＆ Treatment Provided，SF \& Step 3，est total
developed cost as \(\$ M\)
from sf \& Step 4，adj by treatment process for 2010 total developed cost \& Mechanical／ Process \& Electrical／
Instrument ation \& Architectural \& Structural \& Civil \& Total 20－Year Component Replacement Cost \& Annual \\
\hline 1973060 \& LEISURE VILLAGE \& \({ }^{66}\) \& 0.10 \& 0 \& 771 \& \＄924，655 \& \＄924，655 \& \＄369，862 \& \({ }_{\text {S246，575 }}\) \& \＄88，767 \& \({ }_{\text {933，288 }}\) \& \({ }_{549,315}\) \& \＄787，006 \& \＄39，390 \\
\hline （162250 \& Batitet Place
GLEN RIICE DEV \& \({ }_{68}^{66}\) \& \({ }^{0.10}\) \& \({ }_{2}^{2}\) \& \({ }_{778}^{771}\) \&  \& \＄51，102，188 \& \({ }^{5440,875}\) \& \({ }_{\text {S203，}}^{5293}\) \& \＄105．810 \&  \& \({ }_{\substack{568,783 \\ 559395}}\) \& S939，064 \& \begin{tabular}{c} 
S44，953 \\
s4739 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \({ }_{8622020}^{61200}\) \& PINE LANING Conoos \& \({ }_{68}^{68}\) \& 0.10 \& \({ }_{2}\) \& \({ }_{778}\) \& S993，331 \& ¢ \& \({ }_{\text {S }}^{5445,012}\) \&  \& \＄100，003 \& \({ }_{\text {S40，051 }}\) \& \({ }_{\text {s59，}}^{535}\) \& \(\underset{\text { sa97，876 }}{ }\) \& \({ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {847，394 }}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{23923030}\) \& MICHAWANIC VILIAEE CONDOS \& \({ }_{68}^{68}\) \& 0.10 \& \({ }^{2}\) \& \({ }_{7}^{788}\) \& ¢983，331 \& \({ }_{\text {Sl }} 51.12,531\) \& \({ }_{5}^{5445,012}\) \&  \& \({ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S106，803 }}\) \&  \& ¢59， 5 \&  \& \({ }_{\text {S }}^{547,394}\) \\
\hline 774010
952020 \& EPSOM HEALTHCARE CTR \& \({ }_{69}^{68}\) \& 0.10
0.10 \& \({ }_{2}^{12}\) \& \({ }_{781}^{658}\) \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { ¢7897，742 } \\ 89724}}\) \& S846．603 \& \({ }_{\substack{5338.641 \\ 5447035}}^{\text {s，}}\) \&  \& s81，274
sior，289 \& ¢ \({ }_{\substack{\text { s30，478 } \\ \text { s40，23 }}}\) \&  \&  \& S38，065
S47，699 \\
\hline 952020
1031010 \& \(\xrightarrow{\text { gray lideges }}\) HAMPTEAD AREA WATER \& \({ }_{69}^{69}\) \& \({ }^{0.10} 0\) \& \({ }_{2}^{2}\) \& \({ }_{781}^{781}\) \& \({ }_{\text {¢987，544 }}^{5937,574}\) \& S1，117，589
\(\$ 1,117,589\) \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { S4477，035 } \\ \text { S47，}}}{\text { Stas }}\) \&  \& ¢ \begin{tabular}{c} 
sin \\
S107，289 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& ¢ \&  \&  \& S47，699
\＄7， 699 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
493020 \\
152090 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \&  \& 70
70 \& \({ }_{0}^{0.10}\) \& \({ }_{2}\) \& \({ }_{785}^{785}\) \& \＄941，766 \& ¢941，756 \&  \&  \&  \&  \& \(\underset{\substack{550,227 \\ 559871}}{ }\) \& S802，766 \& \(\$ 44.119\)
\(\$ 47822\) \\
\hline 162095
162050 \& Notit lode \& 70 \& 0.10 \& \(1{ }^{2}\) \& \({ }_{664} 6\) \& S9796，871 \& \({ }_{\text {S }}\) \&  \& \＄227，799 \& ss8，008 \& ¢ 580,753 \& \＄44，560 \& \＄9727，817 \&  \\
\hline 205230
252120 \& \({ }_{\text {L }}^{\text {LANCASTER FARMS }}\) PEULLITCHER Coaner estates \& 70
70 \& \({ }_{0}^{0.10} 0\) \& 19
10
10 \& \({ }_{785}^{664}\) \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { ¢999，871 } \\ \$ 94156}}{ }\) \&  \& 5341.698
542.249 \&  \& （582，088 \&  \&  \& \＄9727．817 \& \＄36，391
S47099 \\
\hline \({ }_{9125040}^{2542150}\) \& PeURILICHER CORNNER ESTATES
BRIAR COURT ESTATES \& \({ }_{71}^{70}\) \& \({ }_{0}^{0.10}\) \& \({ }_{16}^{16}\) \& \({ }_{788}^{788}\) \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { s944，} \\ \text { s96，} 779}}{ }\) \& ¢ \&  \& ¢ \& （in \&  \&  \& \({ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S946，1，90 }}\) \& S44，099
S47，306 \\
\hline 205270 \& AuTuMn wooos \& \({ }_{72}\) \& 0.10 \& \({ }^{16}\) \& 790 \& \＄947，999 \& \＄1，12，856 \& \＄445，143 \& \＄296，762 \& \＄106，834 \& \＄440，063 \& \＄59，352 \& \＄998， 54 \& \＄47，088 \\
\hline 774030
512260 \& MEADOW brook
DAVIS HILL \& 72
74 \& 0.10
0.11 \& \({ }_{2}^{19}\) \& \({ }_{798}^{670}\) \&  \& Stich，672 \&  \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { S229，79 } \\ \$ 30488}}\) \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { s82，721 } \\ \text { S10，} 615}}^{\text {a }}\) \&  \&  \&  \&  \\
\hline 512200
1031010 \& DAMPSTEAD AREA WATER \& \({ }_{75}^{74}\) \& 0.11 \& 2 \& 798
801 \& \({ }_{\text {cosem }}^{\text {s967，} 221}\) \&  \& ¢ \&  \& \＄ \&  \&  \& \({ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S9727，8，804 }}\) \&  \\
\hline 162210 \& Mountalnsid at attitar \& 75 \& 0.11 \& 2 \& 802 \& \＄966，189 \& \＄1，14，476 \& \＄456，590 \& \＄305，727 \& \＄110，062 \& \({ }_{544,273}\) \& \({ }_{\text {s66 ，} 145}\) \& ¢997，797 \& \＄48，840 \\
\hline 1722020 \& SLOPE N SHORE CLUB \& \({ }^{75}\) \& 0.11 \& 2 \& 802 \& \＄961，809 \& \＄1，14，476 \& \＄455，590 \& \＄305，727 \& \＄110，062 \& \({ }_{544,273}\) \& \({ }_{\text {s66 ，} 145}\) \& s997，797 \& \({ }_{548,840}\) \\
\hline \({ }_{\text {la }}^{1939010}\) \& MONADNOCK TENANTS \& 75
75 \& \({ }_{0}^{0.11}\) \& \({ }_{10}^{1 a}\) \& \({ }_{\substack{678 \\ 678}}\) \&  \&  \& ¢ \begin{tabular}{c}
53489.974 \\
838974 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& ¢ \&  \&  \&  \& ¢ \& （ \begin{tabular}{c} 
S37，166 \\
\(\$ 87166\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \({ }_{2}^{2312060}\) \& CHOCORUA WOODS
WALPOE WATER DEPT \& \({ }_{75}^{75}\) \& \({ }_{0}^{0.11}\) \& \({ }_{19}^{19}\) \& \({ }_{678}^{678}\) \&  \&  \&  \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { S2323，649 }}}^{583294}\) \&  \&  \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { S46，5530 }}}^{5464}\) \& ¢ \&  \\
\hline （139250 \& PEUAVERY ESTATES
Lonawooos
MHP \& \({ }_{78}^{76}\) \& \({ }_{0}^{0.11}\) \& \({ }_{0}\) \& \({ }_{811}^{805}\) \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { s965．658 } \\ 9973,208}}\) \&  \& ¢ \({ }_{\substack{\text { S460，426 } \\ 888983}}\) \&  \& \begin{tabular}{c} 
S110．502 \\
S93， 28 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \&  \&  \& \＄980，707 \& \(\$ 44,035\)
\(\$ 41459\) \\
\hline \({ }_{5}^{612250}\) \& SACO Wooos conoos \& \({ }_{78}\) \& 0.11 \& 2 \& 811 \& ¢9973，208 \& 边 \& 旡 \& \({ }_{\text {cosem }}^{\text {S309，502 }}\) \& \＄111，366 \& \({ }_{\text {S44，762 }}\) \&  \& ¢ \& － 84944,49 \\
\hline 73290
112080 \& 18 HUCHES LINEFFINGAAM
WALUUT RIDCEBRYANT WOOOS \& 79
80 \& 0.11
0.12 \& \({ }_{2}^{16}\) \& 814
817 \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { S977，910 } \\ 9980.566}}{ }\) \&  \&  \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { \＄305，} 31,688 \\ \hline 898}}\) \&  \&  \&  \&  \& \(\$ 48,858\)
\(\$ 49792\) \\
\hline 1562330 \& badger hll \& 80 \& 0.12 \& 2 \& 817 \& \＄998，566 \& \＄1，166，835 \& \({ }_{\text {S467，534 }}\) \& \({ }_{\text {¢3311，} 1,69}\) \& \＄112，208 \& \({ }_{\text {442，}}\) \& \({ }_{\text {cce238 }}\) \& ¢995， 84 \& \＄94，792 \\
\hline 1972010 \& PEULIEERERY TREE ACRES \& 80 \& 0.12 \& \({ }^{2}\) \& \({ }^{817}\) \& \({ }^{9980,566}\) \& \({ }_{81,168,835}\) \& \({ }_{\$ 4675.539}\) \& \({ }_{\text {\＄321，} 1739}\) \& \＄112，208 \& \({ }_{\text {S42，078 }}\) \& \({ }_{\text {962，388 }}\) \& \({ }_{\text {s } 99958874}\) \& \({ }_{\text {\＄49，792 }}\) \\
\hline \({ }^{203039030}\) \& LAKES REGION NHP P COOP II \& 80
80 \& 0.12
0.12 \& \({ }_{19}^{1 a}\) \& \({ }_{691}^{691}\) \&  \& S889，499 \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { \＄3555，780 } \\ \$ 8380}}\) \& \(\underset{\substack{\$ 237,186 \\ \$ 227,186}}{58193}\) \&  \&  \&  \& ¢ \& \begin{tabular}{l} 
\＄37，7991 \\
\hline 878991
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1652020
2212010 \& CHALK Pono water
BOW LAEE ESTATES \& \({ }_{82}^{81}\) \& \({ }_{0}^{0.12}\) \& \({ }_{0}^{19}\) \& \({ }_{822}^{694}\) \&  \&  \& ¢ \({ }_{\text {S357，090 }}\) \&  \&  \&  \&  \& \({ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {¢780．001 }}\) \& （\＄38，030 \\
\hline 2212010 \&  \& \({ }_{83}^{82}\) \& 0.12
0.12 \& \({ }_{3}\) \& －\({ }_{826}^{822}\) \& coss \&  \&  \&  \& （ \&  \& cisise \&  \&  \\
\hline 612170
2533010 \& M MAPLE HAVEN \& \({ }_{84}^{83}\) \& \({ }^{0.12}\) \& \({ }^{12}\) \& \({ }_{700}^{699}\) \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& （\＄38．304 \\
\hline 2338000
882000 \& WUNTSTOARRISH Conoos \& 84
84
84 \& 0.12
0.12 \& \(\stackrel{1}{1}\) \& 780
829 \&  \&  \&  \& \({ }_{\text {cke }}^{5840,197}\) \& s86，471
S113，831 \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { S322，427 } \\ 442,87}}^{5185}\) \&  \&  \&  \\
\hline 11280
72070 \& WALNUT RIDGEBRYYNT WOODS
SOUHEGAN WOOOS \& \({ }_{85}^{85}\) \& 0.12
0.12 \& \(\stackrel{2}{19}\) \& \({ }_{704}^{832}\) \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { S998，186 } \\ 884,619}}\) \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \&  \& \begin{tabular}{c}
\(\$ 1,01,7,72\) \\
\(\$ 771,228\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \(\$ 50,687\)
\(\$ 88,571\) \\
\hline 1972010 \& Stite \& 85
86
86 \& 0．12 \& \({ }^{1 a}\) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
704 \\
885 \\
\hline 80
\end{tabular} \&  \& （ \&  \& 隹 \& ¢ \&  \&  \& （ 8771.428 \& （ 585.571 \\
\hline 774010
192010 \& － \(\begin{aligned} \& \text { EPSOM HEALTHCARE CTR } \\ \& \text { BEDFORO WATER }\end{aligned}\) \& \({ }_{86}^{86}\) \& 0.12
0.12 \& \({ }_{19}^{2}\) \& \({ }_{706}^{835}\) \&  \&  \&  \&  \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { S114，613 } \\ \text { S87，217 }}}{\text { S9，}}\) \& \({ }_{\substack{432,980 \\ \$ 32707}}^{50,59}\) \&  \&  \& （\＄50，860 \(\begin{gathered}\text { S8，703 }\end{gathered}\) \\
\hline 1212130 \& EAGLE Brook \& \({ }_{88}^{88}\) \& 0.13 \& 0 \& 840 \& \＄1，008，268 \& \＄1，008，268 \& \({ }_{\text {cose }}^{5403,307}\) \& \({ }_{\text {S226，871 }}\) \& 996，794 \& \＄36，298 \& \({ }_{\text {S55，374 }}\) \& \({ }_{\text {8859，044 }}\) \& \＄42，952 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1481010 \\
762080 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& Matborouch water works \& \({ }_{90}^{89}\) \& 0.13
0.13 \& \(\bigcirc\) \& \({ }_{846}^{843}\) \&  \& ¢ \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { S } \\ \$ 4040.621}}^{5090}\) \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { S269，747 } \\ \$ 270.613}}{ }\) \& \({ }_{\text {coser }}^{5997109}\) \&  \&  \& （ 8 S861，842 \&  \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
76280 \\
2020 \\
\hline 200
\end{tabular} \& VLLLAGES ON THE LAMPEY
WWILWOOO DV \& \({ }_{90}^{90}\) \& \({ }^{0.13}\) \& \({ }_{2}\) \& \({ }_{846}^{846}\) \& \(\$ 1,014,799\)
\(\$ 1,041,799\) \&  \&  \&  \& S97，421
S116， 126 \&  \&  \& S804，090 \& \＄43，230
s5，531 \\
\hline 1932600
2572010 \& Mooncate farm \& \({ }_{90}^{90}\) \& 0.13
0.13 \& \({ }_{3}^{2}\) \& \({ }_{846}^{846}\) \& \(\$ 1.004,799\)
\(\$ 1014799\) \&  \&  \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { S322，571 } \\ \$ 322571}}\) \& \＄116，126 \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { s43，547 }}}^{54,57}\) \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { sc4，54，} \\ \text { S64，}}}\) \& \＄\({ }_{\text {\＄1，030．614 }}^{\text {SiO30．614 }}\) \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { s51．531 } \\ \text { S51531 }}}\) \\
\hline 2537210
1412020
15020 \& Jack ilainer condos \& 90
90 \& － 0.13
0.13 \& \(1{ }^{3}\) \& \(\underset{\substack{846 \\ 778}}{ }\) \&  \& \(\substack{\text { S1，20．641 } \\ \text { se3，4，4］}}\) \&  \&  \&  \&  \& ¢ \&  \&  \\
\hline 153050
2011010 \&  \& 95
100 \& 0.14
0.14 \& \({ }_{2}^{1 a}\) \& \({ }_{871}^{727}\) \& S877，973 \&  \&  \&  \& ¢ \&  \&  \&  \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { S39，821 } \\ \text { s5．086 }}}\) \\
\hline 2051010
105010
10 \& AOLUARION WATERTH AMD SEWER \& 100
100 \& 0．14 \& \({ }_{10}^{2}\) \& \({ }_{7}^{737}\) \& （si．08，5222 \&  \& （is \& （is \& Stion \& （istisi \& （istion \& 为 \& （ 5 Su0，307 \\
\hline 俍 \(\begin{aligned} \& 1101020 \\ \& 2011010\end{aligned}\) \& No HAVERHIL WATER AND LIGHT
Rollisforo water ano sewer \& 100
103 \& 0.14
0.15 \& \({ }_{19}^{1 a}\) \& \({ }_{743}^{737}\) \&  \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { S948，278 } \\ \text { s95，} 071}}{ }\) \&  \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { S252，874 } \\ \$ 254,52}}{ }\) \& ¢ \({ }_{\substack{\text { s91，035 } \\ 997783}}\) \&  \&  \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { S807，933 } \\ \text { s814，572 }}}\) \& \(\$ 40,397\)
\(\$ 40,729\) \\
\hline 20121230
162400 \&  \& 109
104
104 \& lo． \& － \& 873
885
745 \& （si，56，541 \&  \&  \&  \& （120，902 \& （istisis \& ¢ \&  \&  \\
\hline 162400
881020 \& CACLE RIDEE RESEORT \& 104
104 \& 0.15
0.15 \& \({ }_{19}^{1 a}\) \& \({ }_{745}^{745}\) \& ¢ \& S955，618 \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { S3838，447 } \\ \$ 838}}^{\text {and }}\) \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { s255，631 } \\ \$ 25,631}}^{\text {S43，}}\) \&  \& \({ }_{\text {\＄}}^{\text {S34，54，50 }}\) \&  \&  \&  \\
\hline － 1031010 \&  \& \({ }_{105}^{105}\) \& 0.15 \& \({ }^{1 a}\) \& \({ }_{888}^{747}\) \&  \& （906，1，141 \& ¢ \&  \&  \& \＄84，601 \& \(\underset{\substack{\text { s51，261 } \\ 56711}}{ }\) \& ¢818，892 \& ¢ \\
\hline 2542330
112060 \&  \& 107
110 \& 0．15 0.16 \& \({ }_{0}^{2}\) \& \({ }_{894}^{888}\) \&  \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\$ 1,26,983\) \\
\(\$ 1,073,123\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \&  \&  \& \＄ \(\begin{aligned} \& \text { \＄12，80，80 } \\ \& \text { S103，} 200\end{aligned}\) \&  \& ¢ \& \＄ \& （154，084 \\
\hline 732200
341030 \& 18 HUGHES LIEEFFNMGAM
WATERVILL ESTATE VIG
IITW \& 110
110
1 \& \({ }_{0}^{0.16}\) \& \({ }_{10}^{19}\) \& 757
894 \& S． \& S973，405 \&  \&  \&  \&  \& ¢ \& ¢ \& \(\$ 41,467\)
553,69 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
341030 \\
1403030 \\
\hline 02080
\end{tabular} \& WATEEVILEESTATE VLG DISTW \& 111 111 \& 0.16
0.16 \& \({ }^{16}\) \& \({ }_{8}^{894}\) \& ¢ \&  \&  \& ¢ \&  \&  \& cisci， \& （ \& （is5．696 \\
\hline （882300 \& FrEEDOM VILLAGE Condos
Lov water \& 112
115
115 \& \({ }_{0}^{0.16}\) \& \({ }_{2}^{2}\) \& \({ }_{905}^{899}\) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\$ 1.077 .360\) \\
Si， 080.042 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& \＄ \(81.285,405\) \& ¢ \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { S342，775 } \\ 8855217}}^{\text {S3，}}\) \&  \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { siti，275 } \\ \text { S46，604 }}}\) \&  \& （\＄1．095，65 \&  \\
\hline 862010
223030
205202 \& Still \& 115

115
115 \& ${ }^{0.17}$ \& ${ }_{2}^{2}$ \& ${ }^{905}$ \& cois \& cis \& （ \&  \&  \&  \& coss \&  \&  <br>
\hline 2053320
2542010 \& ACERERAN RETIREMENT PAFK
PEUGOLDEN
brook \& 115
115 \& ${ }_{0}^{0.17}$ \& ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ \& ${ }_{766}^{766}$ \& $\underset{\substack{\text { s918，959 } \\ \text { s918，999 }}}{ }$ \& $\underset{\substack{\text { s985，} 124 \\ \text { s95，} 24}}{ }$ \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { S394，050 } \\ \text { s39，050 }}}^{\text {S }}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { S }}}^{\text {s262，700 }}$ \＄262700 \& $\underset{\substack{\text { s94，572 } \\ \text { s9，572 }}}{ }$ \&  \&  \& ¢ ${ }_{\substack{\text { s839，326 } \\ \text { s89，} 226}}$ \& $\$ 41,966$
$\$ 41,966$ <br>
\hline ${ }^{2124080}$ \& Walint rigabbryan wooos \& ${ }_{118}^{118}$ \& 0.17 \& 2 \& ${ }_{911}^{906}$ \& \＄1，09，${ }^{\text {S3 }}$ \& St， 302,897 \& ${ }_{\text {cke }}^{5521,159}$ \& ¢ \& \＄125．078 \& ${ }_{\text {S44，}}^{5094}$ \& S69，488 \& \＄1，110，068 \&  <br>

\hline ¢ | 112080 |
| :---: |
| 512280 | \&  \& 1188

120 \& ${ }_{0}^{0.17}$ \& ${ }_{13}^{2}$ \& ${ }_{775}^{911}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { S1，093，527 } \\ \$ 929,226}}^{\text {a }}$ \&  \&  \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { \＄347，596 } \\ \$ 26592}}^{\text {S2，}}$ \& $\underset{\substack{\text { \＄125，135 } \\ \$ 95649}}{\text { S }}$ \& $\underset{\substack{\text { sis5，968 }}}{54,98}$ \&  \& $\$ 1,11,969$
s84， 885 \&  <br>
\hline  \& Woodalins \& ${ }^{120}$ \& 0.17 \& ${ }^{1 a}$ \& ${ }_{775}^{775}$ \& ${ }_{\text {s }}^{\text {se29，426 }}$ \& S999，344 \&  \&  \&  \& smis．68 \& cissi．138 \&  \& \＄42，244 <br>

\hline | 14661010 |
| :--- |
| 73220 | \& VILACE DISTRMCT \& ${ }_{120}^{120}$ \& 0.17 \& ${ }_{10}^{19}$ \& 795

915 \& ¢ \& \＄ \&  \&  \& （ ${ }_{\text {S123，795 }}$ \& ${ }_{\substack{\text { S35，668 } \\ 446,23}}^{53598}$ \&  \& S848，885 \& $\underset{\substack{\text { S42，244 } \\ \text { S4，934 }}}{ }$ <br>
\hline 1033010
142010 \& HAMPSTEAD AREA WATER
PEULOCKE LAKE \& 121
123
121 \& 0.17

0.18 \& 2 \& ${ }_{921}^{917}$ \&  \&  \&  \&  \& | $\$ 1259.90$ |
| :--- |
| $\$ 126.515$ | \&  \&  \&  \&  <br>

\hline 1994010 \& FrANKLIN PIERCE UNVERSITY \& ${ }_{124}$ \& ${ }_{0} 0.18$ \& 2 \& ${ }_{923} 9$ \& ¢ \&  \& （s527，267 \& ¢ ${ }_{\text {S } 52,178}$ \& ¢126，784 \& ${ }_{\text {S47，544 }}$ \& $\xrightarrow{870,486}$ \& ${ }_{\text {S }}$ \& \＄56，280 <br>
\hline ${ }^{612160}$ \& woodland at derry \& 124 \& 0.18 \& 3 \& ${ }^{923}$ \& \＄1，107，942 \& \＄1，320，667 \& \＄528，267 \& \＄352，178 \& \＄126，784 \& ${ }_{\text {s47，544 }}$ \& \＄70，466 \& \＄1，125，208 \& \＄56，280 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| EPA ID | System Name | Summed Safe Yields（gpm）of all wells serving the facility | Est Capacity，MGD （based on Summed Safe Yield） | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Treatment } \\ \text { Process Master } \\ \text { Code } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Steps $1 \& 2$ est SF from MG capacity \＆ Treatment Provided，SF | Step 3，est total developed cost as $\$ M$ from sf | Step 4，adj by treatment process for 2010 total developed cost | Mechanical／ Process | Electrical／ Instrument ation | Architectural | Structural | Civil | Total 20－Year Component Replacement Cost | Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THE EEASONS A ATTTTASH | ${ }^{130}$ | 0.19 | 2 | ${ }^{935}$ | ${ }^{\text {si，121，676 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {81，37，}}$（3888 | ${ }_{\text {S } 534.815}$ | ${ }^{\text {S }}$ S565，543 | ${ }_{\text {8128，356 }}$ | ${ }_{588,133}$ | 577，309 | \＄1，139，156 | 56，958 |
| 80320 <br> 2271020 | EXETER RIVER MOBIE HOME PAAK | （130 | 0.19 0.19 | ${ }_{10}^{2}$ | ${ }_{935}^{935}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | （ty | （ | S56，988 |
| 112070 | WATER WHEEL ESTATES | 135 | 0.19 | 2 | 944 | \＄1，13，645 | \＄1，35，113 | \＄544，045 | \＄386，0，30 | \＄129，611 | \＄48，04 | ${ }_{\text {s72，006 }}$ | \＄1，15，296 | \＄557，515 |
| － 1732330 | G GREAT BaY Water sys | 135 135 135 | 0．19 | ${ }^{19}$ | ${ }_{799} 79$ | Soss，392 | \＄1，027，396 | ¢410，988 | $\underset{\substack{\text { S277，972 } \\ \text { S273922 }}}{ }$ | ¢989，630 | \＄35，986 | ${ }^{554,794}$ | \＄875，．42 | ${ }^{544,767}$ |
| 2361010 588210 | Tror water works Colby Pond | ＋135 | 0.19 0.20 | ${ }_{2}^{19}$ | ${ }_{953} 9$ | ¢958，392 |  | （ | （ | s99，630 S130， 820 |  | （ |  |  |
| 2312330 | White lake estates | 140 | 0.20 | 12 | 806 | \＄967，366 | \＄1，06，984 | \＄4414，794 | ${ }_{\text {s227，5，29 }}$ | ¢99，550 | s37，31 | ${ }_{\text {s55，36 }}$ | \＄883，510 | \＄44，176 |
| 2082050 | STONEFORD | 142 | 0.21 | 2 | 957 | \＄1，14，155 | \＄1，368，601 | \＄557，440 | \＄384，960 | \＄131，386 | S49，270 | ${ }_{\text {872，922 }}$ | \＄1，166，048 | \＄58，302 |
| ${ }^{2} 219404010$ | coos count fatm Rockingal M Count complex | 144 <br> 150 | 0.21 0.22 | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 960 969 | $\$ 1,15,403$ $\$ 1,168,267$ | $\$ 1,372,472$ $\$ 1,386,615$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S365，993 } \\ \$ 36964}}^{\text {c，}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S }}}^{\$ 131,7,57}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { s49，409 } \\ \text { s49，918 }}}{ }$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S58，467 } \\ \text { S5，070 }}}$ |
| 284010 289010 | Rockinatam Counir Complex Rockincham Count COMPLEX | （150 | 0.22 0.22 | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | ${ }_{969}^{999}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\$ 1,386,15 \\ \$ 1,38,6,15}}{\text { c，}}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S }}$ |  |  |  | \＄959，070 |
| 193270 | Rainbow ridge | 150 | 0.22 | 2 | 969 | \＄1，16，267 | \＄1，38，6，615 | \＄554，646 | \＄389，764 | \＄133，115 | \＄49，918 | 873，953 | \＄1，18，396 | \＄59，070 |
| 2353010 771010 | Rodaber dev | 150 150 150 | 0.22 0.22 | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | ${ }_{890}^{990}$ | ${ }_{\text {S1，}}$ \＄163，267 | \＄1，36，6615 | \＄554，646 | \＄3597，764 | ${ }_{\text {sin }}$ | \＄499，988 |  | ${ }_{\text {s1，} 188,396}$ | \＄559，070 |
| ${ }^{771010} 1101020$ |  | 150 150 150 | 0.22 0.22 | ${ }_{19}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{8}^{820}$ | S984，303 | $\$ 1,055,173$ $\$ 1,05,173$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { S422，099 } \\ \$ 422,069}}{\substack{\text { S4，}}}$ | $\underset{\substack{\$ 281,39 \\ \$ 28,79}}{50,9}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {s37，} 37,966}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S899，007 } \\ \text { S89，007 }}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{544,950 \\ \$ 44,550}}$ |
| 432210 | VILLAGES AT CHESTER Condos | 150 <br> 158 <br> 1 | N．23 0.23 | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{831}^{882}$ | ¢989，0，03 |  |  | ¢ | （10， | \＄38，8，496 |  | （ | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{5445,534}$ |
| 761010 1722010 | EPPING WATERAND SEWER DEPT | 160 165 1 | 0.23 0.24 | ${ }_{1}^{19}$ | ${ }_{840}^{833}$ |  | \＄S1，072， 188 <br> $\$ 1.080 .300$ | ${ }_{\substack{5428,875 \\ \$ 432120}}$ |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { S9913．504 } \\ \text { g92．416 }}}{ }$ | ${ }_{\substack{5454,675 \\ 846.021}}$ |
| ${ }_{2082040}^{12020}$ | SEAE CORES CONDOS | ${ }_{165}^{165}$ | － | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{840}^{880}$ | \＄ $81,007,743$ | Sili， |  | ¢ | \＄103，709 | \＄888，991 | 边 557,616 | S | ¢46，021 |
| 1713010 201010 | VALLANCOURT MOBIE HOME PARK BELMONT WATER DEPT | 170 <br> 170 |  | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 1000 <br> 846 |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s51，479 } \\ \\ 53,974}}$ |  | \＄1，28，341 |  |
| 201910 2441010 |  | 1780 180 | 0.24 0.26 0. | ${ }_{0}^{19}$ | 886 1014 108 |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S } \\ \text { S446，} 503}}^{543,288}$ |  | ¢ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { sisi，785 }}}^{59,174}$ |  | （1027， | S46，366 $\substack{\text { S5，} 813}$ |
| 1021010 | Halles Location | ${ }^{180}$ | 0.26 | ${ }^{1 a}$ | ${ }^{858}$ | \＄1，029，419 | \＄1，103，240 | ${ }_{\text {S441，296 }}$ | \＄299，197 | \＄105，911 | \＄39，77 | S58，39 | \＄9939，960 | ${ }^{546,998}$ |
| 2531010 <br> 1921020 | WINCHESTER WATER DEPT | 190 195 | 0.27 0.28 | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{874}^{869}$ |  | S1， 117,494 si， 12,342 | $\underset{\substack{5446,997 \\ 5499737}}{\text { Sta }}$ |  | S107，279 sin7，937 |  | ciss．600 | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S952，} 105}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S47，} 9897}$ |
| 1461010 | VILLAGE DIITRICT OF EIDELWEISS | 200 | 0.29 | 0 | 1039 | \＄1，24，880 | \＄1，24，880 | \＄498，752 | \＄332．501 | \＄119，701 | \＄44，888 | \＄66，500 | \＄1，062，342 | \＄55，117 |
| ${ }^{803030}$ | EXETER RIVER Lanoing | ${ }^{200}$ | 0.29 | 2 | 1039 | ${ }^{\$ 1,246,880}$ | \＄1，46，281 | \＄594，513 | \＄399， 342 | ${ }^{1142,683}$ | ${ }_{\text {s53，506 }}$ | \＄79，268 | \＄1，266，312 | \＄66，316 |
| 34320 1051010 | SIX FLAGS MHP AOUARION WATERNH | 200 200 | 0.29 0.29 | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{879}^{879}$ |  | （ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { S301，604 } \\ \$ 30,604}}{\text { cen }}$ |  |  | ¢ |  | $\underset{\substack{\$ 48,181 \\ \$ 48,181}}{ }$ |
| 1877010 | PETTRBBOROUGH WATER works | 200 | －．29 | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | 879 | ${ }_{\text {S }}$ \＄1，055，053 | \＄1， | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S452，407 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {\＄}}^{\text {\＄301，} 064}$ | \＄100，578 | ${ }_{\text {4 } 40,717}$ | \＄ 560,321 | ¢ | ${ }_{\text {c48，} 181}^{5461}$ |
| 2111010 411010 | SEABROOK WATER DEPT ${ }^{\text {cher }}$ | 200 20 | 0.29 0.30 0 | ${ }_{10}^{1 a}$ | 889 889 |  | \＄1，13，016 |  | \＄301， 604 <br> $\$ 835054$ | S108，578 | \＄40，717 | ¢ |  | 548,181 S48729 |
| ${ }_{\substack{411010 \\ 91010}}$ | CHARLESTOWN WATER WTRKS ANTMM SEWER ANO WATER DEPT | 210 215 | ${ }_{0.31}^{0.30}$ | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{894}^{889}$ | $\$ 1,067,52$ <br> $\$ 1,072,838$ | S1， $1,1,3,79$ $\$ 1,50,083$ |  |  | \＄ |  | cis |  | 548,729 <br> 548,994 |
| 2351020 | LOCHMERE VLLAAGE IIST | ${ }^{238}$ | 0.34 | ${ }^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{915} 9$ | ¢ $81,1097,833$ | ¢1， | ¢ ${ }_{\text {c470，51 }}$ |  | \＄112，980 | ${ }_{\text {S42，368 }}$ |  | \＄1，02，699 | ${ }_{\text {S50，}}$ |
| 1151020 771010 | HINSOALE WATER DEPTIDOWNTOWN EPSOMVILAGE DIST | 240 200 | 0.35 0.36 | ${ }_{1}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{925}^{917}$ | $\$ 1,099,991$ 81,109930 |  |  | ¢ ${ }_{\substack{\text { s314，4222 } \\ 8377292}}$ | （ |  |  | （\＄1，004，579 | S50，229 S50．687 |
| 1731010 1731010 |  | 年200 | 0.36 0.36 0.36 | ${ }^{19}$ | 925 |  |  |  |  | （tile | ¢ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{17331010}{ }_{222010}$ | NEWMARKET Water works NORTH STRATTORO WATER DEPT | ${ }_{272}^{250}$ | ${ }_{0.39}^{0.36}$ | ${ }_{1 a}^{19}$ | ${ }_{942}^{925}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S50，67 } \\ \text { S51，643 }}}^{\text {S0，}}$ |
| ${ }^{612150}$ | DREW Woois | ${ }_{273}^{273}$ | 0.39 | ${ }^{16}$ | ${ }_{9114}^{114}$ |  | \＄1，57，008 | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S628，03 }}$ |  |  | S56，520 | ¢88，754 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S1，} \\ \text { ST37，647 }}}$ |  |
|  | EXETTR WATER DEpt | 280 | 0．430 | ${ }_{12}^{19}$ | ${ }_{962}^{998}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S } \\ \$ 1,154,7788}}^{\$ 1,37801}$ |  |  |  | \＄ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S51，960 } \\ \$ 52735}}^{\text {S4，}}$ |
| ${ }^{161020}$ | Lower bartlet Water pct | 300 300 | 0.43 | ${ }^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{962}^{962}$ | \＄1，154，768 |  | ${ }_{\text {S495，} 165}$ | \＄330，110 | \＄118，840 | ${ }_{\text {S44，565 }}$ | ¢66，022 | \＄1，054，701 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S52，735 } \\ \text { s275 }}}^{\text {S }}$ |
| 312010 <br> 122100 | Bisto mater work | 300 300 | O．43 0.43 | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{962}^{962}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| 1877010 2401020 | PETERBOROUGH WATER WORKS NWALPOLE VILACE DIITRICTLOW | 300 305 | 0.43 0.44 | $\stackrel{12}{2}$ | 992 <br> 1141 <br> 1 |  | ¢ | ${ }_{\substack{\$ 4955,165 \\ 865292}}^{\text {St，}}$ | S330，100 |  |  |  | $\$ 1,054,701$ $\$ 1,390.873$ | S52，735 $\$ 69545$ |
| 1861010 | PEMBroke Water works | 309 | 0．44 | 12 | ${ }_{968}$ | ${ }_{\text {cke }}$ | St， | ¢ | ${ }_{\text {cosem }}$ | S119，588 | \＄ 44, ， 845 | \＄66，438 | Si， | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{553,067}$ |
| 1131010 215010 |  | ${ }_{315}^{315}$ | 0.45 0.45 | ${ }_{19}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{972}^{972}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{533335540}$ | （ ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {\＄120，074 }}$ | \＄445．028 |  |  | ¢ ${ }_{\text {S53，283 }}^{583}$ |
| 2441010 <br> 1810 <br> 1810 | SAER | 340 340 3 | O．4．4 0.49 0.49 | ${ }^{19}$ | 1168 <br> $\substack{988 \\ \hline 98}$ |  |  |  |  | 旡 |  |  | （in |  |
| 1841010 651010 | OSSIPEE WATER DEPT | －${ }_{350}^{340}$ | 0.49 0.50 | ${ }_{19}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{994}^{998}$ |  | $\$ 1,27,909$ <br> $\$ 1,278,551$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S50，364 } \\ \$ 51,1,21}}^{51}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S }}}^{\$ 122,007}$ \＄12741 |  | ¢ ${ }_{\substack{\text { s67，782，} \\ 868}}$ |  |  |
| 811010 | FABMINTON W Water dept | －${ }^{350}$ | 0．50 | ${ }^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{9} 94$ |  | \＄1， 7 \％7，551 | ${ }_{\$ 551,421}$ | S34，997 | \＄122，741 | \＄46，028 | ¢68，189 |  |  |
| ${ }_{9}^{9111010} 9$ |  | （ ${ }_{\text {3 }}^{350}$ 30 | 0.50 0.50 | ${ }_{19}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{994}^{994}$ | 罭 $81,192,19678$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S5 } \\ \$ 511,421,21}}^{\text {S21 }}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { S } \\ \$ 122,741 \\ \$ 12,741}}{ }$ | （istio， |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s54，466 } \\ \$ 54,66}}^{\text {S4，46 }}$ |
| 1241010 13810 |  | （ 350 | 0．50 0.50 | ${ }^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{994}^{994}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$ 5511.421}^{5141}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { a }}}^{\substack{\text { 122，741 } \\ 8122741}}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s54，466 } \\ \text { S4，466 }}}^{\text {S4，}}$ |
| 1381010 <br> 211010 | LIt Letorwaterand BENNGGTON WATER DEPT | 350 <br> 360 | ${ }^{0.50} 0$ | ${ }_{18}^{12}$ | 994 1000 |  |  |  |  | （10， |  |  | ¢ | S454，466 S54，733 |
| 2041010 2391010 | RYE Water dist SANBORNVILIL WATER Dept | 365 370 3 | 0.53 0.53 | ${ }_{19}^{1 a}$ | 1002 <br> 1005 <br>  | \＄1，20．9999 | ¢ | ¢ ${ }_{\substack{5415.846 \\ 8517281}}$ |  | \＄123．037 |  |  | （\＄1，09，752 | S54，938 $\$ 55090$ |
| ${ }_{5}^{25313100}$ | SOUNTAN VALE VILLAGE MHP | ${ }_{390}$ | 0．56 0.5 | ${ }_{1 a}$ | 1005 1016 | 边 | $\substack { \text { St，} \\ \begin{subarray}{c}{\text { S1，207，}, 2080{ \text { St，} \\ \begin{subarray} { c } { \text { S1，207，} , 2 0 8 0 } } \end{subarray}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S } \\ 85272,282}}^{5081}$ |  | \＄ | ¢4， | ¢ |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{555,962}$ |
| ${ }^{851010}$ 951010 | Franklin water works | 390 392 | 0.56 0.56 | $\stackrel{12}{2}$ | 1016 1202 |  |  | （ ${ }_{\substack{\text { S522，832 } \\ \$ 687768}}$ |  | \＄125．400 |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s69，711 } \\ 89,702}}$ | （\＄1，13，632 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S55，} 682 \\ 87,24}}$ |
| ${ }^{9} 1181020$ | HOOKSETT VILLAGE WATER PCT | 400 |  | ${ }_{10}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1202}$ |  | ¢ |  |  | \＄126， | ¢ | ¢970．067 |  | ¢535．296 <br> 85606 |
| 1351010 1851010 | LINCOLN WATER Works PEUWILLIMSSURG | 400 400 | 0.58 <br> 0.58 | ${ }_{19}^{19}$ | ${ }_{1021}^{1021}$ |  | $\$ 1,313,755$ <br> $\$ 8,313,755$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S525，502 } \\ \$ 525.502}}^{\text {S }}$ | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {\＄350，} 335}$ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { S47，} 295 \\ 84,295}}{\text { che }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{\text {S55，9666 }}$ |
|  |  | 400 400 |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1021 |  |  |  | （is |  |  |  | 思 | （ 5 S55．966 |
| 2111010 2521010 | SEABROOK WATER DEPT WLITON WATER WORKS | ${ }_{400}^{400}$ | 0.58 0.58 | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | 1021 1021 102 | ${ }_{\substack{\$ 1,225,518 \\ \$ 1,255,518}}^{1 / 2,18}$ | $\underset{\substack{\$ 1,31,755 \\ \$ 1,313,755}}{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { \＄522，502 } \\ \$ 52502}}^{5050}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { \＄350，335 } \\ \$ 35035}}^{\text {S30，}}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { \＄126，} 120 \\ \$ 126,120}}{\text { S }}$ |  |  | ¢ | ${ }_{\$}^{\$ 555,966}$ |
| 411010 | Charlestown water works | 440 | 0.59 | ${ }_{1 a}$ | 1026 | \＄1，23，590 | \＄1，32，265 | \＄558，106 | ${ }_{\text {\＄352，071 }}$ | \＄126，745 | ${ }_{847,530}$ | s70，414 | \＄1，12，866 | ${ }_{556,243}$ |
| 233010 | WINCHETETR W WTER DEET | ${ }_{415}^{410}$ | －0．59 | ${ }^{1 a}$ | ${ }^{1026}$ | ¢ $81.23,5,590$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S126，74 }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { 447，530 } \\ \$ 4.545}}{ }$ | cispent |  | ¢ |
| 811910 1741010 | FARMINGTON WATER DEPT NEWPORT WATER WORKS | ${ }_{425}^{415}$ | －0．61 | ${ }_{19}^{1 a}$ | 1029 <br> 1034 <br> 104 |  |  |  | ¢ |  |  |  | ¢ | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}^{5656647}$ |
| 1581010 2411010 | MLTON WATER DIST WAPNER VILAGE W WTER DIST | 429 440 | 0.62 0.63 0.0 | ${ }_{10}^{1 a}$ | 1036 1041 1020 | （\＄1，24．731 |  |  |  | \＄127，92 <br> \＄12．533 | （4， |  |  |  |
| 2521010 | WILTON WATER WORKS | 440 | ${ }_{0.63}$ | $1{ }^{1 a}$ | 1041 | ¢ 8 S，248，957 | ¢ | ¢ | ${ }_{\text {\＄357，}}$ | \＄128，533 | ¢44，200 | 871，407 | ¢1，14，728 | \＄57，036 |
| 1121010 | coaswel springas Water works | 450 | 0.65 | 0 | 1235 | \＄1，48，572 | \＄1，482，572 | \＄593，029 | \＄939，533 | \＄142，327 | 85，373 | 879，071 | \＄1，26， 151 | 966，158 |


| EPA ID | System Name | Summed Safe Yields（gpm）of all wells serving the facility | Est Capacity，MGD （based on Summed Safe Yield） | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Treatment } \\ \text { Process Master } \\ \text { Code } \end{array}$ | Steps $1 \& 2$ est SF from MG capacity \＆ Treatment Provided，SF | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Step 3, est total } \\ \text { developed cost as } \$ M \\ \text { from st } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Step 4，adj by treatment process for 2010 total developed cost | Mechanical／ | Electrical／ ation | Architectural | Structural | Civil | Total 20－Year Component Replacement Cost | Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1121010 | COGSWELL SPRINGS WATER WORKS | 450 | 0.65 | 0 | ${ }^{1235}$ | ${ }^{\text {S1，} 1882.572}$ | ${ }_{\text {\＄1，482，572 }}$ | \＄593．029 | ${ }_{\text {s395，} 353}$ | \＄142，327 | \＄55，373 | 879．071 | \＄1，26， 151 | ${ }^{\text {S63，158 }}$ |
| lig7100 | RAYMONO WATER DEPT NORTH CONWAY WATER PCT | ${ }_{450}^{450}$ | 0．065 | ${ }^{2}$ | 1235 1045 1 | （\＄1，482．572 | \＄ $81.767,2,26$ | ¢ ${ }_{\text {S706，990 }}^{857923}$ | \＄477，200 |  |  | S94，252 |  | ¢ 8575.284 |
| ${ }_{19} 5193030$ | TENNEY BROOK II ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | ${ }_{450}^{450}$ | ${ }_{0.65}^{0.055}$ | ${ }_{1 a}^{12}$ | 1045 <br> 1045 |  | ¢ ${ }_{\text {cki，344，807 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S358，} 615}$ | \＄ |  | 边 | ¢ | $\underset{\substack{\text { s57，289 } \\ 55,289}}{ }$ |
| 1951010 | PORTSMOUTH WATER WORKS | 450 | ${ }_{0} 0.65$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | 1045 | \＄1，254，484 | \＄1，34，807 | ${ }_{8557,923}$ | ${ }_{\text {S358，} 615}$ | \＄129，101 | \＄48，413 | 871，723 | \＄1，14，775 | \＄55，289 |
| 1951010 | PORTSMOUTH WATER WORKS | 450 | 0.65 | ${ }^{1 a}$ | 1045 | ${ }_{\text {S }} 81,254,484$ | ${ }^{\text {81，} 134,807}$ | ${ }_{\text {8537，923 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {s358，615 }}$ | \＄129，001 | ${ }_{\text {S48，413 }}$ | ${ }^{871,723}$ | ${ }^{\text {S1，} 145,775}$ | 857，289 |
| 161020 881020 | LOWER BATLETT WATER PCT | 480 490 | 0.69 0.71 | ${ }_{1}^{19}$ | ＋1059 | $\$ 1,270,366$ <br> $\$ 1,2754,47$ |  | ¢ | ¢ | $\underset{\substack{\text { \＄130，773 } \\ \$ 13,257}}{ }$ | ¢ |  | （\＄1，160，272 | ${ }_{\substack{555.014 \\ 586.24}}$ |
| ${ }_{6}^{881020}$ | ALTON WATER Works | ${ }_{495}^{490}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.71}$ | ${ }_{19}^{19}$ | 1063 <br> 1065 <br> 105 |  | $\$ 1,367,258$ <br> $\$ 1,369,934$ |  | （ |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { S49，2318 } \\ \$ 49318}}{\text { S4，}}$ |  | S1，16，4，03 <br> $\$ 1,167,184$ <br> 1 | $\$ 55.245$ <br> 85.359 |
| 6， 61010 | DOVER WATER REPT | ${ }^{500}$ | 0.72 | 2 | ${ }^{1261}$ | ${ }_{\text {s1，53，194 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {S1，00，728 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {872 }} 8$ 2，4991 | \＄480，994 | ${ }_{\text {S173，} 158}$ | S64，934 | s96， 199 | \＄1，56，776 | ${ }_{\text {S77，} 839}$ |
| （11010 | CONWAY VLLACE ERE DOVER WATER DEPT | （ $\begin{aligned} & 500 \\ & 500\end{aligned}$ | 0.72 0.72 | $\xrightarrow{1 a}$ | ${ }_{\substack{1067 \\ 1067}}$ |  |  |  |  | （ | S49，413 \＄49413 | 退 577,204 |  | S55，472 s56．422 |
| ${ }_{855010}^{651010}$ | － FRANKLIN WATER WORKS | 500 500 | 0.72 0.72 | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | $\underset{\substack{1067 \\ 1067}}{ }$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S1，280，955 } \\ \$ 1,28,395}}$ |  |  |  | （ |  |  |  | ¢55．472 |
| 851010 | Franklin water works | 500 | 0.72 | $1{ }^{1}$ | 1067 | \＄1，28，395 | ${ }_{\text {ST，}}^{\text {ST2，584 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S549，033 }}$ |  | 边 | ¢ |  | 旡 | $\underset{\substack{\text { S55，472 } \\ 58,42}}{ }$ |
| l $\begin{aligned} & 1181020 \\ & 124100\end{aligned}$ | HOOKSETT VILLAGE WATER PCT KEENE WATER DEPT | 500 <br> 500 | ${ }_{0}^{0.72}$ | ${ }^{1 a}$ | $\underset{\substack{1067 \\ 1075}}{ }$ | $\$ 1.280,395$ <br> 81.200 .041 | ¢ |  |  | （1831768 |  | cise |  | （is5，472 |
| （1241010 | KEENE WATER Dept CAMTTON VILIAGE PCT | 550 <br> 538 | 0.77 0.75 | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | $\underset{\substack{1075 \\ 108}}{ }$ | （ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S } \\ \$ 133.622}}^{\$ 12761}$ |  |  |  | S55，913 \＄59，295 |
| 1877010 | PEETEROOROUGOH WATE WORKS | 540 | 0.78 | ${ }_{1 a}$ | 1083 | \＄1，29，322 | \＄1，32，873 |  | S377，433 | （103， | \＄550，143 | cis | ¢ |  |
| l ${ }_{\text {l }}^{1721010}$ | NEW LONDONSPRINGFELEL WATER GORHAM WATE AND SEWER DEPT | 542 550 | 0.78 0.79 | ${ }_{19}^{1 a}$ | 1084 1087 | $\$ 1,300,231$ <br> $\$ 1,303,35$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s50，179 } \\ \text { S50，318 }}}$ |  | $\$ 1,187,59$ $\$ 1,100,550$ |  |
| 1221010 | Jaffrer water works | 550 | 0.79 | ${ }_{1 a}$ | ${ }_{1087}$ |  | \＄1，39，711 | \＄559，084 | ${ }_{\text {s372，} 273}$ | \＄ |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ 65010 | DOVER WATER DEPT | ${ }_{600} 00$ | 0．86 | ${ }_{2}$ | ${ }_{1305}^{1305}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S1，56，} \\ \$ 185}}^{\text {S }}$ | ¢ | ${ }_{\text {S }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s67，208 } \\ 86,7208}}$ | S99，588 | ¢1，590，592 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { ch79，530 } \\ 8750}}$ |
| ${ }_{6551010}^{6510}$ | DOVER WATER D Dept DOVER WATER DEPT | 600 600 | 0.86 0.86 | ${ }_{19}^{2}$ | 1305 1104 | $\$ 1,566,185$ <br> $\$ 1,1252,23$ | $\$ 1,866,893$ <br> $\$ 8,1,20,650$ |  |  | $\underset{\$ 1186,382}{\$ 17,22}$ |  |  | $\$ 1,590.592$ <br> $81,20,394$ |  |
| ${ }^{651910}$ | Dover water dept | 600 | 0.86 | ${ }^{19}$ | 1104 | \＄1，32，2，233 | \＄1，420，650 | \＄558，260 | \＄378，840 | \＄136，382 | ${ }_{\text {s51，} 143}$ | \＄75，768 | \＄1，21，394 | \＄60，520 |
| l ${ }^{12411010}$ | KEENE WATER DEPT | 600 600 | 0.86 0.86 0.0 | ${ }_{\text {la }}^{19}$ | 1104 1104 1 |  | （8，420，550 |  | Sm77．840 | ${ }_{\text {cke }}^{\$ 136,382}$ |  |  |  | \＄60．520 S6，520 |
| ${ }^{2101010}$ | SESBANOD WATER DEPT | ${ }_{650}^{600}$ | －0．94 | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{1121}^{1104}$ |  | \＄1，420，500 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {ckis }}^{551,1903}$ |  | $\$ 1,20,394$ <br> $\$ 1,228,373$ |  |
| 101010 | ASHLAND WATER DEPT | 650 | 0.94 | $1{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1121 | \＄1，34，918 | \＄1，441，52 | \＄557，701 | \＄384，467 | \＄138，408 | ${ }_{851,903}$ | ${ }_{\text {97\％，933 }}$ | \＄1，22，373 | \＄61，419 |
| 481010 691010 | Colebrook Water works UNHOUHAPAM WATER SYS | ${ }_{6}^{650}$ | 0.94 0.94 | ${ }_{1}^{1 a}$ | 1121 1121 1 |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { S576，701 } \\ 857,701}}{56,701}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s3844，467 } \\ \text { S34，}}}$ | \＄138，408 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s56，1，903 }}}^{51,903}$ |  | $\$ 1,228,73$ <br> $8,1,28,373$ | S61，419 （661419 |
| 211100 | SEABrook WATER DEPT | 650 | 0．94 | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{1121}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}$ | St，441，752 | \＄557，701 | \＄384，687 | \＄138，408 | ${ }_{\text {S55，1，03 }}$ |  | ¢ | ${ }_{\text {coser }}^{\substack{\text { S66，419 }}}$ |
| 230120 P15100 110 | WEST STWN NEE WATER WOSTH HSDCALEWATER DEPT | ${ }_{6}^{650}$ | 0.94 | ${ }^{1 a}$ | ${ }^{1121}$ | \＄1，34，9，98 | \＄1，44，752 |  | S384，467 | （158， | ${ }^{551.903}$ | 977．893 |  | ${ }_{\text {S61，419 }}$ |
| listion ${ }_{131010}^{1310}$ | NORTH H HSDALE WATER DEPT LSBON WATER DEPT | ${ }_{690}^{675}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.99}$ | ${ }_{19}^{1 a}$ | 1128 1133 | $\$ 1,354,200$ s，i，35，605 $\substack{\text { a }}$ | \＄1，451，702 | $\underset{\substack{\text { S580，681 } \\ \text { S58，999 }}}{50,}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S388，} 6.668}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1621010 | PENNICHUCK WATER WORKS | 695 | 1.00 | $1{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1134 | \＄1，36，381 | \＄1，459，400 | \＄583，760 | \＄389，173 | \＄140，102 | ${ }_{\text {S } 52,588}$ | ¢77，${ }_{\text {cks }}$ | ¢ |  |
| ${ }^{231010}$ | BERLIN WATER WORKS | ${ }^{700}$ | ${ }^{1.01}$ | ${ }^{1 a}$ | ${ }^{1136}$ |  | \＄1，461，290 |  | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{53996,677}$ | ${ }_{\text {S }}$ \＄140，284 |  | \＄777，955 | \＄1．245．019 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S } \\ \text { S62，251 } \\ \$ 8251}}$ |
| ${ }_{\substack{851910 \\ 1241010}}$ | ¢ranklin Water works | 700 700 | 1.01 <br> 1.01 | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | 1136 1136 |  | S1，461，290 si，66，290 |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S52，} \\ \$ 52,066}}^{52,06}$ | ¢ ${ }_{\text {s77，935 }}$ | $\$ 1,245.019$ $\$ 1,2450,19$ |  |
| 2010 | BELLONT WATER DEPT | 710 | 1.02 | ${ }^{19}$ | 1139 | \＄1，366，632 | \＄1，46，，3， 30 | 8586，012 | \＄390，675 | \＄140，643 | ${ }_{\text {S52，741 }}$ | ¢77，135 | \＄1，24，205 | \＄62，410 |
| ${ }^{381010}$ | CARROL WATER WORK | ${ }^{713}$ | 1.03 | ${ }^{19}$ | ${ }^{1140}$ | \＄1，367，669 | \＄1，466，411 | ${ }_{\text {8588，456 }}$ | \＄390，971 | \＄140，750 | ${ }_{\text {S } 52,781}$ | 978， 194 | \＄1，24，152 | ${ }_{\text {S62，488 }}$ |
| 511010 1201010 | Covwar vilage fire iist HUOSON WATER | 750 750 | 1.08 1.08 1.1 | ${ }_{\text {la }}^{12}$ | 1150 1150 1 |  | \＄1，479，479 | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {S5991，792 }}$ | \＄394，528 | （ |  |  | （ 81.260 .516 |  |
| 1201010 382010 110 | R Hosberbor water | 800 | ＋1．158 | ${ }^{19}$ | 11158 11188 1188 |  |  |  |  | － |  | coicce |  |  |
| 1181020 1051010 | Hookseti vilage water pct AOUARION WATERNH | ${ }_{820}^{800}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.115}$ | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | 1163 1170 | $\underset{\substack{\$ 1,395,983 \\ \$ 1,40,848}}{ }$ | S1，496，994 $8,509,926$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\$ 1,275,013$ $\$ 1,282,197$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { S63，751 } \\ \text { s64，110 }}}{\text { a }}$ |
| （153000 | MERRMMACK VILAGEGE DIST | （850 | ＋1．122 | ${ }^{19}$ | 11178 1182 1 | （ | cis |  | （tase | （145．198 | （istind | 为 |  | （ |
| 1051010 1201010 | AUUARION WATERNH HUOSON WATER DEPT | ${ }_{925}^{877}$ | 1.26 1.33 1.1 | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | 1182 1193 118 | $\underset{\substack{81,44,5838 \\ \$ 1,43,687}}{ }$ |  |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { \＄145，989 } \\ \$ 14,388}}{\text { S }}$ |  |  | $\$ 1,295,54$ $\$ 1,30,623$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { S64，783 } \\ \text { S6，381 }}}{\text { cer }}$ |
| 511030 <br> 153100 | Nostri Conwar Water pct MERRMACK VILLAGE DiST | $\begin{array}{r}1000 \\ 1000 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1.14 1.44 1.44 1.4 | ${ }_{10}^{19}$ | 1299 <br> 1209 |  |  | （ | \＄4414，753 | \＄149，311 | S55，992 | cois |  |  |
| － 15331000 | M MERRMACK VILLAGE Dist | 1000 <br> 1000 | li．44 | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | 1209 1209 |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S1，} \\ \$ 1,555,3,322}}^{1}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {S4414，533 }}^{5414,753}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { \＄149，311 } \\ \$ 149,311}}{\text { S }}$ | ${ }_{\text {S555．922 }}^{55592}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S66，257 } \\ \text { S6，257 }}}^{\text {S }}$ |
| 2571020 | Wooostock water dep | 1000 | 1.44 | ${ }^{1 a}$ | 1229 | S1，45，880 | \＄1，55，322 | \＄662，129 | \＄44， 5 ，53 | \＄149，311 | \＄55，992 | S88，951 | \＄1，35，135 | ${ }_{\text {S66，257 }}$ |
|  | Porismouth water works PENACOOK Boscawen water pat | 1075 1100 1 | 1.55 <br>  <br> $\substack{1.58}$ <br> 1 | ${ }_{12}^{19}$ | 1224 1229 | \＄1，468．646 <br> 8.1474 .300 |  | S629，755 <br> 662 180 |  | ${ }_{\text {¢1551，} 723}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S67，069 } \\ \text { S67327 }}}$ |
| 251910 151010 17010 |  | 11100 1100 1 | 1.58 1.78 1.788 | ${ }_{10}^{12}$ | 1229 <br> 1229 <br> 129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1781010 | GROVETON WATER SYS | 1200 | 1.73 | $1{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1473 | \＄1，767，644 | \＄2，075，214 | \＄830，086 | \＄55，3，30 | \＄1999221 | 574，78 | \＄110，678 | \＄1，76，082 | s88，404 |
| 1531010 2110010 | MERRMACK VILILGE DIST | 1220 1220 1 | 1.76 1.76 | ${ }_{12}^{1 a}$ | 1250 1250 120 |  |  | S643，099 | （ ${ }_{\substack{\text { S428，732 } \\ 8428,732}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S154，344 } \\ \text { S15，34 }}}^{\text {Sta }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { s57，} \\ 557897 \\ \hline 8.89}}$ | S80．766 |  | S68，490 s6， 4 ， |
| 2351010 <br> $\substack{23510}$ <br> 18610 | SEABEOKWUTERDET | （1320 | ${ }^{1.1 .78}$ | ${ }^{1 a}$ | （1250 | 年 |  |  |  | ¢ |  | （tabe |  | （ |
| 1861010 301010 | PEMBBOKE WATER WORKS BRISTOL WATER WORKS | 1325 1380 1 | 1.91 1.99 | ${ }_{1 a}^{1 a}$ | ${ }_{1275}^{1267}$ | $\$ 1,520,068$ <br> $\$ 8,530,070$ <br> 1.050 |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { S655，．055 } \\ \text { S65，094 }}}^{\text {St，}}$ |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { S55，62 } \\ \text { s59，048 }}}{\text { a }}$ | S86，97 |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { S69，417 } \\ \text { S9，} 874}}{ }$ |
| （ 5101010 |  | （13881388 <br> 1720 <br> 1 |  | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{19}$ |  |  |  | （ticcis |  |  |  | 隹 |  | （ |
| （1941000 | PrYMOUTH VLI Water and sewer NORTH CONWA Y WATER PCT | （1700 | 2.45 3.02 | ${ }_{19}$ | （1318 | $\underset{\substack{\$ 1,58,1,37 \\ \$ 1,63,324}}{\text { c，}}$ |  | ¢ |  | （10， |  |  |  | S72，216 $\mathbf{8 4}, 589$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | estimated total | \＄477，258，705 |  |  |  |  |  | \＄406，624，417 | \＄20，331，221 |

The total cost to replace these facilities in 2010 dollars was estimated to be $\$ 477 \mathrm{M}$ for ground water pump/treatment facilities in the State of New Hampshire. Over the 20 year period, the Component Replacement Cost was determined to be $\$ 407 \mathrm{M}$, summarized in Table G-4 below.

TABLE G-4
PROJECTED GROUND WATER PUMP/TREATMENT FACILITY REPLACEMENT COSTS IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

| Type of <br> Treatment | Estimated <br> Number of Water <br> Systems in New <br> Hampshire | Estimated 2010 <br> Replacement <br> Costs | Estimated 20-year <br> Replacement Cost <br> of System <br> Components <br> $(\mathbf{2 0 1 0})$ <br> $(\$)$ | Average Annual <br> Expenditures for <br> years 2010 <br> through 2030 <br> (\$/year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ground Water | 506 | $\$ 477$ | $\$ 407 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 20.3$ |

## APPENDIXH Booster Stations

## APPENDIX H

## BOOSTER STATIONS

## H. 1 METHODOLOGY FOR BOOSTER STATIONS

In general, because of their limited functions, booster stations tend to be of modest size. Moreover, the square foot footprint area of booster stations tends to be relatively similar because of the limited types of equipment they often house despite differences in pumping rates. Moreover, because booster stations exist to serve a limited number of customers located at higher elevations, stations located within large communities may be the same size and contain the same equipment as those set within smaller communities. As booster station capacities are not included in the DES database, capacity was not available for use in differentiating costs.

Collection of Known Project Costs: Cost information was compiled for a set of recent and historical booster stations. Six of these were from New Hampshire DES records and seven were from recent projects from Wright-Pierce records. These projects included construction costs and also other development costs (planning studies, engineering, financing, and administration) when these could be found which were combined to result in a "Total Developed Cost" for each facility. Table H-1 shows the cost set collected. Land purchase costs were not generally available and are therefore excluded from the analysis.

Indexing to Current Year and Averaging: Compiled example constructed project costs (Table H-1) were normalized to 2010 using a construction cost index (in this case the Engineering News Record's 20-City Construction Cost Average). After normalizing Total Developed Costs to the year 2010, they were averaged to determine a representative 2010 cost $(\$ 430,000)$ to replace an existing booster station with a new one.

Table H-1: Cost Set for Example Booster Stations from New Hampshire and around New England
Enter Date and 20 -City ENR CCI Index being used 8951
Enter Date for Index Nov-10

| Name of Facility, Location, Project Number | Features | L (ft) | W (ft) | Square <br> Footage | Project Year | ENR CCI | Actual Construction Cost | Development/E ngineering Cost | Actual Total Developed Cost for Given Date | Actual Total Developed Cost indexed | Cost/SF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Silver Hill Booster Pump Station, Haverhill, MA - 11403 (Masonry Building) | Generator | 36.7 | 21.7 | 794 | 11/5/2009 | 8592 | \$539,395 | \$92,400 | \$631,795 | \$658,193 | \$795 |
| York White Pines Booster - 7102 | Generator | 24.0 | 20.0 | 480 | 3/7/2001 | 6343 | \$240,000 | \$32,000 | \$272,000 | \$383,836 | \$567 |
| Millvale Village Booster Sta - Haverhill, Ma - 10480 (wood framed building; built by developer) | Generator | 36.7 | 21.7 | 794 | 2004 | 7308 | \$474,000 | \$96,000 | \$570,000 | \$698,149 | \$718 |
| Knickerbocker Lake Intake and Pump Station, Boothbay Region Water Dist - $10510$ |  | 30.0 | 22.0 | 660 | 4/19/2005 | 7355 | \$590,775 | \$62,311 | \$653,086 | \$794,803 | \$990 |
| East Boothbay Interconnection and Pump Stataion-10259C | Generator | 24.0 | 20.0 | 480 | Feb-04 | 6862 | \$410,000 | \$63,000 | \$473,000 | \$616,995 | \$985 |
| Rye Water District Washington Rd Booster PS - 6606 |  | 12.7 | 14.7 | 186 | 10/10/1995 | 5471 | \$94,400 | \$7,500 | \$101,900 | \$166,717 | \$549 |
| Lake Arrowhead Community (No. Waterboro) Tank \& Booster PS - 10109 |  | 11.3 | 9.3 | 106 | 2003 | 6782 | \$170,175 | \$25,400 | \$195,575 | \$258,123 | \$1,849 |
| Tilton/Northfield Water District Winter St Booster Sta Upgrade* |  |  |  | 433 | 21/2010 | 8672 | \$353,700 | \$75,600 | \$429,300 | \$443,112 | \$991 |
| PWW Nashua * |  |  |  | 475 | 2009 | 8566 | \$251,993 | \$10,000 | \$261,993 | \$273,768 | \$552 |
| Bristol WD Bristol* |  |  |  | 334 | 2009 | 8566 | \$465,000 | \$74,000 | \$539,000 | \$563,225 | \$1,614 |
| Vista Ridge, Manchester* |  |  |  |  | 2004 | 7115 |  |  | \$240,000 | \$301,931 | - |
| Hackett Hill, Manchester* |  |  |  |  | 1991 | 4835 |  |  | \$105,000 | \$194,386 | - |
| Derryfield, Manchester* |  |  |  |  | 1974 | 2020 |  |  | \$55,000 | \$243,715 | - |
| Averages |  |  |  | 474 |  |  |  |  |  | \$430,535 | \$961 |
| standard deviation |  |  |  | 231 |  |  |  |  |  | \$212,516 | \$447 |

Assigning a 2010 Replacement Cost to Booster Station Inventory: Estimated costs are normally assigned by applying a model equation created from the dataset of costs derived from example constructed projects, as was done for the Surface Water Treatment and Ground Water Treatment Facilities. In the case of booster stations, there was no available linking factor recorded in the dataset to which a model could be applied (such building square footage). For this reason, The average of booster station total developed cost was assigned to each booster station in the NH database inventory of booster stations (\$430,000).

## Adjustment by Building Asset Components:

The apportionment by Building Asset Component was made as described in Appendix E.

Adjustment by Service Life Factor: The next step in determining the 20-year need, is to assign a "service life" to the systems and equipment assets represented by each of the Building Asset Components. A calculation is made to adjust each estimated trade discipline cost by the expected service life of the asset. In the model, the 20 years of the cost period are divided by service life to create a ratio factor applied to each trade discipline division percent of cost. This is described in detail in Appendix E. The share of construction cost by skilled construction trade division and estimated service life for booster facilities is shown in Table H-2 .

TABLE H-2
COST AND EST SERVICE LIFE OF BOOSTER PUMP STATION COMPONENTS

| Division | Share of Cost by <br> Construction <br> Division | Assumed <br> Replacement Cycle, <br> yrs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Civil/Site | $10 \%$ | 75 |
| Structural | $15 \%$ | 100 |
| Architectural | $15 \%$ | 25 |
| Process/Mechanical | $35 \%$ | 15 |
| Electrical/Inst/Telemetry | $25 \%$ | 15 |
| Total \% of Construction Cost | $100 \%$ |  |

Combining Components and Service Life to Arrive at a 20 Year Need: The steps described above are combined in the following way to determine the 20 -year replacement costs:

20-Year Investment Need $=$
$\sum\left[\left(\right.\right.$ Total Developed Cost Indexed to 2010) x (Bldg Assed Class \% Share of Cost) x $\left.\left(\frac{20 \text { yr Horizon }}{\text { Bldg Asset Class. Service Life }}\right) \right\rvert\,$

The calculations and results are presented in the spreadsheet Table H-3 included in this Appendix. Cost results are presented in Table H-4, below. The 20-year costs are somewhat higher than the 2010 estimated replacement cost. This is most likely because a booster station has a smaller footprint compared to other facilities, such that the Building Assets with the longer service lives tend to make up a smaller proportion of the facility's cost.

TABLE H-4
PROJECTED BOOSTER STATION REPLACEMENT COSTS IN NEW HAMPSHIRE

| Type of <br> Treatment | Estimated <br> Number of <br> Booster Stations <br> in New <br> Hampshire | Estimated 2010 <br> Replacement <br> Costs | Estimated 20-year <br> Replacement Cost <br> $(\mathbf{2 0 1 0} \$)$ | Average Annual <br> Expenditures for <br> years 2010 <br> through 2030 <br> $(\$ /$ year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ground Water | 115 | $\$ 43.4$ | $\$ 48.3 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2.4$ |

Table H-3: NEW HAMPSHIRE BOOSTER STATION DATASET COST ESTIMATE


| EPA ID | System Name | Town | Booster Station | 2010 Est Total Developed Cost | Mechanical/ Process | Electrical/ Instrumentation | Architectural | Structural | Civil | Total 20-Year Replacement Cost | Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1101040 | WOODSVILLE WATER AND LIGHT | HAVERHILL | Switwater | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1181010 | CENTRAL HOOKSETT WATER PCT | HOOKSETT | Granite Hill 1 | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1181010 | CENTRAL HOOKSETT WATER PCT | HOOKSETT | Granite Hill 2 | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1181010 | CENTRAL HOOKSETT WATER PCT | HOOKSETT | Campbell | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1181010 | CENTRAL HOOKSETT WATER PCT | HOOKSETT | Glencrest | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1181020 | HOOKSETT VILLAGE WP | HOOKSETT | Heads Pond | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1201010 | HUDSON WATER DEPT | HUDSON | Compass Point | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1201010 | HUDSON WATER DEPT | HUDSON | Marsh Rd | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1201010 | HUDSON WATER DEPT | HUDSON | Old Windham Rd | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1201010 | HUDSON WATER DEPT | HUDSON | Melendy | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1221010 | JAFFREY WATER WORKS | JAFFREY | Prospect | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1241010 | KEENE WATER DEPT | KEENE | Chapman Rd | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1241010 | KEENE WATER DEPT | KEENE | Black Brook | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1241010 | KEENE WATER DEPT | KEENE | Glenn Rd | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1241010 | KEENE WATER DEPT | KEENE | Fox Circle | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1241010 | kEENE WATER DEPT | KEENE | Drummer Hill | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1281010 | LACONIA WATER WORKS | LACONIA | Weirs | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1281010 | LACONIA WATER WORKS | LACONIA | Aqua Soleil 1 | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1281010 | LACONIA WATER WORKS | LACONIA | Aqua Soleil 2 | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1321010 | LEBANON WATER DEPT | LEBANON | Prospect Hill | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1321010 | Lebanon Water dept | LEBANON | DHMC | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1351010 | LINCOLN WATER WORKS | LINCOLN | Loon | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1351010 | LINCOLN WATER WORKS | LINCOLN | Boyce Brook | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1371010 | PEU/LITCHFIELD | LITCHFIELD | Darah | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1371010 | PEU/LITCHFIELD | LITCHFIELD | Colonial Dr | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1381010 | LITtLETON WATER AND LIGHT DEPT | LITtLETON | Mount Eustis | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1381010 | LITTLETON WATER AND LIGHT DEPT | LITTLETON | Hospital | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1381010 | LITTLETON WATER AND LIGHT DEPT | LITTLETON | Manns Hill | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1461010 | VILLAGE DISTRICT OF EIDELWEISS | MADISON | Jung Frau | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1461010 | VILLAGE DISTRICT OF EIDELWEISS | MADISON | Reinach | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1461010 | VILLAGE DISTRICT OF EIDELWEISS | MADISON | Oak Ridge | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1461010 | VILLAGE DISTRICT OF EIDELWEISS | MADISON | Chocorua | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1461010 | VILLAGE DISTRICT OF EIDELWEISS | MADISON | Summit | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1471010 | MANCHESTER WATER WORKS | MANCHESTER | Massabesic | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1471010 | MANCHESTER WATER WORKS | MANCHESTER | West Side | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1471010 | MANCHESTER WATER WORKS | MANCHESTER | Cohas | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1471010 | MANCHESTER WATER WORKS | MANCHESTER | Derryfield | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1471010 | MANCHESTER WATER WORKS | MANCHESTER | Hackett Hill | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1471010 | MANCHESTER WATER WORKS | MANCHESTER | Akira Way | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1471010 | MANCHESTER WATER WORKS | MANCHESTER | Currier Hill | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1471010 | MANCHESTER WATER WORKS | MANCHESTER | Vista Ridge | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1471010 | MANCHESTER WATER WORKS | MANCHESTER | Wellington Hill | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1521010 | MEREDITH WATER DEPT | MEREDITH | Ladd Hill | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1521010 | MEREDITH WATER DEPT | MEREDITH | Eighteen Mile Point | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1531010 | MERRIMACK VILLAGE DIST | MERRIMACK | Turkey Hill | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1531010 | MERRIMACK VILLAGE DIST | MERRIMACK | Belmont Hill | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1561010 | MILFORD WATER UTILITIES DEPT | MILFORD | Ledgewood | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1621010 | PENNICHUCK WATER WORKS | NASHUA | Timberline | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1621010 | PENNICHUCK WATER WORKS | NASHUA | Main Dunstable | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |


| EPA ID | System Name | Town | Booster Station | 2010 Est Total Developed Cost | Mechanical/ Process | Electrical/ Instrumentation | Architectural | Structural | Civil | Total 20-Year Replacement Cost | Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1621010 | PENNICHUCK WATER WORKS | NASHUA | High Pine | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1621010 | PENNICHUCK WATER WORKS | NASHUA | Coburn Ave | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1621010 | PENNICHUCK WATER WORKS | NASHUA | Shakespeare | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1621010 | PENNICHUCK WATER WORKS | NASHUA | Skymeadow | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1621010 | PENNICHUCK WATER WORKS | NASHUA | Orchard Ave | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1721010 | NEW LONDON SPRINGFIELD WATER | NEW LONDON | Colby-Sawter | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1731010 | NEWMARKET WATER DEPT |  | Folsom Dr | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 2351010 | TILTON NORTHFIELD WATER DIST | NORTHFIELD | Winter St | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1851010 | PEU/WILLIAMSBURG | PELHAM | Stonegate | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1871010 | PETERBOROUGH WATER WORKS | Peterborough | Steele Rd | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1871010 | PETERBOROUGH WATER WORKS | PETERBOROUGH | Cheney | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1941010 | PLYMOUTH VIL WATER AND SEWER | PLYMOUTH | Reservoir Road | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 1971010 | RAYMOND WATER DEPT | RAYMOND | Sun Hill | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 2001010 | ROCHESTER WATER DEPT | ROCHESTER | Gina Dr | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 2001010 | ROCHESTER WATER DEPT | ROCHESTER | Ten Rod Rd | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 2001010 | ROCHESTER WATER DEPT | ROCHESTER | Richardson St | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 2041010 | RYE WATER DIST | RYE | Washington Road | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 2051010 | SALEM WATER DEPT | SALEM | Manor Parkway | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 2051010 | SALEM WATER DEPT | SALEM | Nirvana | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 2351010 | TILTON NORTHFIELD WD | TILTON | Winter St | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 2401010 | WALPOLE WATER DEPT | WALPOLE | Reservoir Rd | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 2411010 | WARNER VILLAGE WATER DIST | WARNER |  | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 2441010 | WATERVILLE VALLEY WATER DIST | WATERVILLE | Cascade Ridge Rd | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 2501010 | WHITEFIELD WATER | WHITEFIELD | Bray Hill | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 2561010 | WOLFEBORO WATER AND SEWER | WOLFEBORO | Kingswood | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
| 2561010 | WOLFEBORO WATER AND SEWER | WOLFEBORO | Middleton Rd | \$430,000 | \$200,667 | \$143,333 | \$51,600 | \$12,900 | \$11,467 | \$419,967 | \$20,998 |
|  | count $=$ | 115 |  | \$49,450,0 |  |  |  |  | 20-Yr \& An | \$48,296,167 | \$2,414,808 |
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