New Hampshire Volunteer River Assessment Program
2008 Ashuelot River Watershed Water Quality Report

NEW HAMPSHIRE DEPARTMENT OF Environmental Services

February 2009

NHDES R-WD-09-1B

New Hampshire Volunteer River Assessment Program
2007 Ashuelot River Watershed Water Quality Report

State of New Hampshire
Department of Environmental Services
Water Division
Watershed Management Bureau
P.O. Box 95
29 Hazen Drive
Concord, New Hampshire 03302-0095
www.des.nh.gov

Thomas S. Burack
Commissioner

Harry T. Stewart
Water Division Director

Prepared By:

Ted Walsh, VRAP Program Manager

Jen Drociak, VRAP Coordinator

Danielle Mucciarone, VRAP Assistant

February 2009

Cover Photo: Ashuelot River, 24A-ASH, Marlow

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION 6

1.1 Purpose of Report 6
1.2 Report Format.....6

2. PROGRAM OVERVIEW.....8

2.1 What is VRAP? 8
2.2 Why is VRAP Important?.....8
2.3 How Does VRAP Work?.....8
2.4 Equipment & Sampling Schedule.....9
2.5 Training & Technical Support.....9
2.6 Data Usage.....9
2.7 Quality Assurance & Quality Control.....10

3. METHODS.....12

4. RESULTS & RECOMMENDATIONS 16

4.1 Dissolved Oxygen.....16
4.2 pH.....19
4.3 Turbidity.....21
4.4 Specific Conductance.....23
4.5 Water Temperature.....25
4.6 *Escherichia coli*/Bacteria.....27
4.7 Total Phosphorus.....30
4.8 Chloride.....32
4.9 Cadmium.....34
4.10 Copper.....36
4.11 Lead.....39
4.12 Zinc.....41

List of Figures and Tables

Figure 1: Ashuelot River Watershed and Monitoring Stations.....15
Figure 2: Dissolved Oxygen Concentration Statistics.....18
Figure 3: pH Statistics.....20
Figure 4: Turbidity Statistics.....22
Figure 5: Specific Conductance Statistics.....24
Figure 6: Water Temperature Statistics.....26
Figure 7: *Escherichia coli*/Bacteria Statistics.....28
Figure 8: Total Phosphorus Statistics.....31
Figure 9: Chloride Statistics.....33

Table 1: Field Analytical Quality Controls.....11
Table 2: Sampling Stations for the Ashuelot River Watershed, 2008.....13
Table 3: Sampling and Analysis Methods.....14
Table 4: Dissolved Oxygen Concentration Data Summary.....17
Table 5: pH Data Summary.....19
Table 6: Turbidity Data Summary.....21
Table 7: Specific Conductance Data Summary.....23
Table 8: Water Temperature Data Summary.....25
Table 9: *Escherichia coli*/Bacteria Data Summary.....27
Table 10: *Escherichia coli*/Bacteria Geometric Summary.....29
Table 11: Total Phosphorus Data Summary.....30
Table 12: Chloride Data Summary.....32
Table 13: Cadmium Data Summary.....35
Table 14: Copper Data Summary.....37
Table 15: Lead Data Summary.....40
Table 16: Zinc Data Summary.....42

List of Appendices

Appendix A: 2008 Ashuelot River Watershed Water Quality Data
Appendix B: Interpreting VRAP Water Quality Parameters
Appendix C: VRAP Volunteer Monitor Field Sampling Procedures Assessment *(Field Audit)*
Appendix D: New Hampshire Watershed Report Cards

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services Volunteer River Assessment Program extends sincere thanks to the volunteers of the Ashuelot River Local Advisory Committee for their efforts during 2008. This report was created solely from the data collected by the volunteers listed below. Their time and dedication is an expression of their genuine concern for local water resources and has significantly contributed to our knowledge of river and stream water quality in New Hampshire.

2008 Ashuelot River Volunteers

Barbara Skuly

Charlie Beck

Adam Black

Penny Eggleston

Patrick Eggleston

Linda Fuerderer

Jim Holley

Brad Hutchinson

Robert Lamoy

Carolyn MacDonald

Malcom MacDonald

Mike Morrison

Sigrid Scholz

Barbara Skuly

Steve Stepenuck

Ann Sweet

Roger Sweet

Robert Thompson

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1. Purpose of Report

Each year the New Hampshire Volunteer River Assessment Program (VRAP) prepares and distributes a water quality report for each volunteer river monitoring group that is based solely on the water quality data collected by that group during a specific year. The reports summarize and interpret the data, particularly as they relate to New Hampshire's surface water quality standards, and serve as a teaching tool and guidance document for future monitoring activities by the individual volunteer groups.

1.2. Report Format

Each report includes the following:

- **Volunteer River Assessment Program Overview**

  This section includes a description of the history of VRAP, the technical support, training and guidance provided by NHDES, and how data is transmitted to the volunteers and used in surface water quality assessments.

- **Monitoring Program Description**

  This section provides a description of the volunteer group's monitoring program including monitoring objectives as well as a table and map showing sample station locations.

- **Results and Recommendations**

  Water quality data collected during the year are summarized on a parameter-by-parameter basis using: (1) a data summary table, which includes the number of samples collected, data ranges, the number of samples meeting New Hampshire water quality standards, and the number of samples adequate for water quality assessments at each station; (2) a discussion of the data; (3) a river graph showing the range of measured values at each station; and (4) a list of applicable recommendations.

  Sample results reported as less than the detection limit were assumed equal to one-half the detection limit on the river graphs. This approach simplifies the understanding of the parameter of interest, and specifically helps one to visualize how the river or watershed is functioning from upstream to downstream. In addition, this format allows the reader to better understand potential pollution areas and target those areas for additional sampling or environmental enhancements. Where applicable, the river graph also shows New Hampshire surface water quality standards or levels of concern for comparison purposes.

- **Appendix A – Water Quality Data**

  This appendix includes a spreadsheet detailing the data results and additional information such as data results which do not meet New Hampshire surface water quality standards, and data that is unusable for assessment purposes due to quality control requirements.

- **Appendix B – Interpreting VRAP Water Quality Parameters**

  This appendix provides a brief description of water quality parameters typically sampled by VRAP volunteers and their importance, as well as applicable state water quality criteria or levels of concern.

- **Appendix C – VRAP Volunteer Monitor Field Sampling Procedures Assessment *(Field Audits)***

  This appendix provides an overview of the VRAP Volunteer Monitor Field Sampling Procedures Assessment (field audit) process with respect to programmatic quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) guidelines.

- **Appendix D –New Hampshire Watershed Report Cards**

  This appendix provides an overview of the New Hampshire Watershed Report Cards built from the 2008 305(b)/303(d) Surface Water Quality Reports.

2.0 PROGRAM OVERVIEW

2.1 What is VRAP?

In 1998, the New Hampshire Volunteer River Assessment Program was established to promote awareness and education of the importance of maintaining water quality in New Hampshire's rivers and streams. VRAP aims to educate people about river and stream water quality and ecology and to improve water quality monitoring coverage for the protection of water resources.

Today, VRAP loans water quality monitoring equipment, provides technical support, and facilitates educational programs to volunteer groups on numerous rivers and watersheds throughout the state. VRAP volunteers conduct water quality monitoring on an ongoing basis and increase the amount of river water quality information available to local, state and federal governments, which allows for better watershed planning.

2.2 Why is VRAP Important?

VRAP establishes a regular volunteer-driven water sampling program to assist NHDES in evaluating water quality throughout the state. VRAP empowers volunteers with information about the health of New Hampshire's rivers and streams. Regular collection of water quality data allows for early detection of water quality changes allowing NHDES to trace potential problems to their source. Data collected by VRAP volunteers are directly contributing to New Hampshire's obligations under the Clean Water Act. Measurements taken by volunteers are used in assessing the water quality of New Hampshire's river and streams, and are included in reporting to the US Environmental Protection Agency.

2.3 How Does VRAP Work?

VRAP is a cooperative program between NHDES, river groups, local advisory committees, watershed associations, and individuals working to protect New Hampshire's rivers and streams. Volunteers are trained by VRAP staff in the use of water quality monitoring equipment at an annual training workshop. VRAP works with each group to establish monitoring stations and develop a sampling plan.

During the summer months, VRAP receives water quality data from trained volunteers. The data are reviewed for quality assurance, and are entered into the environmental monitoring database at NHDES. During the off-season, VRAP interprets the data and compiles the results into an annual report for each river. VRAP volunteers can use the data as a means of understanding the details of water quality, as well as guide future sampling efforts. NHDES can use the data for making surface water quality assessments, provided that the data met certain quality assurance/quality control guidelines.

2.4 Equipment and Sampling Schedule

VRAP frequently lends and maintains water quality monitoring equipment kits to VRAP groups throughout the state. The kits contain meters and supplies for routine water quality parameter measurements of turbidity, pH, dissolved oxygen, water temperature and specific conductance (conductivity). Other parameters such as nutrients, metals, and *E. coli* can also be studied, although VRAP does not always provide funds to cover laboratory analysis costs. Thus, VRAP encourages groups to pursue other fundraising activities such as association membership fees, special events, in-kind services (non-monetary contributions from individuals and organizations), and grant writing.

Each year, volunteers design and arrange a sampling schedule in cooperation with VRAP staff. Project designs are created through a review and discussion of existing water quality information, such as known and perceived problem areas or locations of exceptional water quality. The interests, priorities, and resources of the partnership determine monitoring locations, parameters, and frequency. VRAP typically recommends sampling every other week from May through September, and VRAP groups are encouraged to organize a long-term sampling program in order to begin to determine trends in river conditions.

2.5 Training and Technical Support

Each VRAP volunteer attends an annual training workshop to receive a demonstration of monitoring protocols and sampling techniques and the calibration and use of water quality monitoring equipment. During the training, volunteers have an opportunity for hands-on use of the equipment and receive instruction in the collection of samples for laboratory analysis.

VRAP groups conduct sampling according to a prearranged monitoring schedule and VRAP protocols. VRAP staff aim to visit each group annually during a scheduled sampling event to verify that volunteers successfully follow the VRAP protocols (see Appendix C). If necessary, volunteers are re-trained during the visit, and the group's monitoring coordinator is notified of the result of the verification visit. VRAP groups forward water quality results to NHDES for incorporation into an annual report and state water quality assessment activities.

2.6 Data Usage

Annual Water Quality Reports

Water quality measurements repeated over time create a picture of the fluctuating conditions in rivers and streams and help to determine where improvements, restoration or preservation may benefit the river and the communities it supports. All data collected by volunteers are summarized in water quality reports that are prepared and distributed after the conclusion of the sampling period. VRAP groups can use the reports and data as a means of understanding the details of water quality, guiding future sampling efforts, or determining restoration activities.

New Hampshire Surface Water Quality Assessments

Along with data collected from other water quality programs, specifically the State Ambient River Monitoring Program, applicable volunteer data are used to support periodic NHDES surface water quality assessments. VRAP data are entered into NHDES's environmental monitoring database and are ultimately uploaded to the EPA database. Assessment results and the methodology used to assess surface waters are published by NHDES every two years (i.e., Section 305(b) Water Quality Reports) as required by the federal Clean Water Act. The reader is encouraged to log on to the NHDES web page to review the assessment methodology and list of impaired waters http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/swqa/index.htm.

2.7 Quality Assurance/Quality Control

In order for VRAP data to be used in the assessment of New Hampshire's surface waters, the data must meet quality control guidelines as outlined in the VRAP Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP). The VRAP QAPP was approved by NHDES and reviewed by EPA in the summer of 2003. The QAPP is reviewed annually and is officially updated and approved every five years. The VRAP quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) measures include a six-step approach to ensuring the accuracy of the equipment and consistency in sampling efforts.

- **Calibration:** Prior to each measurement, the pH and DO meters must be calibrated. Conductivity and turbidity meters are checked against a known standard before the first measurement and after the last one.
- **Replicate Analysis:** A second measurement by each meter is taken from the original sample at one of the stations during the sampling day. If the same sampling schedule is used throughout the monitoring season, the replicate analysis should be conducted at different stations. Replicates should be measured within 15 minutes of the original measurements.
- **6.0 pH Standard:** A reading of the pH 6.0 buffer is recorded at one of the stations during the sampling day. If the same sampling schedule is used throughout the monitoring season, the 6.0 pH standard check should be conducted at different stations.
- **Zero Oxygen Solution:** A reading of a zero oxygen solution is recorded at one of the stations during the sampling day. If the same sampling schedule is used throughout the monitoring season, the zero oxygen standard check should be conducted at different stations.
- **DI (De-Ionized) Turbidity Blank**: A reading of the DI blank is recorded at one of the stations during the sampling day. If the same sampling schedule is used throughout the monitoring season, the blank check should be conducted at different stations.
- **End of the Day Conductivity and Turbidity Meter Check:** At the conclusion of each sampling day, the conductivity and turbidity meters are re-checked against a known standard.

2.7.1 Measurement Performance Criteria

Precision is calculated for field and laboratory measurements through measurement replicates (instrumental variability) and is calculated for each sampling day. The use of VRAP data for assessment purposes is contingent on compliance with a parameter-specific relative percent difference (RPD) as derived from equation 1, below. Any data exceeding the limits of the individual measures are disqualified from surface water quality assessments. All data that exceeds the limits defined by the VRAP QAPP are acknowledged in the data tables with an explanation of why the data was unusable. Table 1 shows typical parameters studied under VRAP and the associated quality control procedures.

(Equation 1. Relative Percent Difference)

$$RPD = \frac{|x_1 - x_2|}{\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}} \times 100 \ \%$$

where x_1 is the original sample and x_2 is the replicate sample

Table 1. Field Analytical Quality Controls

Water Quality Parameter	QC Check	QC Acceptance Limit	Corrective Action	Person Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator
Temperature	Measurement Replicate	RPD < 10% or Absolute Difference <0.8 C.	Repeat Measurement	Volunteer Monitors	Precision
Dissolved Oxygen	Measurement Replicate	RPD < 10%	Recalibrate Instrument, Repeat Measurement	Volunteer Monitors	Precision
	Known Buffer (Zero O_2 Sol.)	RPD < 10% or Absolute Difference <0.4 mg/L	Recalibrate Instrument, Repeat Measurement	Volunteer Monitors	Relative Accuracy
pH	Measurement Replicate	Absolute Difference <0.3 pH units	Recalibrate Instrument, Repeat Measurement	Volunteer Monitors	Precision
	Known Buffer (pH = 6.0)	± 0.1 std units	Recalibrate Instrument, Repeat Measurement	Volunteer Monitors	Accuracy
Specific Conductance	Measurement Replicate	RPD < 10% or Absolute Difference <5µS/cm	Recalibrate Instrument, Repeat Measurement	Volunteer Monitors	Precision
	Method Blank (Zero Air Reading)	± 5.0 µS/cm	Recalibrate Instrument, Repeat Measurement	Volunteer Monitors	Accuracy
Turbidity	Measurement Replicate	RPD < 10% or Absolute Difference <1.0 NTU	Recalibrate Instrument, Repeat Measurement	Volunteer Monitors	Precision
	Method Blank (DI Water)	± 0.1 NTU	Recalibrate Instrument, Repeat Measurement	Volunteer Monitors	Accuracy
Laboratory Parameters	Measurement Replicate	RPD < 20% or Absolute Difference less than ½ the mean value of the parameter in NHDES's Environmental Monitoring Database	Repeat Measurement	Volunteer Monitors	Precision

3.0 METHODS

In 2001, volunteers from the Ashuelot River Local Advisory Committee began monitoring water quality on the Ashuelot River. The goal of this effort was to provide water quality data from the Ashuelot River relative to surface water quality standards and to allow for the assessment of the river for support of aquatic life and primary contact recreation (swimming). The establishment of a long-term monitoring program allows for an understanding of the river's dynamics, or variations on a station-by-station and year-to-year basis. The data can also serve as a baseline from which to determine any water pollution problems in the river and/or watershed. The Volunteer River Assessment Program has provided field training, equipment, financial assistance for laboratory costs, and technical assistance.

During 2008, trained volunteers from the Ashuelot River Local Advisory Committee monitored water quality at 15 stations in the Ashuelot River watershed from its upper limits in Washington to just upstream of its confluence with the Connecticut River in Hinsdale (Figure 1, Table 2).

Stations IDs are designated using a three-letter code to identify the waterbody name plus a number indicating the relative position of the station. The higher the station number the more upstream the station is in the watershed. All stations monitored in 2008 are designated as Class B waters. This classification is used to apply the appropriate water quality standard.

Water quality monitoring was conducted monthly from May to September. In-situ measurements of water temperature, air temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, and specific conductance were taken using handheld meters. Turbidity samples were collected in the field, brought to a central location and measured the same day. Samples for *E.coli,* total phosphorous, chloride, and metals were taken using sterile and/or preserved bottles and were stored on ice during transport from the field to the NHDES laboratory or the Keene Wastewater Treatment Facility. Table 3 summarizes the parameters measured, laboratory standard methods, and equipment used.

Table 2. Sampling Stations for the Ashuelot River, NHDES VRAP, 2008

Station ID & AUID	Class	Waterbody Name	Location	Town	Elevation *(Rounded to the Nearest 100 Feet)*
28-ASH NHRIV802010101-08	**B**	**Ashuelot River**	Route 31	Washington	1600
27-ASH NHRIV802010101-08	**B**	**Ashuelot River**	Mountain Road	Lempster	1500
24A-ASH NHRIV802010102-11	**B**	**Ashuelot River**	Route 10	Marlow	1100
23-ASH NHRIV802010103-22	**B**	**Ashuelot River**	Route 10	Gilsum	800
20A-ASH NHRIV802010301-04	**B**	**Ashuelot River**	Stone Arch Bridge	Keene	500
18-ASH NHRIV802010301-09	**B**	**Ashuelot River**	Route 101	Keene	500
16D-ASH NHRIV802010301-11	**B**	**Ashuelot River**	50' Upstream of Keene WWTF	Swanzey	500
16A-ASH NHRIV802010301-11	**B**	**Ashuelot River**	10' Downstream of Confluence of SBA	Swanzey	500
16-ASH NHRIV802010401-15	**B**	**Ashuelot River**	Cresson Bridge	Swanzey	500
15M-ASH NHRIV600030703-15	**B**	**Ashuelot River**	Intersection of Route 10 and Winchester Street	Swanzey	500
15J-ASH NHRIV801060702-12	**B**	**Ashuelot River**	Upstream of Faulkner's Garden	Swanzey	500
02B-SBA NHRIV600030608-15	**B**	**South Branch Ashuelot River**	Upstream of Monadnock Regional H.S.	Swanzey	500
02-SBA NHRIV802010303-23	**B**	**South Branch Ashuelot River**	Route 32 Bridge	West Swanzey	500
07-ASH NHRIV802010403-07	**B**	**Ashuelot River**	Route 119	Winchester	400
01-ASH NHRIV802010403-20	**B**	**Ashuelot River**	147 River Street	Hinsdale	200

Table 3. Sampling and Analysis Methods

Parameter	Sample Type	Standard Method	Equipment Used	Laboratory
Temperature	In-Situ	SM 2550	YSI 85	------
Dissolved Oxygen	In-Situ	SM 4500 O G	YSI 85	------
pH	In-Situ	SM 4500 H+	Oakton pH 11	------
Turbidity	In-Situ	EPA 180.1	LaMotte 2020 e	
Specific Conductance	In-Situ	SM 2510	YSI 85	------
E.coli	Bottle (Sterile)	EPA 1103.1	------	NHDES
Total Phosphorus	Bottle (w/ Preservative)	EPA 365.3	------	NHDES & Eastern Analytical
Chloride	Bottle	SM D512C	------	NHDES Limnology Center
Cadmium	Bottle (w/ Preservative)	SM 3111B	------	Keene WWTF
Copper	Bottle (w/ Preservative)	SM 3111B	------	Keene WWTF
Lead	Bottle (w/ Preservative)	SM 3111B	------	Keene WWTF
Zinc	Bottle (w/ Preservative)	SM 3111B	------	Keene WWTF

Figure 1. Ashuelot River Watershed & Sampling Stations, 2008



RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Results and recommendations for each monitored parameter are presented in the following sections. For a description of the importance of each parameter and pertinent water quality criteria for these and other parameters, please see Appendix B, *"Interpreting VRAP Water Quality Parameters."*

4.1 Dissolved Oxygen

Between one and five measurements were taken in the field for dissolved oxygen concentration at 15 stations in the Ashuelot River watershed from Washington to Hinsdale (Table 4). Of the 60 measurements taken, all met quality assurance/quality control requirements and are usable for New Hampshire's 2010 surface water quality report to the US Environmental Protection Agency.

The Class B New Hampshire surface water quality standard for dissolved oxygen includes a minimum concentration of 5.0 mg/L **and** a minimum daily average of 75 percent of saturation. In other words, there are criteria for both concentration and saturation that must be met before the river can be assessed as meeting dissolved oxygen standards. Table 4 reports only dissolved oxygen concentration as more detailed analysis is required to determine if instantaneous dissolved oxygen saturation measurements are above or below water quality standards.

Dissolved oxygen concentration levels were above the New Hampshire Class B surface water quality standard at all stations and on all occasions with the average ranging from 7.73 mg/L to 9.03 mg/L (Figure 2). Levels of dissolved oxygen sustained above the standards are considered adequate for the support of aquatic life and other desirable water quality conditions.

Table 4. Dissolved Oxygen Concentration (mg/L) Summary – Ashuelot River, 2008

Station ID	Samples Collected	Data Range (mg/l)	Acceptable Samples Not Meeting NH Class B Standards	Number of Usable Samples for 2010 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment
28-ASH	5	7.21 - 9.51	0	5
27-ASH	5	7.64 - 9.85	0	5
24A-ASH	5	7.39 - 9.25	0	5
23-ASH	5	8.45 - 10.39	0	5
20A-ASH	5	6.49 - 9.06	0	5
18-ASH	5	6.72 - 9.11	0	5
16A-ASH	1	8.97	0	1
16-ASH	5	6.50 - 9.15	0	5
15M-ASH	2	7.83 - 8.44	0	2
15J-ASH	1	8.70	0	1
02B-ASH	1	8.39	0	1
02-SBA	5	7.35 - 9.35	0	5
15-ASH	5	6.36 - 9.72	0	5
07-ASH	5	6.87 - 9.87	0	5
01-ASH	5	8.03 - 10.16	0	5
Total	**60**	_____	**0**	**60**

Figure 2. Dissolved Oxygen Concentration Statistics for the Ashuelot River Watershed May 17 - September 15, 2008, NHDES VRAP



Recommendations

- Continue sampling at all stations in order to develop a long-term data set to better understand trends as time goes on.

- If possible, take measurements between 5 a.m. and 10 a.m., which is when dissolved oxygen is usually the lowest, and between 2 p.m. and 7 p.m. when dissolved oxygen is usually the highest. In general, dissolved oxygen levels are lowest in the early morning when there is low photosynthetic activity and a peak in respiration from organisms throughout the water column. This is the time of least oxygen production and greatest carbon dioxide emission. Peak dissolved oxygen levels occur when photosynthetic activity is at its peak. The greater the amount of photosynthetic activity the greater the production of oxygen as a byproduct of photosynthesis.

- Consider incorporating the use of in-situ dataloggers to automatically record dissolved oxygen saturation levels during a period of several days.

4.2 pH

Between one and five measurements were taken in the field for pH at 15 stations in the Ashuelot River watershed from Washington to Hinsdale [Table 5]. Of the 60 measurements taken, all met quality assurance/quality control requirements and are usable for New Hampshire's 2010 surface water quality report to the US Environmental Protection Agency.

The Class B New Hampshire surface water quality standard is 6.5 - 8.0, unless naturally occurring.

Table 5. pH Data Summary – Ashuelot River, 2008

Station ID	Samples Collected	Data Range (standard units)	Acceptable Samples Not Meeting NH Class B Standards	Number of Usable Samples for 2010 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment
28-ASH	5	4.37 - 5.40	5	5
27-ASH	5	4.38 - 5.31	5	5
24A-ASH	5	4.63 - 5.48	5	5
23-ASH	5	5.12 - 5.82	5	5
20A-ASH	5	5.68 - 6.40	5	5
18-ASH	5	5.79 - 6.55	3	5
16A-ASH	1	6.50	0	1
16-ASH	5	5.90 - 6.56	3	5
15M-ASH	2	5.91 - 5.99	2	2
15J-ASH	1	6.19	1	1
02B-ASH	1	5.86	1	1
02-SBA	5	5.66 - 6.53	3	5
15-ASH	5	5.86 - 6.01	5	5
07-ASH	5	5.88 - 6.20	5	5
01-ASH	5	6.20 - 6.78	3	5
Total	**60**	_____	**51**	**60**

A majority of the pH measurements were below the New Hampshire surface water quality standard minimum (Figure 3). In general, stations in the upper portions of the watershed had lower pH measurements than stations in the lower portions of the watershed.

Lower pH measurements are likely the result of natural conditions such as the soils, geology, or the presence of wetlands in the area. Rain and snow falling in New Hampshire is relatively acidic, which can also affect pH levels; after the spring melt or significant rain events, surface waters will generally have a lower pH.

Figure 3. pH Statistics for the Ashuelot River Watershed
May 17 - September 15, 2008, NHDES VRAP



Recommendations

- Continue sampling at all stations in order to develop a long-term data set to better understand trends as time goes on.
- Consider sampling for pH in some of the tributaries and wetland areas that are influencing the pH of stations with measurements below state standards. Site conditions are considered along with pH measurements because of the narrative portion of the pH standard. RSA 485-A:8 states that pH of Class B waters *shall be between 6.5 and 8.0, except when due to natural causes*. Wetlands can lower the pH of a river naturally by releasing tannic and humic acids from decaying plant material. If the sampling location is influenced by wetlands or other natural conditions, then the low pH measurements are not considered a violation of water quality standards. It is important to note that the New Hampshire water quality standard for pH is fairly conservative, thus pH levels slightly below the standard are not necessarily harmful to aquatic life. In this case, additional information about factors influencing pH levels is needed.

4.3 Turbidity

Between one and five measurements were taken in the field for turbidity at 15 stations in the Ashuelot River watershed from Washington to Hinsdale [Table 6]. Of the 59 measurements taken, all met quality assurance/quality control requirements and are usable for New Hampshire's 2010 surface water quality report to the US Environmental Protection Agency.

The Class B New Hampshire surface water quality standard for turbidity is less than 10 NTU above natural background.

Table 6. Turbidity Data Summary – Ashuelot River, 2008

Station ID	Samples Collected	Data Range (NTU)	Acceptable Samples Potentially Not Meeting NH Class B Standards	Number of Usable Samples for 2010 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment
28-ASH	5	0.6 - 1.4	0	5
27-ASH	5	0.5 - 1.6	0	5
24A-ASH	5	0.9 - 1.4	0	5
23-ASH	5	0.7 - 2.3	0	5
20A-ASH	5	1.3 - 3.9	0	5
18-ASH	5	1.6 - 4.0	0	5
16A-ASH	1	2.2	0	1
16-ASH	1	2.4 - 26	1	1
15M-ASH	5	1.6 - 2.3	0	5
15J-ASH	1	2.5	0	1
02B-ASH	1	2.1	0	1
02-SBA	5	1.5 - 4.6	0	5
15-ASH	5	1.7 - 3.3	0	5
07-ASH	5	1.6 - 3.4	0	5
01-ASH	5	1.6 - 2.6	0	5
Total	**59**	_____	**1**	**59**

Turbidity levels were low with the average ranging from 1.0 NTU to 7.7 NTU (Figure 4). Station 16-ASH had one elevated measurement of 26 NTU on 7/21/08 that potentially fails to meet the state of New Hampshire Class B surface water quality standard. Intermittent rain during the sampling date, and rain three days prior to the sampling date was noted on the VRAP Field Data Sheet and may have contributed to the higher turbidity levels due to stormwater runoff and the flushing of wetland areas.

Although clean waters are associated with low turbidity there is a high degree of natural variability involved. Precipitation often contributes to increased turbidity by flushing sediment, organic matter and other materials from the surrounding landscape into surface waters. However, human activities such as removal of vegetation near surface waters and disruption of nearby soils can lead to dramatic increases in turbidity levels. In general it is typical to see a rise in turbidity in more developed areas due to increased runoff.

Figure 4. Turbidity Statistics for the Ashuelot River Watershed
May 17 - September 15, 2008, NHDES VRAP



Recommendations

- Continue sampling at all stations in order to develop a long-term data set to better understand trends as time goes on.
- Collect samples during wet weather. This will help us to understand how the river responds to runoff and sedimentation.
- If a higher than normal turbidity measurement occurs, volunteers can investigate further by moving upstream and taking additional measurements. This will facilitate isolating the location of the cause of the elevated turbidity levels. In addition, take good field notes and photographs. If human activity is suspected or verified as the source of elevated turbidity levels, volunteers should contact NHDES.

4.4 Specific Conductance

Between one and five measurements were taken in the field for specific conductance at 15 stations in the Ashuelot River watershed from Washington to Hinsdale [Table 7]. Of the 60 measurements taken, all met quality assurance/quality control requirements and are usable for New Hampshire's 2010 surface water quality report to the US Environmental Protection Agency.

New Hampshire surface water quality standards do not contain numeric criteria for specific conductance although in many fresh surface waters, specific conductance can be used as a surrogate to predict compliance with numeric water quality criteria for chloride.

Table 7. Specific Conductance Data Summary – Ashuelot River, 2008

Station ID	Samples Collected	Data Range (µS/cm)	Acceptable Samples Not Meeting NH Class B Standards (µS/cm as chloride surrogate)	Number of Usable Samples for 2010 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment
28-ASH	5	20 - 29	0	5
27-ASH	5	25 - 33	0	5
24A-ASH	5	31 - 44	0	5
23-ASH	5	33 - 72	0	5
20A-ASH	5	47 - 79	0	5
18-ASH	5	64 - 175	0	5
16A-ASH	1	72	0	1
16-ASH	5	79 - 156	0	5
15M-ASH	2	88 - 101	0	2
15J-ASH	1	79	0	1
02B-ASH	1	96	0	1
02-SBA	5	59 - 99	0	5
15-ASH	5	83 - 175	0	5
07-ASH	5	84 - 136	0	5
01-ASH	5	75 - 150	0	5
Total	**60**	_____	**0**	**60**

Specific conductance levels were variable with the average ranging from 25.4 µS/cm to 122.5 µS/cm (Figure 10). In general, specific conductance measurements tended to be higher in the mid to lower portion of the watershed. Higher specific conductance levels can be indicative of pollution from sources such as urban/agricultural runoff, road salt, failed septic systems, or groundwater pollution. The variable specific conductance levels generally indicate low pollutant levels at some stations and higher levels at others.

Figure 5. Specific Conductance Statistics for the Ashuelot River Watershed
May 17 - September 15, 2008, NHDES VRAP



Recommendations

- Continue sampling at all stations in order to develop a long-term data set to better understand trends as time goes on.

- Consider collecting chloride samples at the same time that specific conductance is measured. During the late winter/early spring snowmelt, higher specific conductance levels are often seen due to elevated concentrations of chloride in the runoff. Specific conductance levels are very closely correlated to chloride levels. Simultaneously measuring chloride and specific conductance will allow for a better understanding of their relationship.

- Consider incorporating the use of in-situ dataloggers to automatically determine specific conductance levels during rain events, snowmelt, and baseline dry weather conditions. The use of these instruments is dependent upon availability, and requires coordination with NHDES.

4.5 Water Temperature

Between one and five measurements were taken in the field for water temperature at 15 stations in the Ashuelot River watershed from Washington to Hinsdale [Table 8]. Of the 60 measurements taken, all met quality assurance/quality control requirements and are usable for New Hampshire's 2010 surface water quality report to the US Environmental Protection Agency.

Although there is currently no numerical water quality criteria for water temperature, NHDES is in the process of collecting biological and water temperature data that will contribute to the development of a procedure for assessing rivers and stream based on water temperature and its corresponding impact to the biological integrity of the waterbody.

Table 8. Water Temperature Data Summary – Ashuelot River, 2008

Station ID	Samples Collected	Data Range (°C)	Acceptable Samples Not Meeting NH Class B Standards	Number of Usable Samples for 2010 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment
28-ASH	5	14.3 - 23.6	Not Applicable	5
27-ASH	5	12.3 - 21.2	N/A	5
24A-ASH	5	14.4 - 24.2	N/A	5
23-ASH	5	12.6 - 20.9	N/A	5
20A-ASH	5	13.5 - 23.1	N/A	5
18-ASH	5	14.7 - 23.7	N/A	5
16A-ASH	1	19.3	N/A	1
16-ASH	5	13.6 - 22.2	N/A	5
15M-ASH	2	18.2 - 21	N/A	2
15J-ASH	1	18.1	N/A	1
02B-ASH	1	18.4	N/A	1
02-SBA	5	12.6 - 22.2	N/A	5
15-ASH	5	14.0 - 23.6	N/A	5
07-ASH	5	14.2 - 22.8	N/A	5
01-ASH	5	12.8 - 24	N/A	5
Total	**60**	_____	**N/A**	**60**

Figure 6 shows the results of instantaneous water temperature measurements taken at 15 stations in the Ashuelot River watershed. The average water temperature varied from 18.0 °C. to 20.1 °C.

Water temperature is a critical parameter for aquatic life and has an impact on other water quality parameters such as dissolved oxygen concentrations, and the activity of bacteria in the water. Water temperature controls the metabolic and reproductive processes of aquatic species and can determine which fish and macroinvertabrate species can survive in a given river or stream.

A number of factors can have an impact on water temperature including the quantity and maturity of riparian vegetation along the shoreline, the rate of flow, the percent of impervious surfaces contributing stormwater, thermal discharges, impoundments and the influence of groundwater.

Figure 6. Water Temperature Statistics for the Ashuelot River Watershed
May 17 - September 15, 2008, NHDES VRAP



Recommendations

- Continue collecting water temperature data via both instantaneous reading and long-term deployment of dataloggers.

4.6 *Escherichia coli*/Bacteria

Between one and four samples were taken for *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) at 15 stations in the Ashuelot River watershed from Washington to Hinsdale (Table 9). Of the 49 samples taken, all met quality assurance/quality control requirements and are usable for New Hampshire's 2010 surface water quality report to the US Environmental Protection Agency.

Class B New Hampshire surface water quality standards for *E.coli* are as follows:

≤406 cts/100 ml, based on any single sample or
≤126 cts/100 ml, based on a geometric mean calculated from three samples collected within a 60-day period.

Table 9. *E.coli* Data Summary – Ashuelot River, 2008

Station ID	Samples Collected	Data Range (cts/100ml)	Acceptable Samples Not Meeting NH Class B Standards	Number of Usable Samples for 2010 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment
28-ASH	4	8 - 285	0	4
27-ASH	4	9 - 248	0	4
24A-ASH	4	44 - 236	0	4
23-ASH	4	112 - 727	1	4
20A-ASH	4	28 - 461	1	4
18-ASH	4	65 - 770	2	4
16A-ASH	1	166	0	1
16-ASH	4	128 - 2000	2	4
15M-ASH	2	162 - 228	0	2
15J-ASH	1	276	0	1
02B-ASH	1	411	1	1
02-SBA	4	231 - 866	2	4
15-ASH	4	38 - 291	0	4
07-ASH	4	59 - 1553	1	4
01-ASH	4	99 - 308	0	4
Total	**49**	_____	**10**	**49**

Seven stations had one or more *E.coli* measurements that failed to meet the state of New Hampshire Class B surface water quality standard (Figure 7). Several measurements were particularly elevated on 6/23/08 and 7/21/08. Intermittent rain on both dates, as well as rain three days prior to both dates were noted on the VRAP Field Data Sheets and may have contributed to the higher *E.coli* levels due to stormwater runoff and the flushing of wetland areas.

Several factors can contribute to elevated *E. coli* levels, including, but not limited to rain storms, low river flows, the presence of wildlife (e.g., birds), and the presence of septic systems along the river

In order to fully determine whether a waterbody is meeting surface water standards for *E.coli* a geometric mean must be calculated. A geometric mean is calculated using three samples collected within a 60-day period. At 11 stations two geometric means were calculated. Of the 22 geometric means calculated 14 failed to meet the state of New Hampshire Class B geometric mean standard of 126 cts/100ml (Table 10).

Figure 7. *Escherichia coli* Statistics for the Ashuelot River Watershed
June 23 - September 15 2008, NHDES VRAP



Table 10. *E. coli* Geometric Mean Data Summary – Ashuelot River, 2008

Station ID	Geometric Means Calculated	Geometric Mean 6/23/08 - 8/19/08	Geometric Mean 7/21/08 - 9/15/08	Geometric Means Not Meeting NH Class B Standards	Number of Usable Samples for 2010 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment
28-ASH	2	48	28	0	2
27-ASH	2	66	76	0	2
24A-ASH	2	98	152	1	2
23-ASH	2	443	293	2	2
20A-ASH	2	98	89	0	2
18-ASH	2	354	155	2	2
16-ASH	2	831	385	2	2
02-SBA	2	547	352	2	2
15-ASH	2	101	199	1	2
07-ASH	2	294	260	2	2
01-ASH	2	164	211	2	2
Total	**22**	____	____	**14**	**22**

Recommendations

- Continue collecting three samples within any 60-day period during the summer to allow for determination of geometric means. Samples need only be collected during the critical period of May 24 to September 15 for assessment purposes. This coincides with the peak contact recreation season.

- Continue to document river conditions and station characteristics (including the presence of wildlife in the area during sampling).

- Continue to document river conditions and station characteristics (including the presence of wildlife in the area during sampling).At stations with particularly high bacteria levels volunteers can investigate further by moving upstream and taking additional measurements. This will facilitate isolating the location of the cause of the elevated bacteria levels. Those sampling should also look for any potential sources of bacteria such as emission pipes, failed septic systems, farm animals, pet waste, wildlife and waterfowl.

4.7 Total Phosphorus

Between one and four samples were taken for total phosphorus at 15 stations in the Ashuelot River watershed from Washington to Hinsdale (Table 11). Of the 49 samples taken, all met quality assurance/quality control requirements and are usable for New Hampshire's 2010 surface water quality report to the US Environmental Protection Agency.

There is no numeric standard for total phosphorus for Class B waters. The narrative standard states that "unless naturally occurring, shall contain no phosphorus in such concentrations that would impair any existing or designated uses." The NHDES "level of concern" for total phosphorous is 0.05 mg/L.

Table 11. Total Phosphorus Data Summary – Ashuelot River, 2008

Station ID	Samples Collected	Data Range (mg/L)	Acceptable Samples Exceeding NHDES Level of Concern	Number of Usable Samples for 2010 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment
28-ASH	4	0.0064 - 0.011	0	4
27-ASH	4	0.008 - 0.013	0	4
24A-ASH	4	0.0079 - 0.013	0	4
23-ASH	4	0.0095 - 0.020	0	4
20A-ASH	4	0.0091 - 0.030	0	4
18-ASH	4	0.012 - 0.030	0	4
16A-ASH	1	0.015 - 0.015	0	1
16-ASH	4	0.024 - 0.140	2	4
15M-ASH	2	0.037 - 0.048	0	2
15J-ASH	1	0.026 - 0.026	0	1
02B-ASH	1	0.029 - 0.029	0	1
02-SBA	4	0.020 - 0.060	1	4
15-ASH	4	0.026 - 0.090	2	4
07-ASH	4	0.025 - 0.060	1	4
01-ASH	4	0.024 - 0.060	2	4
Total	**49**	_____	**8**	**49**

Five stations had one or more total phosphorus levels that above the NHDES "level of concern" (Figure 8). In general, total phosphorus measurements tended to be higher in the mid to lower portion of the watershed.

Under undisturbed natural conditions phosphorus is at very low levels in aquatic ecosystems. Of the three nutrients critical for aquatic plant growth; potassium, nitrogen, and phosphorus, it is usually phosphorous that is the limiting factor to plant growth. When the supply of phosphorus is increased due to human activity, algae respond with significant growth.

A major source of excessive phosphorus concentrations in aquatic ecosystems can be wastewater treatment facilities, as sewage typically contains relatively high levels of phosphorus detergents. However, fertilizers used on lawns and agricultural areas can also contribute significant amounts of phosphorus.

Figure 8. Total Phosphorous Statistics for the Ashuelot River Watershed
June 23 - September 15, 2008, NHDES VRAP



Recommendations

- Continue sampling at all stations in order to develop a long-term data set to better understand trends as time goes on.

4.8 Chloride

One sample was taken for chloride at four stations in the Ashuelot River watershed from Washington to Hinsdale (Table 12). Of the four samples taken, all met quality assurance/quality control requirements and are usable for New Hampshire's 2010 surface water quality report to the US Environmental Protection Agency.

The Class B New Hampshire surface water quality standard for chloride is as follows:

Freshwater chronic criterion	230 mg/l
Freshwater acute criterion	860 mg/l

Table 12. Chloride Data Summary – Ashuelot River Watershed, 2008

Station ID	Samples Collected	Data Range (mg/l)	Acceptable Samples Not Meeting NH Class B Standards	Number of Usable Samples for 2010 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment
16D-ASH	1	61	0	1
16A-ASH	1	18	0	1
15J-ASH	1	24	0	1
15M-ASH	1	16	0	1
Total	**4**	_____	**0**	**4**

All measurements were below the state of New Hampshire Class B chronic surface water quality standard (Figure 9).

Although chloride can originate from natural sources, most of the chloride that enters the environment is associated with the storage and application of road salt. Road salt readily dissolves and enters aquatic environments in ionic forms. As such, chloride-containing compounds commonly enter surface water, soil, and groundwater during late-spring snowmelt (since the ground is frozen during much of the late winter and early spring). Chloride ions are conservative, which means they are not degraded in the environment and tend to remain in solution, once dissolved. Chloride ions that enter ground water can ultimately be expected to reach surface water and, therefore, influence aquatic environments and humans. Additional human sources of chloride can come from fertilizers, septic systems, and underground water softening systems.

Figure 9. Chloride Statistics for the Ashuelot River Watershed
December 10, 2008, NHDES VRAP



Recommendations

- Continue collecting chloride samples during both low-flow summer months and during snowmelt period in winter and early spring. It is critical that specific conductance be recorded when chloride samples are collected.

4.9 Cadmium

Five samples were collected for cadmium at 11 stations in the Ashuelot River watershed from Washington to Hinsdale (Table 13). Of the 55 samples collected, all met quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) requirements and are usable for New Hampshire's 2010 surface water quality report to the US Environmental Protection Agency.

The Class B New Hampshire surface water quality standards for cadmium are dependant on the hardness of the water. As in this case where station and date specific hardness values are not available, the 8 digit hydrologic unit code hardness median shall be used to calculate the hardness dependent critieria. The regional median hardness value for the Ashuelot River watershed is 15.9 mg/L.

Freshwater chronic criterion	0.00058 mg/l
Freshwater acute criterion:	0.00058 mg/L

The conventional methods used to collect these and most metal samples can be influenced by sample contamination. Sample contamination occurs from trace amounts of metals impacting and elevating the levels of a measurement. Sources of contamination include laboratory and sampling equipment, air and soil contamination, and residue from the individuals handling the samples.

NHDES takes into account a common contamination factor when comparing non-clean samples to the criteria threshold concentrations for the commonly contaminated metals. Using calculations outlined in the 2008 Section 305(b) and 303(d) Consolidated Assessment and Listing Methodology (CALM) we thus make a likely contamination adjustment to criteria for determining the freshwater criteria for cadmium using "non-clean" techniques:

Freshwater chronic criterion + Common Contamination Factor:	0.0083 mg/L
Freshwater acute criterion + Common Contamination Factor:	0.0084 mg/L

Table 13. Cadmium Data Summary – Ashuelot River Watershed, 2008

Station ID	Samples Collected	Data Range (mg/L)	Acceptable Samples Not Meeting NH Class B Standards	Number of Usable Samples for 2010 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment
28-ASH	5	<0.00025	0	5
27-ASH	5	<0.00025	0	5
24A-ASH	5	<0.00025	0	5
23-ASH	5	<0.00025	0	5
20A-ASH	5	<0.00025	0	5
18-ASH	5	<0.00025 - 0.00070	0	5
16-ASH	5	<0.00025 - 0.00040	0	5
02-SBA	5	<0.00025	0	5
15-ASH	5	<0.00025	0	5
07-ASH	5	<0.00025	0	5
01-ASH	5	<0.00025 - 0.00030	0	5
Total	**55**	_____	0	**55**

Using the standard water quality criteria for cadmium, two samples at 18-ASH exceeded the freshwater acute water quality standard for cadmium. In all other cases the samples were below the laboratory detection limit and this detection limit was below the standard.

As these samples were collected without clean techniques NHDES will use the standard water quality criteria plus a common contamination factor to determine if the samples are exceeding the freshwater standard for cadmium. Those samples (such as at 18-ASH) between the standard criteria and the standard criteria plus the common contamination factor are flagged as "potentially not supporting".

Cadmium is found naturally in small quantities in air, water and soil. It can also me released into the air when household or industrial wastes are burned, from car exhaust, and from certain manufacturing processes.

Recommendations

- Continue sampling at all stations in order to develop a long-term data set to better understand trends.
- NHDES and ARLAC should seek to sample those stations with measurements that exceed the standard criteria using clean techniques. The NHDES laboratory now has the ability to analyze metals samples collected using clean techniques.

4.10 Copper

Either four or five samples were collected for copper at 11 stations in the Ashuelot River watershed from Washington to Hinsdale (Table 14). Of the 54 samples collected, all met quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) requirements and are usable for New Hampshire's 2010 surface water quality report to the US Environmental Protection Agency.

The Class B New Hampshire surface water quality standards for copper are dependant on the hardness of the water. As in this case where station and date specific hardness values are not available, the 8 digit hydrologic unit code hardness median shall be used to calculate the hardness dependent critieria. The regional median hardness value for the Ashuelot River watershed is 15.9 mg/L.

Freshwater chronic criterion:	0.0019 mg/l
Freshwater acute criterion:	0.0025 mg/L

The conventional methods used to collect these and most metal samples can be influenced by sample contamination. Sample contamination occurs from trace amounts of metals impacting and elevating the levels of a measurement. Sources of contamination include laboratory and sampling equipment, air and soil contamination, and residue from the individuals handling the samples.

NHDES takes into account a common contamination factor when comparing non-clean samples to the criteria threshold concentrations for the commonly contaminated metals. Using calculations outlined in the 2008 Section 305(b) and 303(d) Consolidated Assessment and Listing Methodology (CALM) we thus make a likely contamination adjustment to criteria for determining the freshwater criteria for cadmium using "non-clean" techniques:

Freshwater chronic criterion + Common Contamination Factor:	0.0157 mg/L
Freshwater acute criterion + Common Contamination Factor:	0.0166 mg/L

Table 14. Copper Data Summary – Ashuelot River Watershed, 2008

Station ID	Samples Collected	Data Range (mg/L)	Acceptable Samples Not Meeting NH Class B Standards	Number of Usable Samples for 2010 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment
28-ASH	5	<0.0025	0	5
27-ASH	5	<0.0025	0	5
24A-ASH	5	<0.0025 - 0.0026	0	5
23-ASH	5	<0.0025 - 0.0028	0	5
20A-ASH	5	<0.0025	0	5
18-ASH	4	<0.0025- 0.0046	0	4
16-ASH	5	<0.0025 - 0.0046	0	5
02-SBA	5	<0.0025 - 0.0028	0	5
15-ASH	5	<0.0025	0	5
07-ASH	5	<0.0025 – 0.0025	0	5
01-ASH	5	<0.0025 – 0.0041	0	5
Total	**54**	_____	**0**	**54**

Using the standard water quality criteria for copper, stations 18-ASH, 16-ASH, 07-ASH and 01-ASH had at least one copper measurement that exceeded the freshwater acute water quality standard (Table 14). The laboratory detection limit for the standard method used to process these samples was 0.0025 mg/L. Since this detection limit is the same as the acute standard, any sample above the detection limit was also above the acute standard for copper. Those samples reported as less than the detection limit cannot be used for assessment purposes because the detection limit is at or above the water quality criteria.

As these samples were collected without clean techniques NHDES will use the standard water quality criteria plus a common contamination factor to determine if the samples are exceeding the freshwater standard for copper. Those samples between the standard criteria and the standard criteria plus the common contamination factor are flagged as "potentially not supporting".

Potential sources of elevated copper levels are the corrosion of plumbing, erosion of natural deposits, some mining activities, industrial pollution, and some domestic wastewaters.

Recommendations

- Continue sampling at all stations in order to develop a long-term data set to better understand trends.

- NHDES and ARLAC should seek to sample those stations with measurements that exceed the standard criteria using clean techniques. The NHDES laboratory now has the ability to analyze metals samples collected using clean techniques.

4.13 Lead

Five samples were collected for lead at 11 stations in the Ashuelot River watershed from Washington to Hinsdale (Table 15). Of the 55 samples collected, all met quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) requirements and are usable for New Hampshire's 2010 surface water quality report to the US Environmental Protection Agency.

The Class B New Hampshire surface water quality standards for lead are dependant on the hardness of the water. As in this case where station and date specific hardness values are not available, the 8 digit hydrologic unit code hardness median shall be used to calculate the hardness dependent critieria. The regional median hardness value for the Ashuelot River watershed is 15.9 mg/L.

Freshwater chronic criterion:	0.0003 mg/L
Freshwater acute criterion:	0.0079 mg/L

The conventional methods used to collect these and most metal samples can be influenced by sample contamination. Sample contamination occurs from trace amounts of metals impacting and elevating the levels of a measurement. Sources of contamination include laboratory and sampling equipment, air and soil contamination, and residue from the individuals handling the samples.

NHDES takes into account a common contamination factor when comparing non-clean samples to the criteria threshold concentrations for the commonly contaminated metals. Using calculations outlined in the 2008 Section 305(b) and 303(d) Consolidated Assessment and Listing Methodology (CALM) we thus make a likely contamination adjustment to criteria for determining the freshwater criteria for cadmium using "non-clean" techniques:

Freshwater "non-clean" chronic criterion:	0.0048 mg/l
Freshwater "non-clean" acute criterion:	0.0182 mg/L

Table 15. Lead Data Summary – Ashuelot River Watershed, 2008

Station ID	Samples Collected	Data Range (mg/L)	Acceptable Samples Not Meeting NH Class B Standards for Clean and Non-Clean Techniques	Number of Usable Samples for 2010 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment
28-ASH	5	<0.003	0	5
27-ASH	5	<0.003	0	5
24A-ASH	5	<0.003	0	5
23-ASH	5	<0.003	0	5
20A-ASH	5	<0.003	0	5
18-ASH	5	<0.003	0	5
16-ASH	5	<0.003	0	5
02-SBA	5	<0.003	0	5
15-ASH	5	<0.003	0	5
07-ASH	5	<0.003	0	5
01-ASH	5	<0.003	0	5
Total	**55**	_____	**0**	**55**

All stations had lead measurements that were below the detection limit on all occasions. However, this detection limit is above the standard chronic criteria for lead so no determinations can be made regarding water quality standards.

Potential sources of elevated lead levels are the erosion of natural deposits, industrial discharges, and presence of lead in the streambed from sources such as fishing lures or lead ammunition.

Recommendations

- Continue sampling at all stations, in order to develop a long-term data set to better understand trends as time goes on.

4.14 Zinc

Five samples were collected for zinc at 11 stations in the Ashuelot River watershed from Washington to Hinsdale (Table 16). Of the 55 samples collected, all met quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) requirements and are usable for New Hampshire's 2010 surface water quality report to the US Environmental Protection Agency.

The Class B New Hampshire surface water quality standards for zinc are dependant on the hardness of the water. As in this case where station and date specific hardness values are not available, the 8 digit hydrologic unit code hardness median shall be used to calculate the hardness dependent critieria. The regional median hardness value for the Ashuelot River watershed is 15.9 mg/L.

Freshwater chronic criterion:	0.025 mg/l
Freshwater acute criterion:	0.025 mg/L

The conventional methods used to collect these and most metal samples can be influenced by sample contamination. Sample contamination occurs from trace amounts of metals impacting and elevating the levels of a measurement. Sources of contamination include laboratory and sampling equipment, air and soil contamination, and residue from the individuals handling the samples.

NHDES takes into account a common contamination factor when comparing non-clean samples to the criteria threshold concentrations for the commonly contaminated metals. Using calculations outlined in the 2008 Section 305(b) and 303(d) Consolidated Assessment and Listing Methodology (CALM) we thus make a likely contamination adjustment to criteria for determining the freshwater criteria for cadmium using "non-clean" techniques:

Freshwater "non-clean" chronic criterion:	0.074 mg/l
Freshwater "non-clean" acute criterion:	0.074 mg/L

Table 16. Zinc Data Summary – Ashuelot River Watershed, 2008

Station ID	Samples Collected	Data Range (mg/L)	Acceptable Samples Not Meeting NH Class B Standards	Number of Usable Samples for 2010 NH Surface Water Quality Assessment
28-ASH	5	<0.009 – 0.010	0	5
27-ASH	5	<0.009 – 0.011	0	5
24A-ASH	5	<0.009 – 0.010	0	5
23-ASH	5	<0.009 – 0.024	0	5
20A-ASH	5	<0.009 – 0.010	0	5
18-ASH	5	<0.009 – 0.011	0	5
16-ASH	5	<0.009 – 0.017	0	5
02-SBA	5	<0.009	0	5
15-ASH	5	<0.009	0	5
07-ASH	5	<0.009 – 0.009	0	5
01-ASH	5	<0.009	0	5
Total	**55**	_____	0	**55**

Using the standard water quality criteria for zinc, all stations at all times were below the freshwater chronic water quality standard (Table 16). Station 23-ASH was 0.001 mg/L below the standard chronic criteria for zinc.

Potential sources of zinc are runoff from smelting and refining operations, industrial discharges, and weathering of bedrock. Zinc can also enter surface water via airborne sources such as atmospheric deposition as automobiles and fuel combustion.

Recommendations

- Continue sampling at all stations in order to develop a long-term dataset to better understand trends as time going on.
- NHDES and ARLAC should seek to sample those stations with measurements that are close to the standard criteria using clean techniques. The NHDES laboratory now has the ability to analyze metals samples collected using clean techniques.

APPENDIX A: 2008 ASHUELOT RIVER WATERSHED VRAP DATA

- Measurements not meeting New Hampshire surface water quality standards
- Total Phosphorous measurements exceeding NHDES level of concern
- Turbidity measuremets potentially not meeting New Hampshire surface water quality standards
- Metal samples listed as "potentially not supporting"
- Measurements not meeting NHDES quality assurance/quality control standards

[A] Hardness dependent metal. The water quality standard is caluculated based on hardness value. As in this case where site/date specific hardness values are not available, the 8 digit HUC hardness median shall be used to calulate the hardness dependent critieria. Regional median hardness value for the Ashuelot River watershed is 15.9 mg/L.

[B] Chronic water quality standard

28-ASH, Ashuelot River, Route 31, Washington

Date	Time of Sample	DO (mg/L)	DO (% sat.)	pH	Turbidity (NTUs)	Specific Conductance (uS/cm)	Water Temp. (°C)	*E. coli* (CTS/100mL)	*E.coli* Geometric Mean	Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	Cadmium	Copper	Lead	Zinc
Standard	**NA**	**>5.0**	**>75% Daily Average**	**6.5-8.0**	**<10 NTU above backgrd**	**(µS/cm as chloride surrogate)**	**NA**	**<406**	**<126**	**NA**	**<0.00058[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.0019[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.00031[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.025[A,B] mg/L**
05/17/08	07:43	9.51	92.4	4.37	0.6	25.8	14.3				<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	0.01
06/23/08	07:40	7.82	86.4	4.96	1.2	27.9	20.3	40		0.011	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
07/21/08	07:35	7.21	84.9	5.40	1.4	28.9	23.6	285		0.010	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
08/19/08	00:00	7.72	87.5	4.77	0.9	20.4	21.6	10	48	0.007	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
09/15/08	07:46	8.50	91.2	5.06	0.9	23.8	18.8	8	28	0.006	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009

27-ASH, Ashuelot River, Mountain Road, Lempster

Date	Time of Sample	DO (mg/L)	DO (% sat.)	pH	Turbidity (NTUs)	Specific Conductance (uS/cm)	Water Temp. (°C)	*E. coli* (CTS/100mL)	*E.coli* Geometric Mean	Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	Cadmium	Copper	Lead	Zinc
Standard	**NA**	**>5.0**	**>75% Daily Average**	**6.5-8.0**	**<10 NTU above backgrd**	**(µS/cm as chloride surrogate)**	**NA**	**<406**	**<126**	**NA**	**<0.00058[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.0019[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.00031[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.025[A,B] mg/L**
05/17/08	08:42	9.85	92.1	4.38	0.5	30.7	12.3				<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	0.009
06/23/08	08:20	8.02	85.7	4.81	1.0	33.2	18.4	130		0.013	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
07/21/08	08:05	7.64	87.1	5.31	1.6	32.2	21.2	248		<0.010	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	0.011
08/19/08	00:00	7.95	87.4	4.86	1.0	25.3	20.0	9	66	0.009	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
09/15/08	08:10	8.45	89.5	4.49	1.0	32.6	18.3	194	76	0.008	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009

24A-ASH, Ashuelot River, Route 10, Marlow

Date	Time of Sample	DO (mg/L)	DO (% sat.)	pH	Turbidity (NTUs)	Specific Conductance (uS/cm)	Water Temp. (°C)	*E. coli* (CTS/100mL)	*E.coli* Geometric Mean	Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	Cadmium	Copper	Lead	Zinc
Standard	**NA**	**>5.0**	**>75% Daily Average**	**6.5-8.0**	**<10 NTU above backgrd**	**(µS/cm as chloride surrogate)**	**NA**	**<406**	**<126**	**NA**	**<0.00058[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.0019[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.00031[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.025[A,B] mg/L**
05/17/08	09:15	9.25	90.4	4.63	1.4	37.8	14.4				<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	0.01
06/23/08	09:12	7.75	85.7	5.14	1.2	43.9	21.2	44		0.013	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
07/21/08	08:40	7.39	88.0	5.48	1.0	39.4	24.2	236		<0.010	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
08/19/08	00:00	7.99	90.3	4.85	1.1	34.4	21.4	91	98	0.010	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
09/15/08	08:48	8.69	94.0	4.89	0.9	30.8	19.2	162	152	0.008	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009

23-ASH, Asheulot River, Route 10, Gilsum

Date	Time of Sample	DO (mg/L)	DO (% sat.)	pH	Turbidity (NTUs)	Specific Conductance (uS/cm)	Water Temp. (°C)	*E. coli* (CTS/100mL)	*E.coli* Geometric Mean	Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	Cadmium	Copper	Lead	Zinc
Standard	**NA**	**>5.0**	**>75% Daily Average**	**6.5-8.0**	**<10 NTU above backgrd**	**(µS/cm as chloride surrogate)**	**NA**	**<406**	**<126**	**NA**	**<0.00058[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.0019[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.00031[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.025[A,B] mg/L**
05/17/08	09:38	10.39	97.3	5.12	0.7	47.7	12.6				<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
06/23/08	09:44	8.70	92.2	5.79	1.9	71.9	18.2	387		0.012	<0.00025	0.0028	<0.0030	0.024
07/21/08	09:20	8.45	94.7	5.82	2.3	49.3	20.9	727		0.020	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
08/19/08	00:00	8.59	94.1	5.42	2.1	41.3	19.7	308	443	0.012	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
09/15/08	09:25	9.01	96.7	5.34	0.7	33.3	18.8	112	293	0.010	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009

20A-ASH, Ashuelot River, Stone Arch Bridge, Keene

Date	Time of Sample	DO (mg/L)	DO (% sat.)	pH	Turbidity (NTUs)	Specific Conductance (uS/cm)	Water Temp. (°C)	*E. coli* (CTS/100mL)	*E.coli* Geometric Mean	Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	Cadmium	Copper	Lead	Zinc
Standard	**NA**	**>5.0**	**>75% Daily Average**	**6.5-8.0**	**<10 NTU above backgrd**	**(µS/cm as chloride surrogate)**	**NA**	**<406**	**<126**	**NA**	**<0.00058[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.0019[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.00031[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.025[A,B] mg/L**
05/17/08	08:00	9.06	87.2	6.31	1.3	57.5	13.5				<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
06/23/08	07:30	7.80	81.2	6.40	1.7	78.5	19.9	73		0.016	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	0.01
07/21/08	07:15	6.49	76.0	6.08	3.9	64.6	23.1	461		0.030	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
08/19/08	00:00	7.20	88.2	5.78	1.3	50.5	20.5	28	98	0.009	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
09/15/08	08:30	8.53	92.0	5.68	1.9	46.8	19.0	55	89	0.012	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009

18-ASH, Ashuelot River, Route 101, Keene

Date	Time of Sample	DO (mg/L)	DO (% sat.)	pH	Turbidity (NTUs)	Specific Conductance (uS/cm)	Water Temp. (°C)	*E. coli* (CTS/100mL)	*E.coli* Geometric Mean	Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	Cadmium	Copper	Lead	Zinc
Standard	**NA**	**>5.0**	**>75% Daily Average**	**6.5-8.0**	**<10 NTU above backgrd**	**(µS/cm as chloride surrogate)**	**NA**	**<406**	**<126**	**NA**	**<0.00058[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.0019[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.00031[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.025[A,B] mg/L**
05/17/08	09:07	9.11	90.0	6.55	1.9	106.6	14.7				<0.00025	0.0046	<0.0030	0.011
06/23/08	08:10	7.63	83.3	6.50	4.0	175.0	19.6	770		0.025	0.00070		<0.0030	0.011
07/21/08	07:42	6.72	79.5	6.33	2.8	128.5	23.7	517		0.030	0.00040	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
08/19/08	00:00	7.66	88.6	5.79	1.6	80.7	20.9	111	354	0.012	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
09/15/08	09:10	8.37	90.2	5.85	1.6	63.6	19.0	65	155	0.012	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009

16AD-ASH, 50 Feet Upstream of Keene WWTF, Swanzey

Date	Time of Sample	Chloride (mg/L)
Standard	**NA**	**230B**
12/10/08	9:15	61

16A-ASH, Ashuelot River, 10' Downstream of Confluence with South Branch Ashuelot River

Date	Time of Sample	DO (mg/L)	DO (% sat.)	pH	Turbidity (NTUs)	Specific Conductance (uS/cm)	Water Temp. (°C)	*E. coli* (CTS/100mL)	Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)
Standard	**NA**	**>5.0**	**>75% Daily Average**	**6.5-8.0**	**<10 NTU above backgrd**	**(µS/cm as chloride surrogate)**	**NA**	**<406**	**NA**	**230[B]**
09/15/08	12:50	8.97	97.4	~~6.50~~	2.2	72.4	19.3	166	0.015	18

16-ASH, Ashuelot River, Cresson Bridge, Swanzey

Date	Time of Sample	DO (mg/L)	DO (% sat.)	pH	Turbidity (NTUs)	Specific Conductance (uS/cm)	Water Temp. (°C)	*E. coli* (CTS/100mL)	*E.coli* Geometric Mean	Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	Cadmium	Copper	Lead	Zinc
Standard	**NA**	**>5.0**	**>75% Daily Average**	**6.5-8.0**	**<10 NTU above backgrd**	**(µS/cm as chloride surrogate)**	**NA**	**<406**	**<126**	**NA**	**<0.00058[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.0019[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.00031[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.025[A,B] mg/L**
05/17/08	10:05	9.15	88.0	6.56	3.3	126.2	13.6				<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	0.010
06/23/08	09:12	7.45	80.8	6.52	4.1	156.0	19.2	2000		0.069	<0.00025	0.0045	<0.0030	<0.009
07/21/08	09:00	6.50	74.5	6.31	26.0	142.6	22.2	2241		0.140	0.00040	0.0046	0.0048	0.017
08/19/08	00:00	7.25	80.5	5.91	2.4	108.9	20.2	128	831	0.036	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
09/15/08	09:45	8.28	88.8	5.90	2.6	78.6	18.8	199	385	0.024	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009

15M-ASH, Ashuelot River, Intersection of Route 10 and Winchester Street, Swanzey

Date	Time of Sample	DO (mg/L)	DO (% sat.)	pH	Turbidity (NTUs)	Specific Conductance (uS/cm)	Water Temp. (°C)	*E. coli* (CTS/100mL)	Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)
Standard	**NA**	**>5.0**	**>75% Daily Average**	**6.5-8.0**	**<10 NTU above backgrd**	**(µS/cm as chloride surrogate)**	**NA**	**<406**	**NA**	**230[B]**
08/19/08	09:31	7.83	87.8	5.99	1.6	100.6	21.0	162	0.037	
09/15/08	08:54	8.44	89.7	~~5.91~~	2.3	88.1	18.2	228	0.048	
12/10/08										16

15J-ASH, Ashuelot River, Upstream of Faulkner's Garden, Swanzey

Date	Time of Sample	DO (mg/L)	DO (% sat.)	pH	Turbidity (NTUs)	Specific Conductance (uS/cm)	Water Temp. (°C)	*E. coli* (CTS/100mL)	Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	Chloride (mg/L)
Standard	**NA**	**>5.0**	**>75% Daily Average**	**6.5-8.0**	**<10 NTU above backgrd**	**(µS/cm as chloride surrogate)**	**NA**	**<406**	**NA**	**230[B]**
09/15/08	08:00	8.70	92.3	~~6.19~~	2.5	79.5	18.1	276	0.026	
12/10/08	9:35									24

02B-SBA, South Branch Ashuelot River, Upstream of Monadnock Regional High School, Swanzey

Date	Time of Sample	DO (mg/L)	DO (% sat.)	pH	Turbidity (NTUs)	Specific Conductance (uS/cm)	Water Temp. (°C)	*E. coli* (CTS/100mL)	Total Phosphorus (mg/L)
Standard	**NA**	**>5.0**	**>75% Daily Average**	**6.5-8.0**	**<10 NTU above backgrd**	**(µS/cm as chloride surrogate)**	**NA**	**<406**	**NA**
09/15/08	09:35	8.39	89.3	~~5.86~~	2.1	95.8	18.4	411	0.029

02-SBA, South Branch Ashuelot River, Route 32 Bridge, Swanzey

Date	Time of Sample	DO (mg/L)	DO (% sat.)	pH	Turbidity (NTUs)	Specific Conductance (uS/cm)	Water Temp. (°C)	*E. coli* (CTS/100mL)	*E.coli* Geometric Mean	Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	Cadmium	Copper	Lead	Zinc
Standard	**NA**	**>5.0**	**>75% Daily Average**	**6.5-8.0**	**<10 NTU above backgrd**	**(µS/cm as chloride surrogate)**	**NA**	**<406**	**<126**	**NA**	**<0.00058[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.0019[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.00031[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.025[A,B] mg/L**
05/17/08	09:35	9.35	87.9	6.51	1.5	79.3	12.6				<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
06/23/08	08:50	8.24	87.6	6.49	4.4	93.3	18.2	866		0.034	<0.00025	0.0028	<0.0030	<0.009
07/21/08	08:15	7.35	84.4	6.53	4.6	98.5	22.2	488		0.060	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
08/19/08	00:00	7.80	80.0	5.75	3.0	77.7	19.5	387	547	0.020	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
09/15/08	10:30	8.11	86.8	5.66	2.5	58.5	18.6	231	352	0.021	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009

15-ASH, Ashuelot River, Thompson Bridge, West Swanzey

Date	Time of Sample	DO (mg/L)	DO (% sat.)	pH	Turbidity (NTUs)	Specific Conductance (uS/cm)	Water Temp. (°C)	*E. coli* (CTS/100mL)	*E.coli* Geometric Mean	Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	Cadmium	Copper	Lead	Zinc
Standard	**NA**	**>5.0**	**>75% Daily Average**	**6.5-8.0**	**<10 NTU above backgrd**	**(µS/cm as chloride surrogate)**	**NA**	**<406**	**<126**	**NA**	**<0.00058[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.0019[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.00031[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.025[A,B] mg/L**
05/17/08	09:45	9.72	94.4	5.86	1.7	126.5	14.0				<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
06/23/08	10:25	7.39	82.5	6.01	2.4	166.3	20.6	38		0.058	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
07/21/08	09:35	6.36	74.0	5.92	3.3	175.2	23.6	225		0.090	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
08/19/08	00:00	7.32	83.7	5.87	2.1	105.2	20.8	121	101	0.034	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
09/15/08	09:25	8.36	89.3	5.90	3.0	83.0	18.4	291	199	0.026	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009

07-ASH, Ashuelot River, Route 119, Winchester

Date	Time of Sample	DO (mg/L)	DO (% sat.)	pH	Turbidity (NTUs)	Water Temp. (°C)	Specific Conductance (uS/cm)	*E. coli* (CTS/100mL)	*E.coli* Geometric Mean	Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	Cadmium	Copper	Lead	Zinc
Standard	**NA**	**>5.0**	**>75% Daily Average**	**6.5-8.0**	**<10 NTU above backgrd**	**(µS/cm as chloride surrogate)**	**NA**	**<406**	**<126**	**NA**	**<0.00058[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.0019[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.00031[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.025[A,B] mg/L**
05/17/08	09:10	9.87	95.9	5.88	1.6	122.4	14.2				<0.00025	0.0025	<0.0030	0.009
06/23/08	09:30	7.96	87.4	6.20	2.8	135.7	19.8	276		0.041	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
07/21/08	08:40	6.87	79.8	5.91	3.4	131.8	22.8	1553		0.060	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
08/19/08	00:00	7.56	81.6	5.96	2.4	98.8	20.5	59	294	0.030	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
09/15/08	08:50	8.50	92.0	6.04	2.4	84.3	18.8	192	260	0.025	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009

01-ASH, Ashuelot River, 147 River Street, Hinsdale

Date	Time of Sample	DO (mg/L)	DO (% sat.)	pH	Turbidity (NTUs)	Specific Conductance (uS/cm)	Water Temp. (°C)	*E. coli* (CTS/100mL)	*E.coli* Geometric Mean	Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	Cadmium	Copper	Lead	Zinc
Standard	**NA**	**>5.0**	**>75% Daily Average**	**6.5-8.0**	**<10 NTU above backgrd**	**(µS/cm as chloride surrogate)**	**NA**	**<406**	**<126**	**NA**	**<0.00058[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.0019[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.00031[A,B] mg/L**	**<0.025[A,B] mg/L**
05/17/08	07:40	10.16	96.3	6.20	1.6	116.2	12.8				<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	0.009
06/23/08	08:34	8.84	96.1	6.78	2.3	150.3	19.4	145		0.059	0.00030	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
07/21/08	08:05	8.03	95.4	6.61	2.6	145.8	24.0	308		0.060	<0.00025	0.0041	<0.0030	<0.009
08/19/08	00:00	8.21	92.0	6.45	2.1	91.3	20.4	99	164	0.024	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009
09/15/08	08:15	9.36	100.2	6.39	2.1	75.2	18.8	308	211	0.026	<0.00025	<0.0025	<0.0030	<0.009

APPENDIX B:
Interpreting VRAP Water Quality Monitoring Parameters

Chemical Parameters

Dissolved Oxygen (DO)

- **Unit of Measurement:** concentration in milligrams per liter (mg/L) and percent saturation (%).
- **Description:** A measure of the amount of oxygen in the water: Concentration is a measure of the amount of oxygen in a volume of water; saturation is a measurement of the amount of oxygen in the water compared to the amount of oxygen the water can actually hold at full saturation. Both of these measurements are necessary to accurately determine whether New Hampshire surface water quality standards are met.
- **Importance**: Oxygen is dissolved into the water from the atmosphere, aided by wind and wave action, or by rocky, steep, or uneven stream beds. The presence of dissolved oxygen is vital to bottom-dwelling organisms as well as fish and amphibians. Aquatic plants and algae produce oxygen in the water during the day, and consume oxygen during the night. Bacteria utilize oxygen both day and night when they process organic matter into smaller and smaller particles.

Class A NH Surface Water Quality Standard: 6 mg/L at any place or time, or 75% minimum daily average – (unless naturally occurring).

Class B NH Surface Water Quality Standard: 5 mg/L at any place or time or 75% minimum daily average – (unless naturally occurring).

Several measurements of oxygen saturation taken in a 24-hour period must be averaged to compare to the 75 percent daily average saturation standard. The concentration of dissolved oxygen is dependent on many factors including temperature and sunlight, and tends to fluctuate throughout the day. Saturation values are averaged because a reading taken in the morning may be low due to respiration, while a measurement that afternoon may show that the saturation has recovered to acceptable levels. Water can become saturated with more than 100 percent dissolved oxygen.

pH

- **Unit of Measurement:** units (no abbreviation).
- **Description:** A measure of hydrogen ion activity in water, or, in general terms, the acidity of water. pH is measured on a logarithmic scale of 0 to 14, with 7 being neutral. A high pH indicates alkaline (or basic) conditions and a low pH indicates acidic conditions. pH is influenced by geology and soils, organic acids (decaying leaves and other matter), and human-induced acids from acid rain (which typically has a pH of 3.5 to 5.5).
- **Importance:** pH affects many chemical and biological processes in the water and this is important to the survival and reproduction of fish and other aquatic life. Different organisms flourish within different ranges of pH. Measurements outside of an organism's preferred range can limit growth and reproduction and lead to physiological stress. Low pH can also affect the toxicity of aquatic compounds such as ammonia and certain metals by making them more "available" for uptake by aquatic plants and animals. This can produce conditions that are toxic to aquatic life.

Class A NH Surface Water Quality Standard: Between 6.5 and 8.0 (unless naturally occurring).

Class B NH Surface Water Quality Standard: Between 6.5 and 8.0 (unless naturally occurring).

Sometimes, readings that fall below this range are determined to be naturally occurring. This is often a result of wetlands near the sample station. Wetlands can lower pH because the tannic and humic acids released by decaying plants can cause water to become more acidic.

pH Units	Category
<5.0	High Impact
5.0 – 5.9	Moderate to High Impact
6.0 – 6.4	Normal; Low Impact
6.5 – 8.0	Normal;
6.1 – 8.0	Satisfactory

Specific Conductance or Conductivity

- **Unit of Measurement:** micromhos per centimeter (umhos/cm) or microsiemens per centimeter (uS/cm).
- **Description:** The numerical expression of the ability of water to carry an electrical current at 25° C and a measure of free ion (charged particles) content in the water. These ions can come from natural sources such as bedrock, or human sources such as stormwater runoff. Specific conductance can be used to indicate the presence of chlorides, nitrates, sulfates, phosphates, sodium, magnesium, calcium, iron, and aluminum ions. There is a difference between conductivity and specific conductance. Specific conductance measures the free ion content of water at a *specific* water temperature, whereas conductivity measures the free ion content of water at 25° C. VRAP uses the term "specific conductance" because our conductivity measurements account for temperature. In some studies and programs, the term "conductivity" is used. This term should only be used when the measurement *does not* adjust to a specific temperature.
- **Importance:** Specific conductance readings can help locate potential pollution sources because polluted water usually has a higher specific conductance than unpolluted waters. High specific conductance values often indicate pollution from road salt, septic systems, wastewater treatment plants, or urban/agricultural runoff. Specific conductance can also be related to geology. In unpolluted rivers and streams, geology and groundwater are the primary influences on specific conductance levels.

Class A NH Surface Water Quality Standard: No numeric standard.

Class B NH Surface Water Quality Standard: No numeric standard.

Although there is no formal standard for specific conductance, data collect by VRAP groups and NHDES indicated a very close relationship between specific conductance levels and chloride. In some cases NHDES can use specific conductance measurements as a surrogate for chloride levels. The data collected by NHDES indicate that the chronic chloride standard is correlated with a specific conductance level of approximately 850 µS/cm.

Specific Conductance *(uS/cm)*	Category
0 – 100	Normal
101 – 200	Low Impact
201 – 500	Moderate Impact
> 501	High Impact
> 850	Likely exceeding chronic chloride standard

Turbidity

- **Unit of Measurement:** Nephelometric Turbidity Units (abbreviated at NTU).
- **Description:** A measurement of the amount of suspended material in the water. This material, which is comprised of particles such as clay, silt, algae, suspended sediment, and decaying plant material, causes light to be scattered and absorbed, rather than transmitted in straight lines through the water.
- **Importance:** Higher turbidity increases water temperatures because suspended particles absorb more heat. This, in turn, reduces dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations because warm water holds less DO than cold water. Higher turbidity also reduces the amount of light that can penetrate the water, which reduces photosynthesis and DO production. Suspended materials can clog fish gills, reducing disease resistance, lowering growth rates, and affecting egg and larval development. As the particles settle, they can blanket the stream bottom, especially in slower waters, and smother fish eggs and benthic macroinvertebrates. Clean waters are generally associated with low turbidity, but there is a high degree of natural variability involved. Rain events can increase turbidity in surface waters by flushing sediment, organic matter and other materials into the water. Human activities such as vegetation removal and soil disruption can also lead to dramatic increases in turbidity levels.

Class A NH Surface Water Quality Standard: As naturally occurs.

Class B NH Surface Water Quality Standard: Shall not exceed naturally occurring conditions by more than 10 NTU.

Physical Parameters

Temperature

- **Unit of Measurement:** Degrees Celsius (° C)
- **Importance:** Water temperature is a critical parameter for aquatic life and has an impact on other water quality parameters such as dissolved oxygen concentrations, and bacteria activity in water. Water temperature controls the metabolic and reproductive processes of aquatic species and can determine which fish and macroinvertabrate species can survive in a given river or stream.

  A number of factors can have an impact on water temperature including the quantity and maturity of riparian vegetation, the rate of flow, the percent of impervious surfaces contributing stormwater, thermal discharges, impoundments and groundwater.

Class A NH Surface Water Quality Standard: No numeric standard; as naturally occurs.

Class B NH Surface Water Quality Standard: No numeric standard

Although there is currently no numerical water quality criteria for water temperature, NHDES is in the process of collecting biological and water temperature data that will contribute to the development of a procedure for assessing rivers and stream based on water temperature and its corresponding impact to the biological integrity of the waterbody.

Chlorophyll-a (Chlor a)

- **Unit of Measurement:** Milligrams per liter (mg/L).
- **Description:** An indicator of the biomass, or abundance, of planktonic algae in the river. The technical term "biomass" is used to represent "amount by weight." Chlorophyll-a can be strongly influenced by phosphorus, which is derived by natural and human activities.

Importance: Because algae is a plant and contains the green pigment chlorophyll-a, the concentration of chlorophyll-a found in the water gives an estimation of the concentration of algae. If the chlorophyll-a concentration increases, this indicates an increase in the algal population.

Class A NH Surface Water Quality Standard: No numeric standard.

Class B NH Surface Water Quality Standard: No numeric standard.

Chlorophyll-a *(mg/L)*	**Category**
< 3	Excellent
3 – 7	Good
7 – 15	Less than desirable
> 15	Nuisance

Total Phosphorus (TP)

- **Unit of Measurement:** Milligrams per liter (mg/L).
- **Description:** A measure of all forms of phosphorus in the water, including inorganic and organic forms. There are many sources of phosphorus, both natural and human. These include soil and rocks, sewage, animal manure, fertilizer, erosion, and other types of contamination.
- **Importance:** Phosphorus is a nutrient that is essential to plants and animals. However, excess amounts can cause rapid increases in the biological activity in water. Phosphorus is usually the "limiting nutrient" in freshwater streams, which means relatively small amounts can increase algae and chlorophyll-a levels. Algal blooms and/or excessive aquatic plant growth can decrease oxygen levels and make water unattractive. Phosphorus can indicate the presence of septic systems, sewage, animal waste, lawn fertilizer, road and construction erosion, other types of pollution, or natural wetlands and atmospheric deposition.

Class A NH Surface Water Quality Standard: No numeric standard; as naturally occurs.

Class B NH Surface Water Quality Standard: No numeric standard; as naturally occurring, shall contain no phosphorus in such concentrations that would impair any existing or designated uses.

Total Phosphorus *(mg/L)*	**Category**
< 0.010	Ideal
0.011 – 0.025	Average
0.026 – 0.050	More than desirable
> 0.051	Excessive (potential nuisance concentration)

Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN)

- **Unit of Measurement:** Milligrams per liter (mg/L).
- **Description:** A measure of the amount of ammonia and organic nitrogen in the water.
- **Importance:** High nitrogen levels can increase algae and chlorophyll-a levels in the river, but is generally less of a concern in fresh water than phosphorus. Nitrogen can indicate the presence of sewage, animal waste, fertilizer, erosion, or other types of pollution.

Class A NH Surface Water Quality Standard: No numeric standard; as naturally occurs.

Class B NH Surface Water Quality Standard: No numeric standard; as naturally occurring, shall contain no nitrogen in such concentrations that would impair any existing or designated uses.

TKN *(mg/L)*	**Category**
< 0.25	Ideal
0.26 – 0.40	Average
0.41 – 0.50	More than desirable
> 0.51	Excessive (potential nuisance concentration)

Other Parameters

Chloride

- **Unit of Measurement:** Milligrams per liter (mg/L).
- **Description:** The chloride ion (Cl-) is found naturally in some surface waters and groundwater. It is also found in high concentrations in seawater. Higher-than-normal chloride concentrations in freshwater is detrimental to water quality. In New Hampshire, applying road salt for winter accident prevention is a large source of chloride to the environment. Unfortunately, this has increased over time due to road expansion and increased vehicle traffic. Road salt (most often sodium chloride) readily dissolves and enters aquatic environments in ionic forms. Although chloride can originate from natural sources, most of the chloride that enters the environment is associated with the storage and application of road salt. As such, chloride-containing compounds commonly enter surface water, soil, and groundwater during late-spring snowmelt (since the ground is frozen during much of the late winter and early spring). Sodium chloride is also used on foods as table salt, and consequently is present in human waste. Thus, sometimes chloride in water can indicate sewage pollution. Saltwater intrusion can also elevate groundwater chlorides in drinking water wells near coastlines. Chloride ions are conservative, which means they are not degraded in the environment and tend to remain in solution, once dissolved. Chloride ions that enter ground water can ultimately be expected to reach surface water and, therefore, influence aquatic environments and humans.
- **Importance:** Research shows elevated chloride levels can be toxic to freshwater aquatic life. Among the species tested, freshwater aquatic plants and invertebrates tend to be the most sensitive to chloride. In order to protect freshwater aquatic life in New Hampshire, the state has adopted acute and chronic chloride criteria.

Acute Standard: 860 mg/L.

Chronic Standard: 230 mg/L.

Escherichia Coliform Bacteria *(E. coli)*

- **Unit of Measurement:** Counts per 100 milliliter (cts/100 mL).
- **Description:** An indicator of the potential presence of pathogens in fresh water. *E. coli* bacteria is a normal component in the large intestines of humans and other warm-blooded animals, and can be excreted in their fecal material. Organisms causing infections or disease (pathogens) are often excreted in the fecal material of humans and other warm-blooded animals.
- **Importance:** *E.coli* bacteria is a good indicator of fecal pollution and the possible presence of pathogenic organisms. In freshwater, *E. coli* concentrations help determine if the water is safe for recreational uses such as swimming.

  Several factors can contribute to elevated *E. coli* levels, including, but not limited to rain storms, low river flows, the presence of wildlife, and the presence of septic systems along the river.

Class A NH Surface Water Quality Standard: Unless naturally occurring, shall contain not more than either a geometric mean of 47 *E.coli* cts/100 mL based on at least three samples obtained over a sixty-day period, or greater than 153 *E.coli* cts/100 mL in any one sample.

Class B NH Surface Water Quality Standard: Unless naturally occurring, shall contain not more than either a geometric mean of 126 *E.coli* cts/100 mL based on at least three samples obtained over a sixty-day period, or greater than 406 *E.coli* cts/100 mL in any one sample.

Metals

Depending on the metal concentration, its form (dissolved or particulate), and the hardness of the water, trace metals can be toxic to aquatic life. Metals in dissolved form are generally more toxic than metals in the particulate form. The dissolved metal concentration is dependent on pH, as well as the presence of solids and organic matter that can bind with the metal to render it less toxic.

Hardness is primarily a measure of the calcium and magnesium ion concentrations in water, expressed as calcium carbonate. The hardness concentration affects the toxicity of certain metals. New Hampshire water quality regulations include numeric criteria for a variety of metals. Since dissolved metals are typically found in extremely low concentrations, the potential contamination of samples collected for trace metals analyses has become a primary concern of water quality managers. To prevent such contamination and to ensure reliable results, the use of "clean techniques" is becoming more and more frequent when sampling for dissolved metals. Because of this, sampling for metals may be more costly and require additional effort than in the past.

New Hampshire Volunteer River Assessment Program

29 Hazen Drive – PO Box 95
Concord, NH 03302-0095
p (603) 271-0699 – f (603) 271-7894
www.des.nh.gov

2008

APPENDIX C:

2008 VRAP Field Audit

On August 19, 2008 VRAP staff visited volunteers from the Ashuelot River VRAP group to conduct a field audit. VRAP staff aim to visit each group annually during a scheduled sampling event to verify that volunteers successfully follow the VRAP protocols. If necessary, volunteers are re-trained during the visit, and the group is notified of the result of the verification visit. During the visit, volunteers were assessed in the following five categories:

1) **Overall Sampling Procedures**

   Appropriate storage of meters, sample collection, laboratory sample collection and transportation, beginning and end of day meter checks, collecting a field replicate, performing QA/QC Meter Checks, and ensuring that all calibration and sampling data are properly documented on the 2008 VRAP Field Data Sheet and the Laboratory Services Login & Custody Sheet.

2) **Turbidity**

   Inspecting and cleaning of glass turbidity vials prior to measurement of standards and samples, performing the *Initial Turbidity Meter Check,* calibrating the meter to a known standard at the beginning of the sampling day, recording the value of the DI turbidity blank *(QA/QC Meter Check)* once during the sampling day, and performing the *End of the Day Meter Check* at the conclusion of the sampling day.

3) **pH**

   Inspecting the pH electrode prior to sampling, calibrating to both pH 7.0 and 4.0 buffers prior to each measurement, rinsing and wiping the pH electrode probe prior to and after the measurement of standards and samples, allowing the pH measurement to stabilize prior to recording the measurement, and recording the value of the 6.0 buffer *(QA/QC Meter Check)* once during the sampling day.

4) **Water Temperature/Dissolved Oxygen**

   Ensuring that the meter is allowed an adequate time to stabilize prior to the first calibration, the meter is calibrated prior to each measurement, the calibration value is properly recorded, the chamber reading is properly recorded, that sufficient time is allowed for readings to stabilize, and that a zero oxygen check (*QA/QC Meter Check)* is completed during the sampling day.

5) **Specific Conductance**

   Performing the *Initial Conductivity Meter Check* using a known standard, allowing for the meter to properly stabilize before recording measurements, properly cleaning the probe between stations, and performing the *End of the Day Meter Check* at the conclusion of the sampling day.

During the field sampling procedures assessment, VRAP staff offered important reminders and suggestions to ensure proper sampling techniques and re-trained volunteers in the areas needing improvement. Afterwards, the volunteers were sent a follow-up e-mail providing written reminders and suggestions of the methods that need improvement. Overall, the Ashuelot River VRAP group did an excellent job. It is important to ensure that all volunteers attend an annual VRAP training workshop prior to the sampling season and to familiarize themselves with proper sampling techniques. Please remember to schedule an annual field audit in 2009.

APPENDIX D:
New Hampshire Watershed Report Cards
Built from the 2008 305(b)/303(d) Surface Water Quality Reports

305(b)/303(d) Integrated Report Background
http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/swqa/

The Surface Water Quality Assessment Program produces two surface water quality documents every two years, the "305(b) Report" and the "303(d) List". As the two documents use the same data and assessment methodology, the 305(b) Report and 303(d) List were combined into one Integrated Report. The Integrated Report describes the quality of New Hampshire's surface waters and an analysis of the extent to which all such waters provide for the protection and propagation of a balanced population of shellfish, fish, and wildlife, and allow recreational activities in and on the water.

Each Watershed Report Card covers a single 12 digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC12), on average a 34 square mile area. Each Watershed Report Card has three components;

1. **Report Card:** A one page card that summarizes the overall use support for Aquatic Life, Primary Contact (i.e. Swimming), and Secondary Contact (i.e. Boating) Designated Uses on every Assessment Unit ID (AUID) within the HUC12.

2. **HUC 12 Map:** A map of the watershed with abbreviated labels for each AUID within the HUC12.

3. **Assessment Details:** Anywhere from one to forty pages with the detailed assessment information for each and every AUID in the Report Card and Map.

How to Find Your HUC12 Watershed Report Card:
http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/swqa/report_cards.htm
then go to: http://www2.des.nh.gov/SWQA





What are Assessment Units?

Each waterbody is divided into smaller segments called Assessment Units (AUs). In general, AUs are the basic unit of record for conducting and reporting the results of all water quality assessments. AUs are intended to be representative of homogenous segments: consequently, sampling stations within an AU can be assumed to be representative of the segment. Many factors can influence the homogeneity of a segment. Factors used to establish homogenous

AUs for assessments include: waterbody type, HUC12 boundaries, water quality standards, pollutant sources, Maximum AU size for rivers and streams, major changes in land use, stream order/location of major tributaries, public water supplies, outstanding resource waters, shellfish program categories, designated beaches, and cold water fish spawning areas.

Assessment Unit IDs (AUIDs) for each of the stations your group monitored in 2008 can be found in the sampling station table in this year's VRAP report. Similarly, a list of all current and historic sampling stations for your group can be found on the VRAP webpage at http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/vrap/index.htm.

How are the Surface Water Quality Assessment Determinations Made?

All readily available data with reliable Quality Assurance/Quality Control is used in the biennial surface water quality assessments. For a full understanding of how the Surface Water Quality Standards (Env-Wq 1700) are translated into surface water quality assessments we urge the reader to review the 2008 Consolidated Assessment and Listing Methodology (CALM) at http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/swqa/2008/index.htm (Appendices 4 & 5)

Where Can I find More Advanced Resources?

Additional resources including GIS shapefiles (Appendix 12) of all AUIDs in a sortable EXCEL file (Appendix 22) of the detailed assessments are available at http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/swqa/2008/index.htm.

How Are Assessments Coded in the Report Card?

Assessment outcomes are displayed on a color scale as well as an alpha numeric scale that provides additional distinctions for the designated use and Parameter level assessments as outlined in the table below.

		Severe	**Poor**	**Likely Bad**	**No Data**	**Likely Good**	**Marginal**	**Good**
		Not Supporting, Severe	Not Supporting, Marginal	Insufficient Information – Potentially Not Supporting	No Data	Insufficient Information – Potentially Full Supporting	Full Support, Marginal	Full Support, Good
Category	**Description**							
*Category 2	Meets standards						2-M or 2-OBS	2-G
Category 3	Insufficient Information			3-PNS	3-ND	3-PAS		
Category 4	Does not Meet Standards;							
4A	TMDL^ Completed	4A-P	4A-M or 4A-T					
4B	Other enforceable measure will correct the issue.	4B-P	4B-M or 4B-T					
4C	Non-pollutant (i.e. exotic weeds)	4C-P	4C-M					
Category 5	TMDL^ Needed	5-P	5-M or 5-T					

* "Category 1" only exists at the Assessment Unit Level.
^ TMDL stands for Total Maximum Daily Load studies (http://des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/tmdl/index.htm)

For More Information:

Ken Edwardson, NHDES Surface Water Quality Assessment Program Coordinator
(603) 271-8864 - Kenneth.Edwardson@des.nh.gov

WATERSHED 305(b) ASSESSMENT SUMMARY REPORT:

HUC 12 010802010101

HUC 12 NAME ASHUELOT POND

(Locator map on next page only applies to this HUC12)

Assessment Cycle 2008

Good		Full Support Good
Marginal		Full Support Marginal
Likely Good		Insufficient Information – Potentially Full Support
No Data		No Data
Likely Bad		Insufficient Information – Potentially Not Support
Poor		Not Support Marginal
Severe		Not Support Severe

ASSESSMENT UNIT ID	MAP LABEL	ASSESSMENT UNIT NAME	AQUATIC LIFE	SWIMMING	BOATING	FISH CONSUMP.
NHIMP802010101-01	I*01	UNKNOWN RIVER - SAUNDERS DAM	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHIMP802010101-02	I*02	RICHARDSON BROOK - RICHARDSON BROOK POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010101-01	L*01	ASHUELOT POND	5-M	2-G	2-G	4A-M
NHLAK802010101-02	L*02	BACON POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010101-03	L*03	FLETCHER POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010101-04	L*04	LONG POND	4A-P	2-G	2-G	4A-M
NHLAK802010101-05	L*05	MAY POND	4A-P	2-G	2-G	4A-M
NHLAK802010101-06-01	L*06-01	MILLEN POND	4A-P	2-G	2-G	4A-M
NHLAK802010101-06-02	L*06-02	MILLEN POND - TOWN BEACH	5-M	5-P	2-G	4A-M
NHLAK802010101-07	L*07	NORTH POND	3-PAS	2-G	2-G	4A-M
NHLAK802010101-08	L*08	SAND POND	4A-P	2-G	2-G	4A-M
NHLAK802010101-09	L*09	MILL POND	3-PNS	3-PAS	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010101-01	R*01	ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010101-02	R*02	ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010101-03	R*03	ASHUELOT RIVER	5-P	3-PAS	3-PAS	4A-M
NHRIV802010101-04	R*04	UNNAMED BROOKS - TO BUTTERFIELD POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010101-05	R*05	UNNAMED BROOK - FROM BACON POND TO MAY POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010101-06	R*06	UNNAMED BROOK - TO FLETCHER POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010101-07	R*07	UNNAMED BROOKS - TO SAUNDERS DAME	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010101-08	R*08	ASHUELOT RIVER	5-P	5-P	2-M	4A-M
NHRIV802010101-09	R*09	RICHARDSON BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010101-10	R*10	ASHUELOT RIVER - RICHARDSON BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010101-11	R*11	UNNAMED BROOKS - TO LONG POND	5-P	3-PAS	3-PAS	4A-M
NHRIV802010101-12	R*12	ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010101-13	R*13	UNNAMED BROOK - TO SAND POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010101-14	R*14	UNNAMED BROOK - FROM SAND POND TO ASHUELOT POND	5-M	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010101-15	R*15	UNNAMED BROOK - TO ASHUELOT POND	5-P	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010101-16	R*16	UNNAMED BROOK - TO MILLEN LAKE	5-P	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010101-17	R*17	UNNAMED BROOKS - TO ASHUELOT LAKE	5-P	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M

AUIDs for HUC12: 010802010101 - ASHUELOT POND



WATERSHED 305(b) ASSESSMENT SUMMARY REPORT:

HUC 12 010802010102

HUC 12 NAME MARLOW TRIBUTARIES

(Locator map on next page only applies to this HUC12)

Assessment Cycle 2008

Good		Full Support Good
Marginal		Full Support Marginal
Likely Good		Insufficient Information – Potentially Full Support
No Data		No Data
Likely Bad		Insufficient Information – Potentially Not Support
Poor		Not Support Marginal
Severe		Not Support Severe

ASSESSMENT UNIT ID	MAP LABEL	ASSESSMENT UNIT NAME	AQUATIC LIFE	SWIMMING	BOATING	FISH CONSUMP.
NHIMP802010102-01	I*01	ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHIMP802010102-02	I*02	UNKNOWN RIVER - PAUL COLSMANN DAM I	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHIMP802010102-03	I*03	ASHUELOT RIVER - NASH MILL	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010102-01	L*01	COLD SPRING POND	4A-M	2-G	2-G	4A-M
NHLAK802010102-02	L*02	STONE POND	3-PAS	2-G	2-G	4A-M
NHLAK802010102-03	L*03	VILLAGE POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010102-04	L*04	BIG POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010102-05	L*05	BARRETT POND	4A-P	2-G	2-G	4A-M
NHRIV802010102-01	R*01	ASHUELOT RIVER	5-P	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010102-02	R*02	ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010102-03	R*03	ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010102-04	R*04	GEE BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010102-05	R*05	UNNAMED BROOK - TO STONE POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010102-06	R*06	GEE BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010102-07	R*07	ASHUELOT RIVER - GEE BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010102-08	R*08	UNNAMED BROOK - FROM UNNAMED POND TO VILLAGE POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010102-09	R*09	BUTLER BROOK - UNNAMED BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010102-10	R*10	BUTLER BROOK - TO PHELPS POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010102-11	R*11	ASHUELOT RIVER	5-P	2-M	2-G	4A-M
NHRIV802010102-12	R*12	ABBOTT BROOK - JEFTS BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010102-13	R*13	ASHUELOT RIVER - ABBOTT BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010102-14	R*14	UNNAMED BROOK - TO ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010102-15	R*15	ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M

AUIDs for HUC12: 010802010102 - MARLOW TRIBUTARIES



Town Boundaries

HUC12 Boundaries

Assessment Unit Coloring

AUs Ending with:

0 =
1 =
2 =
3 =
4 =
5 =
6 =
7 =
8 =
9 =

Roads

Interstate

State

Local

Private and Class 6

Scale:1:49,356

0 0.3 0.6 1.2 1.8 2.4 Miles

Abbrv. Label	HUC 12
L* 03	010 700060201

AUID = NH LAK700060201-03

WATERSHED 305(b) ASSESSMENT SUMMARY REPORT:

HUC 12 010802010103

HUC 12 NAME GILSUM TRIBUTARIES

(Locator map on next page only applies to this HUC12)

Assessment Cycle 2008

Good		Full Support Good
Marginal		Full Support Marginal
Likely Good		Insufficient Information – Potentially Full Support
No Data		No Data
Likely Bad		Insufficient Information – Potentially Not Support
Poor		Not Support Marginal
Severe		Not Support Severe

ASSESSMENT UNIT ID	MAP LABEL	ASSESSMENT UNIT NAME	AQUATIC LIFE	SWIMMING	BOATING	FISH CONSUMP.
NHIMP802010103-01	I*01	EMERSON BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHIMP802010103-02	I*02	EMERSON BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHIMP802010103-03	I*03	UNKNOWN RIVER - SPOONS POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHIMP802010103-04	I*04	UNKNOWN RIVER - CHARLIES POOL DAM	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHIMP802010103-05	I*05	UNKNOWN RIVER - AUDETS BROOK DAM	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010103-01	L*01	GUSTIN POND	3-PAS	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010103-02	L*02	LILY POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010103-03	L*03	WILDLIFE POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-01	R*01	GRASSY BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-02	R*02	GRASSY BROOK - HALE BROOK	3-PAS	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-03	R*03	WHITTEMORE BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-04	R*04	WHITTEMORE BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-05	R*05	UNNAMED BROOK - TO UNNAMED POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-06	R*06	GRASSY BROOK - WHITTEMORE BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-07	R*07	DOWNING BROOK - UNNAMED BROOKS - TO ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-08	R*08	EMERSON BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-09	R*09	EMERSON BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-10	R*10	EMERSON BROOK - TO ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-11	R*11	UNNAMED BROOKS - TO ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-12	R*12	TROUT BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-13	R*13	CONVERSE BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-14	R*14	CONVERSE BROOK - FROM CHARLIES POOL DAM	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-15	R*15	CONVERSE BROOK - TO ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-16	R*16	AUDETS BROOK - TO AUDETS BROOK DAM	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-17	R*17	AUDETS BROOK - FROM AUDETS BROOK DAM TO ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-18	R*18	THORNTON BROOK - UNNAMED BROOK - TO ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-19	R*19	HAYWARD BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-20	R*20	HAYWARD BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010103-21	R*21	WHITE BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M

AUIDs for HUC12: 010802010103 - GILSUM TRIBUTARIES



Town Boundaries

HUC12 Boundaries

Assessment Unit Coloring

AUs Ending with:

0 =

1 =

2 =

3 =

4 =

5 =

6 =

7 =

8 =

9 =

Roads

Interstate

State

Local

Private and Class 6

Scale:1:64,572

0 0.4 0.8 1.6 2.4 3.2 Miles

Abbrv. Label	HUC 12
L* 03	010 700060201

AUID = NH LAK700060201 - 03

WATERSHED 305(b) ASSESSMENT SUMMARY REPORT:

HUC 12 010802010104

HUC 12 NAME SURRY DAM

(Locator map on next page only applies to this HUC12)

Assessment Cycle 2008

Good		Full Support Good
Marginal		Full Support Marginal
Likely Good		Insufficient Information – Potentially Full Support
No Data		No Data
Likely Bad		Insufficient Information – Potentially Not Support
Poor		Not Support Marginal
Severe		Not Support Severe

ASSESSMENT UNIT ID	MAP LABEL	ASSESSMENT UNIT NAME	AQUATIC LIFE	SWIMMING	BOATING	FISH CONSUMP.
NHIMP802010104-01	I*01	UNKNOWN RIVER - WILDLIFE POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHIMP802010104-02	I*02	HAMMOND BROOK - TRIB TO ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010104-01	L*01	CALDWELL POND	4A-M	2-G	2-G	4A-M
NHLAK802010104-02-01	L*02-01	SURRY MOUNTAIN RESERVOIR	3-PNS	3-PAS	3-PAS	4A-M
NHLAK802010104-02-02	L*02-02	SURRY MOUNTAIN RESERVOIR - REC AREA BEACH	3-PNS	5-P	2-G	4A-M
NHLAK802010104-03	L*03	CRANBERRY POND	4A-M	2-G	2-G	4A-M
NHRIV802010104-01	R*01	UNNAMED BROOKS - TO ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010104-02	R*02	HAMMOND BROOK - TO WILDLIFE POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010104-03	R*03	HAMMOND BROOK - FROM WILDLIFE POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010104-04	R*04	HAMMOND BROOK - UNNAMED BROOKS	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010104-05	R*05	MAY BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010104-06	R*06	UNNAMED BROOKS - TO CRANBERRY POND FROM CRANE & KIDDERS PONDS	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010104-07	R*07	DART BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010104-08	R*08	DART BROOK	5-P	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010104-09	R*09	CANNON BROOK	3-PNS	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010104-10	R*10	THOMPSON BROOK	3-PNS	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010104-11	R*11	UNNAMED BROOK - TO ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010104-12	R*12	MERRIAM BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010104-13	R*13	ASHUELOT RIVER	3-PAS	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M

AUIDs for HUC12: 010802010104 - SURRY DAM



Town Boundaries

HUC12 Boundaries

Scale:1:56,950

Assessment Unit Coloring

AUs Ending with:

0 =
1 =
2 =
3 =
4 =
5 =
6 =
7 =
8 =
9 =

Roads

Interstate

State

Local

Private and Class 6

0 0.35 0.7 1.4 2.1 2.8 Miles

Abbrv. Label	HUC 12
L* 03	010 700060201

AUID = NH LAK700060201-03

WATERSHED 305(b) ASSESSMENT SUMMARY REPORT:

HUC 12 010802010201

HUC 12 NAME OTTER BROOK RESERVOIR

(Locator map on next page only applies to this HUC12)

Assessment Cycle 2008

Good	Full Support Good
Marginal	Full Support Marginal
Likely Good	Insufficient Information – Potentially Full Support
No Data	No Data
Likely Bad	Insufficient Information – Potentially Not Support
Poor	Not Support Marginal
Severe	Not Support Severe

ASSESSMENT UNIT ID	MAP LABEL	ASSESSMENT UNIT NAME	AQUATIC LIFE	SWIMMING	BOATING	FISH CONSUMP.
NHIMP802010201-01	I*01	ROBINSON BROOK - ANDORRA POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHIMP802010201-02	I*02	OTTER BROOK - OTTER BROOK AT WOODS MILL	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHIMP802010201-03	I*03	UNKNOWN RIVER - WILDLIFE POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHIMP802010201-04	I*04	UNKNOWN RIVER - FIRE POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHIMP802010201-05	I*05	FERRY BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010201-01	L*01	BOLSTER POND	5-M	3-PAS	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010201-02	L*02	CENTER POND	4A-M	3-PAS	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010201-03	L*03	CENTER POND	3-PAS	2-M	2-G	4A-M
NHLAK802010201-04	L*04	CHAPMAN POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010201-05	L*05	GRANITE LAKE	4A-P	5-M	2-G	4A-M
NHLAK802010201-06-01	L*06-01	OTTER BROOK POOL	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010201-06-02	L*06-02	OTTER BROOK - OTTER BROOK PK BEACH	3-ND	5-P	2-G	4A-M
NHLAK802010201-07	L*07	DEER POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010201-01	R*01	ROBINSON BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010201-02	R*02	ROBINSON BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010201-03	R*03	UNNAMED BROOKS - TO CENTER POND	5-P	3-PAS	3-PAS	4A-M
NHRIV802010201-04	R*04	OTTER BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010201-05	R*05	UNNAMED BROOK - TO CHANDLER MEADOW	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010201-06	R*06	UNNAMED BROOKS - TO DEER POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010201-07	R*07	DAVIS BROOK - UNNAMED BROOK - TO CHANDLER MEADOW	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010201-08	R*08	OTTER BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010201-09	R*09	UNNAMED BROOKS - TO TAYLOR POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010201-10	R*10	BOLSTER BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010201-11	R*11	OTTER BROOK - BOLSTER BROOK	3-PNS	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010201-12	R*12	UNNAMED BROOK - TO WILDLIFE POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010201-13	R*13	ROARING BROOK	5-P	3-PAS	3-PAS	4A-M
NHRIV802010201-14	R*14	UNNAMED BROOKS	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010201-15	R*15	ROARING BROOK	5-P	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010201-16	R*16	UNNAMED BROOK - TO CHAPMAN POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M

WATERSHED 305(b) ASSESSMENT SUMMARY REPORT:

HUC 12 010802010201

HUC 12 NAME OTTER BROOK RESERVOIR

(Locator map on next page only applies to this HUC12)

Assessment Cycle 2008

Good		Full Support Good
Marginal		Full Support Marginal
Likely Good		Insufficient Information – Potentially Full Support
No Data		No Data
Likely Bad		Insufficient Information – Potentially Not Support
Poor		Not Support Marginal
Severe		Not Support Severe

ASSESSMENT UNIT ID	MAP LABEL	ASSESSMENT UNIT NAME	AQUATIC LIFE	SWIMMING	BOATING	FISH CONSUMP.
NHRIV802010201-20	R*20	FERRY BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010201-21	R*21	FERRY BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M

AUIDs for HUC12: 010802010201 - OTTER BROOK RESERVOIR



Town Boundaries

HUC12 Boundaries

Assessment Unit Coloring

AUs Ending with:

0 =

1 =

2 =

3 =

4 =

5 =

6 =

7 =

8 =

9 =

Roads

Interstate

State

Local

Private and Class 6

Scale:1:77,893

0 0.5 1 2 3 4 Miles

Abbrv. Label	HUC 12
L* 03	010 700060201

AUID = NH LAK700060201-03

WATERSHED 305(b) ASSESSMENT SUMMARY REPORT:

HUC 12 010802010301

HUC 12 NAME KEENE TRIBUTARIES

(Locator map on next page only applies to this HUC12)

Assessment Cycle 2008

Good		Full Support Good
Marginal		Full Support Marginal
Likely Good		Insufficient Information – Potentially Full Support
No Data		No Data
Likely Bad		Insufficient Information – Potentially Not Support
Poor		Not Support Marginal
Severe		Not Support Severe

ASSESSMENT UNIT ID	MAP LABEL	ASSESSMENT UNIT NAME	AQUATIC LIFE	SWIMMING	BOATING	FISH CONSUMP.
NHIMP802010301-01	I*01	UNKNOWN RIVER - RODGERS POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHIMP802010301-02	I*02	ASHUELOT RIVER DAM POND	5-P	3-PNS	3-PNS	4A-M
NHIMP802010301-03	I*03	GRIMES BROOK - RECREATION POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010301-01	L*01	WILSON POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010301-01	R*01	JOHN BRITTON BROOK - TO ROGERS POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010301-02	R*02	STURTEVANT BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010301-03	R*03	JOHN BRITTON BROOK - FROM ROGERS POND TO ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010301-04	R*04	ASHUELOT RIVER - ACOE DAM TO ASHUELOT RIVER DAM POND	5-M	3-PNS	2-G	4A-M
NHRIV802010301-05	R*05	ASH SWAMP BROOK - DICKINSON BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010301-06	R*06	GRIMES BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010301-07	R*07	GRIMES BROOK - HURRICANE BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010301-08	R*08	ASH SWAMP BROOK	3-PNS	3-PAS	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010301-09	R*09	ASHUELOT RIVER - ASHUELOT RIVER DAM POND TO OTTER BR	5-M	5-P	2-M	4A-M
NHRIV802010301-11	R*11	ASHUELOT RIVER - OTTER BR TO SOUTH BRANCH	5-M	3-PAS	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010301-12	R*12	MILL CREEK	5-M	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M

AUIDs for HUC12: 010802010301 - KEENE TRIBUTARIES



Town Boundaries

HUC12 Boundaries

Assessment Unit Coloring

AUs Ending with:

0 =
1 =
2 =
3 =
4 =
5 =
6 =
7 =
8 =
9 =

Roads

Interstate

State

Local

Private and Class 6

Scale:1:64,748

0 0.35 0.7 1.4 2.1 2.8 Miles

Abbrv. Label	HUC 12
L* 03	010 700060201

AUID = NH LAK700060201-03

WATERSHED 305(b) ASSESSMENT SUMMARY REPORT:

HUC 12 010802010303

HUC 12 NAME SOUTH BRANCH ASHUELOT RIVER

(Locator map on next page only applies to this HUC12)

Assessment Cycle 2008

Good		Full Support Good
Marginal		Full Support Marginal
Likely Good		Insufficient Information – Potentially Full Support
No Data		No Data
Likely Bad		Insufficient Information – Potentially Not Support
Poor		Not Support Marginal
Severe		Not Support Severe

ASSESSMENT UNIT ID	MAP LABEL	ASSESSMENT UNIT NAME	AQUATIC LIFE	SWIMMING	BOATING	FISH CONSUMP.
NHIMP802010303-01	I*01	SOUTH BRANCH ASHUELOT RIVER - TROY SEWAGE LAGOONS	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHIMP802010303-02	I*02	UNKNOWN RIVER - RECREATION POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHIMP802010303-03	I*03	UNKNOWN RIVER - SILICA POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHIMP802010303-04-01	I*04-01	UNKNOWN RIVER - VILLAGE POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHIMP802010303-04-02	I*04-02	UNKNOWN RIVER - SAND DAM VILLAGE POND TOWN BEACH	3-ND	4A-P	2-G	4A-M
NHLAK802010303-01	L*01	BOWKER POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010303-02	L*02	MEETINGHOUSE POND	5-M	3-PAS	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010303-03	L*03	PERKINS POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010303-04	L*04	ROCKWOOD POND	4A-P	3-PAS	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010303-05-01	L*05-01	STONE POND	4A-M	3-PAS	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010303-05-02	L*05-02	STONE POND - TOWN BEACH	4A-M	2-G	2-G	4A-M
NHLAK802010303-06	L*06	QUARRY POND	3-PNS	2-G	2-G	4A-M
NHLAK802010303-07	L*07	SAND POND	4A-M	3-PAS	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010303-08	L*08	WEST HILL RESERVOIR	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010303-09	L*09	UPPER WILSON POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010303-10	L*10	WILSON POND	5-M	3-PAS	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-01	R*01	ROCKWOOD BROOK - UNNAMED BROOK	5-M	3-PNS	2-M	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-02	R*02	UNNAMED BROOK - TO WEST HILL RESERVOIR	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-03	R*03	NESTER BROOK - TO WEST HILL RESERVOIR	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-04	R*04	QUARRY BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-05	R*05	FASSETT BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-06	R*06	QUARRY BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-07	R*07	QUARRY BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-08	R*08	NESTER BROOK - FROM WEST HILL RESERVOIR	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-09	R*09	NESTER BROOK - FROM SILICA POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-10	R*10	ROCKWOOD BROOK - FROM SAND POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-11	R*11	SOUTH BRANCH ASHUELOT RIVER - QUARRY BROOK	5-P	5-P	2-M	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-12	R*12	SOUTH BRANCH ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	5-M	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-13	R*13	SOUTH BRANCH ASHUELOT RIVER	3-PAS	3-PAS	3-ND	4A-M

WATERSHED 305(b) ASSESSMENT SUMMARY REPORT:

HUC 12 010802010303

HUC 12 NAME SOUTH BRANCH ASHUELOT RIVER

(Locator map on next page only applies to this HUC12)

Assessment Cycle 2008

Good		Full Support Good
Marginal		Full Support Marginal
Likely Good		Insufficient Information – Potentially Full Support
No Data		No Data
Likely Bad		Insufficient Information – Potentially Not Support
Poor		Not Support Marginal
Severe		Not Support Severe

ASSESSMENT UNIT ID	MAP LABEL	ASSESSMENT UNIT NAME	AQUATIC LIFE	SWIMMING	BOATING	FISH CONSUMP.
NHRIV802010303-17	R*17	SHAKER BROOK	5-M	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-18	R*18	SOUTH BRANCH ASHUELOT RIVER	5-M	5-P	2-M	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-19	R*19	UNNAMED BROOK - FROM CUMMINGS POND TO CAREY POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-20	R*20	SOUTH BRANCH ASHUELOT RIVER	3-PAS	5-P	2-M	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-21	R*21	SOUTH BRANCH ASHUELOT RIVER - BRIDGE BROOK - FORBUSH BROOK	3-PAS	3-PAS	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-22	R*22	UNNAMED BROOK - TO SOUTH ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-23	R*23	SOUTH BRANCH ASHUELOT RIVER	5-M	5-M	2-G	4A-M
NHRIV802010303-24	R*24	UNNAMED BROOK - UPPER TO LOWER WILSON POND	5-M	3-PNS	3-PAS	4A-M

AUIDs for HUC12: 010802010303 - SOUTH BRANCH ASHUELOT RIVER



Town Boundaries

HUC12 Boundaries

Assessment Unit Coloring

AUs Ending with:

0 =

1 =

2 =

3 =

4 =

5 =

6 =

7 =

8 =

9 =

Roads

Interstate

State

Local

Private and Class 6

Scale:1:77,523

0 0.4 0.8 1.6 2.4 3.2 Miles

Abbrv. Label	HUC 12
L* 03	010 700060201

AUID = NH LAK700060201-03

WATERSHED 305(b) ASSESSMENT SUMMARY REPORT:

HUC 12 010802010401

HUC 12 NAME WINCHESTER-SWANZEY TRIBUTARIES

(Locator map on next page only applies to this HUC12)

Assessment Cycle 2008

Good		Full Support Good
Marginal		Full Support Marginal
Likely Good		Insufficient Information – Potentially Full Support
No Data		No Data
Likely Bad		Insufficient Information – Potentially Not Support
Poor		Not Support Marginal
Severe		Not Support Severe

ASSESSMENT UNIT ID	MAP LABEL	ASSESSMENT UNIT NAME	AQUATIC LIFE	SWIMMING	BOATING	FISH CONSUMP.
NHIMP802010401-01	I*01	ASHUELOT RIVER - HOMESTEAD WOOLEN MILL DAM	5-M	5-P	2-G	4A-M
NHIMP802010401-02	I*02	CALIFORNIA BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010401-01-01	L*01-01	FOREST LAKE	4C-M	5-M	2-G	4A-M
NHLAK802010401-01-02	L*01-02	FOREST LAKE - TOWN BEACH	4C-M	2-G	2-G	4A-M
NHLAK802010401-02	L*02	SPOT MEADOW POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010401-01	R*01	UNNAMED BROOK - TRIB TO CALIFORNIA BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010401-02	R*02	UNNAMED BROOK - TRIB TO CALIFORNIA BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010401-03	R*03	CALIFORNIA BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010401-04	R*04	CALIFORNIA BROOK - BAILEY BROOK	3-PNS	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010401-05	R*05	UNNAMED BROOK - TO CALIFORNIA BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010401-06	R*06	UNNAMED BROOK - TO ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010401-07	R*07	INDIAN BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010401-08	R*08	UNNAMED BROOK - TO UNNAMED POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010401-09	R*09	UNNAMED BROOK - FROM UNNAMED POND TO ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010401-10	R*10	RIXFORD BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010401-11	R*11	WHEELOCK BROOK - RIXFORD BROOK	5-P	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010401-12	R*12	UNNAMED BROOK - TO ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010401-13	R*13	SPOT MEADOW BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010401-14	R*14	UNNAMED BROOKS - TO FOREST LAKE	5-M	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010401-15	R*15	ASHUELOT RIVER - SOUTH BRANCH TO HOMESTEAD DAM	5-P	5-P	2-M	4A-M
NHRIV802010401-16	R*16	ASHUELOT RIVER - HOMESTEAD DAM TO 300 FT US OF SWANZEY WWTF	5-M	3-PAS	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010401-17	R*17	ASHUELOT RIVER - 300 FT US OF SWANZEY WWTF TO 3000 FT DS OF WWTF	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010401-18	R*18	CALIFORNIA BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010401-19	R*19	ASHUELOT RIVER - 3000 FT DS OF SWANZEY WWTF TO OLD WINCHESTER DAM	5-M	5-P	3-ND	4A-M

AUIDs for HUC12: 010802010401 - WINCHESTER-SWANZEY TRIBUTARIES



Town Boundaries

HUC12 Boundaries

Assessment Unit Coloring

AUs Ending with:

0 =
1 =
2 =
3 =
4 =
5 =
6 =
7 =
8 =
9 =

Roads

Interstate

State

Local

Private and Class 6

Scale:1:76,993

0 0.4 0.8 1.6 2.4 3.2 Miles

Abbrv. Label	HUC 12
L * 03	010 700060201

AUID = NH LAK700060201 - 03

WATERSHED 305(b) ASSESSMENT SUMMARY REPORT:

HUC 12 010802010403

HUC 12 NAME HINSDALE-WINCHESTER TRIBUTARIES

(Locator map on next page only applies to this HUC12)

Assessment Cycle 2008

Good		Full Support Good
Marginal		Full Support Marginal
Likely Good		Insufficient Information – Potentially Full Support
No Data		No Data
Likely Bad		Insufficient Information – Potentially Not Support
Poor		Not Support Marginal
Severe		Not Support Severe

ASSESSMENT UNIT ID	MAP LABEL	ASSESSMENT UNIT NAME	AQUATIC LIFE	SWIMMING	BOATING	FISH CONSUMP.
NHIMP802010403-01	I*01	ASHUELOT RIVER - LOWER ROBERTSON DAM	3-PNS	2-M	2-G	4A-M
NHIMP802010403-02	I*02	ASHUELOT RIVER - ASHUELOT PAPER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHIMP802010403-03	I*03	KILBURN BROOK - KILBURN BROOK III	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHIMP802010403-04	I*04	ASHUELOT RIVER - FISK MILL HYDRO	5-P	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010403-01	L*01	BAKER POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010403-02	L*02	FULLAM POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010403-03	L*03	KILBURN POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010403-04	L*04	LILY POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010403-05	L*05	PISGAH RESERVOIR	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHLAK802010403-06	L*06	NORTH ROUND POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010403-01	R*01	UNNAMED BROOK - TO UNNAMED POND	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010403-02	R*02	BROAD BROOK	3-PNS	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010403-03	R*03	BROAD BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010403-04	R*04	SNOW BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010403-05	R*05	ASHUELOT RIVER - OLD WINCHESTER DAM TO 300FT US OF WINCHESTER WWTF	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010403-06	R*06	SNOW BROOK - TO ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010403-07	R*07	ASHUELOT RIVER - 300FT US OF WINCHESTER WWTF TO 3000FT DS OF WWTF	5-M	5-M	2-M	4A-M
NHRIV802010403-08	R*08	UNNAMED BROOK - TO ASHUELOT RIVER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010403-09	R*09	ASHUELOT RIVER - 3000FT DS OF WINC WWTF TO LOWER ROBERTSON DAM	3-ND	2-M	2-G	4A-M
NHRIV802010403-10	R*10	TUFTS BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010403-11	R*11	TUFTS BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010403-12	R*12	ASHUELOT RIVER - LOWER ROBERTSON TO ASHUELOT PAPER	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010403-13	R*13	HOG TONGUE BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010403-14	R*14	HOG TONGUE BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010403-15	R*15	KILBURN BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010403-16	R*16	KILBURN BROOK	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010403-17	R*17	ASHUELOT RIVER - ASHUELOT PAPER TO US OF OLD MCGOLDRICK DAM	3-ND	2-M	2-G	4A-M
NHRIV802010403-18	R*18	ASHUELOT RIVER - US OF OLD MCGOLDRICK DAM TO FISK MILL HYDRO	3-ND	3-ND	3-ND	4A-M
NHRIV802010403-19	R*19	ASHUELOT RIVER - FISK MILL HYDRO TO 300FT US OF HINSDALE WWTF	5-M	3-PNS	2-M	4A-M

AUIDs for HUC12: 010802010403 - HINSDALE-WINCHESTER TRIBUTARIES



Town Boundaries

HUC12 Boundaries

Assessment Unit Coloring

AUs Ending with:

0 =
1 =
2 =
3 =
4 =
5 =
6 =
7 =
8 =
9 =

Roads

Interstate

State

Local

Private and Class 6

Scale:1:81,702

0 0.45 0.9 1.8 2.7 3.6 Miles

Abbrv. Label	HUC 12
L * 03	010 700060201

AUID = NH LAK700060201 - 03