

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Thank you for your continued hard work sampling **Baptist Pond** this year! Your monitoring group sampled the deep spot **three** times this year and has done so for many years. As you know, conducting multiple sampling events each year enables DES to more accurately detect water quality changes. Keep up the great work!

We encourage your monitoring group to continue utilizing the Colby Sawyer College Water Quality Laboratory in New London. This laboratory was established to serve the large number of lakes/ponds in the greater Lake Sunapee region of the state. This laboratory is inspected by DES and operates under a DES approved quality assurance plan. We encourage your monitoring group to utilize this laboratory next summer for all sampling events, except for the annual DES biologist visit. To find out more about the Colby Sawyer College Water Quality Laboratory, and/or to schedule dates to pick up bottles and equipment, please call Bonnie Lewis, laboratory manager, at (603) 526-3486.

A Weed Watcher training was conducted at **Baptist Pond** during **2009**. Volunteers were trained to survey the pond once a month from May through September. To survey, volunteers slowly boat, or even snorkel, around the perimeter of the pond and any islands it may contain. Using the materials provided in the Weed Watcher kit, volunteers look for any species that are suspicious. After a trip or two around the pond, volunteers will have a good knowledge of its plant community and will immediately notice even the most subtle changes. If a suspicious plant is found, the volunteers immediately send a specimen to DES for identification. If the plant specimen is an exotic species, a biologist will visit the site to determine the extent of the problem and to formulate a management plan to control the nuisance infestation. Remember that early detection is the key to controlling the spread of exotic plants.

Since your pond is located in the Lake Sunapee Watershed, we are providing an update detailing the activities of The Sunapee Area Watershed Coalition (SAWC). SAWC was organized in January, 2005, to promote local efforts to protect water quality, raise community awareness of important watershed issues, formulate clear guidelines for responsible, long-term stewardship of water resources, and encourage cooperation among Sunapee watershed towns to manage and protect water resources for the common benefit of the area communities.

SAWC is made up of representatives from each watershed town (Goshen, Newbury, New London, Springfield, Sunapee and Sutton), the Lake Sunapee Protective Association, Colby Sawyer College, Upper Valley Lake Sunapee Regional Planning Commission, several lake and pond associations and interested watershed residents. The inter-town Coalition was formed to develop a long-term watershed management plan for the Lake Sunapee watershed.

The Sunapee Watershed Management Plan, completed by SAWC in 2008, pointed to stormwater runoff as a priority water quality issue. As we are all aware, New Hampshire has been hit hard in the last several years by more frequent and intense storm activity. The storms have caused extensive damage to infrastructure, including public roads, culverts and bridges. Additionally, these storms and the infrastructure failures have damaged stream channels and banks and degraded water quality. The municipalities in the Sunapee watershed will be benefiting from a National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) funded research project that will assess the adequacy of stormwater infrastructure throughout the watershed. The two-year study will assess present adequacy, and will include projected development impacts (more impervious surface) and climate change impacts (precipitation levels). Municipalities will be provided with a report detailing this information which will also include an economic analysis estimating cost-savings associated with upgrading infrastructure to avoid damages. Also included will be estimates on savings associated with incorporation of Low Impact Development (LID) techniques. The study includes researchers from Antioch University (Keene), the University of New Hampshire, and the Lake Sunapee Protective Association. This study is cohesive with SAWC priorities and the area is fortunate to have this project taking place.

For more information, contact June Fichter, Executive Director of the Lake Sunapee Protective Association at 763-2210.

OBSERVATIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

DEEP SPOT

➤ **Chlorophyll-a**

Chlorophyll-a, a pigment found in plants, is an indicator of algal or cyanobacteria abundance. Algae are typically microscopic plants that are naturally found in the lake ecosystem. The measurement of chlorophyll-a in the water gives biologists an estimation of the algal concentration or lake productivity. Table 14 in Appendix A lists the current year chlorophyll-a data.

Figure 1 depicts the historical and current year chlorophyll-a concentration in the water column.

The median summer chlorophyll-a concentration for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 4.58 mg/m³.

The current year data (the top graph) show that the chlorophyll-a concentration **increased gradually** from **June** to **August**.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the **2009** chlorophyll-a mean is **slightly greater than** the state median and is **slightly less than** the similar lake median. For more information on the similar lake median, refer to Appendix D.

Overall, visual inspection of the historical data trend line (the bottom graph) shows a **decreasing** in-lake chlorophyll-a trend since monitoring began. Specifically the mean chlorophyll concentration has **improved** since **2003**.



Please keep in mind that this observation is based on only **seven** years of data. After 10 consecutive years of sample collection, we will be able to conduct a statistical analysis of the historical data to objectively determine if there has been a significant change in the annual mean transparency since monitoring began.

While algae are naturally present in all waterbodies, an excessive or increasing amount of any type is not welcomed. Phosphorus is the nutrient that algae typically depend upon for growth in New Hampshire lakes and ponds. Algal concentrations increase as nonpoint sources of phosphorus from the watershed increase, or as in-lake phosphorus sources increase. Increased Chlorophyll-a concentrations can also affect water clarity, causing Secchi-disk transparency to decrease (worsen) and turbidity to increase (worsen).

Therefore, it is extremely important for volunteer monitors to continually educate all watershed residents about management practices that can be implemented to minimize phosphorus loading to surface waters.

Baptist Pond, Springfield

Figure 1. Monthly and Historical Chlorophyll-a Results



➤ **Phytoplankton and Cyanobacteria**

Table 1 lists the phytoplankton (algae) and/or cyanobacteria observed in the pond in **2009**. Specifically, this table lists the three most dominant phytoplankton and/or cyanobacteria observed and their relative dominance in the sample.

Table 1. Dominant Phytoplankton/Cyanobacteria (July 2009)

Division	Genus	% Dominance
Bacillariophyta	Asterionella	83.4
Chrysophyta	Dinobryon	6.1
Bacillariophyta	Tabellaria	5.2

Phytoplankton populations undergo a natural succession during the growing season. Please refer to the “Biological Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation regarding seasonal plankton succession. Diatoms and golden-brown algae populations are typical in New Hampshire’s less productive lakes and ponds.

➤ **Secchi Disk Transparency**

Volunteer monitors use the Secchi disk, a 20 cm disk with alternating black and white quadrants, to measure how far a person can see into the water. Transparency, a measure of water clarity, can be affected by the amount of algae and sediment in the water, as well as the natural color of the water. Table 14 in Appendix A lists the current year transparency data. **The median summer transparency for New Hampshire’s lakes and ponds is 3.2 meters.**

Figure 2 depicts the historical and current year transparency *with and without* the use of a viewscope.

The current year *non-viewscope* in-lake transparency *decreased* from **June** to **July**, and then *increased slightly* from **July** to **August**.

The current year *viewscope* in-lake transparency *decreased gradually* from **June** to **August**.

It is important to note that as the chlorophyll concentration *increased* at the deep spot as the summer progressed, the viewscope transparency *decreased*. We typically expect this *inverse* relationship in lakes. As the amount of algal cells in the water increases, the depth to which one can see into the water column typically decreases, and vice versa.

The transparency measured with the viewscope was *greater than* the transparency measured without the viewscope this summer. A comparison of

2009

the transparency readings taken with and without the use of a viewscope shows that the viewscope typically increases the depth to which the Secchi disk can be seen into the lake, particularly on sunny and windy days. We recommend that your group measure Secchi disk transparency with and without the viewscope on each sampling event.

It is important to note that viewscope transparency data are not compared to a New Hampshire median or similar lake median. This is because lake transparency with the use of a viewscope has not been historically measured by DES. In the future, the New Hampshire and similar lake medians for viewscope transparency will be calculated and added to the appropriate graphs.

The historical data (the bottom graph) show that the **2009** mean non-viewscope transparency is ***slightly less than*** the state median and is ***slightly greater than*** the similar lake median. Please refer to Appendix D for more information about the similar lake median.

Visual inspection of the historical data trend line (the bottom graph) shows an ***stable*** trend. Specifically, the transparency has ***remained relatively stable ranging between 2.30 and 3.04 meters*** since monitoring began in **2003**.


Please keep in mind that this observation is based on only ***seven*** years of data. After 10 consecutive years of sample collection, we will be able to conduct a statistical analysis of the historical data to objectively determine if there has been a significant change in the annual mean transparency since monitoring began.

Typically, high intensity rainfall causes sediment-laden stormwater runoff to flow into surface waters, thus increasing turbidity and decreasing clarity. Efforts should continually be made to stabilize stream banks, pond shorelines, disturbed soils within the watershed, and especially dirt roads located immediately adjacent to the edge of tributaries and the pond. Guides to best management practices that can be implemented to reduce, and possibly even eliminate, nonpoint source pollutants, are available from DES upon request.


We recommend that your group continue to measure the transparency with and without the use of the viewscope on each sampling event. Ultimately, we would like all monitoring groups to use a viewscope to take Secchi disk readings as the use of the viewscope results in less variability in transparency readings between monitors and sampling events. At some point in the future, when we have sufficient data to determine a statistical relationship between transparency readings collected with and without the use of a viewscope, it may only be necessary to collect transparency readings with the use of a viewscope.

Baptist Pond, Springfield

Figure 2. Monthly and Historical Transparency Results



2009 Transparency Viewscope and Non-Viewscope Results



Historical Transparency Non-Viewscope Results

➤ **Total Phosphorus**

Phosphorus is typically the limiting nutrient for vascular plant and algae growth in New Hampshire's lakes and ponds. Excessive phosphorus in a pond can lead to increased plant and algal growth over time. Table 14 in Appendix A lists the current year total phosphorus data for in-lake and tributary stations.

The median summer total phosphorus concentration in the epilimnion (upper layer) of New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is 12 ug/L. The median summer phosphorus concentration in the hypolimnion (lower layer) is 14 ug/L.

The graphs in Figure 3 depict the historical amount of epilimnetic (upper layer) and hypolimnetic (lower layer) total phosphorus concentrations; the inset graphs depict current year total phosphorus data.

The current year data for the epilimnion (the top inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration **increased slightly** from **June** to **July**, and then **decreased slightly** from **July** to **August**.

The historical data show that the **2009** mean epilimnetic phosphorus concentration is **slightly less than** the state and similar lake medians. Refer to Appendix D for more information about the similar lake median.

The current year data for the hypolimnion (the bottom inset graph) show that the phosphorus concentration **increased** from **June** to **July**, and then **decreased** from **July** to **August**.

The historical data show that the **2009** mean hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration is **slightly less than** the state and similar lake medians. Please refer to Appendix D for more information about the similar lake median.



Overall, visual inspection of the epilimnetic (excluding 2006) and hypolimnetic historical data trend lines shows a **relatively stable** phosphorus trend since monitoring began. Specifically the mean annual epilimnetic and hypolimnetic phosphorus concentration has **remained approximately the same** since monitoring began in **2003**.

As discussed previously, after 10 consecutive years of sample collection, we will be able to conduct a statistical analysis of the historical data to objectively determine if there has been a significant change in the annual mean phosphorus concentration since monitoring began.

One of the most important approaches to reducing phosphorus loading to a waterbody is to continually educate watershed residents about the watershed sources of phosphorus and how excessive phosphorus loading can negatively affect the ecology and the recreational, economical, and ecological value of lakes and ponds.

Baptist Pond, Springfield

Figure 3. Monthly and Historical Total Phosphorus Data



➤ pH

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current year pH data for the in-lake stations.

pH is measured on a logarithmic scale of 0 (acidic) to 14 (basic). pH is important to the survival and reproduction of fish and other aquatic life. A pH below 6.0 typically limits the growth and reproduction of fish. A pH between 6.0 and 7.0 is ideal for fish. The median pH value for the epilimnion (upper layer) in New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **6.6**, which indicates that the state surface waters are slightly acidic. For a more detailed explanation regarding pH, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The pH at the deep spot this year ranged from **6.60 to 6.85** in the epilimnion and from **6.01 to 6.78** in the hypolimnion, which means that the water is **slightly acidic**.

It is important to point out that the hypolimnetic (lower layer) pH was **lower (more acidic)** than in the epilimnion (upper layer). This increase in acidity near the bottom is likely due to the decomposition of organic matter and the release of acidic by-products into the water column.

Due to the state's abundance of granite bedrock and acid deposition received from snowmelt, rainfall, and atmospheric particulates, there is little that can be feasibly done to effectively increase pond pH. The pH at the deep spot, however, is sufficient to support aquatic life.

➤ Acid Neutralizing Capacity (ANC)

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current year epilimnetic ANC for the deep spot.

Buffering capacity (ANC) describes the ability of a solution to resist changes in pH by neutralizing the acidic input. The median ANC value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **4.9 mg/L**, which indicates that many lakes and ponds in the state are at least "moderately vulnerable" to acidic inputs. For a more detailed explanation about ANC, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The acid neutralizing capacity (ANC) of the epilimnion (upper layer) ranged from **3.8 mg/L to 5.2 mg/L**. This indicates that the pond is **moderately vulnerable** to acidic inputs.

➤ Conductivity

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current conductivity data for in-lake

stations.

Conductivity is the numerical expression of the ability of water to carry an electric current, which is determined by the number of negatively charged ions from metals, salts, and minerals in the water column. The median conductivity value for New Hampshire's lakes and ponds is **40.0 uMhos/cm**. For a more detailed explanation, please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report.

The conductivity has **remained relatively stable** in the pond since monitoring began. In addition, the in-lake conductivity is **much greater than** the state median. Typically, increasing conductivity indicates the influence of pollutant sources associated with human activities. These sources include failed or marginally functioning septic systems, agricultural runoff, and road runoff which contains road salt during the spring snow-melt. New development in the watershed can alter runoff patterns and expose new soil and bedrock areas, which could also contribute to increasing conductivity. In addition, natural sources, such as iron and manganese deposits in bedrock, can influence conductivity.

We recommend that your monitoring group conduct a shoreline conductivity survey of the pond and tributaries with **elevated** conductivity to help identify the sources of conductivity.

To learn how to conduct a shoreline or tributary conductivity survey, please refer to the 2004 special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at <http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/vlap/categories/publications.htm>, or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

It is possible that de-icing materials applied to nearby roadways during the winter months may be influencing the conductivity in the pond. In New Hampshire, the most commonly used de-icing material is salt (sodium chloride).

A limited amount of chloride sampling was conducted during 2009. Please refer to the chloride discussion for more information.

➤ **Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature**

Table 9 in Appendix A depicts the dissolved oxygen/temperature profile(s) collected during **2009**.

The presence of sufficient amounts of dissolved oxygen in the water column is vital to fish and amphibians and also to bottom-dwelling organisms. Please refer to the "Chemical Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The dissolved oxygen concentration was **much lower in the hypolimnion (lower layer) than in the epilimnion (upper layer)** at the deep spot on the **July** sampling event. As stratified ponds age, and as the summer progresses,

oxygen typically becomes **depleted** in the hypolimnion by the process of decomposition. Specifically, the reduction of hypolimnetic oxygen is primarily a result of biological organisms using oxygen to break down organic matter, both in the water column and particularly at the bottom of the pond where the water meets the sediment. When hypolimnetic oxygen concentration is depleted to less than 1 mg/L, **as it was on the annual biologist visit this year and on many previous annual visits**, the phosphorus that is normally bound up in the sediment may be re-released into the water column, a process referred to as **internal phosphorus loading**.

The **low** hypolimnetic oxygen level is a sign of the pond's **aging** and health. This year the DES biologist collected the dissolved oxygen profile in **July**. We recommend that the annual biologist visit for the **2010** sampling year be scheduled during **June** so that we can determine if oxygen is depleted in the hypolimnion **earlier** in the sampling year.

➤ **Turbidity**

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current year data for in-lake turbidity.

Turbidity in the water is caused by suspended matter, such as clay, silt, and algae. Water clarity is strongly influenced by turbidity. Please refer to the "Other Monitoring Parameters" section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The epilimnetic turbidity (upper layer) sample was **slightly elevated (1.69 NTUs)** on the **June** sampling event.

The abnormally wet conditions this summer likely led to increased stormwater runoff entering the pond. Stormwater runoff can deposit particulate matter in the pond causing turbid conditions. Or, an algal bloom had occurred in the lake.

TRIBUTARY SAMPLING

➤ **Total Phosphorus**

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current year total phosphorus data for tributary stations. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of the report for a detailed explanation of total phosphorus.

The phosphorus concentration in the **McAlvin Brook** samples on the **June, July and August** sampling events was ***elevated* (36, 38, 43 ug/L)**, and the turbidity was also ***slightly elevated* (1.82, 1.17, 1.72 NTUs)**.

The phosphorus concentration in the **McAlvin Inlet** samples on the **June and August** sampling events was ***slightly elevated* (20, 21 ug/L)**, and the turbidity was also ***slightly elevated* in June (1.74 NTUs)**. However, it does appear that phosphorus levels dissipate somewhat between McAlvin Brook and McAlvin Inlet which is good news.

Record summer rainfall likely increased stormwater runoff and nutrient loading and sedimentation to the tributary. As impervious surface cover increases in the watershed, stormwater runoff volumes increase. This transports phosphorus-laden stormwater into tributaries and eventually the pond. Efforts should be made in the watershed to reduce impervious surfaces and limit phosphorus sources such as fertilizer use, septic influences, agricultural impacts, and sediment/erosion control.

➤ **pH**

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current year pH data for the tributary stations. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation of pH.

The pH of the tributary stations ranged from **5.78 to 6.78** and is sufficient to support aquatic life.

➤ **Conductivity**

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current conductivity data for the tributary stations. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of the report for a more detailed explanation of conductivity.

The **Stoney Brook Inlet** experienced elevated conductivity levels this season, and has experienced elevated or fluctuating conductivity since monitoring began. We recommend that your monitoring group conduct a conductivity survey of tributaries with ***elevated*** conductivity and along the shoreline of the pond to help identify the sources of conductivity. As previously mentioned

increasing conductivity typically indicates the influence of pollutant sources associated with human activities.

The conductivity tends to increase greatly from **McAlvin Pond Brook** to **McAlvin Inlet**. In 2009, conductivity levels in **McAlvin Inlet** fluctuated from **21.52 to 124.00 uMhos/cm**. This is a large variation, and based on historical conductivity levels in McAlvin Inlet, we recommend conducting a stream survey and additional sampling during spring snowmelt and wet weather to identify potential pollution sources between McAlvin Inlet and McAlvin Brook.

For a detailed explanation on how to conduct rain event sampling and stream surveys, please refer to the 2002 VLAP Annual Report special topic article, which is posted on the VLAP website at

<http://www.des.nh.gov/organization/divisions/water/wmb/vlap/categories/publications.htm>, or contact the VLAP Coordinator.

➤ **Turbidity**

Table 14 in Appendix A presents the current year turbidity data for the tributary stations. Please refer to the “Other Monitoring Parameters” section of the report for a more detailed explanation of turbidity.

Overall, tributary turbidity levels **decreased slightly** during the **2009** sampling season.

The tributaries generally experienced turbid conditions immediately following rain events this year. Rainfall creates runoff that washes sediment and organic materials into tributaries causing turbid water conditions. Eventually, the suspended solids settle out once the flow is reduced or the tributary flow enters the lake.

➤ **Bacteria (*E. coli*)**

Table 14 in Appendix A lists the current year data for bacteria (*E.coli*) testing. *E. coli* is a normal bacterium found in the large intestine of humans and other warm-blooded animals. *E.coli* is used as an indicator organism because it is easily cultured and its presence in the water, in defined amounts, indicates that sewage **may** be present. If sewage is present in the water, potentially harmful disease-causing organisms **may** also be present. Please refer to the “Other Monitoring Parameters” section of the report for a more detailed explanation.

The *E. coli* concentration was **very low** at each station sampled on the **July** sampling event. Specifically, each result was **10 counts or less**, which is **much less than** the state standard of 406 counts per 100 mL for recreational surface waters that are not designated public beaches and 88 counts per 100 mL for surface waters that are designated public beaches.

➤ Chlorides

Table 14 in Appendix A lists the current year data for chloride sampling. The chloride ion (Cl⁻) is found naturally in some surface waters and groundwaters and in high concentrations in seawater. Research has shown that elevated chloride levels can be toxic to freshwater aquatic life. In order to protect freshwater aquatic life in New Hampshire, the state has adopted **acute and chronic** chloride criteria of **860 and 230 mg/L** respectively. The chloride content in New Hampshire lakes is naturally low, generally less than 2 mg/L in surface waters located in remote areas away from habitation. Higher values are generally associated with salted highways and, to a lesser extent, with septic inputs. Please refer to the “Chemical Monitoring Parameters” section of this report for a more detailed explanation.

The **epilimnion** was sampled for chloride during the **July** sampling event. The result was **28 mg/L**, which is ***much less than*** the state acute and chronic chloride criteria. However, this concentration is ***greater than*** what we would normally expect to measure in undisturbed New Hampshire surface waters.

The **McAlvin Brook** was sampled for chloride on the **July** sampling event. The result was **< 5 mg/L**, which is ***much less than*** the state acute and chronic chloride criteria.

The **McAlvin Pond In Lake** was sampled for chloride on the **July** sampling event. The result was **< 5 mg/L**, which is ***much less than*** the state acute and chronic chloride criteria.

The **McAlvin Inlet** was sampled for chloride on the **July** sampling event. The result was **29 mg/L**, which is ***much less than*** the state acute and chronic chloride criteria. This sampling station is downstream of McAlvin Brook and McAlvin Pond In Lake. Chloride levels are increasing downstream and likely is the result of road salting practices.

The **Outlet** tributary was sampled for chloride on the **July** sampling event. The result was **30 mg/L**, which is ***much less than*** the state acute and chronic chloride criteria.

The **Stoney Brook** tributary was sampled for chloride on the **July** sampling event. The result was **47 mg/L**, which is ***much less than*** the state acute and chronic chloride criteria.

We recommend that your monitoring group continue to conduct chloride sampling in the epilimnion at the deep spot, particularly in the spring during snow-melt and during rain events during the summer. This will establish a baseline of data that will assist your monitoring group and DES to determine lake quality trends in the future.

Please note that chloride analyses can be run free of charge at the DES Limnology Center. Please contact the VLAP Coordinator if you are interested in chloride monitoring.

DATA QUALITY ASSURANCE AND CONTROL

Annual Assessment Audit

During the annual visit to your pond, the biologist conducted a sampling procedures assessment audit for your monitoring group. Specifically, the biologist observed the performance of your monitoring group while sampling and filled-out an assessment audit sheet to document the volunteer monitors' ability to follow the proper field sampling procedures, as outlined in the VLAP Monitor's Field Manual. This assessment is used to identify any aspects of sample collection in which volunteer monitors failed to follow proper procedures, and also provides an opportunity for the biologist to retrain the volunteer monitors as necessary. This will ultimately ensure that the samples volunteer monitors collect are truly representative of actual lake and tributary conditions.

Overall, your monitoring group did an **excellent** job collecting samples on the annual biologist visit this year! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the proper field sampling procedures and there was no need for the biologist to provide additional training. Keep up the good work!

Sample Receipt Checklist

Each time your monitoring group dropped off samples at the laboratory this summer, the laboratory staff completed a sample receipt checklist to assess and document if your group followed proper sampling techniques when collecting the samples. The purpose of the sample receipt checklist is to minimize, and hopefully eliminate, improper sampling techniques.

Overall, the sample receipt checklist showed that your monitoring group did a **very good** job when collecting samples this year! Specifically, the members of your monitoring group followed the majority of the proper field sampling procedures when collecting and submitting samples to the laboratory. However, the laboratory did identify a few aspects of sample collection that your group could improve upon, as follows:

- **Sample labels:** On the **June** sampling event, at least one sample bottle was mis-labeled. Please label bottles with the lake name, station name, date, and time samples were collected. Please label your samples with a waterproof pen preferably by using a black permanent marker before sampling. If your association has made its own sample bottle labels, please fold over one corner of each label before placing it on a sample bottle so that the label will not become permanently attached to the bottle. In addition, please make sure that the labels will stick to the bottles when they are wet.

USEFUL RESOURCES

Best Management Practices to Control Nonpoint Source Pollution: A Guide for Citizens and Town Officials, DES Booklet WD-03-42, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/publications/wd/documents/wd-03-42.pdf.

Erosion Control for Construction in the Protected Shoreland Buffer Zone, DES fact sheet WD-SP-1, (603) 271-2975 or <http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/sp/documents/sp-1.pdf>

Lake or Pond – What is the Difference? DES fact sheet WD-BB-49, (603) 271-2975 or <http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/bb/documents/bb-49.pdf>

Lake Protection Tips: Some Do's and Don'ts for Maintaining Healthy Lakes, DES fact sheet WD-BB-9, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/bb/documents/bb-9.pdf.

NH Stormwater Management Manual Volume 1: Stormwater and Antidegradation, DES fact sheet WD-08-20A, (603) 271-2975 or <http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/publications/wd/documents/wd-08-20a.pdf>

NH Stormwater Management Manual Volume 2: Post-Construction Best Management Practices Selection and Design, DES fact sheet WD-08-20B, (603) 271-2975 or <http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/publications/wd/documents/wd-08-20b.pdf>

NH Stormwater Management Manual Volume 3: Erosion and Sediment Controls During Construction, DES fact sheet WD-08-20C, (603) 271-2975 or <http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/publications/wd/documents/wd-08-20c.pdf>

Road Salt and Water Quality, DES fact sheet WD-WMB-4, (603) 271-2975 or www.des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/wmb/documents/wmb-4.pdf.

Through the Looking Glass: A Field Guide to Aquatic Plants, North American Lake Management Society, 1988, (608) 233-2836 or www.nalms.org.

Vegetation Maintenance Within the Protected Shoreland, DES fact sheet WD-SP-5, (603) 271-2975 or <http://des.nh.gov/organization/commissioner/pip/factsheets/sp/documents/sp-5.pdf>