

Wetlands Bureau Decision Report

Decisions Taken
10/09/2006 to 10/15/2006

DISCLAIMER:

This document is published for information purposes only and does not constitute an authorization to conduct work. Work in jurisdiction may not commence until the applicant has received a posting permit.

Decisions are subject to appeal, and are reviewed by the federal agencies for compliance with Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act.

APPEAL:

I. Any affected party may ask for reconsideration of a permit decision in accordance with RSA 482-A:10,II within 20 days of the Department's issuance of a decision. Requests for reconsideration should:

- 1) describe in detail each ground for complaint. Only grounds set forth in the request for reconsideration can be considered at subsequent levels of appeal;
- 2) provide new evidence or information to support the requested action;
- 3) Parties other than the applicant, the town, or contiguous abutters must explain why they believe they are affected; and
- 4) Be mailed to the DES Wetlands Bureau, PO Box 95, Concord, NH 03302-0095.

II. An appeal of a decision of the department after reconsideration may be filed with the Wetlands Council in accordance with RSA 482-A:10, IV within 30 days of the department's decision. Filing of the appeal must:

- 1) be made by certified mail to Brian Fowler, Chairperson, Wetlands Council, PO Box 95, Concord, NH 03302-0095 (a copy should also be sent to the DES Wetlands Bureau);
- 2) contain a detailed description of the land involved in the department's decision; and
- 3) set forth every ground upon which it is claimed that the department's decision is unlawful or unreasonable.

MAJOR IMPACT PROJECT

**2005-01333 TATA, MICHAEL & WENDY
MEREDITH Lake Winnepesaukee**

Requested Action:

Remove 87 cu yd of abandoned crib material, an 8 ft x 16 ft deck, and an 8 ft x 48 ft seasonal pier. Dredge 54 cu yd from 480 sq ft of lake bed, excavate 900 sq ft along 24 linear feet of shoreline, and temporarily disturb 1,893 sq ft of bank and shoreline to construct a 20 ft x 45 ft dug-in boathouse with 3 interior slips on an average of 197 feet of frontage in advent cove Lake Winnepesaukee.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Remove 87 cu yd of abandoned crib material, an 8 ft x 16 ft deck, and an 8 ft x 48 ft seasonal pier. Dredge 54 cu yd from 480 sq ft of lake bed, excavate 900 sq ft along 24 linear feet of shoreline, and temporarily disturb 1,893 sq ft of bank and shoreline to construct a 20 ft x 45 ft dug-in boathouse with 3 interior slips on an average of 197 feet of frontage in advent cove Lake Winnepesaukee.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by T R Selling dated March 13, 2006, as received by the Department on March 15, 2006.
2. This permit shall not be effective until it has been recorded with the county Registry of Deeds office by the Permittee. A copy of the registered permit shall be submitted to the DES Wetlands Bureau prior to construction.
3. The owner shall file a restrictive covenant in the appropriate registry of deeds limiting the use of the boathouse to the storage of boats and boating-related accessories. A copy of the recorded covenant permit shall be submitted to the DES Wetlands Bureau prior to construction.
4. Any further alteration of areas on this property that are within the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau will require a new application and further permitting by the Bureau.
5. The boathouse shall be a single-story structure; ridgeline not to exceed 20 ft in height (Elev. 524.32) above normal high water (Elev. 504.32).
6. Dewatering of work areas and dredged materials shall be discharged to sediment basins located in uplands and lined with hay bales or other acceptable sediment trapping liner.
7. All dredged and excavated material and construction related debris shall be placed outside of the areas under the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
8. Appropriate siltation and erosion controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and shall remain until the area is stabilized.
9. Appropriate turbidity controls shall be installed prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction such that no turbidity escapes the immediate dredge area and shall remain until suspended particles have settled and water at the work site has returned to normal clarity.
10. Within three days of final grading or temporary suspension of work in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
11. This facility is permitted with the condition that future maintenance dredging, if needed, shall not be permitted more frequently than once every 6 years, and that a new permit shall be required for each dredge activity.
12. The owner understands and accepts the risk that if this facility requires dredging to maintain a minimum slip depth of 2 feet, more frequently than once every 6 years, or is shown to have an adverse impact on abutting frontages, it shall be subject to removal.
13. All portions of the existing pier and deck shall be completely removed from the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
14. Unnecessary removal of vegetation within the shoreland during construction shall be prohibited.
15. All planted vegetation shall be native and consistent with surrounding natural vegetation on the property and surrounding properties. Planting invasive or exotic species is strictly prohibited.
16. All activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet).

With Findings:

1. On April 26, 2005, the NH DES Wetlands Bureau (the "Bureau") received an application for the removal of an existing pier and deck and the construction of a dug-in boathouse on property identified as Meredith tax map U-37, lot 3A (the "Property").
2. This application was received prior to the August 12, 2005 Belknap County Superior Court decision relative to the review standard required by RSA 483-B, the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, therefore this project was reviewed under the pre-existing standards.
3. This project is classified as a major project per Rule Env-Wt 303.02(g), dredge of more than 20 cu yd from public waters.
4. The applicant has an average of 197 feet of shoreline frontage along Lake Winnepesaukee.
5. A maximum of 3 slips may be permitted on this frontage per Rule Env-Wt 402.12 Frontage Over 75'.
6. The proposed docking facility will provide 3 slips as defined per RSA 482-A:2, VIII, and therefore meets Rule Env-Wt 402.12.

2006-00214 GOODLANDER, THEODORE
GILFORD Lake Winnepesaukee

Requested Action:

Amend the permit to include the installation of a canopy over 2 slips and expansion of the beach area.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

Con Com has no concerns

APPROVE AMENDMENT:

Amend permit to read: Excavate 200 sq ft of shoreline to enlarge an existing beach to 25 ft x 20 ft, reconstruct 30 linear ft of the outer leg of an existing breakwater and construct a 6 ft by 30 ft permanent piling supported dock and a 3 ft by 30 ft piling supported dock and a 6 ft by 30 ft wharf over the bank all connected by a 6 ft by 47 ft piling supported dock in a "E" configuration, install two tie off piles between the two landward slips, a 27 ft x 30 ft seasonal canopy, and two seasonal PWC lifts along the wharf, dredge 12 cubic yards of material from the lakebed on Lake Winnepesaukee, Gilford.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with dredge plans by Robert Brown dated January 25, 2006, revision date May 07, 2006, as received by the Department on May 08, 2006 and breakwater reconfiguration plans dated January 25, 2006, revision dates September 12, 2006 and June 24, 2006, as received by the Department on September 14, 2006.
2. This permit shall not be effective until it has been recorded with the Registry of Deeds Office by the Permittee. A copy of the registered permit shall be submitted to the DES Wetlands Bureau prior to construction.
3. This permit shall not preclude the Department of Environmental Services (DES) from taking any enforcement action or revocation action if the DES later determines that these "existing structures" were not previously permitted or grandfathered.
4. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, maintained during construction, and shall remain until the area is stabilized.
5. Work authorized shall be carried out such that discharges in spawning or nursery areas during spawning seasons shall be avoided, and impacts to such areas shall be avoided or minimized to the maximum extent practicable during all times of the year.
6. Work shall be carried out in a time and manner such that disturbance to migratory waterfowl breeding areas and spawning areas shall be avoided.
7. Existing rocks which have fallen shall be used for repair. No Additional Rocks.
8. Dredged material shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
9. There shall be no stockpiling of breakwater materials in areas of jurisdiction of the Department.
10. Appropriate turbidity controls shall be installed prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction such that no turbidity escapes the immediate dredge area, and shall remain until suspended particles have settled and the water at the work site has returned to normal clarity.
11. No portion of breakwater as measured at normal full lake shall extend more than 50 feet from normal full lake shoreline.
12. The breakwater shall not exceed 3 feet in height(Elev. 507.32) over the normal high water line(Elev. 504.32).
13. The width as measured at the top of the breakwater(Elev. 507.32) shall not exceed 3 feet.
14. The breakwater shall have an irregular face to diffuse wave activity.
15. Rocks may not remained stockpiled on the frontage for a period longer than 60 days.
16. Photos showing that all construction materials have been removed from the temporary stockpile area shall be submitted to the

Bureau upon completion of the docking facility.

17. This facility is permitted with the condition that future maintenance dredging, if needed, shall not be permitted more frequently than once every 6 years, and that a new permit shall be required for each dredge activity.

18. PWC lifts shall be of seasonal construction type which shall be removed for the non-boating season.

19. Canopies shall be of seasonal construction type with a flexible fabric cover which shall be removed for the non-boating season.

20. All activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet).

With Findings:

1. This project is classified as a major project per Rule Env-Wt 303.02(d), construction of a major docking facility.

2. The applicant has an average of 185 feet of frontage along Lake Winnepesaukee.

3. A maximum of 3 slips may be permitted on this frontage per Rule Env-Wt 402.12, Frontage Over 75'.

4. The proposed docking facility will provide 3 slips as defined per RSA 482-A:2, VIII and therefore meets Rule Env-Wt 402.12.

5. Public hearing is waived based on field inspection, by NH DES staff, on May 09, 2006, with the finding that the project impacts will not significantly impair the resources of Lake Winnepesaukee.

-Send to Governor and Executive Council-

**2006-01798 EASTMAN COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION
GRANTHAM Eastman Lake**

Requested Action:

Replenish 5 community beaches, construct new erosion controls, upgrade existing storm water structures, plant new vegetation to stabilize existing shoreline and wetlands and dredge dead materials from 5475 sq ft in East Cove on Eastman Pond, Grantham.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

No comments from Con Com by October 11, 2006.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Replenish 5 community beaches, construct new erosion controls, upgrade existing storm water structures, plant new vegetation to stabilize existing shoreline and wetlands and dredge dead materials from 5475 sq ft in East Cove on Eastman Pond, Grantham.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by CLD Consulting Engineers dated June 2006, as received by the Department on July 20, 2006.

2. This permit shall not preclude the Department of Environmental Services (DES) from taking any enforcement action or revocation action if the DES later determines that these "existing structures" were not previously permitted or grandfathered.

3. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and shall remain until the area is stabilized.

4. Work shall be conducted in a manner so as to minimize turbidity and sedimentation to surface waters and wetlands.

5. Work shall be done during low flow.

6. Work shall be done during drawdown.

7. Dredged material shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.

8. Work shall be conducted in a manner that avoids excessive discharges of sediments to fish spawning areas.

9. The contractor responsible for completion of the work shall utilize techniques described in the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).

10. Culvert outlets shall be protected in accordance with the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August 1992).

11. This permit shall be used only once, and does not allow for annual beach replenishment.

12. Rip-rap shall be located landward of the shoreline at the normal high water, where practical, and shall not extend more than 2

feet lakeward of that line at any point.

13. All sand shall be located above the normal high water line.
14. The permittee shall provide appropriate diversion of surface water runoff to prevent erosion of beach area.
15. All planted vegetation shall be native and consistent with surrounding natural vegetation on the property and surrounding properties. Planting invasive or exotic species is strictly prohibited.
16. All activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet).

With Findings:

1. This is a major impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.02(o), replenishment of a beach that does not meet the criteria for minimum impact under Env-Wt 303.04 (aa) or Env-Wt 303.03(f).
2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01. 3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
4. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(a) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.
5. DES Staff conducted a field inspection of the proposed project on June 15, 2006. Field inspection determined the need for the proposed upgrades of the stormwater controls.
6. The public hearing is waived with the finding that the project impacts will not significantly impair the resources of Eastman Pond and would improve the water quality of the pond.

2006-01921 TOTARO, RICHARD
WOLFEBORO Lake Winnepesaukee

Requested Action:

Request to remove 8 existing piers and construct 7 new piling piers and a 30 ft x 30 ft dug-in boathouse on 410 ft of frontage on Lake Winnepesaukee.

DENY PERMIT:

Request to remove 8 existing piers and construct 7 new piling piers and a 30 ft x 30 ft dug-in boathouse on 410 ft of frontage on Lake Winnepesaukee.

With Findings:

Standards for Approval

1. In accordance with Rule Env-Wt 302.04, Requirements for Application Evaluation,(a)(2) for all major and minor projects the applicant shall demonstrate by plan and example that the alternative proposed by the applicant is the one with the least impact to wetlands or surface waters on site.
2. In accordance with Rule Env-Wt 302.04, Requirements for Application Evaluation,(a)(1) for all major and minor projects the applicant shall provide an explanation of need for the proposed impacts.
3. In accordance with Rule Env-Wt 302.04, Requirements for Application Evaluation, (d)(1) unless the conditions of Wt 304.16 apply, the department shall not grant a permit if there is a practicable alternative that would have a less adverse impact on the area and environments under the department's jurisdiction.
4. In accordance with Rule Env-Wt 501.02 Additional Data,(a)(2) along with the completed application submitted to the department, the applicant shall supply plans showing existing and proposed topography, including a reference elevation, the limits of all temporary and permanent impacts in jurisdiction, and the proposed methods of erosion and siltation control.
5. In accordance with Rule Env-Wt 501.02 Additional Data,(c), in addition to the information required in (a) for projects affecting surface water shoreline, the applicant shall supply plans showing the footprint of all existing and proposed structures on the property.
6. In accordance with Rule Env-Wt 501.02 Additional Data,(d) in addition to the information required in (a) for projects within the protected shoreland, as defined by RSA 483-B, the applicant shall supply plans showing the location of all existing and proposed structures between the primary building line and the reference line and the total disturbed area within the protected shoreland.

7. In accordance with Rule Env-Wt 304.10 Shoreline Structures,(a), shoreline structures shall meet the criteria of Wt 400.
8. In accordance with Rule Env-Wt 402.02 Dimensions,(a), approvable standard dimensions for permanent docks on lakes greater than 1000 acres shall not exceed a deck width of 6 feet and deck length of 30 feet, measured from normal high water mark.
9. In accordance with Rule Env-Wt 402.05, Permanent Docks, an application for a permanent dock shall be approved only when an applicant establishes that the proposed dock will be located on a lake of over 1,000 acres and the site for the dock is exposed to fetch of at least 1 mile between compass headings 300 to 30 degrees or at least 2 miles between compass headings 31 to 299 as measured from true north or the applicant provides documentation of the occurrence of 1 foot high waves as measured from trough to crest in water at least 3 feet deep, at the location of the proposed dock on at least 4 separate occasions, lasting 4 hours each, between the dates of May 15 and October 15.
10. In accordance with Rule Env-Wt 402.12 Frontage Over 75', a maximum of 6 slips may be permitted on 410 feet of frontage.
11. In accordance with Rule Env-Wt 402.20 Modification of Existing Structures, the department shall not approve any change in size, location, or configuration of an existing structure unless the applicant demonstrates, and the department finds, that the modification is less environmentally-impacting or provides for fewer boat slips and less construction surface area over public submerged lands than the current configuration.
12. In accordance with Rule Env-Wq 1409.03 Information Required with Applications, for each project within the protected shoreland zone, the applicant shall submit the number, location, basal area, and distribution of trees existing within the natural woodland buffer on the property prior to the proposed project, whether any of the trees identified are dead, diseased, or otherwise unsafe and, if otherwise unsafe, the basis for the determination, the size and placement of all primary and accessory structures, including water-dependent structures planned as part of the project, and whether the project will result in a residential or commercial use and, if commercial, whether the project will include any facility listed in RSA 483-B:9, II through IV-d in sufficient detail to allow the department to determine whether the requirements of RSA 483-B:9 will be met.
13. This project is classified as a major impact project per Rule Env-Wt 303.02,(d), modification of a major docking facility.

Findings of Fact

14. On July 26, 2006, the Wetlands Bureau received an application to remove 8 existing piers and construct 7 new piling piers and a 30 ft x 30 ft dug-in boathouse on property having 410 ft of frontage on Lake Winnepesaukee more particularly identified as Wolfboro Tax Map 266, lot 10 (the Property).
15. The existing condition plan submitted with the application is illegible and not drawn to scale.
16. The existing condition plan submitted with the application does not state whether the existing piers are seasonal or permanent.
17. The existing piers appear to provide 12 slips as defined per RSA 482-A:2,VIII(a).
18. The Property is not exposed to sufficient fetch to meet the requirements of Rule Env-Wt 402.05
19. No documentation of 1 foot high wave activity was submitted with the application.
20. The existing docking facilities do not conform to the requirements of Chapter Env-Wt 400.
21. The new permanent piers are proposed to extend to distances varying between 30 to 38 ft from the reference line.
22. The new permanent piers will provide 13 slips as defined per RSA 482-A:2,VIII(a).
23. There are no temporary impacts shown on the plan.
24. There are no proposed grades shown on the plans.
25. No information pertaining to the number, location, basal area, and distribution of trees existing on the property was submitted with the application.
26. No information explaining the need to store boats in or over the water was submitted with the application.
27. No information explaining why boats could not be kept in dry storage in other locations on the property was submitted with the application.
28. Proposed structure plans submitted with the application do not show the type or location of dock supports.
29. No information pertaining to siltation, erosion, or turbidity controls was submitted with the application.

Rulings in Support of the Decision

30. The project as proposed will not result in a decrease in the environmental impact of the docking facilities and will increase the number of slips provided on the frontage and therefore fails to meet the requirements of Rule Env-Wt 402.20.
31. The project as proposed fails to meet Rules Env-Wt 402.02, 402.05, 402.12, and 402.20 as required per Rule Env-Wt 304.10.
32. The Applicant has failed to provide the additional data as required per Rule Env-Wt 501.02,(a), (c), and (d).
33. The Applicant has failed to provide the information required by Rule Env-Wq necessary allow the department to determine that the requirements of RSA 483-B:9 will be met.

34. The Applicant has failed to provide evidence of need for the proposed impacts and has failed to provide evidence that the project as proposed is the least impacting method of providing slips and storage as required per Rules Env-Wt 302.04.(a)(1) and (2) and therefore is denied in accordance with Rule Env-Wt 302.04(d).

MINOR IMPACT PROJECT

2001-00247 FREEMAN, CHARLES
MILTON Northeast Pond

Requested Action:

Amend permit to stabilize 52 linear feet of eroded shoreline by regrading, installing rip rap, coir logs, live whips, and shrub plantings.

APPROVE AMENDMENT:

Stabilize 52 linear feet of eroded shoreline by regrading, installing rip rap, coir logs, live whips, and shrub plantings.

With Conditions:

1. AMENDED: All work shall be in accordance with revised plans by NHSC Environmental Inc., as received by the Department on Sep. 25, 2006.
2. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, maintained during construction, and remain until the area is stabilized. Silt fencing must be removed once the area is stabilized.
3. Within three days of final grading or temporary suspension of work in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
4. Work shall be done during drawdown.

With Findings:

1. This is a Minor Impact Project per Administrative Rules Env-Wt 303.03(k), alteration of between 50 and 200 linear feet of shoreline.
2. AMENDED: The previous approval for shoreline stabilization consisting of regrading, loaming and installation of erosion control blanketing was implemented, and failed due to the level of wind and wave action present. The amendment proposes a modest increase in hardening the shoreline correspondent with the level of erosive forces at this location, while still employing natural vegetative stabilization.
3. AMENDED: The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01.
4. AMENDED: The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.

2005-02880 JAFFREY, TOWN OF
JAFFREY Contoocook River

Requested Action:

Dredge and fill approximately 13,000 sq. ft. of wetlands to construct a Town of Jaffrey ball field on a former industrial site. The project includes wetlands impact mitigation consisting of an approximately 35-acre preservation site known as "Children's Woods".

APPROVE PERMIT:

Dredge and fill approximately 13,000 sq. ft. of wetlands to construct a Town of Jaffrey ball field on a former industrial site. The

project includes wetlands impact mitigation consisting of an approximately 35-acre preservation site known as "Children's Woods".

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by Clough Harbour & Associates LLP, dated March 3, 2006, as received by the Department on April 5, 2006.
2. Any further alteration of areas on this property that are within the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau will require a new application and further permitting by the Bureau.
3. This permit is contingent on approval by the DES Site Specific Program.
4. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain in place until the area is stabilized. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.
5. Discharge from dewatering of work areas shall be to sediment basins that are: a) located in uplands; b) lined with hay bales or other acceptable sediment trapping liners; c) set back as far as possible from wetlands and surface waters, in all cases with a minimum of 20 feet of undisturbed vegetated buffer.
6. Dredged material shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
7. Orange construction fencing shall be placed at the limits of construction directly adjacent to wetlands or surface waters to prevent accidental encroachment.
8. Within three days of final grading in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
9. Where construction activities have been temporarily suspended within the growing season, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized within 14 days by seeding and mulching.
10. Where construction activities have been temporarily suspended outside the growing season, all exposed areas shall be stabilized within 14 days by mulching and tack. Slopes steeper than 3:1 shall be stabilized by matting and pinning.
11. The contractor responsible for completion of the work shall utilize techniques described in the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).
12. Construction equipment shall be inspected daily for leaking fuel, oil and hydraulic fluid. Faulty equipment shall be repaired immediately.
13. The contractor shall have appropriate oil spill kits on site and readily accessible at all times during construction and each operator shall be trained in its use.
14. All activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet).

Wetland Mitigations (preservation):

15. This permit is contingent upon the execution of a conservation easement to the Monadnock Conservancy of an approximately 35 acre preservation area currently known as "Children's Woods" in the Town of Jaffrey, as depicted on preliminary plotting plan received by the Department on September 7, 2006.
16. The conservation easements to be placed on the preservation area shall be written to run with the land, and both existing and future property owners shall be subject to this easement.
17. The plan noting the conservation easement with a copy of the final easement language shall be recorded with the Registry of Deeds Office for each appropriate lot. A copy of the recording from the County Registry of Deeds Office shall be submitted to the DES Wetlands Bureau prior to the start of construction.
18. The applicant shall prepare a report summarizing existing conditions within the conservation area. Said report shall contain photographic documentation of the easement area, and shall be submitted to the DES and the grantee prior to construction to serve as a baseline for future monitoring of the easement area.
19. The conservation easement area shall be surveyed by a licensed surveyor, and marked by monuments [stakes] prior to construction.
20. The Wetlands Bureau shall be notified of the placement of the easement monuments to coordinate on-site review of their location prior to construction.
21. There shall be no removal of the existing vegetative undergrowth within the easement area and the placement of fill, construction of structures, and storage of vehicles or hazardous materials is prohibited.
22. Activities in contravention of the conservation easement shall be construed as a violation of RSA 482-A, and those activities shall be subject to the enforcement powers of the Department of Environmental Services (including remediation and fines).

With Findings:

1. This is a minor impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.03(h), projects involving less than 20,000 square feet of alteration in the aggregate in nontidal wetlands, nontidal surface waters, or banks adjacent to nontidal surface waters which exceed the criteria of Env-Wt 303.04 (f).
2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01.
3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
4. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(a) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.
5. The proposed ball field will be built on a previous industrial site and includes impacts to disturbed and non-disturbed wetlands.
6. The New Hampshire Fish and Game, Nongame and Endangered Wildlife Program, submitted recommendation for protection of a species of special concern, wood turtle (*Clemmys insculpta*) identified in the vicinity of the proposed project.
7. The applicant has provided a proposed conservation easement to be granted to the Monadnock Conservancy for an approximately 35 acre preservation area known as "Children's Woods". The proposed easement language includes protection of the natural habitat of the wood turtle (*Clemmys insculpta*).

**2006-01971 PSNH, ATTEN DAVID PLANTE, P.E.
CAMPTON Beebe River**

Requested Action:

Dredge and fill 4,124 square feet of palustrine emergent and forested wetlands for a ± 24,703 square foot substation pad expansion and associated grading at the PSNH Beebe River substation.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Dredge and fill 4,124 square feet of palustrine emergent and forested wetlands for a ± 24,703 square foot substation pad expansion and associated grading at the PSNH Beebe River substation.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by Ambient Engineering, Inc. dated June 22, 2006, as received by the DES Wetlands Bureau on July 31, 2006.
2. Work shall be done during low flow conditions.
3. Work shall be conducted in a manner so as to minimize turbidity and sedimentation to surface waters and wetlands.
4. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain until the area is stabilized. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.
5. Orange construction fencing shall be placed at the limits of construction within or directly adjacent to wetlands or surface waters.
6. Dredged material shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
7. Culverts shall be properly rip rapped.
8. Proper headwalls shall be constructed within seven days of culvert installation.
9. The contractor responsible for completion of the work shall utilize techniques described in the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).
10. Within three days of final grading or temporary suspension of work in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
11. Where construction activities have been temporarily suspended within the growing season, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized within 14 days by seeding and mulching.
12. Where construction activities have been temporarily suspended outside the growing season, all exposed areas shall be stabilized within 14 days by mulching and tack. Slopes steeper than 3:1 shall be stabilized by matting and pinning.

With Findings:

1. This is a minor impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.03(h), alteration of less than 20,000 square feet of wetlands,

which exceed the criteria of Env-Wt 303.04(f).

2. The proposed expansion is limited by wetlands and existing transmission lines to the east, route 175 to the west, and abutting property to the north.
3. The proposed expansion will impact approximately 50 linear feet of a man-made, ditched intermittent stream.
4. The Natural Heritage Bureau indicated the presence of the Bat hibernaculum and requested contact with the NHFG Nongame and Endangered Wildlife Program for comments.
5. No comments were submitted from the NHFG Nongame and Endangered Wildlife Program
6. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01. 7. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
8. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(a) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.

2006-02029 GOODRICH, ROBERT
WASHINGTON Highland Lake

Requested Action:

Install a 6 ft x 30 ft seasonal dock on 385 ft of frontage on Highland Lake in Washington.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Install a 6 ft x 30 ft seasonal dock on 385 ft of frontage on Highland Lake in Washington.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by Darcy Goodrich as received by the Department on October 3, 2006.
2. This permit shall not be effective until it has been recorded with the Registry of Deeds Office by the Permittee. A copy of the registered permit shall be submitted to the DES Wetlands Bureau prior to installation.
3. Seasonal piers shall be removed from the lake for five months during the non-boating season.
4. No portion of the pier shall extend more than 30 feet from the shoreline at full lake elevation.
5. These shall be the only structures on this water frontage and all portions of the dock shall be at least 20 ft from the abutting property lines or the imaginary extension of those lines into the water.
6. This permit shall not preclude the Department of Environmental Services (DES) from taking any enforcement action or revocation action if the DES later determines that "existing structures" were not previously permitted or grandfathered.
7. This permit does not authorize any other work on shoreline structures, including but not limited to: retaining walls, rip-rap, beach replenishment, repair of permanent structures or any other structures regulated under RSA 485-A and RSA 483-B that require a permit.
8. Dock access stairs shall be of wooden construction and built over the bank.
9. Vegetation shall not be removed for the installation of this dock.
10. All activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet).

With Findings:

1. This is a minor impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.03(a), construction or modification providing more than two boat slips.
2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01. 3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
4. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(a) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.

2006-02178 NH DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION
SUTTON Thistle Brook

Requested Action:

Replace a 36 in. x 44 ft. culvert with a 64 in. x 43 in. x 50 ft. pipe arch, install headers and rip rap the outlet impacting 200 sq. ft. (120 temporary). NHDOT project #M214-11.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

Cons. Comm. - no comment

APPROVE PERMIT:

Replace a 36 in. x 44 ft. culvert with a 64 in. x 43 in. x 50 ft. pipe arch and install headers impacting 200 sq. ft. (170 temporary). NHDOT project #M214-11.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by NHDOT Maintenance District 2 dated 8/11/06 as received by the Department on August 23, 2006 and as amended per below conditions.
2. Dredged material shall be placed out of the DES Wetlands Bureau jurisdiction.
3. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and shall remain until the area is stabilized.
4. Construction equipment shall not be located within surface waters.
5. Within three days of final grading in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
6. The contractor responsible for completion of the work shall utilize techniques described in the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).
7. Extreme precautions to be taken within riparian areas to limit unnecessary removal of vegetation during road construction and areas cleared of vegetation to be revegetated as quickly as possible.
8. There shall be no further alteration to wetlands or surface waters without amendment of this permit.
9. Proper headwalls shall be constructed within seven days of culvert installation.
10. Work shall be done during low flow.
11. There shall be no stone apron and the culvert is to be set into the brook bed 1 to 2 inches per request of NH Fish and Game Dept.

With Findings:

1. This is a minor impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.03(1), alteration of less than 200 linear feet of stream and banks. The project was modified to remove possible impacts to species noted by NH Fish and Game Dept.
2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01. 3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
4. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(a) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.

**2006-02242 MANCHESTER-BOSTON REGIONAL AIRPORT, RICHARD FIXLER
MANCHESTER Merrimack River & Little Cohas Brook**

Requested Action:

Install a 36" pipeline from the airport to the river crossing a tributary to the Little Cohas Brook for discharge of stormwater impacting a total of 2,690 sq. ft. (490 sq. ft. temporary) of banks, riverine and palustrine wetlands.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

Londonderry Cons. Comm. concerned of discharges to the river and NPDES

Inspection Date: 06/14/2006 by Gino E Infascelli

APPROVE PERMIT:

Install a 36" pipeline from the airport to the river crossing a tributary to the Little Cohas Brook for discharge of stormwater impacting a total of 2,690 sq. ft. (490 sq. ft. temporary) of banks, riverine and palustrine wetlands.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by:
 - a. The Smart Associates, as received by the Department on October 10, 2006 and
 - b. Weston Solutions. Inc. as received by the Department on September 28, 2006
2. This permit is contingent upon the submission of a project specific stream diversion and erosion control plans to the DES Wetlands Bureau. Those plans shall detail the timing and method of stream flow diversion during construction, and shall show the temporary siltation, erosion and turbidity control measures to be implemented.
3. Discharge from dewatering of work areas shall be to sediment basins that are: a) located in uplands; b) lined with hay bales or other acceptable sediment trapping liners; c) set back as far as possible from wetlands and surface waters, in all cases with a minimum of 20 feet of undisturbed vegetated buffer.
4. Orange construction fencing shall be placed at the limits of construction to prevent damage to the trees and other vegetation that shall remain.
5. All work within 0.2 miles of the river shall be completed by December 1st unless otherwise agreed to by both the US Fish and Wildlife Service and the NH Fish and Game Department.
6. Native trees and shrubs shall be planted along the bank.
7. Contingent on any landowner approval required for work on any property.
8. Unconfined work within the river, exclusive of work associated with installation of a cofferdam, shall be done during periods of low flow.
9. Cofferdams shall not be installed during periods of high flow, whether due to seasonal runoff or precipitation. Once a cofferdam is fully effective, confined work can proceed without restriction.
10. Prior to commencing work on a substructure located within surface waters, a cofferdam shall be constructed to isolate the substructure work area from the surface waters.
11. Temporary cofferdams shall be entirely removed immediately following construction.
12. Dredged material shall be placed out of the DES Wetlands Bureau jurisdiction.
12. Appropriate turbidity controls shall be installed prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction such that no turbidity escapes the immediate dredge area, and shall remain until suspended particles have settled and the water at the work site has returned to normal clarity.
13. Within three days of final grading in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
14. Where construction activities have been temporarily suspended within the growing season, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized within 14 days by seeding and mulching.
15. Where construction activities have been temporarily suspended outside the growing season, all exposed areas shall be stabilized within 14 days by mulching and tack. Matting and pinning shall stabilize slopes steeper than 3:1.
16. The contractor responsible for completion of the work shall utilize techniques described in the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).
17. Extreme precautions to be taken within riparian areas to limit unnecessary removal of vegetation during construction and areas cleared of vegetation to be revegetated as quickly as possible.
18. There shall be no further alteration to wetlands or surface waters without amendment of this permit.
19. Standard precautions shall be taken to prevent import or transport of soil or seed stock from nuisance, invading species such as purple loosestrife or Phragmites.
20. The impacts associated with the temporary work shall be restored immediately following construction.
21. The Applicant shall not increase the load of oxygen demanding deicing fluids discharged to the Merrimack River beyond those based on existing deicing practices.
22. The Applicant shall maintain records of deicing fluid usage at the Activity, and shall make the records available to the DES upon request.
23. The Applicant shall comply with the requirements of the EPA multisector general NPDES stormwater permit.

With Findings:

1. This is a minor impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.03(l), alteration of less than 200 linear feet of stream or river channel and its banks.
2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01.
3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
4. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(a) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.
5. DES Staff conducted a field inspection of the proposed project on June 14, 2006. Field inspection determined the project will impact an area that is already degraded (tributary of the Little Cohas Brook) and the impacts to the Merrimack River bank should be minor if tree removal is limited.
6. The outfall is designed to provide some mixing in the pipe and to prevent or limit foaming action in addition to preventing siltation into the pipe.
7. The change in the location of the discharge does not change the type or quantity of material.

2006-02316 MILLER TRUST, HELEN
LACONIA Lake Opechee

Requested Action:

Construct 56 ft linear ft of retaining wall on 219 ft of frontage on Lake Opechee in Laconia.

DENY PERMIT:

Construct 56 ft linear ft of retaining wall on 219 ft of frontage on Lake Opechee in Laconia.

With Findings:

Standards of Approval

1. In accordance with Rule Env-Wt 302.03 (a)(1)&(2), the applicant shall submit a statement describing the impact of the proposed project design and provide evidence which demonstrates that, potential impacts have been avoided to the maximum extent practicable; and any unavoidable impacts have been minimized.
2. In accordance with RSA 483-B:3, Consistency Required, the Wetlands Bureau shall issue permits only when the proposed project are consistent with the policies of the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act ("CSPA").
3. As per Rule Env-Wt 302.04, (a)(2) The alternative proposed by the applicant is the one with the least impact to wetlands or surface waters on site.
4. As per Env-Wt 404.05 (1) Wall shall be permitted only where lack of space or other limitation of the site make alternative stabilization methods impractical.

Findings of Fact

5. On September 11, 2006, the Wetlands Bureau received a Minimum Impact Expedited application to construct 56 linear ft of retaining wall along the shoreline on property identified as Town of Laconia Tax Map 47, Lot 71-20.
6. This project is classified as a minor impact project per Rule Env-Wt (k), projects that disturb between 50 and 200 linear feet, measured along the shoreline, of a lake or pond or its bank and do not meet the criteria of Wt 303.02
7. Photos submitted indicate an already stable shoreline not requiring any work.
8. Excavating the bank to install a retaining wall is completely avoidable, as the bank is currently stable.

Ruling in support of the Decision

9. The proposal to construct a retaining wall along a shoreline having a stable bank is an unnecessary impact and fails to meet the requirement of need as per Rule Env-Wt 302.04 (a)(1).
10. The proposal to remove vegetation from the frontage to install a retaining wall on an already stable bank, is not consistent with

the policies of the CSPA, therefore the project is denied in accordance with RSA 483-B:3.

11. The applicant has failed to provide documentation that the project impacts were not avoidable as per Env-Wt 302.03 and are the least impacting alternative as per Rule Env-Wt 302.04 (a)(2), therefore the application is denied.

**2006-02424 BROWN, ROBERT C.
MEREDITH Lake Winnepesaukee**

Requested Action:

Applicant requests to retain a 47 ft 6 in x 6 ft walkway, a 2 ft x 8 ft catwalk, and a 2 ft x 9 ft catwalk associated with 2 6 ft x 25 ft piling piers on an average of 240 ft of frontage on Lake Winnepesaukee, in Meredith Bay.

APPROVE AFTER THE FACT:

Retain a 47 ft 6 in x 6 ft walkway, a 2 ft x 8 ft catwalk, and a 2 ft x 9 ft catwalk associated with 2 6 ft x 25 ft piling piers on an average of 240 ft of frontage on Lake Winnepesaukee, in Meredith Bay.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans dated April 9, 2003, by Associated Surveyors, as received by the Department on September 12, 2006.
2. This approval shall not be effective until it has been recorded at the appropriate County Registry of Deeds and a copy of the recorded waiver is sent to the department.
3. All future activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet) and the Wetlands Act, RSA 482-A.

With Findings:

1. This is a minor impact project per Administrative Rules Env-Wt 303.03(d), modification of a 4 slip docking facility.

Requested Action:

Install a 62 ft x 30 ft seasonal canopy over an existing 4 slip permanent docking facility and construct 125 linear feet of stone retaining wall to reinforce a failing timber wall on an average of 240 ft of frontage on Lake Winnepesaukee, in Meredith Bay.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Install a 62 ft x 30 ft seasonal canopy over an existing 4 slip permanent docking facility and construct 125 linear feet of stone retaining wall to reinforce a failing timber wall on an average of 240 ft of frontage on Lake Winnepesaukee, in Meredith Bay.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans and cross sections by RC Brown as received by the Department on September 12, 2006.
2. In the event of any conflict between the project as depicted on the plans and the description and conditions of this approval occurs, the description and conditions as listed herein shall supercede the details of the approved plans and cross sections.
3. This approval shall not be effective until it has been recorded at the appropriate County Registry of Deeds and a copy of the recorded waiver is sent to the department.
4. At no point shall the base of the new retaining wall be located more than 7 ft 6 in closer to the normal high water line than the top of the existing wall.
5. The new retaining wall shall not exceed 125 ft in length as measured along the landward edge.
6. Within three days of final grading or temporary suspension of work in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
7. Canopies shall be of seasonal construction type with a flexible fabric cover which shall be removed for the non-boating season.
8. Unnecessary removal of vegetation within the shoreland during construction shall be prohibited.
9. All planted vegetation shall be native and consistent with surrounding natural vegetation on the property and surrounding properties. Planting invasive or exotic species is strictly prohibited.

- 10. All excavated material and construction related debris shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
- 11. All activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet).

With Findings:

- 1. This is a minor impact project per Administrative Rules Env-Wt 303.03(d), modification of a 4 slip docking facility and Env-Wt 303.03(k) projects that disturb between 50 and 200 linear ft of shoreline.
- 2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01. 3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
- 4. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(a) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.
- 5. The construction of the secondary wall is necessary due to the state of deterioration and height of the existing wall. Removal of the existing wall for replacement purposes would pose an unacceptable risk of slope failure.

MINIMUM IMPACT PROJECT

2006-00583 LOON VILLAGE HOMEROWNERS ASSOCIATION
LINCOLN Unnamed Stream Wetlands

Requested Action:

Dredge and fill approximately 540 square feet of wetlands to install utilities; and a 12-inch HDPE catch basin, 6-inch perforated pipe, and 15-inch x 20-foot HDPE culvert for driveway access to two(2) proposed 4-unit condominiums.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Dredge and fill approximately 540 square feet of wetlands to install utilities; and a 12-inch HDPE catch basin, 6-inch perforated pipe, and 15-inch x 20-foot HDPE culvert for driveway access to two(2) proposed 4-unit condominiums.

With Conditions:

- 1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by T.F. Moran, Inc. dated February 10, 2006, and revised through September 05, 2006, as received by the Department on September 15, 2006.
- 2. If required, this permit is contingent on approval by the DES Subsurface Systems Bureau.
- 3. The property owner of lot # 90 shall have the wetlands and surface waters on lot # 90 delineated by a Certified Wetland Scientist prior to any future subdivision or alteration of wetlands or surface waters.
- 4. There shall be no impacts to areas under the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau within 20-feet of abutting property lines.
- 5. Work shall be done during low flow conditions.
- 6. Work shall be conducted in a manner so as to minimize turbidity and sedimentation to surface waters and wetlands.
- 7. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain until the area is stabilized. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.
- 8. Orange construction fencing shall be placed at the limits of construction within or directly adjacent to wetlands or surface waters.
- 9. Dredged material shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
- 10. Proper headwalls shall be constructed within seven days of culvert installation.
- 11. The contractor responsible for completion of the work shall utilize techniques described in the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).
- 12. Within three days of final grading or temporary suspension of work in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
- 13. Any future work on this property that is within the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau as specified in RSA 482-A will

require a new application and approval by the Bureau.

With Findings:

1. This is a minimum impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04(f), alteration of less than 3,000 square feet of wetlands.
2. The applicant has revised plans so that no impacts to areas under the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau shall occur within 20-feet of abutting property lines.
3. This permit is conditioned that no wetland impacts shall occur within 20-feet of abutting property lines.
4. This permit is conditioned that the property owner of lot # 90 shall have the wetlands and surface waters on lot # 90 delineated by a Certified Wetland Scientist prior to any future subdivision or alteration of wetlands or surface waters.
5. No comments were submitted from the NHFG Nongame and Endangered Wildlife Program, the Natural Heritage Bureau, or the Lincoln Conservation Commission.
6. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01.
7. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
8. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(b) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.

2006-01983 WALKLEY, PETER
LACONIA Lake Winnisquam

Requested Action:

Replace 104 linear ft of existing retaining wall on Lake Winnisquam, Laconia.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

Con Com submitted comments stating replanting should be native species

APPROVE PERMIT:

Replace 104 linear ft of existing retaining wall on Lake Winnisquam, Laconia.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by Peter Walkley, as received by the Department on August 03, 2006.
2. This permit shall not preclude the Department of Environmental Services (DES) from taking any enforcement action or revocation action if the DES later determines that these "existing structures" were not previously permitted or grandfathered.
3. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain in place until the area is stabilized. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.
4. Work shall be done during drawdown.
5. Area shall be regraded to original contours following completion of work.
6. All construction related debris and dredged material shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
7. Repair shall maintain existing size, location and configuration.
8. The retaining wall shall be constructed within the footprint of the pre-existing wall so as not to create land in public water.
9. The applicant shall replant any vegetation removed during repair of the wall.
10. All activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet).

With Findings:

1. This project is classified as a minimum impact project per Rule Env-Wt 303.04(v), repair of existing structures with no change in size, location or configuration.

2006-02288 KANE, JOHN
NOTTINGHAM Pawtuckaway Lake

Requested Action:

Replace an existing 90 ft of retaining wall on Pawtuckaway Lake, Nottingham.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

Con Com did not sign Exp Application

APPROVE PERMIT:

Replace an existing 90 ft of retaining wall on Pawtuckaway Lake, Nottingham.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans as received by the Department on September 08, 2006.
2. This permit shall not preclude the Department of Environmental Services (DES) from taking any enforcement action or revocation action if the DES later determines that these "existing structures" were not previously permitted or grandfathered.
3. Work shall be done during drawdown.
4. Area shall be regraded to original contours following completion of work.
5. Dredged material shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
6. Repair shall maintain existing size, location and configuration.
7. Retaining wall shall be constructed in the same footprint as the existing retaining wall.
8. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain in place until the area is stabilized. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.
9. All activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet).

With Findings:

1. This project is classified as a minimum impact project per Rule Env-Wt 303.04(v), repair of existing structures with no change in size, location or configuration.

FORESTRY NOTIFICATION

2006-02512 GUTOSKI, JENNIE
WINCHESTER Unnamed Stream

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:
Winchester Tax Map 9, Lot# 23

2006-02513 MAZZONE, SUSAN
PETERBOROUGH Unnamed Stream

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:
Peterboro Tax Map R6, Lot# 21

2006-02538 MANCHESTER WATER WORKS
MANCHESTER Unnamed Stream

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:
Manchester Tax Map/Lot# 759/2 & 3, 511/1,2,3 & 700/1-6

2006-02541 **SUDRABIN, STEVE**
GRAFTON Unnamed Stream

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:
Grafton Tax Map 17, Lot# 873

2006-02544 **GRANDMAISON, RENAUD**
NORTH STRATFORD Unnamed Stream

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:
No Stratford Tax Map R11, Lot# 3

2006-02546 **LARAMIE, ELLEN**
PEMBROKE Unnamed Stream

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:
Pembroke Tax Map 264, Lot# 77

2006-02548 **HERTEL SR, VAN**
DORCHESTER Unnamed Stream

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:
Dorchester Tax Map 3, Lot# 310 & 310-1

2006-02551 **SOCIETY FOR THE PROTECTION OF NH FORESTS**
BETHLEHEM Unnamed Stream

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:
Bethlehem Tax Map 402, Lot# 23

2006-02559 **CRONAN, LISA & TODD**
TAMWORTH Unnamed Stream

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:
Tamworth Tax map 204, Lot# 2

2006-02580 **LOOMIS, TOM**
LEMPSTER Unnamed Stream

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:
Lempster Tax Map 6, Lot# 259

2006-02586 **CANADAY, JOHN & CYNTHIA**
NEW LONDON Unnamed Stream

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:
New London Tax Map 107, Lot# 14

2006-02595 ROCK, ROBERT & SUSAN
MARLOW Unnamed Stream

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:
Marlow Tax Map 405, Lot# 12

EXPEDITED MINIMUM

2006-01954 JOHNSON, BRYAN
GILFORD Unnamed Wetland

Requested Action:
Dredge and fill 2669 square feet of wet meadow for access to a proposed 17 unit senior housing development.

APPROVE PERMIT:
Dredge and fill 2669 square feet of wet meadow for access to a proposed 17 unit senior housing development.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by Fluett Engineering dated July 2006, and revised through August 31, 2006 as received by the Department on October 6, 2006.
2. This permit is contingent on approval by the DES Site Specific Program.
3. This permit is contingent on approval by the DES Subsurface Systems Bureau.
4. There shall be no further alteration of wetlands for lot development, driveways, culverts, or for septic setback.
5. The deed which accompanies the sales transaction for each of the lots in this subdivision shall contain condition #4 of this approval.
6. This permit shall not be effective until it has been recorded with the Registry of Deeds Office by the Permittee. A copy of the registered permit shall be submitted to the DES Wetlands Bureau.
7. At least 48 hours prior to the start of construction, a pre-construction meeting shall be held with NHDES Land Resources Management Program staff at the project site or at the DES Office in Concord, NH to review the conditions of this wetlands permit and the NHDES Site Specific Permit. It shall be the responsibility of the permittee to schedule the pre-construction meeting, and the meeting shall be attended by the permittee, his/her professional engineer(s), wetlands scientist(s), and the contractor(s) responsible for performing the work.
8. Orange construction fencing shall be placed at the limits of construction to prevent accidental encroachment on wetlands.
9. Work shall be done during low flow.
10. Appropriate siltation/erosion controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain until the area is stabilized.
11. Dredged material shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
12. Proper headwalls shall be constructed within seven days of culvert installation.
13. Culvert outlets shall be protected in accordance with the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).
14. Within three days of final grading in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or

netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.

15. Where construction activities have been temporarily suspended within the growing season, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized within 14 days by seeding and mulching.

16. Where construction activities have been temporarily suspended outside the growing season, all exposed areas shall be stabilized within 14 days by mulching and tack. Slopes steeper than 3:1 shall be stabilized by matting and pinning.

17. Silt fencing must be removed once the area is stabilized.

18. The contractor responsible for completion of the work shall utilize techniques described in the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).

With Findings:

1. This is a minimum impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04(f), projects involving alteration of less than 3,000 square feet in swamps or wet meadows that are not in prime wetlands or do not meet the requirements of Wt 303.02(k), provided that no previous department permit has placed restrictions on the property of the applicant.
2. The wetland system crosses the entirety of the road frontage and a crossing is necessary to access the buildable portion of the property.
3. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01.
4. The roadway access must be across from the existing roadway "Hatch Drive", per the Gilford Planning board for public safety.
5. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
6. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(b) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.
7. The Department's jurisdiction ends at the edge of the delineated wetland.
8. The applicant has received an Alteration of Terrain permit WPS-7612, which addresses drainage, water quality and detention issues.
9. Condition 18 of this permit requires that the permittee utilize Best Management Practices.

**2006-02248 SWEETWATER HYDRO ECELCTRIC INC, GERRY STEVENS
HAVERHILL Ammonoosuc River**

Requested Action:

Repair a 4-foot high x 20-foot long x 3-foot wide eroded concrete portion of the existing Woodsville Dam.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Repair a 4-foot high x 20-foot long x 3-foot wide eroded concrete portion of the existing Woodsville Dam.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by Enel North America dated August 27, 2006, as received by the Department on September 01, 2006.
2. The applicant shall coordinate with the NH Fish and Game Department to confirm the appropriate times of year to conduct work.
3. There shall be no impacts to the Ammonoosuc River bed or bank for work space or access.
4. All activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet).
5. Appropriate siltation/erosion controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain until the area is stabilized. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.
6. Appropriate turbidity controls shall be installed prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction such that no turbidity escapes the immediate work area, and shall remain until suspended particles have settled and the water at the work site has returned to normal clarity.
7. Work shall be conducted in a manner so as to minimize turbidity and sedimentation.
8. There shall be no excavation or operation of construction equipment in flowing water.
9. All in-stream work shall be conducted in a manner that minimizes the duration of construction in the watercourse. In-stream work shall not exceed three consecutive weeks in total unless specifically authorized in writing by the DES Wetlands Bureau.
10. Construction equipment shall be inspected daily for leaking fuel, oil and hydraulic fluid.

11. The contractor shall have appropriate oil spill kits on site and readily accessible at all times during construction and each operator shall be trained in its use.
12. All refueling of equipment shall occur outside of surface waters or wetlands during construction.
13. Extreme precautions shall be taken within riparian areas to limit unnecessary removal of vegetation during construction and areas cleared of vegetation to be revegetated with native like species within three days of the completion of this project.
14. Within three days of final grading or temporary suspension of work in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
15. The applicant shall notify DES Wetlands Bureau in writing within twenty-four (24) hours of an erosion event resulting in sediment entering a wetland or surface water.

With Findings:

1. This is a minimum impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04(x), maintenance, repair, or replacement of a dam.
2. The applicant provided evidence that DES Dam Safety Program approval is not required.
3. The 6-inch drawdown is within the scope of the Woodsville Dam's normal operations.
4. The applicant informed the NH Fish and Game Department (NHFG) of the proposed project and drawdown in a email dated 09/29/2006.
5. The DES Wetlands Bureau contacted the NHFG Department on September 28, 2006 and October 13, 2006 regarding time of year restriction for the proposed work and drawdown.
6. No comments from NHFG were submitted.
7. This permit is contingent on the applicants' coordination with NHFG to confirm the appropriate time of year to conduct work.
8. The CJRC commented work shall be conducted at low flow and slurry should be kept out the Ammonoosuc River.
9. Concrete will be pumped to the repair area from uplands and repairs will be done by hand. 10. There will be no impacts to the Ammonoosuc River bed or bank for access, workspace, or repairs.
11. The Haverhill Conservation Commission signed the expedited application and did not submit comments.
12. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01.
13. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
14. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(b) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.

2006-02338 NYZ LANDHOLDINGS LLC
WINDHAM Unnamed Wetland

Requested Action:

Impact 2,193 square feet scrub shrub forested wetland for the installation of a 35-foot by 18-inch culvert for access to two single family residential lots.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

The Windham Conservation Commission signed the Minimum Impact Expedited Application.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Impact 2,193 square feet scrub shrub forested wetland for the installation of a 35-foot by 18-inch culvert for access to two single family residential lots.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by Edward N. Herbert Assoc. Inc., dated January 2006, as received by the Department on September 13, 2006.
2. This permit is contingent on approval by the DES Subsurface Systems Bureau.
3. There shall be no further alteration of wetlands for lot development, driveways, culverts, or for septic setback.
4. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain in place until the area is stabilized. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.

5. Work shall be done during seasonal low flow conditions.
6. Within three days of final grading or temporary suspension of work in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
7. The contractor responsible for completion of the work shall utilize techniques described in the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).

With Findings:

1. This is a minimum impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04(f), alteration of less than 3,000 square feet of forested wetland.
2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01, as the impacts are necessary for access to buildable uplands.
3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03, as the wetlands are crossed at the narrowest point.
4. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(b) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.

**2006-02342 OSSEO HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION
WOLFEBORO Lake Winnepesaukee**

Requested Action:

Repair an existing 8 ft by 101 ft permanent dock and associated piles with ten 2 ft by 20 ft permanent finger docks, accessed by a 4 ft by 16 ft walkway on Lake Winnepesaukee, Wolfeboro.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

Con Com signed Exp Application

APPROVE PERMIT:

Repair an existing 8 ft by 101 ft permanent dock and associated piles with ten 2 ft by 20 ft permanent finger docks, accessed by a 4 ft by 16 ft walkway on Lake Winnepesaukee, Wolfeboro.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by Lakeshore Construction dated September 29, 2006, as received by the Department on October 02, 2006.
2. This permit shall not be effective until it has been recorded with the Registry of Deeds Office by the Permittee. A copy of the registered permit shall be submitted to the DES Wetlands Bureau prior to construction.
3. This permit to replace or repair existing structures shall not preclude the Department of Environmental Services from taking any enforcement action or revocation action if the Department of Environmental Services later determines that these "existing structures" were not previously permitted or grandfathered.
4. Repair shall maintain existing size, location and configuration.
5. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, maintained during construction, and shall remain until the area is stabilized.
6. Work authorized shall be carried out such that discharges in spawning or nursery areas during spawning seasons shall be avoided, and impacts to such areas shall be avoided or minimized to the maximum extent practicable during all times of the year.
7. Work shall be carried out in a time and manner such that disturbance to migratory waterfowl breeding areas and spawning areas shall be avoided.
8. Additional expedited minimum impact applications shall be accepted for the subject property for a period of 12 months only if the applicant demonstrates by plan that the additional project(s) are wholly unrelated or separate from the original application and when considered with the original application are not classified as minor or major.
9. All activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet).

With Findings:

1. This project is classified as a minimum impact project per Rule Env-Wt 303.04(v), repair of existing structures with no change in size, location or configuration.

2006-02387 ARMSTRONG, RICHARD
WINDHAM Cobbetts Pond

Requested Action:

Replace retaining walls around a 10 ft x 18 ft dug-in basin on 75 ft of frontage on Cobbetts Pond in Windham.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Replace retaining walls around a 10 ft x 18 ft dug-in basin on 75 ft of frontage on Cobbetts Pond in Windham.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans by James Lavelle Associates dated October 17, 2002, as received by the Department on September 18, 2006.
2. This permit shall not be effective until it has been recorded with the Registry of Deeds Office by the Permittee. A copy of the registered permit shall be submitted to the DES Wetlands Bureau prior to construction.
3. Repairs shall maintain the existing size, location and configuration of the basin.
4. This permit to replace or repair existing structures shall not preclude the Department of Environmental Services from taking any enforcement action or revocation action if the Department of Environmental Services later determines that these "existing structures" were not previously permitted or grandfathered.
5. Appropriate siltation, erosion and turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, maintained during construction, and shall remain until the area is stabilized.
6. Work authorized shall be carried out such that discharges in spawning or nursery areas during spawning seasons shall be avoided, and impacts to such areas shall be avoided or minimized to the maximum extent practicable during all times of the year.
7. Work shall be carried out in a time and manner such that disturbance to migratory waterfowl breeding areas and spawning areas shall be avoided.
8. Additional expedited minimum impact applications shall be accepted for the subject property for a period of 12 months only if the applicant demonstrates by plan that the additional project(s) are wholly unrelated or separate from the original application and when considered with the original application are not classified as minor or major.
9. All construction related debris shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
10. This permit does not allow for maintenance dredging.
11. The applicant shall submit a detailed and dimensioned, as-built plan showing all structures on the frontage, including docks and watercraft lifts, within 6 months of the completion of the repairs.
12. All activity shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Shoreland Protection Act, RSA 483-B (see attached fact sheet).

With Findings:

1. This is a minimum impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04(v), In kind repair of existing structures.
2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01. 3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
4. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(b) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.

2006-02394 DIPIERRE, JOSEPH/BARBARA
LITTLETON Unnamed Stream

Requested Action:

Dredge and fill 600 square feet of wetlands along approximately 50 linear feet of intermittent stream to replace an existing failing wood culvert with a 24-inch x 40-foot HDPE culvert.

APPROVE PERMIT:

Dredge and fill 600 square feet of wetlands along approximately 50 linear feet of intermittent stream to replace an existing failing wood culvert with a 24-inch x 40-foot HDPE culvert.

With Conditions:

1. All work shall be in accordance with plans as received by the Department on September 25, 2006.
2. This permit is contingent on the removal of all debris from surface waters and wetlands.
3. Work shall be done during low flow conditions.
4. Work shall be conducted in a manner so as to minimize turbidity and sedimentation to surface waters and wetlands.
5. Appropriate siltation/erosion/turbidity controls shall be in place prior to construction, shall be maintained during construction, and remain until the area is stabilized. Silt fence(s) must be removed once the area is stabilized.
6. Dredged material shall be placed outside of the jurisdiction of the DES Wetlands Bureau.
7. Proper headwalls shall be constructed within seven days of culvert installation.
8. Culverts shall be laid at original grade.
9. The contractor responsible for completion of the work shall utilize techniques described in the DES Best Management Practices for Urban Stormwater Runoff Manual (January, 1996) and the Stormwater Management and Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Urban and Developing Areas in New Hampshire (August, 1992).
10. Within three days of final grading or temporary suspension of work in an area that is in or adjacent to wetlands or surface waters, all exposed soil areas shall be stabilized by seeding and mulching during the growing season, or if not within the growing season, by mulching with tack or netting and pinning on slopes steeper than 3:1.
11. Post-construction photographs documenting the status of the completed project shall be submitted to the DES Wetlands Bureau within 60 days of the completion of construction.

With Findings:

1. This is a minimum impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04(x), replacement of existing culvert.
2. The existing wood culvert is deteriorated and the road overhangs the culvert to the extent that the culvert is not visible.
3. Debris is a result of a fallen tree.
4. This permit is contingent upon the removal of all debris from surface waters and wetlands.
5. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01. 6. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
7. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(b) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.

TRAILS NOTIFICATION

2006-02511 BOYD D CHIVERS REVOC TRUST
CANDIA Unnamed Stream

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:
Candia Tax Map 409, Lot# 151

2006-02585 CHICHESTER CONCOM, TOWN OF
CHICHESTER Unnamed Wetland

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:

This area has been delineated, under very wet conditions feet will get wet. Under most conditions this area is dry per Jeff Andrews, Chichester ConCom.

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:
Chichester Tax Map 4, Lot# 8-1

2006-02603 BOW, TOWN OF
BOW Bow Bog Brook

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:
Bow Tax Map 34, Lot# 82

2006-02604 DRED
CLARKSVILLE Unnamed Stream

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:
Clarksville Tax Map R6, Lot# 2-2

LAKES-SEASONAL DOCK NOTIF

2006-02587 TYRIE WILCAUSKAS FAMILY TRUST, HEATHER S WILCAUSKA
SANBORNVILLE Great East Lake

COMPLETE NOTIFICATION:
Sanbornville NH Tax Map# 141 Lot# 1 Great East
Lake

ROADWAY MAINTENANCE NOTIF

2006-02577 NH DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION
NEWPORT Unnamed Stream

2006-02578 MAGUIRE, HARLEN
GILSUM Unnamed Stream

2006-02627 NH DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION
HENNIKER Unnamed Stream

PERMIT BY NOTIFICATION

2006-02336 LEE, DAVID
TUFTONBORO Lake Winnepesaukee

Requested Action:
Repair/Replace existing rip rapped shoreline.

PBN IS COMPLETE:
Repair/Replace existing rip rapped shoreline.

With Findings:
1. This project is classified as a minimum impact project per Rule Env-Wt 303.04(v), repair of existing structures with no change in size, location or configuration.

2006-02462 CLARK, DONALD
EAST KINGSTON Unnamed Stream

Requested Action:
Impact 224 square feet within the bed and banks of an intermittent stream to install an 18-inch culvert for access.

Conservation Commission/Staff Comments:
The East Kingston Conservation Commission signed the Permit By Notification

PBN IS COMPLETE:
Impact 224 square feet within the bed and banks of an intermittent stream to install an 18-inch culvert for access.

With Findings:
1. This is a minimum impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04(z) Installation of a culvert or bridge and associated fill to permit vehicular access to a piece of property for a single family building lot or for noncommercial recreational uses.

2006-02529 DEVANNA, RICHARD
ALTON Lake Winnepesaukee

Requested Action:
Repair/replace existing crib supported pier, install a 10 ft x 12 ft seasonal boatlift and two 6 ft x 6 ft seasonal PWC lifts.

PBN IS COMPLETE:
Repair/replace existing crib supported pier, install a 10 ft x 12 ft seasonal boatlift and two 6 ft x 6 ft seasonal PWC lifts.

With Findings:

1. This is a minimum impact project per Administrative Rule Env-Wt 303.04(ac),(ad), installation of a seasonal boatlift and installation of seasonal PWC lifts.
2. The need for the proposed impacts has been demonstrated by the applicant per Env-Wt 302.01. 3. The applicant has provided evidence which demonstrates that this proposal is the alternative with the least adverse impact to areas and environments under the department's jurisdiction per Env-Wt 302.03.
4. The applicant has demonstrated by plan and example that each factor listed in Env-Wt 302.04(b) Requirements for Application Evaluation, has been considered in the design of the project.