

Finemore, Kent

From: Liz Kotowski [lizknh@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, July 26, 2012 9:19 AM
To: Finemore, Kent
Cc: Burack, Thomas S
Subject: Pawtuckaway Lake Winter Drawdown Survey Results

Dear Mr. Finemore: As part of the comments due today regarding the Dam Bureau's proposed lake level changes to Pawtuckaway Lake, attached are the results of an online survey that members of the Pawtuckaway Lake Improvement Association conducted. We received 112 responses, most of them from people who own property on Pawtuckaway Lake. Responses to the open-ended questions can be found after the numerical tallies.

Six of the 11 questions were the same as the ones the Dam Bureau asked on its 2000 survey of Pawtuckaway property owners (see attached). Two additional questions asked about ownership and type of property. One question asked respondents to identify themselves and their addresses. One question asked specifically about DES's proposal to change the drawdown from 7' to 4.82' so that water can be released in the winter to meet instream flow goals for the Lamprey River. Although DES has only proposed one winter release so far, the question included the words "one or more times" with no numerical value because future scenarios might well include two smaller releases at different times.

Also attached is the 2000 Decision that former Commissioner Robert Varney made to continue the 7' drawdown. Sentiment among lake respondents is even stronger now than it was in 2000 to continue the 7' drawdown. People who own property on Pawtuckaway Lake have a lot at stake right now and clearly have not been convinced of the need for a change.

I ask that these survey results be reviewed and thoroughly considered by the Dam Bureau and Commissioner Burack as part of the decision-making process for the Pawtuckaway lake level investigation.

Thank you. Sincerely,

Liz Kotowski
14 Indian Run
Nottingham, NH 03290

1. Do you own property on Pawtuckaway Lake?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		96.4%	108
No		3.6%	4
answered question			112
skipped question			0

2. What kind of property do you own?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Year-round house		85.5%	94
Seasonal house		14.5%	16
Land only		0.9%	1
Not applicable		0.9%	1
answered question			110
skipped question			2

3. Do you think that the present 7-foot annual fall drawdown should be continued?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		95.5%	106
No		5.4%	6
answered question			111
skipped question			1

4. If yes, why?

	Response Count
	99
answered question	99
skipped question	13

5. If no, why not?

	Response Count
	11
answered question	11
skipped question	101

6. How far do you feel the lake should be drawn down during the winter?

		Response Percent	Response Count
7'		89.2%	99
6'		3.6%	4
5'		4.5%	5
Other		2.7%	3
	answered question		111
	skipped question		1

7. On what date should the drawdown begin in the fall?

**Response
Count**

106

answered question 106

skipped question 6

8. On what date should the drawdown be reached?

**Response
Count**

99

answered question 99

skipped question 13

9. On what date should the lake level be returned to its normal summer level?

**Response
Count**

103

answered question 103

skipped question 9

10. NH DES has proposed changing the fall drawdown on Pawtuckaway Lake from 7' to 4.82' so that stored water can be released one or more times over the winter to meet instream flow goals for the Lamprey River. Do you support that proposal?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		2.8%	3
No		97.2%	106
answered question			109
skipped question			3

11. Add any additional comments relating to the fall/winter drawdown here.

	Response Count
	65
answered question	65
skipped question	47

12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

		Response Percent	Response Count
Name:		100.0%	112
Address:		100.0%	112
City/Town:		100.0%	112
State:		100.0%	112
ZIP:		100.0%	112
		answered question	112
		skipped question	0

Q4. If yes, why?

1	Minimizes erosion due to ice, protection of property that could be damaged by ice, control of weeds, preservation of property value that could be impacted by the ice damage and weed infestation.	Jul 25, 2012 5:10 PM
2	It's always been fine in the past. If it isn't broke don't fix it.	Jul 25, 2012 4:07 PM
3	Docks have been built accordingly. The 7' drawdown has worked over many years.	Jul 25, 2012 2:49 PM
4	seems to work well	Jul 25, 2012 12:11 PM
5	To protect my dock which would be exposed to ice damage with a lesser draw down.	Jul 25, 2012 11:15 AM
6	If it works, don't try to fix it!	Jul 25, 2012 8:57 AM
7	The current drawdown leaves my dock free of the water and ice in the winter but only barely. A lesser drawdown will expose my dock to ice damage during the winter and spring. The Lamprey River does not need additional flow from Pawtuckaway during the winter beyond the natural winter inflow rate.	Jul 25, 2012 6:34 AM
8	The 7 ft drawdown has served the lake well - controlling weed growth and re-originating the water in springtime.	Jul 25, 2012 4:44 AM
9	water run off will allow for dock repair as necc it was built for the 7 ft draw down	Jul 25, 2012 4:25 AM
10	reduction of phosperous safer environment less damage to shoreline from ice	Jul 24, 2012 5:18 PM
11	Drawing the water down to 7 feet in the fall/winter allows owners to make repairs on their docks and retaining walls. We have one invasive species of plants in the lake that can only be removed in the late fall when the water is down 7 feet since they need to be dug out root and all before the snow flies. The current 7 foot draw down in the fall prevents damage to docks, retaining walls and any beaches and shoreline property from ice.	Jul 24, 2012 3:38 PM
12	Because ,it could become unsafe for use during the winter, if it thawed and refroze. We count on certain areas to be maintained by wildlife they eat certain weeds in areas,which is natures way of eliminating excess weeds. The revenue from the. State Park could be affected if safety becomes an issue. The possible destruction of property owners docks,leading to the devaluation of water front property.	Jul 24, 2012 2:23 PM
13	Best for the environment. Keeps weeds down. Helps to prevent ice damage/erosion to the shoreline. Minimizes damage to docks and other manmade structures that were built under the current 7 ft. Drawdown policy.	Jul 24, 2012 8:24 AM
14	to freeze weeds to repair docks and shoreline	Jul 24, 2012 7:51 AM
15	I like the fact that you can draw down the lake from the south dam or the north and flush the lake. It also helps kill the weds due to the frost and the freeze.	Jul 24, 2012 7:27 AM
16	To preserve the current ecosystem on the lake. I do not understand why the state is looking to change this other to ensure that the lake fills each Spring so they can perform summer draw downs to supply water downstream.	Jul 24, 2012 4:19 AM

Q4. If yes, why?

17	1) Prevent shoreline damage from ice 2) Prevent personal property damage from ice (primarily docks & boats) 3) Enable shoreline clean up 4) Enable repairs and maintenance of docks and retaining walls along the shoreline that would not be possible if the water level was higher 4) Enable us to attack invasive plants - we have been battling invasive plants for many years and the only way we have been able to keep them under control is to dig out the roots in the late fall before the snow falls and after the water level is at its lowest point.	Jul 23, 2012 6:04 PM
18	To preserve the health of the lake, to prevent destruction to personal property, and to prevent possible risks to winter users of the lake.	Jul 23, 2012 12:35 PM
19	This lets the alewife fish escape for their normal cycle. It allows homeowners to clean up invasive beach weeds during the fall. Once the lake freezes, dangerous conditions for ice fishermen, skaters, and ski-doo riders are created if the water level beneath the ice is lowered. The ice freezes randomly and treacherously around the rocks near the islands anyway, and lowering the water level after the freeze would make it worse for winter recreation safety.	Jul 23, 2012 11:26 AM
20	BECAUSE OF EXISTING DOCKS ETC.	Jul 23, 2012 9:16 AM
21	Historically the water rises quickly in the spring and I'm concerned about erosion and flooding. In the 20 years that I have been on the lake there has only been one incident of flooding and property damage with the current 7 foot drawdown.	Jul 23, 2012 5:43 AM
22	To prevent damage to our dock. After the Mother's Day storm, our dock was retrieved from a neighbor two doors down, and the supports were rebuilt at a substantial cost. We don't want to redesign and rebuild the dock to accommodate much less than a 7' draw down. A 6.5' draw down would be acceptable to us if that would be acceptable to better accommodate the fish	Jul 22, 2012 1:33 PM
23	Over the period of the last 12 years the drawdown at this level has prevented property damage and waterfront erosion from ice breaking up as well as unexpected spring flooding.	Jul 22, 2012 8:31 AM
24	TO SAFEGUARD DOCKS AND BEACHES....OUR PROPERTY VALUES WILL DIMINISH IMMEDIATELY UPON IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS PROPOSAL!!	Jul 22, 2012 5:56 AM
25	Allows for repairs of lakefront areas.	Jul 21, 2012 6:41 AM
26	Phosphorous is the main threat to the health and sustainability of Pawtuckaway as a diversified fishery and camping area. 7 foot drawdown flushes these collected nutrients out of the lake to a maximum ability to do so. the DES has over 20 years of testing and has funded two grants to address this issue. Where is the science to support the lesser drawdown management plan?	Jul 21, 2012 5:12 AM
27	Because the fall drawdown is necessary it is good for keeping up docks, refreshing the water,& the wildlife has become accustomed.	Jul 21, 2012 5:10 AM
28	Provides for good recreational use of the frozen lake in winter (skiing, snowshoeing etc). Facilitates maintenance of lakeside and docks. Also provides for some separation of snowmobiling activity and residents.	Jul 20, 2012 6:22 PM
29	Flushes Nutrients out of lake. Causes injury to underwater structures. Upsets	Jul 20, 2012 5:56 PM

Q4. If yes, why?

the ecology in the drawdown zone.

30	We've lived here since 1968 and the 7-foot drawdown has worked out just fine. Changing this would bring on the unknown and we haven't been given good answers on that so far, so just leave the lake alone.	Jul 20, 2012 2:52 PM
31	Most of the waterfront homes designed their shorelines and piers based on this annual event. The work required to maintain these properties rely on the ability to access these areas when the water is down. Property values will be impacted if the maintenance cannot be performed. If the DES fails to draw the lake down to the 7' level ice will damage piers, and in the spring there will be much floating debris which will cause hazards for boaters.	Jul 20, 2012 6:55 AM
32	Flushes lake better Alewife able to get out of lake and go back to sea Docks and retaining walls are designed for 7 foot drawdown for no ice damage. Less phosphate levels at 7 foot drawdown	Jul 20, 2012 6:15 AM
33	Protects shore line structures and control vegetation along the shore line.	Jul 20, 2012 4:00 AM
34	Kills invasive weeds. Prevents early spring flooding due to snow melt run off.	Jul 20, 2012 2:49 AM
35	Obvious reasons...vegetation management, dock and property upkeep, spring runoff control	Jul 19, 2012 7:19 PM
36	Allows work on and around docks	Jul 19, 2012 4:26 PM
37	It gives the residents an opportunity to do work on their shoreline that is inaccessible during spring and summer months.	Jul 19, 2012 2:36 PM
38	If the 7-foot drawdown is not continued, the resultant water level and ice will cause significant damage to existing properties, both docks and shore lines.. It will also prevent property owners from performing maintenance to existing docks and shore lines required each year.	Jul 19, 2012 2:00 PM
39	I believe that one big drawn down help keep phosphorous levels low.	Jul 19, 2012 1:38 PM
40	Provides time and conditions to fix dock and clean thw pontoons on the boat. For those with walls it provides the opportunity to fix their wall It also allows us to keep our pontoon boats by the dock because the current draw down leave the boat resting on the sand. This is a big savings as we don't have to buy or rent a trailer to take the boat out.	Jul 19, 2012 1:31 PM
41	It has proven to work well- People on the lake have adjusted to this for years now with NO adverse affects; The fish and animals and plant growth have adjust to this as well.	Jul 19, 2012 11:14 AM
42	Any less of a drawdown will cause expensive damage to docks.	Jul 19, 2012 7:31 AM
43	so maintenance can be done on our docks and have no spring flooding	Jul 18, 2012 6:57 PM
44	Because this system has worked for many years with no ill affects on the lake and any change will disrupt numerous issues.	Jul 18, 2012 6:14 PM
45	Protection of shoreland property from ice damage.	Jul 18, 2012 5:28 PM

Q4. If yes, why?

46	helps maintain overflow of property waterlines	Jul 18, 2012 4:07 PM
47	It allow for winter repairs to docks, etc.	Jul 18, 2012 11:07 AM
48	concerned about possible ice damage to dock and poperty if drawdown is less as proposed.	Jul 18, 2012 8:47 AM
49	Flushes lake better Alewife able to get out of lake and go back to sea Docks and retaining walls were designed for 7ft drawdown so we don't get ice damage. Less phosphate levels at 7 ft drawdown	Jul 18, 2012 7:50 AM
50	The dock was built with the current drawdown in mind. It is protected from winter ice damage	Jul 18, 2012 7:44 AM
51	Dock	Jul 18, 2012 7:38 AM
52	The 7-foot drawdown is sufficient to protect my dock from winter ice damage. It should be leftt unchanged.	Jul 18, 2012 7:38 AM
53	prevent damage to our dock, keep weeds in check	Jul 18, 2012 7:37 AM
54	The benefits of the drawdown are hypothetical while the drawbacks are real and can be economically and environmentally assessed. Such an assessment needs to take place before any action is taken.	Jul 18, 2012 7:02 AM
55	protect dock, kill weeds, protect stone retaining wall from ice damage	Jul 18, 2012 6:16 AM
56	Why change we have been doing for as long as I've been on the lake 40+ years !	Jul 18, 2012 6:03 AM
57	We the lake residents spend many hours preserving the lake in multiple ways and changing the draw down would cause unmeasured harm to the ecology of the lake.	Jul 18, 2012 5:43 AM
58	It is good to control algae and plant growth in the lake, as well as keep docks from being destroyed by floating ice.	Jul 18, 2012 5:08 AM
59	years of cooperation with DES has proven that it is beneficial to the health of the lake	Jul 18, 2012 3:58 AM
60	Having been on the lake for over 20 years, there have been very few occasions where fast rising spring lake levels did damage to our shoreline/dock or threatened our low-lying neighbors homes.	Jul 18, 2012 3:44 AM
61	Because it works ! A lot of lakefront properties have been developed based on this and it will be more destructive if the fall draw down is reduced to 4.82'.	Jul 18, 2012 3:09 AM
62	To postpone further eutrophication of the lake. Exposing the lake-bottom at the Fundy area to kill off invasives.	Jul 17, 2012 8:12 PM
63	my two dock.The cost to repair them	Jul 17, 2012 7:55 PM
64	Because no one has proved or shown any benefits or improvements by doing otherwise other than the town of Durham which hasn't shown any consideration for the people they want to take the water from!	Jul 17, 2012 7:32 PM

Q4. If yes, why?

65	Ability to clean up the beach area and swimming area Avoids floods when spring run off and rains start less damage to the retaining wall at the edge of the water	Jul 17, 2012 5:40 PM
66	my dock will be damaged if the lake isn't drawn down 7 feet.	Jul 17, 2012 1:19 PM
67	beach cleanup, dock damage	Jul 17, 2012 10:23 AM
68	control weed growth and prevent dock damage	Jul 17, 2012 10:18 AM
69	prevent dock damage.	Jul 17, 2012 9:53 AM
70	The risk of property damage and safety issues	Jul 17, 2012 6:20 AM
71	This has been the natural standard since the 1950/60's & everyone (including wild life) has become accustomed to it . It allows owners to clean their beaches, repair docks etc, & has frozen weed growth during the winter which has been great for the lake water quality. I question the ability of the dams to sustain high water freezing; shorter time period for lake to fill up during the spring; will lose this fresh new water earlier in the spring; & I question the effect on criters,wild life, weed growth etc.	Jul 17, 2012 6:04 AM
72	Plant and animal life will not be disrupted from the water level pattern that works to protect and preserve our wildlife. Shoreline structures will be protected from ice damage in all but the rarest occasions.	Jul 17, 2012 12:03 AM
73	right now at the 7 foot level works fine and has been doing so without major issues with docks and land around the shore. We want the one draw down. The Lamprey River never had much flow in the summertime, the lake goes down on its own as the hot months roll by, and now you want to take, gee, what is it, another 18 inches? Soon, if everyone makes decisions for those of us who actually LIVE here, our beach will begin out where our dock ends. What a plan!	Jul 16, 2012 9:01 PM
74	keeps downs exotic weeds	Jul 16, 2012 7:36 PM
75	It has been the standard, it is better for the weeds(control), everybody has built their docks to this depth. Raising it would result in a lot of property damage. We all know that this will lead to a summer draw down	Jul 16, 2012 5:55 PM
76	So we have water for all seasons.	Jul 16, 2012 5:28 PM
77	Dock damage will occur. Unsafe ice conditions will occur during winter releases,including possible dam breach.	Jul 16, 2012 4:54 PM
78	For Weed control & to prevent dock & shore line damage.	Jul 16, 2012 4:41 PM
79	1. Invasive weed control 2. Prevent ice damage to dock 3. Prevent ice damage to dams 4. Rock Wall Repair 5. I would not be able to pull up my pontoon boat 6. Would reduce property value 7. Keeps Ice safe for winter fishing, snowmobiling, and XC skiing 8. I don't think Lamprey river needs more water in the winter when amphibians and fish are in hibernation or semi hibernated and birds have migrated. 9. No winter release follows the natural patter of nature. After the draw down month the flow is exactly the same as a natural flow. 10. There have been many floods spring and fall in the downstream towns such as Dover. A release might cause this and it was not studies in the plan. 11. Pawtuckaway Lake	Jul 16, 2012 4:12 PM

Q4. If yes, why?

water should not be used because Dover has not even started any water conservation plan-The water would just be wasted. 12. With additional weed growth the loons may not nest here. 13. The effect on fish on the oxygen replenishment by the current 7 foot draw down turnover in the spring would not be the same. No one knows the effect because the water volume between 4.82 feet and 7 feet was not calculated

80	during spring floods it has saved the dam which was built in 1812 and is earth and rock. if it floods over the top it will be gone.	Jul 16, 2012 2:02 PM
81	Prevent invasive weeds. Help keep the water clean.	Jul 16, 2012 1:41 PM
82	I went to the meeting with state officials and the presentation was one sided. a) Emphasis was on making the lake 'like the others' The others in this area seem to be suffering, Emphasis on lack of diversity ("similarity across all lakes") in our state waterways in not something a scientist would recommend therefore other motivations are at play. b) property damage will occur c) fish released to feed salmon will be reduced d) no reason was given as to why it should occur other than the benefit of reducing environmental diversity (again, lack of diversity is not healthy) e) reason was 'to return the lake to it's normal state' its normal state is a river	Jul 16, 2012 1:28 PM
83	I have been here since 1968 and what has been done for all these years has worked out very well for all of us in regards to the water levels.	Jul 16, 2012 1:23 PM
84	The "Weed Watchers" are doing a great and courageous job trying to keep our Lake free of exotic weeds. Lowering the water level to 7 feet gives them the opportunity to pull the roots and keep our Lake "Alive". The Pawtuckaway State Park thrives on a "clean and beautiful" Lake and has a vested interest in keeping it alive for the many tourists both in summer and winter. Please do not lose sight of their interest in the Lake. We have many fishing tournaments that depend on a vibrant Lake. The active draw down to 7 feet enhances the chances for better fishing with better feeding grounds. By taking the level down 7 feet, we then have room for the swell of the Lake in the Spring should flood waters rise, which they did a few years ago. The spring rains brought the levels up to flood and destroyed many embankments, retaining walls, and caused damage to docks. Lower levels of homes were flooded.	Jul 16, 2012 10:48 AM
85	To stop damages to the docks and have a time to repair them also. Also to tend to any walls or problems that are near the lake line.	Jul 16, 2012 8:39 AM
86	It's a process that works based on historical best practices for this lake.	Jul 16, 2012 8:18 AM
87	Decreasing the drawdown in the winter will definately cause my dock to be damaged. It was built in 2006 and was based on the 7 foot drawdown.	Jul 16, 2012 8:06 AM
88	It seems to have worked well for many years so why change it.	Jul 16, 2012 7:18 AM
89	Weed Growth Dock repairs Ice fishing safety	Jul 16, 2012 7:08 AM
90	It's the best environmentally and keeps algae blooms at bay; has always worked well.	Jul 16, 2012 6:30 AM

Q4. If yes, why?

91	Exotic weed control, shoreline protection, winter sport safety, dock preservation.	Jul 16, 2012 6:00 AM
92	keeps the weeds down	Jul 16, 2012 5:34 AM
93	There is insufficient scientific data to support the need for a winter release and the probable damage to lake ecology and lakefront property improvements from greater winter water retention is more readily demonstrable. In short, the latter far outweighs the former.	Jul 16, 2012 5:32 AM
94	Allow repairs and staining (maintenance) to my dock, plus to protect it from frost heaves.	Jul 15, 2012 5:50 PM
95	Historically supported	Jul 15, 2012 5:29 PM
96	My dock has been damaged by ice four times in the past 10 years, requiring replacement of support posts and decking. Even when it is not damaged, it is pushed out of alignment by spring floating ice and requires significant annual maintenance to make it usable. If the 7' drawdown is not continued, we will be forced to disassemble dock every fall, and re-install after "ice out". This annual shoreline construction would not in the best interest of the lake environment.	Jul 15, 2012 2:51 PM
97	It has become, as a function of long history, the defacto "normal", and all species, etc, have adapted or mutated to those conditions.	Jul 15, 2012 2:49 PM
98	Pawtuckaway Lake's watershed is very large. The current drawdown level of 7' minimizes flooding of property in the spring. It also allows our dock to stay clear of winter ice and keeps weed growth down.	Jul 15, 2012 2:26 PM
99	Why are we messing with something that has been natural to the habitat both in the lake and river for at least 50 yrs. All waterfront structures are built to the 7ft drawdown so as not to get ice damage.	Jul 15, 2012 2:15 PM

Q5. If no, why not?

1	N/A	Jul 25, 2012 8:57 AM
2	more studies need to be done we need the 7 ft drawdown if and when we get milfoil in our lake !	Jul 25, 2012 12:47 AM
3	the bottom of lake have a chance to freeze	Jul 23, 2012 11:56 AM
4	--	Jul 23, 2012 11:26 AM
5	DES has not addressed the plan for a summer drawdown at all. How can this proceed without study of consequences?	Jul 21, 2012 5:12 AM
6	5 feet should be more than sufficient to protect docks and waterfronts during the ice out time	Jul 20, 2012 5:56 PM
7	The proposed drawdown seems reasonable	Jul 20, 2012 8:09 AM
8	the 7 ft. draw down leaves almost no water in front of our property	Jul 19, 2012 8:41 AM
9	Wind may push spring ice surrounding dock causing dock to move off it's foundation.	Jul 19, 2012 8:03 AM
10	Maintain water level for health of the lake and wildlife. Drawing down 7 feet is too much especially when there is a possible drought.	Jul 16, 2012 9:42 AM
11	If there are legitimated needs to draw down then no more than 1 ft.	Jul 16, 2012 7:18 AM

Q7. On what date should the drawdown begin in the fall?

1	Nov.1	Jul 25, 2012 5:10 PM
2	Columbus Day	Jul 25, 2012 4:07 PM
3	10/15	Jul 25, 2012 2:49 PM
4	afer i leave.	Jul 25, 2012 12:11 PM
5	mid October	Jul 25, 2012 11:15 AM
6	After Columbus Day	Jul 25, 2012 8:57 AM
7	The current fall drawdown date is fine.	Jul 25, 2012 6:34 AM
8	Late October.	Jul 25, 2012 4:44 AM
9	oct 15	Jul 25, 2012 4:25 AM
10	October 30	Jul 24, 2012 5:18 PM
11	After Columbus Day weekend is over.	Jul 24, 2012 3:38 PM
12	Existing date	Jul 24, 2012 2:23 PM
13	November 1	Jul 24, 2012 8:24 AM
14	10-15	Jul 24, 2012 7:51 AM
15	The draw down should begin at the end of October	Jul 24, 2012 7:27 AM
16	Mid October	Jul 24, 2012 4:19 AM
17	Day after Columbus day	Jul 23, 2012 6:04 PM
18	I support the present dates for this as it has successfully maintained the health of the lake.	Jul 23, 2012 12:35 PM
19	october 15	Jul 23, 2012 11:56 AM
20	continue the same plan - Columbus weekend	Jul 23, 2012 11:26 AM
21	SAME AS ALWAYS	Jul 23, 2012 9:16 AM
22	The current schedule has been working	Jul 23, 2012 5:43 AM
23	Whatever date so that the level would at least be 6.5' down prior to a freeze-over that would affect our dock.	Jul 22, 2012 1:33 PM
24	October 12th	Jul 22, 2012 8:31 AM
25	MID - OCT.	Jul 22, 2012 5:56 AM
26	Before danger of first snowfall - usually October	Jul 21, 2012 6:41 AM

Q7. On what date should the drawdown begin in the fall?

27	After Columbus Day	Jul 21, 2012 5:44 AM
28	Nov.1	Jul 21, 2012 5:12 AM
29	November 1st	Jul 21, 2012 5:10 AM
30	mid-October	Jul 20, 2012 6:22 PM
31	Just after columbus day weekend	Jul 20, 2012 5:56 PM
32	Nov 1	Jul 20, 2012 5:56 PM
33	Somewhere in October, as always.	Jul 20, 2012 2:52 PM
34	?	Jul 20, 2012 8:09 AM
35	Third week of October.	Jul 20, 2012 6:55 AM
36	Oct 10	Jul 20, 2012 6:15 AM
37	Columbus day	Jul 20, 2012 4:00 AM
38	October 20	Jul 20, 2012 2:49 AM
39	Mid to late October	Jul 19, 2012 7:19 PM
40	October 1st	Jul 19, 2012 4:26 PM
41	October 15	Jul 19, 2012 2:36 PM
42	October 15	Jul 19, 2012 2:00 PM
43	same	Jul 19, 2012 1:38 PM
44	October 12th	Jul 19, 2012 1:31 PM
45	no change	Jul 19, 2012 11:14 AM
46	first week in November	Jul 19, 2012 8:41 AM
47	Same as in the past.	Jul 19, 2012 8:03 AM
48	The existing schedule works well.	Jul 19, 2012 7:31 AM
49	Oct 15th	Jul 18, 2012 6:57 PM
50	November 1	Jul 18, 2012 6:14 PM
51	October 15th	Jul 18, 2012 5:28 PM
52	November-late	Jul 18, 2012 4:07 PM
53	Columbus Day weekend seems to work just fine.	Jul 18, 2012 11:07 AM

Q7. On what date should the drawdown begin in the fall?

54	About October 15th	Jul 18, 2012 8:47 AM
55	Oct 10	Jul 18, 2012 7:50 AM
56	Mid-October seems reasonable.	Jul 18, 2012 7:44 AM
57	Columbus Day	Jul 18, 2012 7:38 AM
58	Around Columbus Day is OK.	Jul 18, 2012 7:38 AM
59	end of oct	Jul 18, 2012 7:37 AM
60	Typically after 10/1. There has been no demonstrable need to change that date.	Jul 18, 2012 7:02 AM
61	Oct. 15	Jul 18, 2012 6:16 AM
62	Oct 12	Jul 18, 2012 6:03 AM
63	After Columbus Day weekend	Jul 18, 2012 5:43 AM
64	October 31	Jul 18, 2012 5:08 AM
65	keep the current proven schedule	Jul 18, 2012 3:58 AM
66	The current system appears to be working	Jul 18, 2012 3:44 AM
67	About October 15.	Jul 18, 2012 3:09 AM
68	Columbus day weekend - in order to achieve desired level at a reasonable rate	Jul 17, 2012 8:12 PM
69	November	Jul 17, 2012 7:55 PM
70	October 25th	Jul 17, 2012 7:32 PM
71	Columbus Day	Jul 17, 2012 5:40 PM
72	late october	Jul 17, 2012 1:19 PM
73	11/1	Jul 17, 2012 10:23 AM
74	oct 15	Jul 17, 2012 10:18 AM
75	november	Jul 17, 2012 9:53 AM
76	September 15	Jul 17, 2012 6:04 AM
77	mid-October	Jul 17, 2012 12:03 AM
78	around Columbus Day	Jul 16, 2012 9:01 PM
79	Columbus Day	Jul 16, 2012 7:36 PM
80	October 31	Jul 16, 2012 7:25 PM

Q7. On what date should the drawdown begin in the fall?

81	The current schedule is the norm	Jul 16, 2012 5:55 PM
82	November 1st	Jul 16, 2012 5:28 PM
83	Columbus Day	Jul 16, 2012 4:54 PM
84	After Columbus Day Holiday	Jul 16, 2012 4:41 PM
85	About Oct 15 same as present plan	Jul 16, 2012 4:12 PM
86	nov 1	Jul 16, 2012 2:02 PM
87	The current schedule. No changes	Jul 16, 2012 1:41 PM
88	as usual	Jul 16, 2012 1:28 PM
89	October 12	Jul 16, 2012 1:23 PM
90	Between Oct 5 & 15	Jul 16, 2012 10:48 AM
91	It usually is the day following Columbus day and that works for me.	Jul 16, 2012 8:39 AM
92	After Columbus Day	Jul 16, 2012 8:18 AM
93	after columbus day.	Jul 16, 2012 8:06 AM
94	October 31st	Jul 16, 2012 7:18 AM
95	same as it is now	Jul 16, 2012 7:08 AM
96	10-13	Jul 16, 2012 6:30 AM
97	Same as it has been, mid October.	Jul 16, 2012 6:00 AM
98	Nov. 10	Jul 16, 2012 5:34 AM
99	That should continue to be determined by the Dam Management Dept. in keeping with past practices based on water levels and other considerations such as weather.	Jul 16, 2012 5:32 AM
100	October	Jul 16, 2012 5:28 AM
101	Early to mid October, when it is still (hopefully) warm enough for activites outlined in #4 above.	Jul 15, 2012 5:50 PM
102	nov 1	Jul 15, 2012 5:29 PM
103	After Columbus Day.	Jul 15, 2012 2:51 PM
104	Approx. Oct. 15	Jul 15, 2012 2:49 PM
105	Fine as it is, right after Columbus Day Weekend in October.	Jul 15, 2012 2:26 PM
106	end of september	Jul 15, 2012 2:15 PM

Q8. On what date should the drawdown be reached?

1	Nov.30	Jul 25, 2012 5:10 PM
2	11/15	Jul 25, 2012 4:07 PM
3	11/15	Jul 25, 2012 2:49 PM
4	your call	Jul 25, 2012 12:11 PM
5	early December	Jul 25, 2012 11:15 AM
6	Unsure	Jul 25, 2012 8:57 AM
7	The current date of drawdown is fine. Before December.	Jul 25, 2012 6:34 AM
8	Thanksgiving.	Jul 25, 2012 4:44 AM
9	before the water freeez	Jul 25, 2012 4:25 AM
10	November 30	Jul 24, 2012 5:18 PM
11	When it is down 7 feet!	Jul 24, 2012 3:38 PM
12	Existing Date	Jul 24, 2012 2:23 PM
13	November 30	Jul 24, 2012 8:24 AM
14	11-05	Jul 24, 2012 7:51 AM
15	December	Jul 24, 2012 7:27 AM
16	Early November	Jul 24, 2012 4:19 AM
17	Thanksgiving	Jul 23, 2012 6:04 PM
18	See above comment	Jul 23, 2012 12:35 PM
19	november	Jul 23, 2012 11:56 AM
20	-	Jul 23, 2012 11:26 AM
21	The current schedule has been working	Jul 23, 2012 5:43 AM
22	The day before a freeze-over	Jul 22, 2012 1:33 PM
23	Depends on the level at the start of drawdown.	Jul 22, 2012 8:31 AM
24	THAT SHOULD BE ALLOWED TO VARY DEPENDING ON WEATHER CONDITIONS	Jul 22, 2012 5:56 AM
25	As soon as you reach the 7' drawdown level	Jul 21, 2012 6:41 AM
26	Before ice over	Jul 21, 2012 5:44 AM
27	Jan 1	Jul 21, 2012 5:12 AM

Q8. On what date should the drawdown be reached?

28	November 21st	Jul 21, 2012 5:10 AM
29	November 1	Jul 20, 2012 6:22 PM
30	ASAP	Jul 20, 2012 5:56 PM
31	Nov 10	Jul 20, 2012 5:56 PM
32	By end of December	Jul 20, 2012 2:52 PM
33	?	Jul 20, 2012 8:09 AM
34	Pawtuckaway is a shallow lake. It should not take a great deal of time to lower the lake.	Jul 20, 2012 6:55 AM
35	not sure	Jul 20, 2012 4:00 AM
36	November 20	Jul 20, 2012 2:49 AM
37	last week November	Jul 19, 2012 7:19 PM
38	November 15	Jul 19, 2012 2:36 PM
39	November 15	Jul 19, 2012 2:00 PM
40	same	Jul 19, 2012 1:38 PM
41	April 1st	Jul 19, 2012 1:31 PM
42	no change	Jul 19, 2012 11:14 AM
43	asap	Jul 19, 2012 8:41 AM
44	Dec 1st	Jul 19, 2012 8:03 AM
45	See #7	Jul 19, 2012 7:31 AM
46	Nov 15th	Jul 18, 2012 6:57 PM
47	December 1	Jul 18, 2012 6:14 PM
48	November 15th	Jul 18, 2012 5:28 PM
49	when level is reached	Jul 18, 2012 4:07 PM
50	About Nov. 10th	Jul 18, 2012 8:47 AM
51	By mid-November.	Jul 18, 2012 7:44 AM
52	Thanksgiving	Jul 18, 2012 7:38 AM
53	Sometime in early November.	Jul 18, 2012 7:38 AM
54	end of nov	Jul 18, 2012 7:37 AM

Q8. On what date should the drawdown be reached?

55	Early November.	Jul 18, 2012 7:02 AM
56	Oct.30	Jul 18, 2012 6:16 AM
57	Nov 30	Jul 18, 2012 6:03 AM
58	The date is less important than that the drawdown be done in a measured manner to not overwhelm down stream.	Jul 18, 2012 5:43 AM
59	November 30	Jul 18, 2012 5:08 AM
60	keep the current proven schedule	Jul 18, 2012 3:58 AM
61	The current system appears to be working	Jul 18, 2012 3:44 AM
62	About November 15.	Jul 18, 2012 3:09 AM
63	Mid-December	Jul 17, 2012 8:12 PM
64	15	Jul 17, 2012 7:55 PM
65	On whatever date the drawdown is completed. How can a date be fixed if you don't know the amounts of rain especially when it's during hurricane season which doesn't end until after November.	Jul 17, 2012 7:32 PM
66	Mld Nov	Jul 17, 2012 5:40 PM
67	late november	Jul 17, 2012 1:19 PM
68	11/30	Jul 17, 2012 10:23 AM
69	nov 15	Jul 17, 2012 10:18 AM
70	november	Jul 17, 2012 9:53 AM
71	October 15	Jul 17, 2012 6:04 AM
72	well before solid ice forms	Jul 17, 2012 12:03 AM
73	whenever it meets the agreed upon limit	Jul 16, 2012 9:01 PM
74	Thanksgiving	Jul 16, 2012 7:36 PM
75	November 7	Jul 16, 2012 7:25 PM
76	November 30th	Jul 16, 2012 5:28 PM
77	7 weeks after initial start,1 foot per week	Jul 16, 2012 4:54 PM
78	Last week of November - before a chance for freeze	Jul 16, 2012 4:41 PM
79	By Nov 15 th	Jul 16, 2012 4:12 PM
80	buy dec 1	Jul 16, 2012 2:02 PM

Q8. On what date should the drawdown be reached?

81	The current schedule. No changes	Jul 16, 2012 1:41 PM
82	gradual as determined by the experts in water flow	Jul 16, 2012 1:28 PM
83	Dec 1	Jul 16, 2012 1:23 PM
84	Late Oct	Jul 16, 2012 10:48 AM
85	not sure	Jul 16, 2012 8:18 AM
86	by thanksgiving.	Jul 16, 2012 8:06 AM
87	December 1st	Jul 16, 2012 7:18 AM
88	same as it is now	Jul 16, 2012 7:08 AM
89	4-15	Jul 16, 2012 6:30 AM
90	1 ft per week until 7 ft reached.	Jul 16, 2012 6:00 AM
91	shortly thereafter	Jul 16, 2012 5:34 AM
92	See above.	Jul 16, 2012 5:32 AM
93	November	Jul 16, 2012 5:28 AM
94	Before the end of October	Jul 15, 2012 5:50 PM
95	dec 1	Jul 15, 2012 5:29 PM
96	Before the lake develops ice. Even small amounts of floating ice moves around from the wind and causes damage.	Jul 15, 2012 2:51 PM
97	Per historical experiences.	Jul 15, 2012 2:49 PM
98	December 1	Jul 15, 2012 2:26 PM
99	early october	Jul 15, 2012 2:15 PM

Q9. On what date should the lake level be returned to its normal summer level?

1	April 1st	Jul 25, 2012 5:10 PM
2	5/1	Jul 25, 2012 4:07 PM
3	5/15	Jul 25, 2012 2:49 PM
4	memorial day	Jul 25, 2012 12:11 PM
5	mid April	Jul 25, 2012 11:15 AM
6	When the ice is out.	Jul 25, 2012 8:57 AM
7	After the winter ice cover is melted	Jul 25, 2012 6:34 AM
8	middle of April	Jul 25, 2012 4:44 AM
9	april	Jul 25, 2012 4:25 AM
10	April 1	Jul 24, 2012 5:18 PM
11	Probably should start as soon as the ice is melted.	Jul 24, 2012 3:38 PM
12	Existing Date	Jul 24, 2012 2:23 PM
13	April 30	Jul 24, 2012 8:24 AM
14	5-1	Jul 24, 2012 7:51 AM
15	April 15th	Jul 24, 2012 7:27 AM
16	Beginning of April	Jul 24, 2012 4:19 AM
17	May 1st	Jul 23, 2012 6:04 PM
18	See above comment	Jul 23, 2012 12:35 PM
19	april 30	Jul 23, 2012 11:56 AM
20	continue the same plan	Jul 23, 2012 11:26 AM
21	SAME AS ALWAYS	Jul 23, 2012 9:16 AM
22	The current schedule has been working	Jul 23, 2012 5:43 AM
23	Whenever the ice is out and further freezing is not expected.	Jul 22, 2012 1:33 PM
24	April 1st.	Jul 22, 2012 8:31 AM
25	SEE #8	Jul 22, 2012 5:56 AM
26	After the danger of snowfall - after April	Jul 21, 2012 6:41 AM
27	May 1	Jul 21, 2012 5:44 AM

Q9. On what date should the lake level be returned to its normal summer level?

28	April 30. refill should begin gradually in late February and continue gradually until ice out. Once ice out is achieved, all the boards should go in.	Jul 21, 2012 5:12 AM
29	May 1st	Jul 21, 2012 5:10 AM
30	early April	Jul 20, 2012 6:22 PM
31	So it fills before Memorial day	Jul 20, 2012 5:56 PM
32	May 1st	Jul 20, 2012 5:56 PM
33	By something in April	Jul 20, 2012 2:52 PM
34	?	Jul 20, 2012 8:09 AM
35	The second or third week of April boards should be placed in the dams to bring lake level up to normal summer levels by the end of April.	Jul 20, 2012 6:55 AM
36	June 1	Jul 20, 2012 4:00 AM
37	By May 1	Jul 20, 2012 2:49 AM
38	mid to late April	Jul 19, 2012 7:19 PM
39	April 1st	Jul 19, 2012 4:26 PM
40	April 15	Jul 19, 2012 2:36 PM
41	No later than May 1	Jul 19, 2012 2:00 PM
42	this depends upon snow levels	Jul 19, 2012 1:38 PM
43	April 30th. This year it took until mid May to return to normal levels.	Jul 19, 2012 1:31 PM
44	no change	Jul 19, 2012 11:14 AM
45	15March	Jul 19, 2012 8:41 AM
46	Same as in the past	Jul 19, 2012 8:03 AM
47	See #7	Jul 19, 2012 7:31 AM
48	April 15th	Jul 18, 2012 6:57 PM
49	April 30	Jul 18, 2012 6:14 PM
50	April 15th	Jul 18, 2012 5:28 PM
51	ice out and mountain has shed its water someone needs to check and implement the boards	Jul 18, 2012 4:07 PM
52	By the beginning of May.	Jul 18, 2012 11:07 AM
53	May 15th	Jul 18, 2012 8:47 AM

Q9. On what date should the lake level be returned to its normal summer level?

54	May 1	Jul 18, 2012 7:44 AM
55	April 15	Jul 18, 2012 7:38 AM
56	April 15 to May 1.	Jul 18, 2012 7:38 AM
57	may 1	Jul 18, 2012 7:37 AM
58	At least by the end of April, although in years past weather conditions have made for an earlier full pond.	Jul 18, 2012 7:02 AM
59	start early enough to have it full by April 30	Jul 18, 2012 6:16 AM
60	May 1st	Jul 18, 2012 6:03 AM
61	Boards should be put in as early as possible to start catching water without causing ice to damage shoreline.	Jul 18, 2012 5:43 AM
62	May 1	Jul 18, 2012 5:08 AM
63	keep the current proven schedule	Jul 18, 2012 3:58 AM
64	The current system appears to be working. There was only one occasion in 20 years that there was not enough water in the lake by May	Jul 18, 2012 3:44 AM
65	About April 1,if the ice is out.	Jul 18, 2012 3:09 AM
66	Best determined by Climatologists - "full lake" should be achieve coincident with the trailing off of spring 'runoff'	Jul 17, 2012 8:12 PM
67	April 1st	Jul 17, 2012 7:55 PM
68	April 25th	Jul 17, 2012 7:32 PM
69	By early to mid June	Jul 17, 2012 5:40 PM
70	late april	Jul 17, 2012 1:19 PM
71	4/15	Jul 17, 2012 10:23 AM
72	april 30	Jul 17, 2012 10:18 AM
73	may	Jul 17, 2012 9:53 AM
74	April 9	Jul 17, 2012 6:04 AM
75	mid to late April when all thick ice danger is gone and before the loons build their nests	Jul 17, 2012 12:03 AM
76	By Memorial Day	Jul 16, 2012 9:01 PM
77	April 1	Jul 16, 2012 7:36 PM
78	May 15	Jul 16, 2012 7:25 PM

Q9. On what date should the lake level be returned to its normal summer level?

79	May 1st	Jul 16, 2012 5:28 PM
80	Right after ice out	Jul 16, 2012 4:54 PM
81	By May 1	Jul 16, 2012 4:41 PM
82	Depends on the snow pack. Normally by 30 April	Jul 16, 2012 4:12 PM
83	april15	Jul 16, 2012 2:02 PM
84	The current schedule. No changes	Jul 16, 2012 1:41 PM
85	during winter run off	Jul 16, 2012 1:28 PM
86	Apr 15	Jul 16, 2012 1:23 PM
87	Certainly by June 1	Jul 16, 2012 10:48 AM
88	I think it should be early as sometimes we do not received all the rain we should and if we do they can always let the spill over go down stream	Jul 16, 2012 8:39 AM
89	not sure	Jul 16, 2012 8:18 AM
90	by may 1.	Jul 16, 2012 8:06 AM
91	March 31st	Jul 16, 2012 7:18 AM
92	same as it is now	Jul 16, 2012 7:08 AM
93	5-1	Jul 16, 2012 6:30 AM
94	Boards placed immediately after ice out.	Jul 16, 2012 6:00 AM
95	Depends on the winter precipitation	Jul 16, 2012 5:34 AM
96	See above. The Dam Management people, in conjunction with informed lake residents' input, have adequately handled this in the past.	Jul 16, 2012 5:32 AM
97	April	Jul 16, 2012 5:28 AM
98	Memorial Day weekend or sooner.	Jul 15, 2012 5:50 PM
99	apr 15	Jul 15, 2012 5:29 PM
100	After "ice out". Raising the level while the lake has floating ice is a major cause of dock damage. A 5.5' draw down is necessary to keep my dock clear from ice. However, I support raising the lake regardless of ice to ensure we are within 1' of normal levels by May 15th.	Jul 15, 2012 2:51 PM
101	Nature will determine that.	Jul 15, 2012 2:49 PM
102	May 1	Jul 15, 2012 2:26 PM
103	After ice out.	Jul 15, 2012 2:15 PM

Q11. Add any additional comments relating to the fall/winter drawdown here.

1	The meeting held with the state to discuss the winter draw down was very misleading. The state knew full well that there is also a summer draw down being proposed, but they refused to even acknowledge that. Stop the BS and provide all of the facts/ plans, including that part of this draw down of the lake is to support Durham water supply. We pay our state workers, at a minimum they should be up front with us.	Jul 25, 2012 5:10 PM
2	Don't upset the status quo.	Jul 25, 2012 4:07 PM
3	No summer draw down. Only winter draw down. If there is a summer drawdown lake residents should be notified prior to.	Jul 25, 2012 2:49 PM
4	the level of the lake is too low as it is.	Jul 25, 2012 12:11 PM
5	Past history of the 7' drawdown indicates that it has proven to be effective, safe, and enviromentaly friendly. Running a unproven "Pilot Program" will adversely affect all inhabitants of the lake.	Jul 25, 2012 8:57 AM
6	The Lamprey River instream flow goals are not worthy of this modification. Pawtuckaway Lake continues to receive stream inflow all year and this amount is essentially what nature has decided is the amount the Lamprey River should receive from this watershed. There is no justification for additional mid-winter supply beyond this natural amount.	Jul 25, 2012 6:34 AM
7	I am concerned that weeds will take over the lake. As a warm water lake, week proliferate in the summer, as can be seen this year with the lack of rainfall and rising water temperatures. If weeds get a foothold early because the drawdown is decreased, the lake will become choked with weeds. I am also concerned that a drawdown in the winter will prove dangerous to people who use the lake in winter for recreation - ice fishing, cross country skiing, skating, snowmobiling, etc.	Jul 25, 2012 4:44 AM
8	and the water should not be drawn down in the summer as this will endanger the summer boat traffic as the rocks will be evident	Jul 25, 2012 4:25 AM
9	the winter release is only once according to the plan	Jul 25, 2012 12:47 AM
10	emergency release can be achieved by drawing down the lake further than the 7' level if necessary.	Jul 24, 2012 5:18 PM
11	The way it is done now works. Please do not mess with it!	Jul 24, 2012 3:38 PM
12	My belief is that nothing should be changed.	Jul 24, 2012 2:23 PM
13	The state should be financially responsible for financial losses that residents incur due to any change to the winter draw down.	Jul 24, 2012 8:24 AM
14	I think that is important to maintian the health of the lake. It seems like we are taking a chance at harming the health of the lake and cause damage to the lake that can not be reversed. What would be the summer draw down level if the lake is kept higher in the winter months.	Jul 24, 2012 7:27 AM
15	Until scientific research determines what effects this will possibly have on the lake and that down stream conservation is not a better option, I will oppose this	Jul 23, 2012 12:35 PM

Q11. Add any additional comments relating to the fall/winter drawdown here.

drawdown.

16	DES has failed to explain why they are proposing this drawdown (winter and/or summer), and we have heard no scientific facts. If indeed Durham's water treatment plant and UNH need more water, they should drill more wells! They should tap into the underground water supply under Durham, and not rob Pawtuckaway Lake or the Lamprey River. Surely the scientists and engineers at UNH and Durham town officials can create a plan to build a dam somewhere in Durham, or drill into the aquifer. I'm hoping there is no carrot of grant money or promised research money or some backroom deal that is shaping DES' decision to drawdown Pawtuckaway to satisfy Durham. My waterfront property on Mountain Brook cove is for sale. Prospective buyers will drive away fast if they keep reading in the newspaper that the state intends to fiddle with the level of the lake. Nottingham's lake properties and Pawtuckaway State Park itself will be ruined if the lake turns into a marsh. Let Durham solve their own water problems, and leave Pawtuckaway and the Lamprey River alone. Thank you for your attention.	Jul 23, 2012 11:26 AM
17	The ice on the lake will be unstable and unusable for winter activities. Will warning signs be posted all over the lake to let residents and visitors know that there has been a drawdown rendering the ice unstable?	Jul 23, 2012 5:43 AM
18	I am not a fisherman, but I certainly don't want to negatively affect our fish population. Noting the number of fishermen that are drawn to our lake over the summer, I would guess the fish population is quite healthy. If don't understand how the lack of water affects the level of fish food available. If the north end of the lake is 40 feet deep or so, does the 7 feet we take away really seriously affect the fish population? I guess I don't understand the need of this issue and why it is coming to life now.	Jul 22, 2012 1:33 PM
19	I have concerns about drawing water out once there is "safe" ice cover on the lake. I live at 36 Barderry Lane	Jul 22, 2012 8:31 AM
20	TAX REVENUE TO THE TOWN WILL ALMOST IMMEDIATELY BE REDUCED.....	Jul 22, 2012 5:56 AM
21	At hearing, it was stated that ONLY 1 6 inch drawdown would occur in the winter according to the proposed plan. why do we need to retain 2 feet of water for a 6 inch draw?	Jul 21, 2012 5:12 AM
22	Multiple releases of water in winter will destabilize the ice on the lake making it less usable for recreational uses such as snowmobiling, skiing, snowshoeing, etc.	Jul 20, 2012 6:22 PM
23	Is the state willing to sell the water rights? Has this ever been considered?	Jul 20, 2012 5:56 PM
24	We have always been able to clean up our beach in the late fall and leaving more water would not allow this, plus we are afraid of damage to docks during ice out.	Jul 20, 2012 2:52 PM
25	The NH DES proposal to draw down Pawtuckaway lake more than one time during the winter is a potentially dangerous situation for those who use the lake for winter sport activities. The DES should be put on notice that they will be held	Jul 20, 2012 6:55 AM

Q11. Add any additional comments relating to the fall/winter drawdown here.

responsible for endangering the lives of individuals for any lake mishaps that could occur when air gaps are created between the water and ice from their irresponsible actions. Past Pawtuckaway history experiences with the NH DES has shown neglect with dam maintenance, as well as water level control issues. Keep the current draw down schedule in place. Let Durham build a dam and collect the fall draw down in their town. They can then release water when they want without impacting Pawtuckaway Lake.

26	Lake residents should be compensated for any damages that result from the drawdown changes. Including ice damage to docks, walls, or reduce property value from reduced summer lake activities or increased shoreline vegetation.	Jul 20, 2012 4:00 AM
27	Any drawdown that will lower lake level during summer/early fall could result in damage to my boat and dock due to extensive rocks along our property line/shore line.	Jul 20, 2012 2:49 AM
28	Besides the existing reasons we should not, the future effects have not been researched and I fear that property owners will be left to repair any damages to properties and environment that may result from the decision of the state.	Jul 19, 2012 7:19 PM
29	There appears to be more to this than what DES is telling us. Transparency should be the mode of this project. If they go to 4.82' then release 2' and then another 2' now we are down 8.82' which leaves Fundy waterless with no where for the fish to go.	Jul 19, 2012 1:31 PM
30	Man does not always know what is best for nature, and it should not be our role to continuously interfere with nature. Look at our track record and it says a lot about how WE have to undo what WE did to nature. The reasons given to us for this change is for the habitat of the fish and plants of the lamprey river. What do our records show when we interfere? Let's consider the bee population or bats the bees the weather, or cutting of trees. All done because MAN knows best. The changing is most often for man not the habitat!. There is an old saying that goes " if it ant broke don't fix it." As a side note Durham has been mentioned needing water.. Is the real reason for changing of this practice for covert reasons un-benounce to us that Durham needs water which Nottingham seems to have in a lake? Maybe we should be looking at the people WE hired to represent us and ask them about honesty and integrity. So be straight about this and justify with creditable evidence a reason to change this long existing practice. I would also add that this would drop the value of those properties on the lake. I as a long standing land owner would be moved to sell while I can and not wait until the value drops even further. Is this something the TOWN considers good management of it lands?	Jul 19, 2012 11:14 AM
31	Winter drawdowns will affect ice levels and render the lake unusable in winter time, and also it will create damage to shoreline issues.	Jul 18, 2012 6:14 PM
32	this is a man made lake - it had taken alot of years to develop growth of forage,fish etc.	Jul 18, 2012 4:07 PM
33	If the drawdown is changed, it will inevitably lead to further changes later on, since bureaucrats can never leave well enough alone. They will find excuses to continue tinkering with our lake level, and we will be the losers in the end.	Jul 18, 2012 7:44 AM

Q11. Add any additional comments relating to the fall/winter drawdown here.

34	Our ecosystem (plants and animals) has become habituated to the 7-foot drawdown. A change would disrupt the equilibrium.	Jul 18, 2012 7:38 AM
35	While there may be benefits to a winter drawdown, these have not be quantified and have certainly not made public. Vague claims that the plans return to the Lamprey to a "natural" condition do not take into account damage to the existing environment at Pawtuckaway or to the property of Nottingham residents. Moreover, the claims of the supposed "natural" contribution of water low from Pawtuckaway to the Lamprey does not take into account the fact that Pawtuckaway is a lake created by several dams. Are there records of flowage prior to the creation of this lake and, if so, how did they differ from current conditions? If the DES has data with regards to any of the questions I have raised, I would like to see it. Thus far, that silence has been deafening.	Jul 18, 2012 7:02 AM
36	releasing water during the winter affects the safety of the ice.....	Jul 18, 2012 6:16 AM
37	the whole premise is flawed. There is no need for additional water in the winter for durham residences. We al know that is what this is all about	Jul 18, 2012 3:58 AM
38	My feeling is that this will cause at least three significant problems: 1) there will be a significant safety issue on the ice for skaters, snowmobile's, ATV's, cross country skiers, ice fisherman, and walkers. The lake has been used heavily for recreation for over 60 years (it is a State Park) and changing lake levels after the ice has formed create dangerous conditions. My greatest fear is that someone will be hurt or killed because of the unstable ice. 2) Fast rising water levels in the spring will cause shoreline damage, property damage to docks and homes. If the heavy rains that occurred during the recent Mother's Day floods had occurred with the lake level higher the current drawdown levels, there would have been millions of dollars in property damage. 3) There appears to little in the way of an impact study to support this drastic of a change in process. I'm sure Durham's water problems could be alleviated significantly by a water conservation program at UNH. Anyone with children knows they are not great at water conservation.	Jul 18, 2012 3:44 AM
39	There will be a safety issue if there is a lake draw down after ice-up.	Jul 18, 2012 3:09 AM
40	The objective is laudable but the metrics presented are simplistic. A mid-winter release while the downstream watershed soil surface is frozen would be wasted. Published reference to the lake-level changes, when the releases are volume-based are insulting (level impact of an 'x' volume drawdown obviously varies depending on the lake level when you start the drawdown. (The Fundy is almost 1/3 of the surface area. When the Fundy is dry, a drawdown of 'x' obviously has a much greater impact on the level in the remainder of the lake.) This is a man-made impoundment. Please don't refer to the "natural cycles" when, in fact, the downstream flow of the Lamprey was not historically 'naturally recharged' by this impoundment. Please don't dismiss my comments too quickly -I'm a past officer of the PLIA and I had worked for years with Jody Connor. I'm an environmentalist and this isn't about docks or views, but it's about what is healthy for this lake. Don't hesitate to contact me if you want.	Jul 17, 2012 8:12 PM
41	Don't fool with mother nature. She was here before the town of Durham and/or UNH decided to expand without considering where the water was going to come from and now they want to change the course of nature with no consideration for	Jul 17, 2012 7:32 PM

Q11. Add any additional comments relating to the fall/winter drawdown here.

the folks who already rely on it and pay exorbitant taxes for the privilege.

42	What is effect on ice above the water during winter water release?	Jul 17, 2012 6:04 AM
43	Why fix something that works? Why have ponds on the river been recently drained if the river needs that water?	Jul 17, 2012 12:03 AM
44	We definitely do NOT want to see the lake at very low levels in the summer as we feel it may cause a lot of issues regarding plant growth around the shorelines plus continuing to pay what we do now for taxes with a small pond will not put money back into our pockets. They don't care if we have a puddle! :)	Jul 16, 2012 9:01 PM
45	This could cause damage to our docks, and prevent ice for fishing and winter activities.	Jul 16, 2012 5:28 PM
46	DES proposed these levels back in 2000 when Pawtuckaway Lake was awarded a grant to study the phosphorus levels and the aging of the lake and what the best management controls to protect the lake. Refer to this study to obtain their findings & the reasons for their findings.	Jul 16, 2012 4:41 PM
47	This change has not been studied sufficiently. It could cause grave harm to the lake and the Nottingham tax base and DES only studies the downstream effects on part of the Lamprey River.	Jul 16, 2012 4:12 PM
48	the lake is not a good water for public supply, too much nitrates and other harmful items in the water.	Jul 16, 2012 2:02 PM
49	If the DES goes forward with this then the schedule of draw down / refill should change.	Jul 16, 2012 1:41 PM
50	The lake is not a storage tank "stored water" it is a living, breathing environment. The concept of "stored" indicates there is a purpose in mind (stored for a purpose) If people down stream risk loss of health or livelihood, say so, I would sacrifice for the health and welfare of others. Lets be clear in expressing out motivations and trust the good people of this state are reasonable. Lacking rationale, the motive can be assumed to be politically derived and therefore the livelihood of lobbyists will be secured to protect the health of this valuable state resource	Jul 16, 2012 1:28 PM
51	As said before " if it ain't broke don't try and fix it"	Jul 16, 2012 1:23 PM
52	I believe that DES is overly focused on the Lamprey river in this exercise -- not on the entire watershed.	Jul 16, 2012 8:18 AM
53	drawing down the lake after it's been frozen can only have negative impacts to those folks that use it recreationally in the winter - snowmobilers, fisherman, etc. Drawing down the lake would potentially leave a gap between the ice and the water, resulting in dangerous conditions - ice sheers, open water in unexpected places, etc.	Jul 16, 2012 8:06 AM
54	Two feet seems like too much of a change. I would support a 1 foot draw down if it is shown to be absolutely necessary.	Jul 16, 2012 7:18 AM
55	I think it is shameful that DES is using Pawtuckaway to solve a problem in	Jul 16, 2012 7:08 AM

Q11. Add any additional comments relating to the fall/winter drawdown here.

	Durham, NH. This could potentially have a devastating effect on our real values and investments.	
56	The DES proposal would ruin the lake both for environmental and property value reasons	Jul 16, 2012 6:30 AM
57	To compromise the Pawtuckerway lake quality for such an unproven reason is harmful to our efforts to protect this great lake. As an owner & tax payer, I absolutely do not support this reckless proposal.	Jul 16, 2012 6:00 AM
58	Stop messing with what has proven to work!	Jul 16, 2012 5:34 AM
59	If our concerns are not heeded and a smaller fall drawdown is ordered, please make the plan contingent on its measurable success/failure, so that changes may be made or a return to prior practice may take place in the event it does not achieve the desired result or causes the kind of damage we anticipate.	Jul 16, 2012 5:32 AM
60	I want to see independent objective studies. Not those fabricated to meet your goal of more durham water. Draw downs from full lake level, which it would never be in drought conditions? Come on! VOO DOO science. Those engineers/fabricators involved should be ashamed. Sell out their profession to keep their jobs!	Jul 16, 2012 4:22 AM
61	I'm concerned that one or more draw downs in the winter will create hazardous conditions on the lake. Many people like to ice fish, walk, snowshoe, and/or cross crounty ski on the winter lake and I'm not sure if multiple drawdowns would hinder these activities.	Jul 15, 2012 5:50 PM
62	My lake address is: 36 Whites Grove Rd.	Jul 15, 2012 2:51 PM
63	Of equal importance is the proposal to draw down in the summer to support the Lamprey River.	Jul 15, 2012 2:49 PM
64	DES hasn't made a good case for leaving more water in the lake in the winter or for releasing water in the middle of the winter. The last decision on Pawtuckaway's lake level gave many good reasons why the 7-foot drawdown should be continued. Nothing that DES said on June 26 was strong enough to support making a change, especially because there doesn't seem to be any scientific data regarding the instream flow needs of fish in the Lamprey River during the winter.	Jul 15, 2012 2:26 PM
65	Why are you messing with nature? It never works the river inhabitants are adapted to present conditions.If its just for additional water supply for Durham then be honest and just say it.	Jul 15, 2012 2:15 PM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

1

Name:	Carol Hale	Jul 25, 2012 5:10 PM
Address:	54 Shore Drive	Jul 25, 2012 5:10 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 25, 2012 5:10 PM
State:	NH	Jul 25, 2012 5:10 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 25, 2012 5:10 PM

2

Name:	Joe Mokos	Jul 25, 2012 4:07 PM
Address:	9 Meindl Way	Jul 25, 2012 4:07 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 25, 2012 4:07 PM
State:	NH	Jul 25, 2012 4:07 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 25, 2012 4:07 PM

3

Name:	Richard Morrisesy	Jul 25, 2012 2:49 PM
Address:	41 Lakeview Drive	Jul 25, 2012 2:49 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 25, 2012 2:49 PM
State:	NH	Jul 25, 2012 2:49 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 25, 2012 2:49 PM

4

Name:	Neil Correa	Jul 25, 2012 12:11 PM
Address:	36 idlewood pl.	Jul 25, 2012 12:11 PM
City/Town:	Stamford	Jul 25, 2012 12:11 PM
State:	CT	Jul 25, 2012 12:11 PM
ZIP:	06905	Jul 25, 2012 12:11 PM

5

Name:	Susan Herron	Jul 25, 2012 11:15 AM
Address:	12 Cahill Lane	Jul 25, 2012 11:15 AM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 25, 2012 11:15 AM
State:	NH	Jul 25, 2012 11:15 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 25, 2012 11:15 AM
6		
Name:	John Caiati	Jul 25, 2012 8:57 AM
Address:	82 Barderry Lane	Jul 25, 2012 8:57 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 25, 2012 8:57 AM
State:	NH	Jul 25, 2012 8:57 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 25, 2012 8:57 AM
7		
Name:	Michael Herron	Jul 25, 2012 6:34 AM
Address:	12 Cahill Lane	Jul 25, 2012 6:34 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 25, 2012 6:34 AM
State:	NH	Jul 25, 2012 6:34 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 25, 2012 6:34 AM
8		
Name:	Matt Eaton	Jul 25, 2012 4:44 AM
Address:	6 Lamprey Drive	Jul 25, 2012 4:44 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 25, 2012 4:44 AM
State:	NH	Jul 25, 2012 4:44 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 25, 2012 4:44 AM
9		
Name:	FRANK CAREY	Jul 25, 2012 4:25 AM
Address:	39 SEAMANS POINT RD	Jul 25, 2012 4:25 AM
City/Town:	NOTTINGHAM	Jul 25, 2012 4:25 AM
State:	NH	Jul 25, 2012 4:25 AM
ZIP:	02350	Jul 25, 2012 4:25 AM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

10

Name:	Therese Thompson	Jul 25, 2012 12:47 AM
Address:	Barderry Lane	Jul 25, 2012 12:47 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 25, 2012 12:47 AM
State:	NH	Jul 25, 2012 12:47 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 25, 2012 12:47 AM

11

Name:	John Decker	Jul 24, 2012 5:18 PM
Address:	11 Lakeview Dr	Jul 24, 2012 5:18 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 24, 2012 5:18 PM
State:	NH	Jul 24, 2012 5:18 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 24, 2012 5:18 PM

12

Name:	Jean Ann St. Pierre	Jul 24, 2012 3:38 PM
Address:	224 North River Road	Jul 24, 2012 3:38 PM
City/Town:	Lee	Jul 24, 2012 3:38 PM
State:	NH	Jul 24, 2012 3:38 PM
ZIP:	03861	Jul 24, 2012 3:38 PM

13

Name:	Patricia J Farrington	Jul 24, 2012 2:23 PM
Address:	14 Lamprey Dr	Jul 24, 2012 2:23 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 24, 2012 2:23 PM
State:	NH	Jul 24, 2012 2:23 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 24, 2012 2:23 PM

14

Name:	Mitchell Hale	Jul 24, 2012 8:24 AM
Address:	54 shore drive	Jul 24, 2012 8:24 AM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 24, 2012 8:24 AM
State:	NH	Jul 24, 2012 8:24 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 24, 2012 8:24 AM

15

Name:	Adalbert Ackermann	Jul 24, 2012 7:51 AM
Address:	8 Lamprey Dr	Jul 24, 2012 7:51 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 24, 2012 7:51 AM
State:	NH	Jul 24, 2012 7:51 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 24, 2012 7:51 AM

16

Name:	Shane Carey	Jul 24, 2012 7:27 AM
Address:	41 Seamans Pt Rd	Jul 24, 2012 7:27 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 24, 2012 7:27 AM
State:	NH	Jul 24, 2012 7:27 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 24, 2012 7:27 AM

17

Name:	Lisa Carey	Jul 24, 2012 4:19 AM
Address:	41 Seaman Point Road	Jul 24, 2012 4:19 AM
City/Town:	Nottimgham	Jul 24, 2012 4:19 AM
State:	NH	Jul 24, 2012 4:19 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 24, 2012 4:19 AM

18

Name:	Gary St.Pierre	Jul 23, 2012 6:04 PM
Address:	224 N River Rd	Jul 23, 2012 6:04 PM
City/Town:	Lee	Jul 23, 2012 6:04 PM
State:	NH	Jul 23, 2012 6:04 PM
ZIP:	03861	Jul 23, 2012 6:04 PM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

19

Name:	Seonaid L. Eaton	Jul 23, 2012 12:35 PM
Address:	6 Lamprey Drive	Jul 23, 2012 12:35 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 23, 2012 12:35 PM
State:	NH	Jul 23, 2012 12:35 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 23, 2012 12:35 PM

20

Name:	Joseph&Maria Schultz	Jul 23, 2012 11:56 AM
Address:	93 Lakeview Dr	Jul 23, 2012 11:56 AM
City/Town:	Nattingham	Jul 23, 2012 11:56 AM
State:	NH	Jul 23, 2012 11:56 AM
ZIP:	03291-0150	Jul 23, 2012 11:56 AM

21

Name:	Beverly Caldon	Jul 23, 2012 11:26 AM
Address:	9 Lakeview Drive	Jul 23, 2012 11:26 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 23, 2012 11:26 AM
State:	NH	Jul 23, 2012 11:26 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 23, 2012 11:26 AM

22

Name:	M ST.ONGE	Jul 23, 2012 9:16 AM
Address:	33 BEACH HEAD RD	Jul 23, 2012 9:16 AM
City/Town:	NOTTINGHAM	Jul 23, 2012 9:16 AM
State:	NH	Jul 23, 2012 9:16 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 23, 2012 9:16 AM

23

Name:	Karen Batchelder	Jul 23, 2012 5:43 AM
Address:	61 Shore Drive	Jul 23, 2012 5:43 AM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

City/Town: Nottingham Jul 23, 2012 5:43 AM

State: NH Jul 23, 2012 5:43 AM

ZIP: 03290 Jul 23, 2012 5:43 AM

24

Name: Robert Hill Jul 22, 2012 1:33 PM

Address: 97 Shore Drive Jul 22, 2012 1:33 PM

City/Town: Nottingham Jul 22, 2012 1:33 PM

State: NH Jul 22, 2012 1:33 PM

ZIP: 03290 Jul 22, 2012 1:33 PM

25

Name: Lee Bartlett Jul 22, 2012 8:31 AM

Address: P.O. Box 727 Jul 22, 2012 8:31 AM

City/Town: Kingston Jul 22, 2012 8:31 AM

State: NH Jul 22, 2012 8:31 AM

ZIP: 03848 Jul 22, 2012 8:31 AM

26

Name: ROBERT GIFFORD Jul 22, 2012 5:56 AM

Address: 63 SHORE DR. Jul 22, 2012 5:56 AM

City/Town: NOTTINGHAM Jul 22, 2012 5:56 AM

State: NH Jul 22, 2012 5:56 AM

ZIP: 03290 Jul 22, 2012 5:56 AM

27

Name: Joanne Duda Jul 21, 2012 6:41 AM

Address: 43 Mooer's Road Jul 21, 2012 6:41 AM

City/Town: Nottingham Jul 21, 2012 6:41 AM

State: NH Jul 21, 2012 6:41 AM

ZIP: 03290 Jul 21, 2012 6:41 AM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

28

Name:	Cindy Stephens	Jul 21, 2012 5:44 AM
Address:	36 Whites Grove Road	Jul 21, 2012 5:44 AM
City/Town:	nottingham	Jul 21, 2012 5:44 AM
State:	NH	Jul 21, 2012 5:44 AM
ZIP:	03920	Jul 21, 2012 5:44 AM

29

Name:	tom duffy	Jul 21, 2012 5:12 AM
Address:	Jampsa trail	Jul 21, 2012 5:12 AM
City/Town:	nottingham	Jul 21, 2012 5:12 AM
State:	NH	Jul 21, 2012 5:12 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 21, 2012 5:12 AM

30

Name:	Lisa Morin	Jul 21, 2012 5:10 AM
Address:	23 Cove Rd	Jul 21, 2012 5:10 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 21, 2012 5:10 AM
State:	NH	Jul 21, 2012 5:10 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 21, 2012 5:10 AM

31

Name:	Michael Hughes	Jul 20, 2012 6:22 PM
Address:	58 Barderry Ln	Jul 20, 2012 6:22 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 20, 2012 6:22 PM
State:	NH	Jul 20, 2012 6:22 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 20, 2012 6:22 PM

32

Name:	Jerome & Diane Lapham	Jul 20, 2012 5:56 PM
Address:	23 Jampsa Trail	Jul 20, 2012 5:56 PM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

City/Town: Nottingham, Jul 20, 2012 5:56 PM

State: NH Jul 20, 2012 5:56 PM

ZIP: 03290 Jul 20, 2012 5:56 PM

33

Name: Joanne Boudreau Dickie Jul 20, 2012 5:56 PM

Address: 45 Moores Road Jul 20, 2012 5:56 PM

City/Town: Nottingham Jul 20, 2012 5:56 PM

State: NH Jul 20, 2012 5:56 PM

ZIP: 03290 Jul 20, 2012 5:56 PM

34

Name: Helen Rossi Jul 20, 2012 2:52 PM

Address: 70 Barderry Lane Jul 20, 2012 2:52 PM

City/Town: Nottingham Jul 20, 2012 2:52 PM

State: NH Jul 20, 2012 2:52 PM

ZIP: 03290 Jul 20, 2012 2:52 PM

35

Name: R. LAUTZENHEISER Jul 20, 2012 8:09 AM

Address: 35 Arcadia Ave. Jul 20, 2012 8:09 AM

City/Town: Reading Jul 20, 2012 8:09 AM

State: MA Jul 20, 2012 8:09 AM

ZIP: 01867 Jul 20, 2012 8:09 AM

36

Name: Paul Romano Jul 20, 2012 6:55 AM

Address: 37 Lakeview Dr. Jul 20, 2012 6:55 AM

City/Town: Nottingham Jul 20, 2012 6:55 AM

State: NH Jul 20, 2012 6:55 AM

ZIP: 03290 Jul 20, 2012 6:55 AM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

37

Name:	Amede Baillargeon	Jul 20, 2012 6:15 AM
Address:	49 Barderry Lane	Jul 20, 2012 6:15 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 20, 2012 6:15 AM
State:	NH	Jul 20, 2012 6:15 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 20, 2012 6:15 AM

38

Name:	Steve Kimball	Jul 20, 2012 4:00 AM
Address:	12 Lamprey Drive	Jul 20, 2012 4:00 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 20, 2012 4:00 AM
State:	NH	Jul 20, 2012 4:00 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 20, 2012 4:00 AM

39

Name:	Berkeley Cue	Jul 20, 2012 2:49 AM
Address:	135 Highland Avenue	Jul 20, 2012 2:49 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 20, 2012 2:49 AM
State:	NH	Jul 20, 2012 2:49 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 20, 2012 2:49 AM

40

Name:	Cheryl LeBlanc	Jul 19, 2012 7:19 PM
Address:	137 Highland Ave	Jul 19, 2012 7:19 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 19, 2012 7:19 PM
State:	NH	Jul 19, 2012 7:19 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 19, 2012 7:19 PM

41

Name:	RICHARD KOTELLY	Jul 19, 2012 4:26 PM
Address:	63 lakeview Dr.	Jul 19, 2012 4:26 PM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

City/Town: Nottingham Jul 19, 2012 4:26 PM

State: NH Jul 19, 2012 4:26 PM

ZIP: 03290 Jul 19, 2012 4:26 PM

42

Name: David A.Lentini Jul 19, 2012 2:36 PM

Address: 97 Lakeview Dr Jul 19, 2012 2:36 PM

City/Town: Nottingham Jul 19, 2012 2:36 PM

State: NH Jul 19, 2012 2:36 PM

ZIP: 03290 Jul 19, 2012 2:36 PM

43

Name: Charles Tolliver Jul 19, 2012 2:00 PM

Address: 56 Barderry Lane Jul 19, 2012 2:00 PM

City/Town: Nottingham Jul 19, 2012 2:00 PM

State: NH Jul 19, 2012 2:00 PM

ZIP: 03290 Jul 19, 2012 2:00 PM

44

Name: susan medeiros Jul 19, 2012 1:38 PM

Address: 17 lakeview dr Jul 19, 2012 1:38 PM

City/Town: nottingham Jul 19, 2012 1:38 PM

State: NH Jul 19, 2012 1:38 PM

ZIP: 03290 Jul 19, 2012 1:38 PM

45

Name: Gayle Jul 19, 2012 1:31 PM

Address: Williams Jul 19, 2012 1:31 PM

City/Town: Nottimgham Jul 19, 2012 1:31 PM

State: NH Jul 19, 2012 1:31 PM

ZIP: 03290 Jul 19, 2012 1:31 PM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

46

Name:	Ken Sachs	Jul 19, 2012 11:14 AM
Address:	44 Sachs Rd.	Jul 19, 2012 11:14 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 19, 2012 11:14 AM
State:	NH	Jul 19, 2012 11:14 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 19, 2012 11:14 AM

47

Name:	Roger Kebler	Jul 19, 2012 8:41 AM
Address:	113 Lakeview Drive	Jul 19, 2012 8:41 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 19, 2012 8:41 AM
State:	NH	Jul 19, 2012 8:41 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 19, 2012 8:41 AM

48

Name:	Boyd Cooley	Jul 19, 2012 8:03 AM
Address:	22 Beach Head Road	Jul 19, 2012 8:03 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 19, 2012 8:03 AM
State:	NH	Jul 19, 2012 8:03 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 19, 2012 8:03 AM

49

Name:	J. Rusnak	Jul 19, 2012 7:31 AM
Address:	54 Barderry lane	Jul 19, 2012 7:31 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 19, 2012 7:31 AM
State:	NH	Jul 19, 2012 7:31 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 19, 2012 7:31 AM

50

Name:	Chris & Helen Limperis	Jul 18, 2012 6:57 PM
Address:	30 Lamprey Drive	Jul 18, 2012 6:57 PM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

City/Town: Nottingham Jul 18, 2012 6:57 PM

State: NH Jul 18, 2012 6:57 PM

ZIP: 03290 Jul 18, 2012 6:57 PM

51

Name: Rob Dombrowski Jul 18, 2012 6:14 PM

Address: 163 Mountain Road Jul 18, 2012 6:14 PM

City/Town: Nottingham Jul 18, 2012 6:14 PM

State: NH Jul 18, 2012 6:14 PM

ZIP: 03290 Jul 18, 2012 6:14 PM

52

Name: Andrea Ovens Jul 18, 2012 5:28 PM

Address: 28 Lamprey Drive Jul 18, 2012 5:28 PM

City/Town: Nottingham Jul 18, 2012 5:28 PM

State: NH Jul 18, 2012 5:28 PM

ZIP: 03290 Jul 18, 2012 5:28 PM

53

Name: Susn Diamond Johnston Jul 18, 2012 4:07 PM

Address: 39 Mooers Road Jul 18, 2012 4:07 PM

City/Town: Nottingham Jul 18, 2012 4:07 PM

State: NH Jul 18, 2012 4:07 PM

ZIP: 03290 Jul 18, 2012 4:07 PM

54

Name: Andrea Jackson Jul 18, 2012 11:07 AM

Address: 129 Highland Ave Jul 18, 2012 11:07 AM

City/Town: Nottingham Jul 18, 2012 11:07 AM

State: NH Jul 18, 2012 11:07 AM

ZIP: 03290 Jul 18, 2012 11:07 AM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

55

Name:	Michael Coltin	Jul 18, 2012 8:47 AM
Address:	15 LaKeview Dr	Jul 18, 2012 8:47 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 18, 2012 8:47 AM
State:	NH	Jul 18, 2012 8:47 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 18, 2012 8:47 AM

56

Name:	Judy Cole Baillargeon	Jul 18, 2012 7:50 AM
Address:	49 Barderry Ln.	Jul 18, 2012 7:50 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 18, 2012 7:50 AM
State:	NH	Jul 18, 2012 7:50 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 18, 2012 7:50 AM

57

Name:	Ann Breazeale	Jul 18, 2012 7:44 AM
Address:	87 Shore Drive	Jul 18, 2012 7:44 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 18, 2012 7:44 AM
State:	NH	Jul 18, 2012 7:44 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 18, 2012 7:44 AM

58

Name:	Dick Blouin	Jul 18, 2012 7:38 AM
Address:	35 Mooers Rd	Jul 18, 2012 7:38 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 18, 2012 7:38 AM
State:	NH	Jul 18, 2012 7:38 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 18, 2012 7:38 AM

59

Name:	Victor Maslov	Jul 18, 2012 7:38 AM
Address:	87 Shore Drive	Jul 18, 2012 7:38 AM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 18, 2012 7:38 AM
State:	NH	Jul 18, 2012 7:38 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 18, 2012 7:38 AM
60		
Name:	linda rosborough	Jul 18, 2012 7:37 AM
Address:	41 mooers road	Jul 18, 2012 7:37 AM
City/Town:	nottingham	Jul 18, 2012 7:37 AM
State:	NH	Jul 18, 2012 7:37 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 18, 2012 7:37 AM
61		
Name:	James Kelly	Jul 18, 2012 7:02 AM
Address:	35 Sachs Road	Jul 18, 2012 7:02 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 18, 2012 7:02 AM
State:	NH	Jul 18, 2012 7:02 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 18, 2012 7:02 AM
62		
Name:	Ruth Anne Fuller	Jul 18, 2012 6:16 AM
Address:	29 Lakeview Drive	Jul 18, 2012 6:16 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 18, 2012 6:16 AM
State:	NH	Jul 18, 2012 6:16 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 18, 2012 6:16 AM
63		
Name:	Terrence	Jul 18, 2012 6:03 AM
Address:	Connor	Jul 18, 2012 6:03 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 18, 2012 6:03 AM
State:	NH	Jul 18, 2012 6:03 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 18, 2012 6:03 AM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

64

Name:	Dee Decker	Jul 18, 2012 5:43 AM
Address:	11 Lakeview Dr	Jul 18, 2012 5:43 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 18, 2012 5:43 AM
State:	NH	Jul 18, 2012 5:43 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 18, 2012 5:43 AM

65

Name:	James Lovett	Jul 18, 2012 5:08 AM
Address:	84 Lakeview Dr	Jul 18, 2012 5:08 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 18, 2012 5:08 AM
State:	NH	Jul 18, 2012 5:08 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 18, 2012 5:08 AM

66

Name:	Eric Danis	Jul 18, 2012 3:58 AM
Address:	24 Brustle Road	Jul 18, 2012 3:58 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 18, 2012 3:58 AM
State:	NH	Jul 18, 2012 3:58 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 18, 2012 3:58 AM

67

Name:	Jeff Gurrier	Jul 18, 2012 3:44 AM
Address:	61 Shore Drive	Jul 18, 2012 3:44 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 18, 2012 3:44 AM
State:	NH	Jul 18, 2012 3:44 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 18, 2012 3:44 AM

68

Name:	clayton button	Jul 18, 2012 3:09 AM
Address:	30 beach head rd	Jul 18, 2012 3:09 AM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

City/Town:	nottingham	Jul 18, 2012 3:09 AM
State:	NH	Jul 18, 2012 3:09 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 18, 2012 3:09 AM
69		
Name:	Dave Finn	Jul 17, 2012 8:12 PM
Address:	36 Mooers Road	Jul 17, 2012 8:12 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 17, 2012 8:12 PM
State:	NH	Jul 17, 2012 8:12 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 17, 2012 8:12 PM
70		
Name:	Lucien & Lorraine Morel	Jul 17, 2012 7:55 PM
Address:	32 Barderry Lane	Jul 17, 2012 7:55 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham NH	Jul 17, 2012 7:55 PM
State:	NH	Jul 17, 2012 7:55 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 17, 2012 7:55 PM
71		
Name:	Charles j. Walsh	Jul 17, 2012 7:32 PM
Address:	27LakeviewDrive	Jul 17, 2012 7:32 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 17, 2012 7:32 PM
State:	NH	Jul 17, 2012 7:32 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 17, 2012 7:32 PM
72		
Name:	Marguerite Tucker	Jul 17, 2012 5:40 PM
Address:	32 Dolloff Dam Road	Jul 17, 2012 5:40 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 17, 2012 5:40 PM
State:	NH	Jul 17, 2012 5:40 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 17, 2012 5:40 PM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

73

Name:	Gail Fenson	Jul 17, 2012 1:19 PM
Address:	52 mooers rd	Jul 17, 2012 1:19 PM
City/Town:	nottingham	Jul 17, 2012 1:19 PM
State:	NH	Jul 17, 2012 1:19 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 17, 2012 1:19 PM

74

Name:	joyce edwards	Jul 17, 2012 10:23 AM
Address:	53 mooers road	Jul 17, 2012 10:23 AM
City/Town:	nottingham	Jul 17, 2012 10:23 AM
State:	NH	Jul 17, 2012 10:23 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 17, 2012 10:23 AM

75

Name:	kelly conner	Jul 17, 2012 10:18 AM
Address:	51 mooers road	Jul 17, 2012 10:18 AM
City/Town:	nottingham	Jul 17, 2012 10:18 AM
State:	NH	Jul 17, 2012 10:18 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 17, 2012 10:18 AM

76

Name:	butch cook	Jul 17, 2012 9:53 AM
Address:	33 mooers rd	Jul 17, 2012 9:53 AM
City/Town:	nottingham	Jul 17, 2012 9:53 AM
State:	NH	Jul 17, 2012 9:53 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 17, 2012 9:53 AM

77

Name:	Ed Minyard	Jul 17, 2012 6:20 AM
Address:	92 Barderry Lane	Jul 17, 2012 6:20 AM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 17, 2012 6:20 AM
State:	NH	Jul 17, 2012 6:20 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 17, 2012 6:20 AM

78

Name:	william netishen	Jul 17, 2012 6:04 AM
Address:	80 barderry lane	Jul 17, 2012 6:04 AM
City/Town:	nottingham	Jul 17, 2012 6:04 AM
State:	NH	Jul 17, 2012 6:04 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 17, 2012 6:04 AM

79

Name:	Cathy Douglas	Jul 17, 2012 12:03 AM
Address:	48 Barderry Lane	Jul 17, 2012 12:03 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 17, 2012 12:03 AM
State:	NH	Jul 17, 2012 12:03 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 17, 2012 12:03 AM

80

Name:	Pamela Walker	Jul 16, 2012 9:01 PM
Address:	36 Dolloff Dam Road	Jul 16, 2012 9:01 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 9:01 PM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 9:01 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 9:01 PM

81

Name:	Stephen Soreff	Jul 16, 2012 7:36 PM
Address:	32 Dolloff Dam Rd	Jul 16, 2012 7:36 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 7:36 PM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 7:36 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 7:36 PM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

82

Name:	Gary Potavin	Jul 16, 2012 7:25 PM
Address:	6 Tuckaway Shores Rd	Jul 16, 2012 7:25 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 7:25 PM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 7:25 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 7:25 PM

83

Name:	Jonathan Crowell	Jul 16, 2012 5:55 PM
Address:	38 Lakeview Dr.	Jul 16, 2012 5:55 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 5:55 PM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 5:55 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 5:55 PM

84

Name:	Linda Peterson	Jul 16, 2012 5:28 PM
Address:	37 Shore Drive	Jul 16, 2012 5:28 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 5:28 PM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 5:28 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 5:28 PM

85

Name:	Steven Donahue	Jul 16, 2012 4:54 PM
Address:	25 Jampsa Trail	Jul 16, 2012 4:54 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 4:54 PM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 4:54 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 4:54 PM

86

Name:	Celeste Schmitt	Jul 16, 2012 4:41 PM
Address:	27 Jampsa Trail	Jul 16, 2012 4:41 PM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

City/Town:	Nottigham	Jul 16, 2012 4:41 PM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 4:41 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 4:41 PM
87		
Name:	Thad Russell	Jul 16, 2012 4:12 PM
Address:	27 Seaman Point Road	Jul 16, 2012 4:12 PM
City/Town:	Nottinhgham	Jul 16, 2012 4:12 PM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 4:12 PM
ZIP:	03280	Jul 16, 2012 4:12 PM
88		
Name:	Peter Gylfphe resident since 1945	Jul 16, 2012 2:02 PM
Address:	PO Box 462	Jul 16, 2012 2:02 PM
City/Town:	Raymond	Jul 16, 2012 2:02 PM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 2:02 PM
ZIP:	03077	Jul 16, 2012 2:02 PM
89		
Name:	Edward Kotowski	Jul 16, 2012 1:41 PM
Address:	14 Indian Run	Jul 16, 2012 1:41 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 1:41 PM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 1:41 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 1:41 PM
90		
Name:	Paul Finn	Jul 16, 2012 1:28 PM
Address:	49 Mooers Rd	Jul 16, 2012 1:28 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 1:28 PM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 1:28 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 1:28 PM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

91

Name:	Donald P Rossi	Jul 16, 2012 1:23 PM
Address:	70 Barderry Lane	Jul 16, 2012 1:23 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 1:23 PM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 1:23 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 1:23 PM

92

Name:	Dwight & Eleanor Crow	Jul 16, 2012 10:48 AM
Address:	34 Barderry Lane	Jul 16, 2012 10:48 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 10:48 AM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 10:48 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 10:48 AM

93

Name:	Gail Fensom	Jul 16, 2012 10:02 AM
Address:	52 Mooers Road	Jul 16, 2012 10:02 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 10:02 AM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 10:02 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 10:02 AM

94

Name:	Diane Nugent	Jul 16, 2012 9:42 AM
Address:	11 Mooers Road	Jul 16, 2012 9:42 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 9:42 AM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 9:42 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 9:42 AM

95

Name:	Donna L King	Jul 16, 2012 8:39 AM
Address:	20 Brustle Road	Jul 16, 2012 8:39 AM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 8:39 AM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 8:39 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 8:39 AM

96

Name:	Donna Danis	Jul 16, 2012 8:18 AM
Address:	PO Box 64	Jul 16, 2012 8:18 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 8:18 AM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 8:18 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 8:18 AM

97

Name:	james rosborough	Jul 16, 2012 8:06 AM
Address:	41 mooers road	Jul 16, 2012 8:06 AM
City/Town:	nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 8:06 AM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 8:06 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 8:06 AM

98

Name:	Judith P. Matthews	Jul 16, 2012 7:18 AM
Address:	88 Barderry Lane	Jul 16, 2012 7:18 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 7:18 AM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 7:18 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 7:18 AM

99

Name:	Dr. John W. Caldon	Jul 16, 2012 7:08 AM
Address:	9 lakeview drive	Jul 16, 2012 7:08 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 7:08 AM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 7:08 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 7:08 AM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

100

Name:	Virginia Garland	Jul 16, 2012 6:30 AM
Address:	84 Barderry Lane	Jul 16, 2012 6:30 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 6:30 AM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 6:30 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 6:30 AM

101

Name:	Sharon Symons	Jul 16, 2012 6:16 AM
Address:	16 Cove Rd.	Jul 16, 2012 6:16 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 6:16 AM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 6:16 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 6:16 AM

102

Name:	Jill Donahue	Jul 16, 2012 6:00 AM
Address:	25 jampsal trail	Jul 16, 2012 6:00 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 6:00 AM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 6:00 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 6:00 AM

103

Name:	Bob Rrdeen	Jul 16, 2012 5:34 AM
Address:	47 Mooers Road	Jul 16, 2012 5:34 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 5:34 AM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 5:34 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 5:34 AM

104

Name:	Pamela D. Kelly	Jul 16, 2012 5:32 AM
Address:	35 Sachs Road	Jul 16, 2012 5:32 AM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 5:32 AM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 5:32 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 5:32 AM
105		
Name:	Jennifer Fernald	Jul 16, 2012 5:28 AM
Address:	PO Box 275	Jul 16, 2012 5:28 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 5:28 AM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 5:28 AM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 16, 2012 5:28 AM
106		
Name:	James Breen	Jul 16, 2012 4:22 AM
Address:	14 Brustle Rd. PO Box 383	Jul 16, 2012 4:22 AM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 16, 2012 4:22 AM
State:	NH	Jul 16, 2012 4:22 AM
ZIP:	03290-0383	Jul 16, 2012 4:22 AM
107		
Name:	Diana L. Watts	Jul 15, 2012 5:50 PM
Address:	21 Seaman Point Rd.	Jul 15, 2012 5:50 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 15, 2012 5:50 PM
State:	NH	Jul 15, 2012 5:50 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 15, 2012 5:50 PM
108		
Name:	iohn reagan	Jul 15, 2012 5:29 PM
Address:	53 mt delight rd	Jul 15, 2012 5:29 PM
City/Town:	deerfield	Jul 15, 2012 5:29 PM
State:	NH	Jul 15, 2012 5:29 PM
ZIP:	03037	Jul 15, 2012 5:29 PM

Q12. Respondent (This information is required. The response will not be counted if this information is not provided.)

109

Name:	Dennis Stephens	Jul 15, 2012 2:51 PM
Address:	9 Byron Dr.	Jul 15, 2012 2:51 PM
City/Town:	Nashua	Jul 15, 2012 2:51 PM
State:	NH	Jul 15, 2012 2:51 PM
ZIP:	03062	Jul 15, 2012 2:51 PM

110

Name:	Dan Hajjar	Jul 15, 2012 2:49 PM
Address:	89 Lakeview Drive	Jul 15, 2012 2:49 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 15, 2012 2:49 PM
State:	NH	Jul 15, 2012 2:49 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 15, 2012 2:49 PM

111

Name:	Liz Kotowski	Jul 15, 2012 2:26 PM
Address:	14 Indian Run	Jul 15, 2012 2:26 PM
City/Town:	Nottingham	Jul 15, 2012 2:26 PM
State:	NH	Jul 15, 2012 2:26 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 15, 2012 2:26 PM

112

Name:	peter lyle	Jul 15, 2012 2:15 PM
Address:	11 meindl rd	Jul 15, 2012 2:15 PM
City/Town:	nottingham	Jul 15, 2012 2:15 PM
State:	NH	Jul 15, 2012 2:15 PM
ZIP:	03290	Jul 15, 2012 2:15 PM